



## Board Report

File #: 2018-0193, File Type: Budget

Agenda Number: 10.

**FINANCE, BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE  
JUNE 20, 2018**

**SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2019 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS**

**ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATIONS**

**RECOMMENDATION**

**CONSIDER:**

- A. APPROVING \$2.3 billion in FY 2019 Transit Fund Allocations for Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators and Metro operations as shown in Attachment A. These allocations comply with federal, state and local regulations and LACMTA Board approved policies and guidelines;
- B. APPROVING fund exchange in the amount of \$6.0 million of Santa Monica's Big Blue Bus' FY 2019 Federal Section 5307 formula share allocation with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation;
- C. APPROVING fund exchange of Federal Section 5307 discretionary fund awarded to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCR TTC) through Long Beach Transit in the amount of \$300,000 with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation;
- D. APPROVING fund exchanges in the amount totaling \$11.4 million of Metro's Federal Section 5307 share with Municipal Operators' shares of Federal Sections 5337 and 5339;
- E. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to adjust FY 2019 Federal Section 5307 (Urbanized Formula), Section 5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities) and Section 5337 (State of Good Repair) allocations upon receipt of final apportionments from the Federal Transit Authority and amend FY 2019 budget as necessary to reflect the aforementioned adjustment;
- F. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements to implement the above funding programs;
- G. ADOPTING a resolution designating Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance (STA) fund allocations are in compliance with the terms and conditions of the allocations (Attachment D); and
- H. APPROVING amendment to the FY 2018 State Transit Assistance Fund Allocations and Senate Bill 1 Transit Formula Fund allocations (Attachment B).

**ISSUE**

Each year, transit operating and capital funds consisting of federal, state and local revenues are allocated to Metro operations, transit operators and Los Angeles County local jurisdictions for programs, projects and services according to federal guidelines, state laws and established funding policies and procedures. The Board of Directors must approve

allocations for FY 2019 before funds can be disbursed.

The municipal operators are requesting fund exchanges of their Federal Sections 5339 and 5337 allocations with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 allocation in order to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with these funding programs.

## **BACKGROUND**

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), as the Regional Transportation Planning Entity for Los Angeles County, is responsible for planning, programming and allocating transportation funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations. LACMTA Board approval will allow the continued funding of transportation projects, programs and services in Los Angeles County.

For FY 2018 and FY 2019, the percentage of STA funding to Los Angeles County has increased due to AB 1113, as described in the "Discussion" section below.

## **DISCUSSION**

### **Assembly Bill (AB) 1113**

In FY 2015, staff raised concerns to the State Controllers' Office (SCO) regarding the share of State Transit Assistance (STA) revenues allocated to Los Angeles County; with the issue that funding to Los Angeles County did not appear to fairly represent the transit services provided by one of the largest transportation systems in California. Moreover, Metro sought clarification on ambiguities and inconsistencies in the reporting across all recipients.

Metro initiated a statewide STA Task Force to review and resolve these issues, through Assembly Bill (AB) 1113, which was passed into law in July 2017. AB 1113 made two statutory changes that ensure consistency in reporting of data and eligible recipients. These two changes increase the "revenue share" of STA funds to Los Angeles County by 5.5%.

Overall, the impact of AB 1113 added an additional \$8.3 million in FY 2018 and an estimated \$10.0 million in FY 2019, which is subject to change as SCO finalizes their estimates. Also, additional funding for LA County is expected from the allocation of Senate Bill (SB) 1 funds and Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP), as the STA "revenue share" portion is used to calculate Los Angeles County shares.

### **Transit Fund Allocations**

The recommended FY 2019 Transit Fund Allocations are developed according to federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board. Details of significant information, methodologies and assumptions are described in **Attachment C**.

The Tier 2 Operators Funding Program will continue with \$6.0 million funding from Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary growth over inflation.

Santa Monica's Big Blue Bus (BBB) is requesting a \$6.0 million fund exchange of its Federal Section 5307 FY 2019 formula allocation with Metro's non-federal funds in order to pay capital projects that require local funds such as mid-life bus rebuilds, yard improvements, farebox upgrades, facility improvements and advanced technology projects.

At its April 18, 2017 meeting, the Bus Operations Subcommittee awarded \$300,000 a year for three years of Federal Section 5307 15% Discretionary fund to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCR TTC) through Long Beach Transit. This allocation ends in FY 2020. Funds will be exchanged with Metro's share of the Transportation Development Act (TDA) fund.

---

Staff has reviewed the recommended allocations, related methodologies and assumptions with Metro operations, transit operators, Los Angeles County local jurisdictions, Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS) and the Local Transit Systems Subcommittee (LTSS). The TAC, BOS and LTSS have all formally adopted the recommended FY 2019 Transit Fund Allocations.

The FY2018 Transit Fund Allocations are being amended by \$60.4 million to include the increased STA funds resulting from AB 1113 and the allocation of new SB 1 funds. These amendments were reviewed and adopted by the BOS in May 2018.

#### **DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT**

Adoption of this item will provide funding for increased safety efforts.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The FY 2019 Transit Fund Allocations are included in the FY 2019 Budget in multiple cost centers and multiple projects. Approval of these recommendations authorizes LACMTA to disburse these funds to the Los Angeles County jurisdictions and transit operators.

#### **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

The Board may choose not to approve the FY 2019 Transit Fund Allocations. This alternative is not recommended because federal, state and local requirements, as well as prior LACMTA Board policies and guidelines require us to annually allocate funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations for programs, projects and services. Allocation methodologies and assumptions comply with federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

After the Board of Directors approves the recommended allocations and adopts the resolution, we will work with Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and Metro Operations to ensure the proper disbursement of funds.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A - FY 2019 Transit Fund Allocations  
Attachment B - Amendment to the FY 2018 Transit Fund Allocations  
Attachment C - Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies and Assumptions  
Attachment D - TDA and STA Resolution

Prepared by: Manijeh Ahmadi, Manager, Transportation Planning, (213) 922-3083  
Michelle Navarro, DEO, Finance, (213) 922-3056

Reviewed by: Nalini Ahuja, Chief Financial Officer, (213) 922-3088



Phillip A. Washington  
Chief Executive Officer



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

# **TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS**

Fiscal Year 2019

**July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2019**

May 25, 2018

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

PROPOSED

Table of Contents

**I. BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES**

State and Local Funds:

Revenue Estimates .....	1-2
State and Local Funds Summary.....	3
Bus Transit Funding % Shares.....	4
Included and Eligible Operators Estimated Funding Levels.....	5
Senate Bill 1 STA and SGR Funding Allocations.....	6
Proposition C 5% Transit Security Funding Allocation .....	7
Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs.....	8
Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) .	
Zero-Fare Compensation for Commerce . Foothill Transit Mitigation .	
Transit Service Expansion . Discretionary Base Restructuring .	
BSIP Overcrowding Relief. Proposition 1B Bridge Funds - PTMISEA & SECURITY	
Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - PTMISEA (Worksheet) .....	9
Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - Security (Worksheet) .....	10
Measure R .....	11
Measure M .....	12
Tier 2 Operators .....	13

Federal Formula Grants:

Revenue Estimates .....	14
Summary .....	15
Capital Allocation Procedure - % Share Calculation .....	16-17
Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program .....	18
Federal Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities .....	19
Federal Section 5337 State of Good Repair.....	20

**II. LOCAL SUBSIDIES**

Incentive Programs .....	21-23
Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8 .....	24-26

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

PROPOSED



**Bus Transit Subsidies**  
**STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS**

**REVENUE ESTIMATES**

STATE AND LOCAL		FY19 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY17 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY17 Actual	FY19 Total Funds Available	NOTE	FY18 Total Funds Available
<b>Transportation Development Act:</b>							
Planning & Administration:							
1	Planning - Metro	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000		\$ 2,000,000
2	Planning - SCAG	3,165,000	(29,760)		3,135,240		3,015,021
3	Administration - Metro	3,335,000	29,760		3,364,760		3,484,979
4	Sub-total	8,500,000	-	-	8,500,000		8,500,000
5	Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways	2.0000% 8,270,000	(79,361)		8,190,639		7,870,055
6	Article 4 Bus Transit	91.6922% 379,147,341	(3,638,381)	2,302,277	377,811,236		362,075,340
7	Article 8 Streets & Highways	6.3078% 26,082,659	(250,295)		25,832,364		24,973,370
8	<b>Total</b>	<b>422,000,000</b>	<b>(3,968,037)</b>	<b>2,302,277</b>	<b>420,334,239</b>	a	<b>403,418,765</b>
<b>Proposition A:</b>							
9	Administration	5.0000% 42,200,000	(317,914)		41,882,086		40,106,824
10	Local Return	25.0000% 200,450,000	n/a		200,450,000	c	190,475,000
11	Rail Development	35.0000% 280,630,000	(2,114,126)		278,515,874		266,710,377
Bus Transit:							
12	95% of 40% Capped at CPI 2.2500%	40.0000% 244,313,659	n/a		244,313,659	b	238,937,564
13	95% of 40% Over CPI	60,370,341			60,370,341	d	50,584,436
14	Sub-total	304,684,000	-		304,684,000		289,522,000
15	5% of 40% Incentive	16,036,000	(120,807)		15,915,193		15,240,593
16	<b>Total</b>	<b>844,000,000</b>	<b>(2,552,847)</b>		<b>841,447,153</b>	a	<b>802,054,794</b>
<b>Proposition C:</b>							
17	Administration	1.5000% 12,660,000	(96,465)		12,563,535		12,032,144
18	Rail/Bus Security	5.0000% 41,567,000	(316,725)		41,250,275		39,505,539
19	Commuter Rail	10.0000% 83,134,000	(633,450)		82,500,550		79,011,078
20	Local Return	20.0000% 166,268,000	n/a		166,268,000	c	157,994,000
21	Freeways and Highways	25.0000% 207,835,000	(1,583,626)		206,251,374		197,527,696
22	Discretionary	40.0000% 332,536,000	(2,533,802)		330,002,198		316,044,313
23	<b>Total</b>	<b>844,000,000</b>	<b>(5,164,068)</b>		<b>838,835,932</b>	a	<b>802,114,770</b>
<b>State Transit Assistance:</b>							
24	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)	47,059,273	14,334,269	91,565	61,485,106	g,e	13,644,863
25	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)	35,396,848	6,837,140	51,866	42,285,854		19,535,515
26	<b>Total</b>	<b>82,456,121</b>	<b>21,171,409</b>	<b>143,431</b>	<b>103,770,960</b>		<b>33,180,378</b>
<b>SB 1 State Transit Assistance:</b>							
27	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)	38,826,260	-	-	38,826,260	g,e	-
28	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)	29,204,175	-	-	29,204,175	h	-
29	<b>Total</b>	<b>68,030,435</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>68,030,435</b>		<b>-</b>
<b>SB 1 State Of Good Repair</b>							
30	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)	18,085,788	-	-	18,085,788	g	-
31	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)	13,603,692	-	-	13,603,692	h	-
32	<b>Total</b>	<b>31,689,480</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>31,689,480</b>		<b>-</b>

