

Board Report

File #: 2017-0556, File Type: Informational Report

Agenda Number: 45.

PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 20, 2017

SUBJECT: NORTHRIDGE METROLINK STATION FEASIBILITY STUDY FINAL REPORT

ACTION: RECEIVE AND FILE

RECOMMENDATION

RECEIVE AND FILE final report on the Northridge Metrolink Station Feasibility Study

<u>ISSUE</u>

At the March 23, 2016 Board meeting, the Metro Board of Directors directed the CEO to study the feasibility of relocating the Northridge Metrolink Station to Reseda Boulevard (Attachment A - March 2016 Board Motion). The study should include:

- Identify and make recommendations on maximizing bus connectivity;
- Coordinate with California State University Northridge (CSUN) officials to improve connectivity to the university;
- Identify Transit Oriented Development (TOD) and other land-use opportunities to maximize the use of a station at Reseda Boulevard;
- Identify potential funding sources (including Measure R 3%) to support the relocation of the station;
- Create a working group which includes, but is not limited to, CSUN officials, local transit service providers, Metrolink, local businesses, community groups, San Fernando Valley Service Council for coordination purposes; and
- Report back on all the above during the May 2016 Board cycle.

The feasibility study was completed in September 2017 and the results are herein presented (Attachment B - Feasibility Study Executive Summary).

DISCUSSION

Staff engaged a consultant, Mott McDonald, to meet with the stakeholders and prepare a feasibility study that evaluated two alternatives:

- Alternative 1: Feasibility of relocating the existing Northridge Metrolink Station to Reseda Boulevard.
- Alternative 2: Creating a multi-modal transit hub at the existing Northridge Metrolink Station

The City of Los Angeles owns, operates, and maintains the existing Northridge Metrolink station. Staff completed the feasibility study and the results are presented below.

Alternative 1: Feasibility of relocating station to Reseda Boulevard

The existing Northridge Metrolink station located on the Ventura County line at Wilbur Avenue is two-thirds of a mile from Reseda Boulevard, a major commercial corridor, and nearly two miles from CSUN, a major destination in the Northridge area. Relocating the Metrolink station to Reseda Boulevard could increase visibility of the station, and provide a closer connection to CSUN, local businesses, protected bicycle lanes, and bus connections.

Five potential station sites adjacent to Reseda Boulevard were identified and analyzed based on a preliminary evaluation of the location's transit accessibility, stakeholder preference, physical impacts, operational considerations, and potential costs. Based on the preliminary analysis, the property south of the railroad right-of-way and west of Reseda Boulevard was identified as the best potential site for a relocated station for the Study. The potential site is currently owned and occupied by the Northridge Lumber Company, a small family-owned business. The site can accommodate a station platform, a grade-separated pedestrian crossing, passenger and shuttle drop-off areas, real-time bus arrival signage technology, a bike hub, parking, and potential transit-oriented development.

Refer to Attachment C for Alternative 1 rendering concepts.

Key findings related to relocating the station in Alternative 1 are:

- 1. Provides a multi-modal train station in proximity to CSUN, local businesses, and transit facilities along Reseda Boulevard increasing visibility and accessibility of the station.
- 2. Allows for potential TOD opportunities such as mixed-use development with ground floor store fronts and upper floor residential units. However, the potential site is currently zoned for industrial use, and would require rezoning by the City of Los Angeles to accommodate TOD.
- 3. Station relocation would require property acquisition and impacts to a local familyowned business to accommodate the station platform and parking area. The Lumber Company has indicated they are open to discussing property acquisition given the right circumstances, timing and price.
- 4. Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) approval would be required to relocate the station as they own the northern 60 feet of railroad right-of-way on which the station would be located. UPRR does not currently support the relocation of the station for several reasons, including potential impacts to its customer, the Northridge Lumber Company.
- 5. The Northridge Metrolink station is owned by the City of Los Angeles. Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) operates and maintains the existing station, and supports the station relocation if stakeholders agree that relocating the station would be beneficial to Metrolink commuters, constituents of Council District 12, and CSUN. Additionally, if the station is relocated, LADOT may consider re-purposing the existing station location in support of Transit Bureau services.
- 6. The station relocation to Reseda Boulevard could have traffic and circulation impacts

due to vehicle, bike and pedestrian ingress and egress from a station adjacent to a major commercial corridor.

7. The total preliminary rough order of magnitude estimated cost is approximately \$145 million not including TOD. Please note that no formal real estate appraisal has been performed in the feasibility study.

Alternative 2: Create a multi-modal transit hub at the existing Northridge Station

Alternative 2 identified opportunities and potential improvements to transform the existing station into a multi-modal hub.