**REVENUE ESTIMATES (continued)**

STATE AND LOCAL		FY19 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY17 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY17 Actual	FY19 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY18 Total Funds Available
<b>Measure R:</b>							
33	Administration	1.5000%	12,660,000	(117,131)	(44,031)		12,498,839
34	Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	290,969,000	(2,692,050)	842,233		289,119,183
35	Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	24,940,200	(230,747)	1,205,722		25,915,175
36	Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000%	16,626,800	(153,831)	(322,851)		16,150,117
37	Highway Capital	20.0000%	166,268,000	(1,538,314)	1,534,932		166,264,617
38	Operations "New Rail"	5.0000%	41,567,000	(384,579)	153,146		41,335,567
39	Operations Bus	20.0000%	166,268,000	(1,538,314)	(44,725)		164,684,961
40	Local Return	15.0000%	124,701,000	n/a	77	c	124,701,077
41	<b>Total</b>		<b>844,000,000</b>	<b>(6,654,967)</b>	<b>3,324,503</b>	a	<b>840,669,537</b>
<b>Measure M:</b>							
Local Return Supplemental & Administration:							
42	Administration	0.5000%	4,346,600	-			4,346,600
43	Supplemental transfer to Local Return	1.0000%	8,313,400	n/a		c,f	8,313,400
44	Sub-total		12,660,000				12,660,000
45	Local Return Base	16.0000%	133,014,400	n/a		c,f	133,014,400
46	Metro Rail Operations	5.0000%	41,567,000	-			41,567,000
47	Transit Operations ( Metro & Municipal Providers)	20.0000%	166,268,000	-			166,268,000
48	ADA Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & Students	2.0000%	16,626,800	-			16,626,800
49	Transit Construction	35.0000%	290,969,000	-			290,969,000
50	Metro State of Good Repairs	2.0000%	16,626,800	-			16,626,800
51	Highway Construction	17.0000%	141,327,800	-			141,327,800
52	Metro Active Transportation Program	2.0000%	16,626,800	-			16,626,800
53	Regional Rail	1.0000%	8,313,400	-			8,313,400
54	<b>Total</b>		<b>844,000,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	a	<b>844,000,000</b>
55	<b>Total Funds Available</b>		<b>\$ 3,980,176,036</b>	<b>\$ 2,831,489</b>	<b>\$ 5,770,211</b>		<b>\$ 3,988,777,736</b>
56	<b>Total Planning &amp; Admin Allocations: (Lines 4, 9, 17, 27 and 36)</b>		<b>\$ 80,366,600</b>	<b>\$ (531,509)</b>	<b>\$ (44,031)</b>		<b>\$ 79,791,060</b>
							<b>\$ 77,150,416</b>

**Notes:**

- a) The revenue estimate is 3.4% over the FY18 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- b) Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.25% represents the average estimated growth rate based on various forecasting sources and historical trends applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to Included operators.
- c) Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received.
- d) Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit current year estimate will be used to fund Eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carryover is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.
- e) STA Revenue estimate from the State Controller's office is reduced by 10% for the revenue based share and population based share due to anticipated shortfall of FY19 revenue.
- f) Measure M provides for a total of 17% net revenues for Local Return. Supplement of 1% to be funded by 1.5% administration.
- g) The SGR program is one of the two programs that allocate Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, to transit agencies through the State Transit Assistance (STA) formula. The first program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel and does not require pre-approval of project list. The second portion - State of Good Repair - is a new program to come from the increase in Vehicle License Fee. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible agencies must comply with various reporting requirements.
- h) Metro will follow Measure R allocation methodology for STA and SGR portion of SB1.

**STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS**

Operators	Formula Allocation Procedure				Proposition C 5% Security	Proposition C 40% Discretionary	Measure R		Measure M	Senate Bill 1		Total
	TDA Article 4 + Interest	STA + Interest	Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary	Sub-Total FAP			20% Bus Operations	Clean Fuel & Facilities		STA	State of Good Repair	
<b>Included Operators:</b>												
1 Metro Bus Ops	\$ 272,324,823	\$ 45,343,482	\$ 180,174,234	\$ 497,842,539	\$ 29,998,503	\$ 21,864,101	\$ 113,235,496	\$ -	\$ 114,323,976	\$ 26,696,493	\$ 12,271,886	\$ 816,232,994
<b>Municipal Operators:</b>												
2 Arcadia	343,383	55,882	222,051	621,316	4,975	101,967	139,554	-	140,895	32,901	15,124	1,056,733
3 Claremont	136,047	22,140	87,975	246,162	2,568	50,096	55,291	-	55,822	13,035	5,992	428,966
4 Commerce	437,119	71,137	282,665	790,921	39,645	1,148,635	177,649	-	179,357	41,883	19,253	2,397,342
5 Culver City	5,453,279	887,468	3,526,392	9,867,139	375,272	2,105,532	2,216,259	-	2,237,563	522,507	240,187	17,564,457
6 Foothill Transit	25,099,082	4,084,632	16,230,456	45,414,170	1,003,576	9,809,701	10,200,480	-	10,298,533	2,404,874	1,105,476	80,236,810
7 Gardena	5,473,405	890,743	3,539,407	9,903,555	227,162	2,512,727	2,224,438	-	2,245,821	524,435	241,073	17,879,212
8 La Mirada	106,031	17,255	68,565	191,852	3,363	24,567	43,092	-	43,506	10,159	4,670	321,209
9 Long Beach	23,725,942	3,812,344	15,148,511	42,686,797	1,869,584	9,955,128	9,520,502	-	9,612,018	2,244,561	1,031,783	76,920,375
10 Montebello	8,258,216	1,343,944	5,340,219	14,942,380	461,723	3,705,232	3,356,209	-	3,388,471	791,263	363,729	27,009,006
11 Norwalk	3,188,784	518,944	2,062,044	5,769,771	113,955	853,854	1,295,949	-	1,308,406	305,534	140,448	9,787,917
12 Redondo Beach	747,604	121,665	483,442	1,352,711	28,182	234,536	303,833	-	306,753	71,632	32,928	2,330,574
13 Santa Monica	26,141,926	3,277,903	13,024,884	42,444,713	986,768	6,969,968	8,185,850	-	8,264,537	1,929,903	887,141	69,668,878
14 Torrance	6,375,596	1,037,566	4,122,813	11,535,976	285,655	3,606,845	2,591,096	-	2,616,003	610,879	280,810	21,527,265
15 Sub-Total	105,486,413	16,141,625	64,139,425	185,767,463	5,402,429	41,078,787	40,310,201	-	40,697,684	9,503,566	4,368,614	327,128,743
<b>Eligible Operators:</b>												
16 Antelope Valley	-	-	4,944,229	4,944,229	187,576	1,975,782	2,482,564	-	2,506,428	585,291	269,048	12,950,918
17 LADOT	-	-	22,907,103	22,907,103	1,332,259	7,537,908	5,145,166	-	5,194,624	1,213,029	557,607	43,887,697
18 Santa Clarita	-	-	4,771,135	4,771,135	204,480	2,357,193	2,395,651	-	2,418,679	564,801	259,628	12,971,567
19 Foothill BSCP	-	-	4,968,084	4,968,084	-	913,973	1,115,882	-	1,126,608	263,081	120,934	8,508,562
20 Sub-Total	-	-	37,590,551	37,590,551	1,724,316	12,784,856	11,139,264	-	11,246,340	2,626,202	1,207,217	78,318,745
<b>Tier 2 Operators:</b>												
21 LADOT Community Dash	-	-	4,704,692	4,704,692	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,704,692
22 Glendale	-	-	681,388	681,388	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	681,388
23 Pasadena	-	-	489,197	489,197	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	489,197
24 Burbank	-	-	124,723	124,723	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	124,723
25 Sub-Total	-	-	6,000,000	6,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000,000
26 Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	221,741	-	-	-	-	-	221,741
27 Total Excluding Metro County of Los Angeles	105,486,413	16,141,625	107,729,976	229,358,014	7,126,745	54,085,383	51,449,465	-	51,944,024	12,129,768	5,575,831	411,669,229
28										238,071		238,071
29 <b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 377,811,236</b>	<b>\$ 61,485,106</b>	<b>\$ 287,904,210</b>	<b>\$ 727,200,553</b>	<b>\$ 37,125,247</b>	<b>\$ 75,949,484</b>	<b>\$ 164,684,961</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 166,268,000</b>	<b>\$ 38,826,260</b>	<b>\$ 18,085,788</b>	<b>\$ 1,228,140,294</b>

**BUS TRANSIT FUNDING PERCENTAGE SHARES**

Operators	Vehicle Service Miles (VSM) <sup>(1)</sup>	Passenger Revenue (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Base Fare (\$)	Fare Units	Fare Units Prior to Fare Increase/ decrease	Fare Units Used in FAP <sup>(2)</sup>	Sum 50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	Proposition A Base Share	DAR Cap Adjustment <sup>(3)</sup>	TDA/STA Share
<b><u>Included Operators</u></b>										
1 Metro Bus Ops.(4)	73,667,000	231,150,000	\$ 1.75	132,085,714	197,161,600	<b>197,161,600</b>	135,414,300	73.7471%	0.0000%	73.7471%
2 Arcadia DR	98,317	6,163	0.50	12,326	72,829	<b>72,829</b>	85,573	0.0466%	0.0000%	0.0466%
3 Arcadia MB	150,671	5,979	0.50	11,958	-	11,958	81,315	0.0443%	0.0000%	0.0443%
4 Claremont	50,400	48,000	2.50	19,200	81,840	<b>81,840</b>	66,120	0.0360%	0.0000%	0.0360%
5 Commerce	424,888	-	-	-	-	-	212,444	0.1157%	0.0000%	0.1157%
6 Culver City	1,627,482	3,086,343	1.00	3,086,343	3,673,208	<b>3,673,208</b>	2,650,345	1.4434%	0.0000%	1.4434%
7 Foothill	10,175,783	13,275,248	1.25	10,620,198	14,221,000	<b>14,221,000</b>	12,198,392	6.6433%	0.0000%	6.6433%
8 Gardena	1,616,653	2,267,535	1.00	2,267,535	3,703,600	<b>3,703,600</b>	2,660,127	1.4487%	0.0000%	1.4487%
9 La Mirada	68,091	34,973	1.00	34,973		34,973	51,532	0.0281%	0.0000%	0.0281%
10 Long Beach	6,798,003	14,553,610	1.25	11,642,888	15,972,456	<b>15,972,456</b>	11,385,230	6.2004%	0.0000%	6.2004%
11 Montebello	2,171,586	4,452,173	1.10	4,047,430	5,855,556	<b>5,855,556</b>	4,013,571	2.1858%	0.0000%	2.1858%
12 Norwalk	1,005,490	1,285,556	1.25	1,028,445	2,094,068	<b>2,094,068</b>	1,549,779	0.8440%	0.0000%	0.8440%
13 Redondo Beach DR	50,546	9,808	1.00	9,808		9,808	30,177	0.0164%	0.0000%	0.0164%
14 Redondo Beach MB	369,366	296,965	1.00	296,965		296,965	333,166	0.1814%	0.0000%	0.1814%
15 Santa Monica	4,917,000	11,685,000	1.25	9,348,000	14,661,333	<b>14,661,333</b>	9,789,167	5.3312%	0.0000%	5.3312%
16 Torrance	1,687,200	2,124,000	1.00	2,124,000	4,510,000	<b>4,510,000</b>	3,098,600	1.6875%	0.0000%	1.6875%
17 Sub-Total	104,878,476	284,281,353		176,635,783		262,361,194	183,619,835	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%
<b><u>Eligible Operators</u></b>										
18 Antelope Valley	2,809,054	4,582,933	1.50	3,055,289	3,543,241	<b>3,543,241</b>	3,176,148	1.6168%	0.0000%	1.6168%
19 Santa Clarita	2,865,211	3,264,694	1.00	3,264,694		3,264,694	3,064,953	1.5602%	0.0000%	1.5602%
20 LADOT Local	1,824,587	3,088,449	0.50	6,176,898	6,727,520	<b>6,727,520</b>	4,276,054	2.1767%	0.0000%	2.1767%
21 LADOT Express	1,460,325	3,664,439	1.50	2,442,959	3,152,832	<b>3,152,832</b>	2,306,579	1.1742%	0.0000%	1.1742%
22 Foothill - BSCP	1,226,180	1,204,839	1.25	963,871	1,650,000	<b>1,650,000</b>	1,438,090	0.7267%	0.0000%	0.7267%
23 Sub-Total	10,185,357	15,805,354		15,903,711		18,338,287	14,261,822	7.2547%	0.0000%	7.2547%
24 <b>Total</b>	<b>115,063,833</b>	<b>300,086,707</b>		<b>192,539,495</b>		<b>280,699,481</b>	<b>197,881,657</b>			

**Notes:**

(1) Operators' statistics exclude BSIP, TSE, Base Restructuring and MOSIP services that are funded from PC 40% Discretionary. Also excluded are services funded from other sources (CRD, FTA, etc.)

(2) Fare units used are frozen to the level prior to fare change in accordance with the Funding Stability policy, adopted by the Board in November 2007.

(3) TDA cap of 0.25% is applied for DAR operators - Arcadia, Claremont, La Mirada and Redondo Beach DR.

(4) MTA Statistics include contracted services with LADOT for Lines 422, 601 and 602 (Consent Decree Lines), Glendale and PVPTA.

**INCLUDED & ELIGIBLE OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS**

Operators	TDA & STA % Shares	TDA Article 4 plus interest			STA Rev Base Share Plus Interest	Prop A Disc % Shares	Proposition A Discretionary <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Formula Funds
		Allocated	Fund Exchange	Net				
<b>Included Operators</b>								
1 Metro Bus Ops	73.7471%	\$ 278,624,823	\$ (6,300,000)	\$ 272,324,823	\$ 45,343,482	73.7471%	\$ 180,174,234	\$ 497,842,539
2 Arcadia DR	0.0466%	176,073		176,073	28,654	0.0466%	113,858	318,585
3 Arcadia MB	0.0443%	167,311		167,311	27,228	0.0443%	108,192	302,731
4 Claremont	0.0360%	136,047		136,047	22,140	0.0360%	87,975	246,162
5 Commerce	0.1157%	437,119		437,119	71,137	0.1157%	282,665	790,921
6 Culver City	1.4434%	5,453,279		5,453,279	887,468	1.4434%	3,526,392	9,867,139
7 Foothill	6.6433%	25,099,082		25,099,082	4,084,632	6.6433%	16,230,456	45,414,170
8 Gardena	1.4487%	5,473,405		5,473,405	890,743	1.4487%	3,539,407	9,903,555
9 La Mirada	0.0281%	106,031		106,031	17,255	0.0281%	68,565	191,852
10 Long Beach	6.2004%	23,425,942	300,000	23,725,942	3,812,344	6.2004%	15,148,511	42,686,797
11 Montebello	2.1858%	8,258,216		8,258,216	1,343,944	2.1858%	5,340,219	14,942,380
12 Norwalk	0.8440%	3,188,784		3,188,784	518,944	0.8440%	2,062,044	5,769,771
13 Redondo Beach DR	0.0164%	62,091		62,091	10,105	0.0164%	40,152	112,348
14 Redondo Beach MB	0.1814%	685,512		685,512	111,560	0.1814%	443,290	1,240,363
15 Santa Monica	5.3312%	20,141,926	6,000,000	26,141,926	3,277,903	5.3312%	13,024,884	42,444,713
16 Torrance	1.6875%	6,375,596		6,375,596	1,037,566	1.6875%	4,122,813	11,535,976
17 Sub-Total	100.0000%	377,811,236	-	377,811,236	61,485,106	100.0000%	244,313,659	683,610,002
<b>Eligible Operators</b>								
<b>Formula Equivalent Funded from Proposition A 95% of 40% Growth over CPI (2)</b>								
18 Antelope Valley	1.6168%	-	-	-	994,106	1.6168%	3,950,123	\$ 4,944,229
19 Santa Clarita	1.5602%	-	-	-	959,303	1.5602%	3,811,831	4,771,135
20 LADOT Local	2.1767%	8,223,945		8,223,945	1,338,367	2.1767%	5,318,058	14,880,370
21 LADOT Express	1.1742%	4,436,141		4,436,141	721,939	1.1742%	2,868,654	8,026,733
22 Foothill - BSCP	0.7267%	2,745,715		2,745,715	446,838	0.7267%	1,775,531	4,968,084
23 Sub-Total	7.2547%	15,405,800	-	15,405,800	4,460,554	7.2547%	17,724,197	37,590,551
24 <b>Total FAP</b>		<b>\$ 377,811,236</b>		<b>\$ 377,811,236</b>	<b>\$ 61,485,106</b>	<b>107.2547%</b>	<b>\$ 244,313,659</b>	<b>\$ 721,200,553</b>
<b>Proposition A Discretionary (95% of 40%) Growth Over CPI:</b>								
Revenue								<b>\$ 60,370,341</b>
Uses of Fund:								
Eligible Operators - Formula Equivalent Funds								37,590,551
Tier 2 Operators								6,000,000
Total Uses of Funds								<b>43,590,551</b>
Proposition A Discretionary (95% of 40%) GOI Surplus (Shortfall)								<b>16,779,790</b>
Backfill from (Transfer to) PC40% Discretionary								<b>(16,779,790)</b>
								<b>\$ -</b>

**Notes:**

(1) Prop A Discretionary funds, (95% of 40%) allocated to Included Operators have been capped at 2.25% CPI for FAP allocation.