The existing Northridge Metrolink Station is bound by the Aliso Canyon Wash to the west, a flood basin and various industrial and commercial businesses to the north, a public storage facility to the northeast, a Los Angeles Department of Public Works transmission substation to the east, and a transmission line easement to the south between the station and Parthenia Street. The Greig Smith LAPD Devonshire Youth Center is owned by the City of Los Angeles and is currently located at the south end of the station site. Currently, there is limited bicycle infrastructure leading to and within the station area. Access to the Northridge Metrolink Station is only feasible via a circuitous route along Reseda Boulevard and Parthenia Street as there is no northern access to the station. However, the stretch of Reseda Boulevard leading to CSUN is one of Mayor Eric Garcetti's "Great Streets," and the City has made investments in a range of projects including protected bicycle lanes and upgraded bus shelters. Enhanced access between the Northridge station and Reseda Boulevard, especially via the north of the station, was explored for opportunities to create a more direct connection between the station and CSUN for cyclists and pedestrians. Refer to Attachment D1, Existing Northridge Station Location and Layout.

The City of Los Angeles is developing the Aliso Creek-Limekiln Creek Restoration project that will transform the flood basin to improve water quality while providing educational opportunities and wildlife habitats. The Restoration project along with a potential TOD opportunity at the station could activate the area by increasing destinations within walking distance of the existing station. The potential TOD could incorporate the youth center on the ground floor, residential uses on upper floors, and share parking with the station.

The current station is served by CSUN shuttles and LADOT DASH buses. The station is unable to accommodate larger buses due to the constrained bus turnaround facility; therefore, the bus turnaround facility could be widened to accommodate larger buses and real-time bus arrival signage technology could be provided. Additionally, bicycle facilities including bike share at the station, and bicycle lanes along Parthenia from Reseda Boulevard to the station area could be provided. Signage could be provided to increase station visibility and wayfinding.

Refer to Attachment D2 for Alternative 2 rendering concepts.

Key findings related to creating a multi-modal hub at the existing station in Alternative 2 include:

1. Provides opportunities for redeveloping the station and improving transit and active transportation connectivity by adding a northern station access, bicycle facilities,

improved wayfinding signage, larger bus turnaround facility and real-time bus arrival signage technology

- 2. Requires UPRR and City of Los Angeles approval for the northern station connection because UPRR owns the northern 60 feet of right-of-way; and the City owns the property north of the station
- 3. Allows for TOD opportunities subject to the City of Los Angeles agreement because the station parking area is owned by the City. The station area is zoned for public facilities use therefore the City may undergo an entitlement process to permit residential use on transit property.
- 4. LADOT supports upgrades to the station but noted that the existing station experiences graffiti tagging, vandalism, homeless encampments, and RVs in the parking lot on a regular basis.
- 5. The total preliminary rough order of magnitude estimated cost is approximately \$26 million not including TOD.

Community Engagement Strategy

The community outreach process for the Northridge Metrolink Station Relocation Feasibility Study was successful in engaging stakeholders and the Northridge community in a robust public information and participation process. In collaboration with Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA), Metro hosted the Northridge Metrolink Station Relocation Feasibility Study Community Meeting on May 4, 2017 to introduce the study and present results of the analysis of the two study alternatives. Extensive public notifications for the community meeting included direct mailings and door drops of a flyer with both English and Spanish text to more than 7000 local Northridge residents and businesses in the area surrounding the existing Metrolink Northridge Station and the surrounding area of Reseda Boulevard including the Sherwood Forest community. Partnerships with key stakeholders were critical to the notification efforts. SCRRA distributed 2300 flyers on its Ventura County line trains. CSUN shared the meeting notice with its 12,000 member Associated Students database and CSUN Metrolink riders in addition to broadcasting the community meeting via its social media channels as well. Local Neighborhood Councils posted the meeting on their websites and on Nextdoor. City of Los Angeles Councilmember Mitch Englander included the community meeting information in his weekly e-newsletter for two weeks preceding the Community Meeting.

The May 4 Community Meeting was well-attended by approximately 100 Northridge stakeholders who had the opportunity to meet and hear directly from Metro and SCRRA staff about the Feasibility Study and other transportation efforts in their community. Attendees had a chance to view informational boards related to the Feasibility Study, ask questions of the Study's technical team and Metro Regional Rail staff, and visit Metro and Metrolink tables with more general information. Following the meeting, the presentation was posted to the Metro Regional Rail website where the community could continue to review the materials for anyone who may have missed the meeting. Stakeholders were able to submit comments on the Study from May 5th through May 26th via an online form on the Regional Rail page or directly via email to Metro staff. A thank-you email with a link to the presentation was sent to all Community Meeting attendees who provided their contact information, and staff responded directly to emails from community members.