(2) These funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators in lieu of Section 9, TDA, STA and Prop A 40%Discretionary funds. Fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI.

**Senate Bill 1 - Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017**

Operators	Measure R %Share <sup>(1)</sup>	SB1 - STA Allocation	SB1 - SGR Allocation <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
<b><u>Included Operators:</u></b>				
1 Metro Bus Ops	68.7589%	\$ 26,696,493	\$ 12,271,886	\$ 38,968,379
2 Arcadia	0.0847%	32,901	15,124	48,025
3 Claremont	0.0336%	13,035	5,992	19,027
4 Commerce	0.1079%	41,883	19,253	61,135
5 Culver City	1.3458%	522,507	240,187	762,694
6 Foothill	6.1939%	2,404,874	1,105,476	3,510,350
7 Gardena	1.3507%	524,435	241,073	765,509
8 La Mirada	0.0262%	10,159	4,670	14,829
9 Long Beach	5.7810%	2,244,561	1,031,783	3,276,345
10 Montebello	2.0380%	791,263	363,729	1,154,991
11 Norwalk	0.7869%	305,534	140,448	445,982
12 Redondo Beach DR	0.0153%	5,949	2,735	8,684
13 Redondo Beach MB	0.1692%	65,683	30,193	95,876
14 Santa Monica	4.9706%	1,929,903	887,141	2,817,043
15 Torrance	1.5734%	610,879	280,810	891,689
<b><u>Eligible Operators:</u></b>				
16 Antelope Valley	1.5075%	585,291	269,048	854,339
17 Santa Clarita	1.4547%	564,801	259,628	824,429
18 LADOT Local	2.0295%	787,979	362,219	1,150,198
19 LADOT Express	1.0947%	425,050	195,388	620,437
20 Foothill BSCP	0.6776%	263,081	120,934	384,015
21 Total Municipal Operators	31.2411%	12,129,768	5,575,831	17,705,598
22 County of Los Angeles			238,071	238,071
23 <b>Total Funds Allocated</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 38,826,260</b>	<b>\$ 18,085,788</b>	<b>\$ 56,912,048</b>

**Notes:**

(1) Metro will follow Measure R allocation methodology for STA and SGR portion of SB1.

(2) Preliminary estimates. Subject to the submittal of eligible projects.

**PROPOSITION C 5% TRANSIT SECURITY FUNDING ALLOCATION**

Operators		FY17 Unlinked Passengers	Percent of Total Unlinked Passengers	Total <sup>(1)</sup>
1	Antelope Valley	2,534,682	0.5053%	\$ 187,576
2	Arcadia	67,228	0.0134%	4,975
3	Claremont	34,700	0.0069%	2,568
4	Commerce	535,721	0.1068%	39,645
5	Culver City	5,070,970	1.0108%	375,272
6	Foothill	13,561,124	2.7032%	1,003,576
7	Gardena	3,069,594	0.6119%	227,162
8	LADOT Local/Express	18,002,557	3.5886%	1,332,259
9	La Mirada	45,443	0.0091%	3,363
10	Long Beach	25,263,321	5.0359%	1,869,584
11	Montebello	6,239,176	1.2437%	461,723
12	Norwalk	1,539,855	0.3069%	113,955
13	Redondo Beach DR/MB	380,821	0.0759%	28,182
14	Santa Clarita	2,763,103	0.5508%	204,480
15	Santa Monica	13,334,000	2.6579%	986,768
16	Torrance	3,860,000	0.7694%	285,655
17	Sub-Total	96,302,295	19.1965%	7,126,745
18	Metro Bus/Rail Ops <sup>(2)</sup>	405,363,844	80.8035%	29,998,503
19	<b>Total</b>	<b>501,666,139</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 37,125,247</b>

**Notes:**

(1) Total funding is 90% of Prop C 5% Transit Security:

Estimated Revenue: \$ 41,250,275

90% Thereof: \$ 37,125,247

(2) Metro operations data includes unlinked passengers for bus and rail.



**PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS**

Operators	MOSIP			Zero-fare Compensation <sup>(1)</sup>	Foothill Transit Mitigation	Transit Service Expansion	Discretionary Base Restructuring	BSIP Overcrowding Relief	Prop 1B Bridge Funding		Total
	Prop A % Share	% Share	\$ Allocation						PTMISEA	SECURITY	
<b>INCLUDED OPERATORS</b>											
1 Metro Bus Ops			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,990,024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,874,077	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,864,101
2 Arcadia	0.0909%	0.2712%	67,249	-	12,312	-	-	22,406	-	-	101,967
3 Claremont	0.0360%	0.1075%	26,644	-	4,878	-	-	-	15,138	3,436	50,096
4 Commerce	0.1157%	0.3453%	85,606	790,921	15,673	-	256,434	-	-	-	1,148,635
5 Culver City	1.4434%	4.3076%	1,067,981	-	195,526	247,175	-	172,727	344,025	78,097	2,105,532
6 Foothill	6.6433%	19.8262%	4,915,456	-	-	342,112	2,052,977	955,809	1,257,810	285,536	9,809,701
7 Gardena	1.4487%	4.3235%	1,071,923	-	196,248	710,471	-	180,313	288,321	65,452	2,512,727
8 La Mirada	0.0281%	0.0838%	20,765	-	3,802	-	-	-	-	-	24,567
9 Long Beach	6.2004%	18.5046%	4,587,785	-	839,931	2,345,612	-	846,663	1,088,123	247,015	9,955,128
10 Montebello	2.1858%	6.5233%	1,617,306	-	296,096	-	1,171,089	223,492	323,752	73,495	3,705,232
11 Norwalk	0.8440%	2.5189%	624,498	-	114,333	-	-	57,825	46,615	10,582	853,854
12 Redondo Beach DR/MB	0.1979%	0.5905%	146,412	-	26,805	-	-	4,105	46,628	10,585	234,536
13 Santa Monica	5.3312%	15.9105%	3,944,636	-	722,184	-	-	819,150	1,209,442	274,556	6,969,968
14 Torrance	1.6875%	5.0362%	1,248,610	-	228,595	831,885	745,165	247,327	248,786	56,477	3,606,845
15 Sub-Total	26.2529%	78.3491%	19,424,872	790,921	2,656,383	4,477,256	4,225,665	3,529,818	4,868,640	1,105,232	41,078,787
<b>ELIGIBLE OPERATORS</b>											
16 Antelope Valley	1.6168%	4.8252%	1,196,310	-	28,596	387,379	-	49,166	256,175	58,155	1,975,782
17 Santa Clarita	1.5602%	4.6563%	1,154,428	-	27,595	202,611	-	52,591	749,763	170,204	2,357,193
18 LADOT Local/Express	3.3509%	10.0004%	2,479,377	-	423,440	2,783,033	-	154,155	1,383,771	314,131	7,537,908
19 Foothill BSCP	0.7267%	2.1689%	537,726	-	-	-	-	-	306,637	69,610	913,973
20 Sub-Total	7.2547%	21.6509%	5,367,842	-	479,631	3,373,023	-	255,913	2,696,347	612,100	12,784,856
21 City of Lynwood Trolley						221,741	-	-			221,741
22 Total Municipal Operators	33.5076%	100.0000%	24,792,714	790,921	3,136,014	8,072,020	4,225,665	3,785,731	7,564,987	1,717,331	54,085,383
23 <b>Total</b>	<b>33.5076%</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$24,792,714</b>	<b>\$ 790,921</b>	<b>\$13,126,038</b>	<b>\$8,072,020</b>	<b>\$ 4,225,665</b>	<b>\$ 15,659,807</b>	<b>\$ 7,564,987</b>	<b>\$ 1,717,331</b>	<b>\$ 75,949,484</b>

Last Year	\$24,070,596	\$7,894,396	\$ 4,132,680	\$ 15,315,215
% Increase (2)	3.00%	2.250%	2.250%	2.250%
Current Year	<b>\$24,792,714</b>	<b>\$8,072,020</b>	<b>\$ 4,225,665</b>	<b>\$ 15,659,807</b>

**Note:**

(1) Allocated as part of FAP to Commerce as compensation for having zero passenger revenues.

(2) CPI of 2.25% is applied to Proposition C Discretionary programs: Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY2018 allocation.

**BRIDGE FUNDING FOR PROPOSITION 1B PTMISEA FUND**

**Allocation Basis - FY15**

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D] (C-A)	[E]	[F] (A+E)	[G] ([E] /3)
<b>Operators</b>	<b>PTMISEA FUND</b>	<b>FY15 STA % Share</b>	<b>FAP Allocation</b>	<b>FAP Allocation Over (Under) STA Allocation</b>	<b>Bridge Funding</b>	<b>Total Funds</b>	<b>FY19 Bridge Funding (2st of 3 Installments) (1)</b>
<b>Included Operators</b>							
1 Arcadia	\$ 132,924	0.0891%	\$ 117,917	\$ (15,007)	\$ -	\$ 132,924	\$ -
2 Claremont	40,609	0.0650%	86,023	45,414	45,414	86,023	15,138
3 Commerce	282,048	0.0921%	121,887	(160,161)	-	282,048	-
4 Culver City	873,391	1.4398%	1,905,465	1,032,074	1,032,074	1,905,465	344,025
5 Foothill	4,323,936	6.1185%	8,097,366	3,773,430	3,773,430	8,097,366	1,257,810
6 Gardena	1,014,034	1.4198%	1,878,996	864,962	864,962	1,878,996	288,321
7 La Mirada	107,067	0.0333%	44,070	(62,997)	-	107,067	-
8 Long Beach	4,904,330	6.1724%	8,168,698	3,264,368	3,264,368	8,168,698	1,088,123
9 Montebello	2,004,725	2.2487%	2,975,982	971,257	971,257	2,975,982	323,752
10 Metro Bus Ops	103,154,440	74.1778%	98,168,631	(4,985,809)	-	103,154,440	-
11 Norwalk	946,553	0.8209%	1,086,398	139,845	139,845	1,086,398	46,615
12 Redondo Beach	120,697	0.1969%	260,582	139,885	139,885	260,582	46,628
13 Santa Monica	3,529,674	5.4087%	7,158,000	3,628,326	3,628,326	7,158,000	1,209,442
14 Torrance	1,525,960	1.7170%	2,272,318	746,358	746,358	2,272,318	248,786
15 Sub-Total	122,960,388	100.0000%	132,342,333	9,381,945	14,605,919	137,566,307	4,868,640
<b>Eligible Operators</b>							
16 Antelope Valley	1,265,840	1.5372%	2,034,366	768,526	768,526	2,034,366	256,175
17 Santa Clarita	-	1.6996%	2,249,290	2,249,290	2,249,290	2,249,290	749,763
18 City of Los Angeles	-	3.1368%	4,151,314	4,151,314	4,151,314	4,151,314	1,383,771
19 Foothill BSCP	-	0.6951%	919,912	919,912	919,912	919,912	306,637
20 Sub-Total	1,265,840	7.0687%	9,354,882	8,089,042	8,089,042	9,354,882	2,696,347
21 Total Municipal Operators	124,226,228	107.0687%	141,697,215	17,470,987	22,694,961	146,921,189	7,564,987
22 SCRRRA	8,116,105	-	-	-	-	8,116,105	-
23 <b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 132,342,333</b>	<b>107.0687%</b>	<b>\$ 141,697,215</b>	<b>\$ 17,470,987</b>	<b>\$ 22,694,961</b>	<b>\$ 155,037,294</b>	<b>\$ 7,564,987</b>

Note:

(1) The final appropriation of Prop 1B PTMISEA fund was made in FY 2014-15 state budget; therefore, next year will be the last Prop 1B PTMISEA bridge fund allocation.

**BRIDGE FUNDING FOR PROPOSITION 1B SECURITY FUND**

**Allocation Basis - FY15**

Operators	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]
	SECURITY FUND	FY15 STA % Share	FAP Allocation	FAP Allocation Over (Under) STA Allocation	FY19 Bridge Funding (1)	Total
<b>Included Operators</b>						
1 Arcadia	\$ 10,058	0.0891%	\$ 8,923	\$ (1,136)	\$ -	\$ 10,058
2 Claremont	3,073	0.0650%	6,509	3,436	3,436	6,509
3 Commerce	21,343	0.0921%	9,223	(12,119)	-	21,343
4 Culver City	66,090	1.4398%	144,187	78,097	78,097	144,187
5 Foothill	327,193	6.1185%	612,729	285,536	285,536	612,729
6 Gardena	76,732	1.4198%	142,184	65,452	65,452	142,184
7 La Mirada	8,102	0.0333%	3,335	(4,767)	-	8,102
8 Long Beach	371,112	6.1724%	618,127	247,015	247,015	618,127
9 Montebello	151,698	2.2487%	225,193	73,495	73,495	225,193
10 Metro Bus Ops	7,805,715	74.1778%	7,428,438	(377,277)	-	7,805,715
11 Norwalk	71,626	0.8209%	82,208	10,582	10,582	82,208
12 Redondo Beach	9,133	0.1969%	19,718	10,585	10,585	19,718
13 Santa Monica	267,091	5.4087%	541,647	274,556	274,556	541,647
14 Torrance	115,470	1.7170%	171,947	56,477	56,477	171,947
15 Sub-Total	9,304,435	100.0000%	10,014,368	709,933	1,105,232	10,409,667
<b>Eligible Operators</b>						
16 Antelope Valley	95,786	1.5372%	153,941	58,155	58,155	153,941
17 Santa Clarita	-	1.6996%	170,204	170,204	170,204	170,204
18 City of Los Angeles	-	3.1368%	314,131	314,131	314,131	314,131
19 Foothill BSCP	-	0.6951%	69,610	69,610	69,610	69,610
20 Sub-Total	95,786	7.0687%	707,886	612,100	612,100	707,886
21 Total Municipal Operators	9,400,221	107.0687%	10,722,254	1,322,033	1,717,331	11,117,552
22 SCRRRA	614,147	-	-	-	-	614,147
23 <b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,014,368</b>	<b>107.0687%</b>	<b>\$ 10,722,254</b>	<b>\$ 1,322,033</b>	<b>\$ 1,717,331</b>	<b>\$ 11,731,700</b>

Note:

(1) The final appropriation of Prop 1B Security fund was made in FY 2014-15 state budget; therefore, next year will be the last Prop 1B Security bridge fund allocation.

**MEASURE R 20% BUS OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL ALLOCATIONS**

Operators	20% Bus Operations			Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (1)	
	Proposition A Base Share %	MR Percentage Share	Bus Operations Allocation	Federal Section 5307 Capital Allocation Formula Share	\$ Allocation
<b><u>Included Operators:</u></b>					
1 Metro Bus Ops	73.7471%	68.7589%	\$113,235,496	65.5562%	\$ -
2 Arcadia	0.0909%	0.0847%	139,554	0.1788%	-
3 Claremont	0.0360%	0.0336%	55,291	0.0637%	-
4 Commerce	0.1157%	0.1079%	177,649	0.3309%	-
5 Culver City	1.4434%	1.3458%	2,216,259	1.4481%	-
6 Foothill	6.6433%	6.1939%	10,200,480	8.8041%	-
7 Gardena	1.4487%	1.3507%	2,224,438	1.2309%	-
8 La Mirada	0.0281%	0.0262%	43,092	0.0659%	-
9 Long Beach	6.2004%	5.7810%	9,520,502	6.2471%	-
10 Montebello	2.1858%	2.0380%	3,356,209	1.9025%	-
11 Norwalk	0.8440%	0.7869%	1,295,949	0.6861%	-
12 Redondo Beach DR	0.0164%	0.0153%	25,234	0.3265%	-
13 Redondo Beach MB	0.1814%	0.1692%	278,598		
14 Santa Monica	5.3312%	4.9706%	8,185,850	4.4945%	-
15 Torrance	1.6875%	1.5734%	2,591,096	1.3916%	-
<b><u>Eligible Operators:</u></b>					
16 Antelope Valley	1.6168%	1.5075%	2,482,564	1.8260%	-
17 Santa Clarita	1.5602%	1.4547%	2,395,651	1.8682%	-
18 LADOT Local	2.1767%	2.0295%	3,342,281	3.5789%	-
19 LADOT Express	1.1742%	1.0947%	1,802,885		
20 Foothill BSCP	0.7267%	0.6776%	1,115,882		
21					
22 Total Municipal Operators	33.5076%	31.2411%	51,449,465	34.4438%	-
23 <b>Total Funds Allocated</b>	<b>107.2547%</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$164,684,961</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Notes:**

(1) Clean Fuel Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Funds of \$10M will be allocated every even fiscal year.

**MEASURE M 20% TRANSIT OPERATIONS**

(Metro and Municipal Providers)

Operators		Measure M <sup>(1)</sup> Percentage Share	\$ Allocation
<b><u>Included Operators:</u></b>			
1	Metro Bus Ops	68.7589%	\$ 114,323,976
2	Arcadia	0.0847%	140,895
3	Claremont	0.0336%	55,822
4	Commerce	0.1079%	179,357
5	Culver City	1.3458%	2,237,563
6	Foothill	6.1939%	10,298,533
7	Gardena	1.3507%	2,245,821
8	La Mirada	0.0262%	43,506
9	Long Beach	5.7810%	9,612,018
10	Montebello	2.0380%	3,388,471
11	Norwalk	0.7869%	1,308,406
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0153%	25,477
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1692%	281,276
14	Santa Monica	4.9706%	8,264,537
15	Torrance	1.5734%	2,616,003
<b><u>Eligible Operators:</u></b>			
16	Antelope Valley	1.5075%	2,506,428
17	Santa Clarita	1.4547%	2,418,679
18	LADOT Local	2.0295%	3,374,409
19	LADOT Express	1.0947%	1,820,216
20	Foothill BSCP	0.6776%	1,126,608
21	Total Municipal Operators	31.2411%	51,944,024
22	<b>Total Funds Allocated</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 166,268,000</b>

**Notes:**

(1) Metro follows Measure R allocation methodology for Measure M transit operations.