Metro received a total of 110 comments collected through comment cards at the Community Meeting,

the online comment form, and emails to Metro staff. The majority of comments reflected an understanding of the two alternatives presented in the Feasibility Study, demonstrating that the community was well-informed about these two options. Sixty-two percent (62%) of the comments expressed a preference for relocating the station, noting the opportunities to increase use of public transportation, expand active transportation, and allow for transit-oriented development. Thirty-eight percent (38%) of the comments opposed the relocation of the station, expressing concerns about the costs and expense of relocation and traffic impacts at Reseda Boulevard as well as impacts to the Northridge Lumber Company.

Recommendation

Since no funding is available for either alternative at this time, staff recommends that neither alternative moves forward.

Potential Funding Sources

Potential funding sources for either alternative could be through transit-oriented development opportunities, Local Return funds for Measures R and M, and federal and state grant programs. The active transportation component of either alternative could also be eligible under the active transportation program grant.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - March 2016 Board Motion Attachment B - Feasibility Study Executive Summary Attachment C - Alternative 1: Relocated Station Conceptual Renderings Attachment D1 - Existing Northridge Station Location and Layout Attachment D2 - Alternative 2: Existing Station Enhancements Conceptual Renderings

Prepared by:

Kate Amissah, Principal Transportation Planner, Regional Rail, (213) 418-3224 Karen Swift, Manager, San Fernando Valley Area, Community Relations, (213) 922 -1348 Jeanet Owens, Senior Executive Officer, Regional Rail, (213) 418-3189

Reviewed by:

Richard Clarke, Chief Program Management Officer, (213) 922-7557

Phillip A. Washington

Chief Executive Officer

ATTACHMENT A - MARCH 2016 BOARD MOTION Metro

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza 3rd Floor Board Room Los Angeles, CA



Board Report

File #:2016-228, File Type:Motion / Motion Response

Agenda Number:39

PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE MARCH 16, 2016

Motion by:

Solis, Najarian, Krekorian, Antonovich and DuBois

March 16, 2016

New Station on the Metrolink Riverside Line and Multimodal Transit Hub

The Greater Whittier Narrows area encompasses the many communities that surround the Whittier Narrows Recreation Area including the cities of South El Monte, Pico Rivera, Whittier, Industry, Montebello and unincorporated communities of Avocado Heights, Pellissier Village, and Puente Hills. These communities are home to major regional destinations like Rio Hondo College, Rio Hondo Police & Fire Academy, Puente Hills Landfill Park and Rose Hills Cemetery. The area is also a large employment center with a high level of industrial and commercial facilities, such as the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County's Materials Recovery Center, FedEx distribution centers, the Shops at Montebello and Fry's Electronics among many others.

Based on the regional appeal and significant levels of activity, the Greater Whittier Narrows area is experiencing transportation capacity and operational deficiencies on local streets, arterials, and highways. The *I-605 Needs Assessment and Initial Corridor Study* identified the I-605/SR-60 interchange as a high priority "Hot Spot" due to increasing passenger vehicle and freight truck traffic. Although freeway improvements are justifiable and necessary, the region stands to benefit most from a comprehensive, multimodal approach aimed at shifting vehicle trips to transit alternatives and active transportation.

Currently, there are separate but related transportation projects and services that aim to achieve the common goals of reducing traffic congestion, improving safety for all road users, and improving air quality. These projects include:

- Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County Waste-by-Rail project (near complete);
- Rio Hondo College Multimodal Transit Hub project (early planning);
- LA County Department of Public Works Rosemead Blvd. Complete Streets project (early planning);
- Metro & Caltrans I-605/SR-60 Interchange Capacity Improvement project (early design);
- San Gabriel Valley Active Transportation Greenway Network project (i.e. Rio Hondo, San Gabriel River, San Jose Creek bike paths);

File #:2016-228, File Type:Motion / Motion Response

- Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension Phase 2 (SR-60 and Washington alignment);
- Gateway Cities Council of Governments Lakewood Ave./Rosemead Blvd. Complete Streets Corridor Master Plan;
- Regional and local transit providers (i.e. LA County shuttles, Foothill Transit, Metro, Montebello, Norwalk, etc.)

Combined with the Metrolink Riverside Line that transects the Greater Whittier Narrows area, there is a unique opportunity to explore a robust multimodal transit hub - including a new Metrolink station - at the base of Rio Hondo College.