**TIER 2 OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS**

% Shares Calculation		Vehicle Service Miles	Passenger Revenue	Base Fare	Fare Units (1)	50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	% Share
1	LADOT Community Dash	2,510,841	\$ 2,978,549	\$ 0.50	<b>16,808,232</b>	9,659,537	4.5954%
2	Glendale	610,175	932,327	1.00	<b>2,187,836</b>	1,399,006	0.6656%
3	Pasadena	875,197	850,209	0.75	1,133,612	1,004,405	0.4778%
4	Burbank	311,638	200,517	1.00	200,517	256,078	0.1218%
5	Sub-Total	<b>4,307,851</b>	<b>4,961,602</b>		<b>20,330,197</b>	<b>12,319,024</b>	<b>5.8606%</b>
6	Included and Eligible Operators	115,063,833	300,086,707		192,539,495	197,881,657	94.1394%
7	Total	<b>119,371,684</b>	<b>\$ 305,048,309</b>		<b>212,869,692</b>	<b>210,200,681</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>
			% Share	TDA Article 4 + Interest	STA Revenue Base Share + Interest	Proposition A Discretionary	Total
8	Funds Allocated to Included Operators			<b>\$377,811,236</b>	<b>\$ 61,485,106</b>	<b>\$ 244,313,659</b>	<b>\$683,610,002</b>
<b>Formula Equivalent Calculation</b>							
9	LADOT Community Dash		4.5954%	\$ 17,361,892	\$ 2,825,479	\$ 11,227,160	\$ 31,414,531
10	Glendale		0.6656%	2,514,549	409,218	1,626,047	4,549,815
11	Pasadena		0.4778%	1,805,300	293,795	1,167,407	3,266,502
12	Burbank		0.1218%	460,269	74,904	297,636	832,810
13	Total		<b>5.8606%</b>	<b>\$ 22,142,011</b>	<b>\$ 3,603,397</b>	<b>\$ 14,318,250</b>	<b>\$ 40,063,657</b>
<b>Funds Allocated to Tier 2 Operators</b>							
14			<b>14.98% (2)</b>	<b>\$ 3,316,024</b>	<b>\$ 539,651</b>	<b>\$ 2,144,325</b>	<b>\$ 6,000,000</b>
<b>Actual Allocation</b>							
15	LADOT Community Dash			\$ 2,600,146	\$ 423,148	\$ 1,681,398	\$ 4,704,692
16	Glendale			376,583	61,285	243,519	681,388
17	Pasadena			270,365	43,999	174,833	489,197
18	Burbank			68,931	11,218	44,574	124,723
19	Total			<b>\$ 3,316,024</b>	<b>\$ 539,651</b>	<b>\$ 2,144,325</b>	<b>\$ 6,000,000</b>

	Prop A Incentive Allocation:	Before Tier 2 GOI Allocation	GOI Allocation Deduction	Net Prop A Incentive Allocation
20	LADOT Community Dash	\$ 1,164,575	\$ (174,409)	\$ 990,166
21	Glendale	319,424	(47,837)	271,587
22	Pasadena	280,426	(41,997)	238,429
23	Burbank	131,817	(19,741)	112,076
24	Total	<b>\$ 1,896,242</b>	<b>\$ (283,984)</b>	<b>\$ 1,612,258</b>

**Notes:**

- (1) Funding Stability policy is applied on LADOT and Glendale Fare Units.
- (2) This percentage is applied as a deduction from Tier 2 operators' Incentive Programs allocation.

# **Bus Transit Subsidies FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS**

**FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS REVENUE ESTIMATES**

**Los Angeles County Share of Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim UZA**

1	<b><u>Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Grants:</u></b>		
	<b>Estimated Revenue</b>		<b>\$ 238,529,742</b>
2		Estimated Revenue	\$ 238,529,742
3		Off the Top:	
		1% Enhancement Allocation	<u>(2,385,297)</u>
4			<u>\$ 236,144,445</u>
5		85% Formula Allocation	\$ 200,722,778
6		15% Discretionary Allocation	<u>35,421,667</u>
7			\$ 236,144,445
8	<b><u>Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Grants:</u></b>		
	<b>Estimated Revenue</b>		<b>\$ 22,023,612</b>
	<b><u>Section 5337 State of Good Repair (LA County Share of LA UZA 2):</u></b>		
9	<b>High Intensity Fixed Guideway:</b>		
10	Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generated	\$	28,966,276
11	Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated		<u>50,557,892</u>
		<b>\$</b>	<b><u>79,524,168</u></b>
12	<b>High Intensity Motorbus:</b>		
13	Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generated	\$	2,254,326
14	Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated		<u>2,489,280</u>
		<b>\$</b>	<b><u>4,743,606</u></b>
15	<b>Section 5337 State of Good Repair Total Estimated Revenue</b>		<b>\$ 84,267,774</b>
16	<b>Total Federal Formula Funds Available</b>		<b>\$ 344,821,128</b>



**FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS**

Operators	Urbanized Formula Program (Section 5307)			Bus & Bus Facilities (Section 5339)			State of Good Repair (Section 5337)			Total
	FY19 \$Allocation	Fund Exchanges	Adjusted \$ Allocation	FY19 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$ Allocation	FY19 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$ Allocation	
<b>Included Operators:</b>										
1 Metro Bus Ops	\$ 154,178,205	\$ (5,096,616)	\$ 149,081,589	\$ 14,859,009	\$ 7,164,603	\$ 22,023,612	\$80,035,762	\$ 4,232,012	\$ 84,267,774	\$ 255,372,975
<b>Municipal Operators:</b>										
2 Arcadia	369,461	40,538	409,999	40,538	(40,538)	-	-	-	-	409,999
3 Claremont	131,680	14,448	146,128	14,448	(14,448)	-	-	-	-	146,128
4 Commerce	683,524	74,997	758,521	74,997	(74,997)	-	-	-	-	758,521
5 Culver City	5,496,804	328,222	5,825,027	328,222	(328,222)	-	-	-	-	5,825,027
6 Foothill Transit	19,260,449	4,877,213	24,137,663	1,995,534	(1,995,534)	-	2,881,680	(2,881,680)	-	24,137,663
7 Gardena	3,826,622	279,004	4,105,626	279,004	(279,004)	-	-	-	-	4,105,626
8 La Mirada	136,077	14,931	151,008	14,931	(14,931)	-	-	-	-	151,008
9 Long Beach	17,571,367	1,266,315	18,837,682	1,415,981	(1,415,981)	-	150,334	(150,334)	-	18,837,682
10 Montebello	3,930,244	431,232	4,361,476	431,232	(431,232)	-	-	-	-	4,361,476
11 Norwalk	2,155,852	155,502	2,311,353	155,502	(155,502)	-	-	-	-	2,311,353
12 Redondo Beach	674,485	74,006	748,490	74,006	(74,006)	-	-	-	-	748,490
13 Santa Monica	15,464,648	(4,890,602)	10,574,046	1,018,737	(1,018,737)	-	90,661	(90,661)	-	10,574,046
14 Torrance	3,334,733	315,413	3,650,146	315,413	(315,413)	-	-	-	-	3,650,146
15 Sub-Total	73,035,947	2,981,219	76,017,166	6,158,544	(6,158,544)	-	3,122,675	(3,122,675)	-	76,017,166
<b>Eligible Operators:</b>										
16 Antelope Valley	1,274,783	488,410	1,763,193	22,119	(22,119)	-	466,292	(466,292)	-	1,763,193
17 LADOT	8,466,512	1,454,252	9,920,764	811,206	(811,206)	-	643,046	(643,046)	-	9,920,764
18 Santa Clarita	1,574,297	172,734	1,747,031	172,734	(172,734)	-	-	-	-	1,747,031
19 Foothill BSCP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20 Sub-Total	11,315,592	2,115,397	13,430,988	1,006,059	(1,006,059)	-	1,109,338	(1,109,338)	-	13,430,988
21 Total Excluding Metro	84,351,538	5,096,616	89,448,154	7,164,603	(7,164,603)	-	4,232,012	(4,232,012)	-	89,448,154
22 <b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 238,529,743</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 238,529,743</b>	<b>\$ 22,023,612</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 22,023,612</b>	<b>\$84,267,774</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 84,267,774</b>	<b>\$ 344,821,129</b>