APPROVE **Motion by Directors Solis, Najarian, Krekorian, Antonovich and DuBois** that the Board directs the CEO, the Countywide Planning and Development Department and the Regional Rail Unit to return in 60 days with a review of the following:

- A. The feasibility, general cost estimate, funding sources (including Measure R 3%) and potential cost-sharing structure for creating a new station on the Metrolink Riverside Line at the base of Rio Hondo College;
- B. The potential for consolidating and streamlining multiple transit related projects and services in the Greater Whittier Narrows area by establishing a multimodal transit hub; and
- C. An evaluation of opportunities, benefits and/or impacts related to increasing transit ridership and reducing vehicular traffic on local streets, arterials, and highways;

FURTHER MOVE that the MTA Board direct the CEO to establish a working group of stakeholders in the Greater Whittier Narrows Area to help advance this concept. The working group shall consist of, but not be limited to the cities of South El Monte, Pico Rivera, Whittier, Industry, Montebello and the unincorporated communities of Avocado Heights, Pellissier Village, and Puente Hills. The group shall also include other relevant stakeholders such as Rio Hondo College, transit service providers, government agencies, local businesses and community groups.

<u>AMENDMENT by Directors Garcetti, Krekorian, Dupont-Walker, Kuehl and Antonovich that the</u> <u>Board direct the CEO to report back on the following:</u>

- A. <u>an analysis of the feasibility of relocating the existing Northridge Metrolink Station at Wilbur</u> <u>Avenue to Reseda Boulevard. The analysis shall include the following:</u>
 - 1. <u>identifying, and recommendation on maximizing, Metro and local bus connectivity</u> <u>usage</u>
 - 2. coordination with California State University Northridge (CSUN) officials to improve

connectivity to the university.

- 3. <u>identify Transit Oriented Development and other land-use opportunities to maximize the</u> <u>use of a station at Reseda Boulevard;</u>
- B. <u>identify and recommend funding sources (including Measure R 3%)</u> to support the relocation <u>of the station;</u>
- C. <u>create a working group which includes, but is not limited to, CSUN officials, local transit</u> <u>service providers, Metrolink, local businesses, community groups, San Fernando Valley</u> <u>Service Council for coordination purposes; and</u>
- D. report back on all the above during the May 2016 Board cycle.



LOS ANGELES METRO STATION LOCATION FEASIBILITY STUDY

NORTHRIDGE METROLINK STATION – FEASIBILITY STUDY EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PREPARED FOR

LA Metro Regional Rail

One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, CA

August 25, 2017



IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

IBI Group AECOM MBI Media RSE Terry A. Hayes Associates Epic Land Solutions Engineering Solutions Services

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT PURPOSE

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) is conducting the Station Location Feasibility Study (Study) for the Northridge Metrolink Station to examine the feasibility of relocating the station closer to Reseda Boulevard and to identify opportunities to make improvements to the existing station. The Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA)

operates Metrolink passenger rail service in six southern California counties, including Los Angeles County. Metrolink serves an average of nearly 40,000 riders each weekday¹; however, opportunities exist to consolidate, develop, and enhance multi-modal transportation hubs in certain areas across the Metrolink system which could potentially improve regional mobility, attract ridership, and mitigate traffic-induced pollution. First/Last Mile analysis, multi-modal connectivity, and active transportation planning were all

In March 2016, the Metro Board of Directors unanimously approved a motion to examine the feasibility of relocating the existing Northridge Metrolink Station to Reseda Boulevard to improve transit connectivity.

incorporated into the Study to support safe, secure, and easy rider experiences, which may encourage increased patronage. Enhanced access between the Northridge Metrolink Station, Reseda Boulevard, and California State University, Northridge (CSUN) were explored to create a more direct connection between transit, employment, and education destinations.


This Study aims to identify:

- Potential relocation options for the Northridge Metrolink Station; and related benefits and challenges
- Opportunities to maximize rail-to-bus connectivity
- Opportunities to improve First/Last Mile and active transportation connections
- Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) and other land use opportunities to maximize the use of the station

The Study area is shown in Figure 0-1.

¹ SCRRA, 2017







EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing Northridge Metrolink Station is located near the intersection of Parthenia Street and Wilbur Avenue, covers approximately 4 acres, and provides 290 free parking spots to commuters, which are 59 percent utilized on an average weekday. The station area itself is bound by the Aliso Canyon Wash to the west, various industrial and commercial businesses to the north across the railroad tracks, a large transmission substation to the east, and a transmission line easement to the south between the station and Parthenia Street, as shown in Figure 0-2. The station opened in 1994, and was constructed in five days as an emergency station stop in response to the Northridge earthquake. The station was later reconstructed by the City of Los Angeles in 2000 which provided a bus turnaround, public art, and upgraded passenger amenities, such as bicycle locker shells, canopies, and seating (see Figure 0-3). The station also has two electric vehicle charging stations within the parking lot.





Figure 0-3: Existing Passenger Amenities



The community of Northridge is located in northwest Los Angeles County in the San Fernando Valley which is largely residential with sizeable employment in the education, health, and social service industries. The majority of commuters drive alone (75 percent) and four percent of Northridge residents commute by transit². CSUN is a major destination in the community and

² US Census, ACS 2015, 5-year estimates




over 41,000 students attend the university each year. The campus is located nearly 2 miles away and access to the Northridge Metrolink Station is only feasible via a circuitous route along Reseda Boulevard and Parthenia Street, as there is no northern access to the station. Reseda Boulevard has Los Angeles County's first parking-protected bicycle lane, however there is currently no bicycle infrastructure connecting the existing station with the Reseda corridor.

Transit service through the Northridge Metrolink station consists of 22 Metrolink trains per weekday on the Ventura Line. The Ventura Line provides weekday Metrolink service between Downtown Los Angeles and East Ventura (Figure 0-4), and there is no weekend service. The station currently has an average weekday boarding of about 370 passengers per day.

Approximately 370 passengers board Metrolink at the Northridge Metrolink Station on an average weekday.





Bus service is available via the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) DASH and CSUN Shuttles. The CSUN Shuttles meet every Metrolink train during peak hours and the service provides the only direct link between the CSUN Transit Center and the Northridge Metrolink Station. As many as 200 CSUN students, faculty, and staff utilize the shuttle to access the Northridge Metrolink Station on an average weekday. There are no Metro bus routes adjacent to the station, and the existing bus turnaround is too small to accommodate larger buses. The closest Metro Local and Rapid buses operate on Reseda Boulevard and Tampa Avenue (Figure 0-5), approximately two-thirds of a mile away from the current station.






Figure 0-5: Northridge Transit Routes

STUDY ALTERNATIVES

Both alternatives aim to identify potential opportunities to enhance transit connectivity throughout the community and region.

- Alternative 1: Station Relocation Relocate the existing Northridge Metrolink Station to the intersection of Reseda Boulevard, Parthenia Street, and the Ventura Line.
- Alternative 2: Existing Station Enhancements Upgrade the existing Northridge Metrolink Station into a multi-modal transit station to encourage increased patronage, connectivity, and safety.



ALTERNATIVE 1: STATION RELOCATION

For Alternative 1, five potential station sites adjacent to Reseda Boulevard (Figure 0-6) were initially considered for а potential relocated Northridge Metrolink Station. The five sites were initially based analyzed on the location's transit accessibility. stakeholder preference, physical impacts, operational considerations, and potential costs. Based on the preliminary analysis, a site at the southwest intersection of Reseda Boulevard and the

NORDHOFF ST Location 1: Accessed from north Uccation 2: Accessed from southwest Location 2: Accessed from southwest Destina ST from north Cocation 4: Accessed from South

Ventura Line was identified for further study (see Figure 0-7). The other sites were not selected for further study due to a combination of reasons related to pedestrian and vehicular access, railroad right-of-way, and transit connectivity. The identified site has large continuous parcels adjacent to the station platform area to support parking demand and is large enough to allow for future TOD growth. While the identified station location was designated for further analysis in this Study, a comprehensive environmental process would vet all possible locations in more detail if the project were to move forward.




Figure 0-7: Alternative 1 Station Relocation



Figure 0-6: Five Initial Location Options

ALTERNATIVE 1: ANALYSIS

Each alternative was analyzed for its feasibility in five (5) categories: transit accessibility, community and stakeholder preference, physical impacts, operations, and costs, summarized in Table 0-1.

Transit Accessibility	Regional Connectivity	
	Accessibility and First/Last Mile	
	Parking Considerations	
	Land Use Considerations	
Community and	Community Preference	
Stakeholder Preference	Stakeholder Preference	
Physical Impacts	Right-of-Way Impacts	
	Environmental Impacts	
	Utility Impacts	
Operations	Rail Operational Considerations	
	Bus Operational Considerations	
Costs	Rough-Order-of-Magnitude Cost Estimates	