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

**CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION**

	OPERATOR	MILEAGE CALCULATION				ACTIVE FLEET CALCULATION						
		Local Vehicle Miles [Input]	Express Vehicle Miles [Input]	Total Miles Weighted 60% Local/ 40% Express	1/3 Weight	Active Fleet (1) [Input]	Peak Bus Fixed Route (2) [Input]	Allowable Peak Bus (Peak+20%)	DAR Seats (3) [Input]	Bus Eqvt. (44 Seats per Bus)	Total Active Vehicle	1/3 Weight
1	Antelope Valley	2,375,808	1,223,997	1,915,084	0.7691%	75	61	73.2	0	0.0	73.2	0.6603%
2	Arcadia DR	121,121	-	72,673	0.0292%	0	0	0.0	184	4.2	4.2	0.0377%
3	Arcadia MB	173,870	-	104,322	0.0419%	8	6	7.2	0	0.0	7.2	0.0649%
4	Claremont	61,600	-	36,960	0.0148%	0	0	0.0	222	5.0	5.0	0.0455%
5	Commerce	472,131	-	283,279	0.1138%	18	14	16.8	48	1.1	17.9	0.1614%
6	Culver City	1,843,707	-	1,106,224	0.4443%	56	47	56.0	0	0.0	56.0	0.5051%
7	Foothill	10,604,158	6,926,712	9,133,180	3.6678%	380	329	380.0	0	0.0	380.0	3.4276%
8	Gardena	1,767,897	-	1,060,738	0.4260%	58	43	51.6	0	0.0	51.6	0.4654%
9	LADOT	2,988,688	3,007,986	2,996,407	1.2033%	177	150	177.0	0	0.0	177.0	1.5966%
10	La Mirada	75,572	-	45,343	0.0182%	0	0	0.0	208	4.7	4.7	0.0426%
11	Long Beach	8,018,115	-	4,810,869	1.9320%	241	197	236.4	40	0.9	237.3	2.1405%
12	Montebello	2,427,395	78,899	1,487,997	0.5976%	72	67	72.0	40	0.9	72.9	0.6576%
13	Metro Bus Ops.	84,329,000	5,181,000	52,669,800	21.1520%	2,228	1,905	2,228.0	0	0.0	2,228.0	20.0967%
14	Norwalk	1,097,988	-	658,793	0.2646%	33	24	28.8	0	0.0	28.8	0.2598%
15	Redondo Beach	477,349	-	286,409	0.1150%	20	14	16.8	75	1.7	18.5	0.1669%
16	Santa Clarita	2,264,087	1,101,917	1,799,219	0.7226%	82	68	81.6	0	0.0	81.6	0.7360%
17	Santa Monica	5,289,000	395,000	3,331,400	1.3379%	200	162	194.4	0	0.0	194.4	1.7535%
18	Torrance	1,633,900	558,100	1,203,580	0.4834%	56	48	56.0	48	1.1	57.1	0.5150%
19	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>126,021,386</b>	<b>18,473,611</b>	<b>83,002,276</b>	<b>33.3333%</b>	<b>3,704</b>	<b>3,135</b>	<b>3,675.8</b>	<b>865</b>	<b>19.7</b>	<b>3,695.5</b>	<b>33.3333%</b>

**Notes:**

Include only MTA Funded Programs:

(1) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode MB), Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet". LADOT's total active vehicles is reported separately.

(2) Source: NTD Report Form S-10 "Service Non-Rail (Mode MB), Vehicles Operated in Annual Maximum Service". LADOT's figure is from TPM excluding Community Dash.

(3) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode DR), Seating Capacity". Redondo Beach's Seating Capacity is apportioned between FAP and non-FAP vehicles.

**CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION (Continued)**

OPERATOR	FARE UNITS				UNLINKED PASSENGERS		Gross Formula Share	Re-Allocate AVTA And Santa Clarita's Non-LA2 UZA Share	LA UZA 2 Net Formula Share
	Passenger Revenue [Input]	Base Fare \$ [Input]	Fare Units	1/2 of 1/3 Weight	Unlinked Passengers [Input]	1/2 of 1/3 Weight			
1 Antelope Valley	\$4,948,745	\$ 1.50	3,299,163	0.2851%	2,534,682	0.1115%	1.8260%	-1.7256%	0.1004%
2 Arcadia DR	6,428	0.50	12,856	0.0011%	32,609	0.0014%	0.0695%	0.0020%	0.0715%
3 Arcadia MB	5,979	0.50	11,958	0.0010%	34,619	0.0015%	0.1094%	0.0032%	0.1126%
4 Claremont	53,900	2.50	21,560	0.0019%	34,700	0.0015%	0.0637%	0.0019%	0.0656%
5 Commerce (1)	-	-	372,189	0.0322%	535,721	0.0236%	0.3309%	0.0097%	0.3405%
6 Culver City	3,188,559	1.00	3,188,559	0.2755%	5,070,970	0.2232%	1.4481%	0.0422%	1.4903%
7 Foothill	16,082,345	1.25	12,865,876	1.1118%	13,561,124	0.5968%	8.8041%	0.2568%	9.0609%
8 Gardena	2,365,626	1.00	2,365,626	0.2044%	3,069,594	0.1351%	1.2309%	0.0359%	1.2668%
9 LADOT	7,066,888	1.50	4,711,259	0.4071%	8,451,418	0.3719%	3.5789%	0.1044%	3.6833%
10 La Mirada	34,973	1.00	34,973	0.0030%	45,443	0.0020%	0.0659%	0.0019%	0.0678%
11 Long Beach	15,373,438	1.25	12,298,750	1.0628%	25,263,321	1.1118%	6.2471%	0.1822%	6.4294%
12 Montebello	4,744,970	1.10	4,313,609	0.3728%	6,239,176	0.2746%	1.9025%	0.0555%	1.9580%
13 Metro Bus Ops.	232,054,000	1.75	132,602,286	11.4586%	291,966,000	12.8489%	65.5562%	1.9124%	67.4685%
14 Norwalk	1,358,912	1.25	1,087,130	0.0939%	1,539,854	0.0678%	0.6861%	0.0200%	0.7061%
15 Redondo Beach	321,838	1.00	321,838	0.0278%	380,821	0.0168%	0.3265%	0.0095%	0.3360%
16 Santa Clarita	3,332,306	1.00	3,332,306	0.2880%	2,763,103	0.1216%	1.8682%	-1.0838%	0.7843%
17 Santa Monica	11,809,000	1.25	9,447,200	0.8164%	13,334,000	0.5868%	4.4945%	0.1311%	4.6257%
18 Torrance	2,585,000	1.00	2,585,000	0.2234%	3,860,000	0.1699%	1.3916%	0.0406%	1.4322%
19 <b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$305,332,907</b>		<b>192,872,138</b>	<b>16.6667%</b>	<b>378,717,155</b>	<b>16.6667%</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>0.0000%</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>

**Note:**

(1) Commerce Fare Units are calculated as follows: ((Total Fare Units w/out MTA and Commerce) / (Total Unlinked Passengers w/out MTA and Commerce)) \* Commerce's Unlinked Passengers.

**FORM FFA10, SECTION 9 STATISTICS PASSENGER MILES IS USED TO CALCULATE AVTA AND SANTA CLARITA'S RE-ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL MONIES.**

	ANTELOPE VALLEY			SANTA CLARITA		
	Passenger Miles	%	Re-Allocated Share	Passenger Miles	%	Re-Allocated Share
20 Non-LA 2 UZA (AV 123 for AVTA, AV 176 for Santa Clarita)	26,395,687	94.4999%	1.7256%	12,248,102	58.0165%	1.0838%
21 UZA number LA 2	1,536,277	5.5001%	0.1004%	8,863,293	41.9835%	0.7843%
22 <b>Total</b>	<b>27,931,964</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>1.8260%</b>	<b>21,111,395</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>1.8682%</b>

**FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION**

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

	OPERATOR	LAUZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE	85% FORMULA ALLOCATION	15% DISCRETIONARY ALLOCATION		1% ENHANCEMENT ALLOCATION		TOTAL	TDA Fund Exchange	S5339/S5337 Fund Exchange	Total Funds Available
				Project Title	Amount	Project Title	Amount				
1	Antelope Valley	0.1004%	\$ 201,588					\$ 201,588		\$ 488,410	\$ 689,998
2	Arcadia	0.1841%	369,461					369,461		40,538	409,999
3	Claremont	0.0656%	131,680					131,680		14,448	146,128
4	Commerce	0.3405%	683,524					683,524		74,997	758,521
5	Culver City	1.4903%	2,991,410	Facility Capacity Enhancement	1,576,268	Bus Stop Improvements	348,458	5,496,804		328,222	5,825,027
				Vehicle Lift Replacement	580,668			18,187,254		4,877,213	23,064,468
6	Foothill	9.0609%	18,187,254					18,187,254		4,877,213	23,064,468
7	Gardena	1.2668%	2,542,837	Electric Charging Station	1,163,785	Bus Stop Amenities	120,000	3,826,622		279,004	4,105,626
8	LADOT	3.6833%	7,393,317					7,393,317		1,454,252	8,847,569
9	La Mirada	0.0678%	136,077					136,077		14,931	151,008
10	Long Beach Transit	6.4294%	12,905,220	Bus Fleet Replacement	1,826,609	Bus Stop Improvements	652,118	17,571,367	(1) (300,000)	1,566,315	18,837,682
				Bus Fleet Expansion	1,887,420			17,571,367		1,566,315	18,837,682
				Regional Training	300,000			3,930,244		431,232	4,361,476
11	Montebello	1.9580%	3,930,244					3,930,244		431,232	4,361,476
12	Metro Bus Ops.	67.4685%	135,424,722	Purchase 35 60' ZEB	17,560,834	Patsaouras Plaza	1,192,649	154,178,205	(1),(2) 6,300,000	(11,396,616)	149,081,589
13	Norwalk	0.7061%	1,417,240	Route 7 Electric Bus Implementation	666,539	Bus Shelter Solar Lighting	72,073	2,155,852		155,502	2,311,353
14	Redondo Beach	0.3360%	674,485					674,485		74,006	748,490
15	Santa Clarita	0.7843%	1,574,297					1,574,297		172,734	1,747,031
16	Santa Monica	4.6257%	9,284,750	Replacement of Buses	6,179,898			15,464,648	(2) (6,000,000)	1,109,398	10,574,046
17	Torrance	1.4322%	2,874,672	Bus Fleet Expansion	460,061			3,334,733		315,413	3,650,146
18	Tri Agencies			DTLA Layover (Foothill/AVTA/LADOT)	3,219,586			3,219,586		-	3,219,586
19	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 200,722,778</b>		<b>\$ 35,421,668</b>		<b>\$ 2,385,298</b>	<b>\$238,529,744</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ (0)</b>	<b>\$ 238,529,744</b>