Table 0-1: Alternative Characteristics

Transit Accessibility

The station location at Reseda Boulevard and Parthenia Street may have more potential for increased multi-modal connectivity due to the presence of protected bike lanes and bus routes along Reseda Boulevard. Alternative 1 locates Metrolink service in close proximity with employment and retail centers along Reseda Boulevard, a major corridor in the Northridge community. To address future parking demand at the station, the relocated station has the potential to provide enough parking to meet and exceed current demands. A potential station area plan for a relocated station can potentially accommodate approximately 470 surface parking spaces, including handicapped parking spaces and electric vehicle charging stations, due to the size of the properties at this location. The existing station parking capacity is 290 spaces and is 59 percent utilized on an average weekday. The intersection of Parthenia Street and Reseda Boulevard is also an activated commercial area with a high degree of visibility that creates "eyes-on-the-street", making the location a potential station access from Reseda Boulevard to the relocated station area. It also shows potential TOD opportunities, which will be discussed below.



Figure 0-8: Rendering of Potential Station Access from Reseda Boulevard with TOD



The planned growth of the University may provide synergistic opportunities for transit improvements. The CSUN campus is located approximately one-mile away from the potential station site, which may be too far for students and staff to walk. The existing protected bike lane on Reseda Boulevard provides a viable active transportation link as an alternative. Additionally, because Reseda Boulevard is a major Metro bus corridor, the station could have regular bus service to the University to supplement existing transit service.

In terms of potential for TOD, Alternative 1 had high potential for increased path connectivity, aesthetics, safety, and accessibility due to high visibility and increased foot and auto traffic, but showed a lack of social spaces for community, civic, and educational uses. A potential TOD opportunity site plan is illustrated in Figure 0-9 and could provide some of that missing social and community space. The properties included in Alternative 1 are not currently zoned for residential, so any potential TOD would require a land use change by the City of Los Angeles to accommodate residential uses. The station parking could be developed as a surface lot or parking structure, and sharing opportunities and parking management strategies may be explored to meet the demands of both TOD residents, patrons, and commuters.






Figure 0-9: Example of TOD Site Plan

Community and Stakeholder Preference

Stakeholders were able to provide their comments throughout the life of the study, including Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR), as they currently own part of the railroad right-of-way and operate freight service in this corridor. The existing business and property owner of the identified relocation site, Northridge Lumber Company, is a UPRR freight customer, and UPRR generally does not support capital projects which affect their customers or freight operations.

Community feedback was provided in two phases. Comment cards collected at the community meeting preferred Alternative 1, at a rate of 42 percent. Comments collected via email and the online comment form on Metro's website after the May 4th community meeting preferred Alternative 1, at a rate of 65 percent.

Overall, 62% of the comments provided by the community showed a preference for Alternative 1.

The concerns related to Alternative 1 included the potential for added congestion at the intersection of Parthenia Street and Reseda Boulevard as a result of the close proximity of the relocation alternative. Comments in support of the relocation of the Northridge Metrolink station favored its closer proximity to CSUN, its adjacent location to more local businesses, bike lanes, and Metro buses, and enhanced access to the station due to higher visibility. The Northridge Lumber Company is the current property owner and the family-owned business currently operates at this site. The Northridge Lumber Company indicated that they would be open to discussing the station relocation alternative given the right circumstances, timing, and price.



Physical Impacts

The potential physical impacts analyzed as part of Alternative 1 in this Study include right-ofway, utility, and environmental impacts.

The Ventura Line runs along a shared railroad corridor, owned by both Metro and UPRR. If the station were to be relocated in this area, the platforms could be constructed in existing Metro right-of-way and potentially also in UPRR right-of-way, which may incur easement costs. The properties identified as the station area for Alternative 1 are currently owned and operated by Northridge Lumber Company. Any station relocation here could have impacts to this existing UPRR customer and longtime local business, which conflicts with current railroad operational agreements that passenger railroad operations and infrastructure must avoid impacting UPRR customers.

If a station were to be constructed, the underground utilities in the existing buildings and the open stock area would need to be abandoned or relocated in coordination with the proper agencies. These underground utilities include electrical conduits, water, and sewer lines.

A preliminary analysis was conducted to identify potential environmental challenges which may require further technical analysis should the alternative undergo future detailed studies and/or environmental processes. The initial environmental considerations identified potential challenges related to air quality, land acquisition, hazards and hazardous materials in the railroad right-of-way, noise and vibration, parking and site access, and traffic and circulation.

Operations

Relocating the existing Northridge Metrolink Station could potentially benefit rail and bus operations. The majority of the Ventura Line is single tracked, and the existing station is located on a part of the corridor with no railroad sidings present. As a result, freight and passenger trains must hold for passing trains. Alternative 1 is in an area with multiple railroad sidings, which could allow trains to pass while passengers board and alight at the relocated station. This may result in greater operational flexibility compared to the existing station. Metrolink Design Criteria designates two-side platform and center platform configurations as the preferred station configurations for new Metrolink stations, and both UPRR and Metrolink prohibit at-grade pedestrian crossings.

The bus routes that could serve a relocated Northridge Metrolink Station include the CSUN Metrolink shuttle and the LADOT DASH Northridge route. While any potential station relocation alternative for Northridge would provide bus or shuttle terminals for transferring passengers within the immediate station area, limited stop bus services, such as the Metro Rapid 744, may not be able to deviate from Reseda Boulevard to accommodate transfers. A bus terminal is desirable for similar terminating and long-distance services, and through-running street buses typically minimize detours and prefer to stop curbside on the street. A potential solution could be to offer passengers with direct platform access from Reseda Boulevard with improved bus amenities such as signage and shade, as was illustrated in Figure 0-8.



Cost Estimates

Rough order-of-magnitude (ROM) capital cost estimates were developed for Alternative 1 for feasibility purposes. With the goal of portraying the highest level of development for a station of this scale, the total estimated ROM capital cost is approximately \$145 million. This includes a gradeseparated pedestrian crossing, a transit drop-off area, demolition clearing and earthwork, right-of way costs, and contingencies.

The total estimated ROM capital cost is approximately \$145 million for Alternative 1.

ALTERNATIVE 2: EXISTING STATION ENHANCEMENTS

Alternative 2 could transform the existing station to a multi-modal hub to improve transit accessibility. With an existing large lot and a future development around the existing station, opportunities exist to enhance the station and surrounding area with transit and accessibility improvements. The program may include a pedestrian tunnel and pathway to streamline access from the north, an upgraded transit drop-off area to accommodate larger transit vehicles, a new bike hub, an enhanced station area and platform with more shade and wayfinding information, and bike lanes along Parthenia Street to connect bicyclists with the Class II bike lane along Reseda Boulevard (see Figure 0-10).




Figure 0-10: Alternative 2 Multi-Modal Improvements



ALTERNATIVE 2: ANALYSIS

Alternative 2 was analyzed for its feasibility in the five categories utilized by Alternative 1.

Transit Accessibility

Current pedestrian accessibility is restricted from the northern end of the station and not all transit vehicles can be accommodated under current station conditions, thereby limiting the transit transfer opportunities available to passengers. A northern access tunnel for pedestrians and bicyclists is recommended, as well as improvements to pedestrian crossings around the station area and surrounding streets to extend the existing walkshed and increase pedestrian safety. An expanded and improved transit loop and transfer area may increase rail-to-bus connectivity (Figure 0-11).



Figure 0-11: Rendering of Potential Transit Drop-off Area Improvements

An upgraded station could provide approximately 225 parking spaces with a surface lot; this represents a loss of 35 parking spaces over existing conditions to accommodate an enhanced transit drop-off area and bicycle amenities. A parking structure is a possibility given the current size and site location to meet future park-and-ride demand and potential shared parking opportunities with neighboring businesses.

The site also has potential for TOD given its adjacent location to two commercial corridors, and the station is currently owned and maintained by the City of Los Angeles and LADOT. An initial TOD assessment deemed the site could be most successful in the areas of social factors, with a diverse mix of uses within walking distance, and a positive housing and transportation affordability index. The existing site also shows high potential for development due to upward trends in nearby community property values and investments. The existing site is currently zoned exclusively for public uses, so any potential TOD would need to pursue a land use change by



the City of Los Angeles. A possible site plan showing an example of what TOD could look like at the existing Northridge Metrolink Station is shown in Figure 0-12.




Figure 0-12: Example of TOD Site Plan

Community and Stakeholder Preference

As a result of the community outreach efforts, the project team received feedback regarding Alternative 2. Much of the support for Alternative 2 was shared at the May 2017 community meeting where local residents and stakeholders were able to voice their concerns and submit comment cards. From the comment cards collected at the May 4th meeting, 58 percent of these were in support of Alternative 2. Out of the comments received after the meeting via email and the online comment form, 35 percent of the feedback was in support of Alternative 2. Overall, the comments ranged from being supportive of the station enhancements to concerns regarding safety and traffic congestion near Reseda Boulevard. As a stakeholder, the City of Los Angeles expressed concern that any potential TOD for the existing station site would be subject to entitlement processes and future adjacent land uses.

Physical Impacts

As a result of potential upgrades at the existing Northridge Metrolink Station, physical impacts may be limited to a new northern access pedestrian tunnel. Given the site's proximity to a flood basin, there may be potential challenges related to hydrology and water quality due to the potential encroachment into the flood plain and any construction effects associated with the



tunnel. The addition of new bicycle lanes may also result in potential challenges related to traffic and circulation. Potential construction of the northern pedestrian tunnel may also require land use easements with nearby property owners, and may affect water, electrical, and fiber optic utilities as well as railroad right-of-way in and around the station area.

Operations

No additional passenger rail service or rail infrastructure was included in the Study for the Northridge Metrolink Station as part of Alternative 2. With the exception of any construction related to a potential pedestrian tunnel underneath the railroad, Alternative 2 may have very few impacts to existing rail operations.

A reconfigured transit turnaround and layover facility as part of Alternative 2 could expand the turning radius from 25-feet to 50-feet and accommodate more transit vehicle types, thereby potentially providing riders with more transit connection opportunities.

Cost Estimates

The capital costs associated with upgrading the existing Northridge Metrolink Station under Alternative 2 is approximately \$26 million. This includes an upgraded station platform and passenger amenities, a pedestrian tunnel to create northern access to the station, an expanded transit turnaround and layover facility, a new bike hub, and contingencies.

The total estimated ROM capital cost is approximately \$26 million for Alternative 2.

COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH

The Northridge Metrolink Station Location Feasibility Study was developed in response to a March 2016 Metro Board motion approved to examine the feasibility of relocating the Northridge Metrolink Station at Wilbur Avenue to Reseda Boulevard to improve transit connectivity. Stakeholder and community engagement was a component in the Study to enhance transparency and receive comments from the community.

Metro and Metrolink hosted the Northridge Metrolink Station Location Feasibility Study Community Meeting on May 4, 2017 to introduce the study and present the two study alternatives:

- Alternative 1: Analyze the feasibility of relocating the existing Northridge Metrolink Station to Reseda Boulevard
- Alternative 2: Create a multi-modal transit hub using the existing Northridge Metrolink Station

In summary, the May 4th Community Meeting was attended by nearly 100 Northridge community members, who had the opportunity to meet and hear directly from Metro and Metrolink staff about



the Study and other transportation efforts in their community. Following the meeting, the presentation was posted to the Metro Regional Rail website, where the community could continue to review the materials, and anyone who missed the meeting could view the presentation. Stakeholders were able to submit comments on the Study from May 5th through May 26th via an online form on the Regional Rail page or directly via email to Metro staff. A total of 135 comments were received through comment cards at the Community Meeting, the online comment form, and emails.

The comments received for the Northridge Metrolink Station Location Feasibility Study were twofold. The May 4th Community Meeting attendees submitted comment cards that indicated a preference for Alternative 2 (58 percent) due to potential high costs and increased traffic near the Parthenia Street and Reseda Boulevard intersection. Following the meeting, the comments received via email and the online comment indicated a preference for Alternative 1 (65 percent) due to the closer proximity to CSUN and potential for increased transit connectivity. Collectively, the comments gathered throughout the outreach process showed a preference for Alternative 1 at a rate of 62 percent and a preference for Alternative 2 at a rate of 38 percent.



CONCLUSIONS

A snapshot comparison of the two alternatives is summarized in Table 0-2.

Table 0-2: Summary of Alternatives		
	Alternative 1	Alternative 2
	Relocated Metrolink Station	Existing Station Enhancements
Feasibility	New station would require land acquisition, approval from Union Pacific Railroad, and railroad operational enhancements.	Opportunities for improving transit and active transportation connectivity exist in and around the Northridge Metrolink Station
Estimated Costs	\$145M	\$26M
Community Input	62% of comments preferred Alternative 1	38% of comments preferred Alternative 2
Transit- Oriented Development	Not currently zoned for residential uses	Not currently zoned for residential or commercial uses
Physical Impacts	Impacts to existing lumberyard business, Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way	Potential impacts to flood basin north of the station as a result of new northern pedestrian/bicyclist access
Environmental Considerations	Air quality, land acquisition, hazards and hazardous materials, noise and vibration, parking and site access, and traffic and circulation	Hydrology and water quality, traffic and circulation



Attachment C - Alternative 1: Station Relocation Conceptual Renderings


Alternative 1 Relocation

Potential Station Amenities



Alternative 1 Relocation

Potential Transit Oriented Development Opportunity






Alternative 1 Relocation

Station Access from Reseda Boulevard






Attachment D2 - Alternative 2: Existing Station Enhancements Conceptual Renderings

Alternative 2 Existing Station Transit Connection Improvements



Alternative 2Potential TOD OpportunityExisting StationSite Plan



Alternative 2Potential Transit-OrientedExisting StationDevelopment Opportunity