**FEDERAL SECTION 5339 - BUS AND BUS CAPITAL ALLOCATION**

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

	OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE	Net Formula Share	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available <sup>(1)</sup>
1	Antelope Valley	0.1004%	\$ 22,119	\$ (22,119)	\$ -
2	Arcadia	0.1841%	40,538	(40,538)	-
3	Claremont	0.0656%	14,448	(14,448)	-
4	Commerce	0.3405%	74,997	(74,997)	-
5	Culver City	1.4903%	328,222	(328,222)	-
6	Foothill	9.0609%	1,995,534	(1,995,534)	-
7	Gardena	1.2668%	279,004	(279,004)	-
8	LADOT	3.6833%	811,206	(811,206)	-
9	La Mirada	0.0678%	14,931	(14,931)	-
10	Long Beach	6.4294%	1,415,981	(1,415,981)	-
11	Montebello	1.9580%	431,232	(431,232)	-
12	Metro Bus Ops.	67.4685%	14,859,009	7,164,603	22,023,612
13	Norwalk	0.7061%	155,502	(155,502)	-
14	Redondo Beach	0.3360%	74,006	(74,006)	-
15	Santa Clarita	0.7843%	172,734	(172,734)	-
16	Santa Monica	4.6257%	1,018,737	(1,018,737)	-
17	Torrance	1.4322%	315,413	(315,413)	-
18	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 22,023,612</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 22,023,612</b>

Note:

(1) Operators' shares of Section 5339 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

**FEDERAL SECTION 5337 - STATE OF GOOD REPAIR**

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHARE (UZA 2)	Directional Route Miles (DRM) Allocation			Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Allocation			Total \$ Allocation	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available <sup>(1)</sup>
	DRM	DRM%	DRM \$Allocation	VRM	VRM%	VRM \$Allocation			
<b>High Intensity Fixed Guideway:</b>									
1 Metro (Including Metrolink)	462.9	99.763%	\$ 28,897,606	25,328,979	98.434%	\$ 49,765,918	\$ 78,663,524	\$ 860,644	\$ 79,524,168
2 Long Beach Transit	0.5	0.108%	31,214	60,628	0.236%	119,121	150,334	(150,334)	-
3 Santa Monica	0.6	0.129%	37,456	27,079	0.105%	53,204	90,661	(90,661)	-
4 Foothill Transit	-	0.000%	-	315,378	1.226%	619,649	619,649	(619,649)	-
5 Sub-total	464.0	100.000%	28,966,276	25,732,064	100.000%	50,557,892	79,524,168	-	79,524,168
<b>High Intensity Motorbus:</b>									
6 Antelope Valley	23.6	15.003%	338,221	118,674	5.145%	128,071	466,292	(466,292)	-
7 Foothill	39.4	25.048%	564,656	1,572,830	68.187%	1,697,374	2,262,031	(2,262,031)	-
8 Gardena		0.000%	-	-	0.000%	-	-	-	-
9 LADOT	35.1	22.314%	503,031	129,741	5.625%	140,015	643,046	(643,046)	-
10 Metro Bus Ops.	59.2	37.635%	848,418	485,385	21.043%	523,820	1,372,238	3,371,368	4,743,606
11 Sub-total	157.3	100.00%	2,254,326	2,306,630	100.000%	2,489,280	4,743,606	-	4,743,606
12 <b>Total LA County Share - UZA 2</b>	<b>621.30</b>		<b>\$ 31,220,602</b>	<b>28,038,694</b>	<b>200.000%</b>	<b>\$ 53,047,172</b>	<b>\$ 84,267,774</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 84,267,774</b>

Note:

(1) Operators' shares of Section 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

# **LOCAL SUBSIDIES**

**PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS**

(In Order of Priority)

<b>PRIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS</b>		<b>FY19 Allocation</b>
1	Agoura Hills	\$ 68,564
2	Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled	399,700
3	Beverly Hills Taxi & Lift Van	22,896
4	Culver City Community Transit and LA County	62,641
5	Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County	176,583
6	Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge	256,769
7	Inglewood Transit and LA County	191,894
8	LA County (Whittier et al)	185,928
9	LA County (Willowbrook)	46,299
10	Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride	316,793
11	Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride	1,102,820
12	Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County	171,933
13	Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R.	43,612
14	Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit	394,132
15	Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County	400,592
16	Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About)	709,725
17	Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC)	71,157
18	Redondo Beach Community Transit and Hermosa Beach	16,254
19	Santa Clarita D.A.R.	657,930
20	West Hollywood (DAR)	284,307
21	West Hollywood (Taxi)	98,521
22	Whittier (DAR)	287,268
23	Sub-total	<u>\$ 5,966,317</u>
<b>PRIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION</b>		
24	City of L.A. - Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle	\$ -
25	Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route	-
26	Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route	-
27	Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project	-
28	Sub-total	<u>\$ -</u>
29	<b>PRIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT</b>	<u>\$ -</u>
30	<b>PRIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES</b>	<u>\$ -</u>



**PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)**

(In Order of Priority)

<b>Priority V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING</b>		<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Tier 2 Deduction (1)</b>	<b>FY19 Net Allocation</b>
<b>FY16 NTD Report Year</b>				
31	City of Alhambra (MB and DR)	\$ 121,747		\$ 121,747
32	City of Artesia (DR)	6,634		6,634
33	City of Azusa (DR)	42,545		42,545
34	City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR)	98,028		98,028
35	City of Bell (MB/DR)	28,307		28,307
36	City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR)	62,607		62,607
37	City of Bellflower (MB and DR)	45,760		45,760
38	City of Burbank (MB)*	131,817	21,776	110,041
39	City of Carson (MB and DT)	190,320		190,320
40	City of Cerritos (MB )	96,041		96,041
41	City of Compton (MB)	59,090		59,090
42	City of Covina (DR)	24,370		24,370
43	City of Cudahy (MB and DR)	20,232		20,232
44	City of Downey (MB and DR)	93,537		93,537
45	City of Duarte (MB)	33,231		33,231
46	City of El Monte (MB and DR)	149,205		149,205
47	City of Glendora (MB and DR)	65,830		65,830
48	City of Glendale (MB)*	319,424	52,768	266,656
49	City of Huntington Park (MB)	45,339		45,339
50	City of Los Angeles -- Community DASH* (MB)	1,164,575	192,386	972,189
51	City of Los Angeles -- Department of Aging (DR)	195,587		195,587
52	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Avocado Heights (MB)	15,534		15,534
53	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- East Valinda (MB)	21,876		21,876
54	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- East LA (MB and DR)	140,693		140,693
55	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Willowbrook (MB)	34,889		34,889
56	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- King Medical (MB)	7,671		7,671
57	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Athens (MB)	15,797		15,797
58	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Lennnox (MB)	12,967		12,967
59	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- South Whittier (MB)	66,158		66,158
60	City of Lawndale (MB)	35,163		35,163
61	City of Lynwood (MB)	59,615		59,615
62	City of Malibu (DT)	23,183		23,183
63	City of Manhattan Beach (DR)	19,414		19,414
64	City of Maywood (DR)	17,077		17,077
65	City of Monterey Park (MB and DR)	104,404		104,404
66	City of Pasadena (MB)*	280,426	46,326	234,100
67	City of Pico Rivera (DR)	17,698		17,698
68	City of Rosemead (MB and DR)	73,494		73,494
69	City of Santa fe Springs (DR)	6,250		6,250
70	City of South Gate (DT and MB)	146,031		146,031
71	City of South Pasadena (DR)	12,056		12,056
72	City of West Covina (MB and DR)	104,459		104,459
73	City of West Hollywood (MB)	38,274		38,274
74	Sub-Total	\$ 4,247,355	\$ 313,256	\$ 3,934,099

**PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)**

(In Order of Priority)

<b>PRIORITY VI: SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS</b>			
75	Avalon Ferry Subsidy		\$ 650,000
76	Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride)		300,000
77	Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service		1,057,000
78		Sub-total	\$ 2,007,000
79	Total Expenditures		\$ 11,907,416
80	Reserves for contingencies (2)		4,007,777
81		Sub-total	15,915,193
<b>82</b>	<b>Total Estimated Revenue</b>		<b>15,915,193</b>
83	Surplus (Deficit)		\$ -

**NOTES:**

(1) Tier 2 Operators' shares have been reduced by % of GOI Funding per Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.

(2) 5th Priority - locally funded systems which voluntarily reported NTD data for FY16 report year. This serves as a placeholder until the FY17 report year is completed. Exact amounts are TBD and may be higher, based upon actual FY19 FTA 5307 apportionment unit values.

**PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C , MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8**

LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population DOF Report 2017 data <sup>(1)</sup>	Population as % of County	Proposition A Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Proposition C Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Measure R Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Measure M Local Return Estimate	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike (A)	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total
								Population	Article 8 Allocation	
1 AGOURA HILLS	21,018	0.2052%	\$ 411,380	\$ 341,229	\$ 255,927	\$ 290,045	\$ 14,259		\$ -	\$ 1,312,840
2 ALHAMBRA	86,922	0.8487%	1,701,303	1,411,186	1,058,412	1,199,508	58,923			5,429,331
3 ARCADIA	57,374	0.5602%	1,122,967	931,472	698,618	791,751	38,898			3,583,706
4 ARTESIA	16,816	0.1642%	329,135	273,009	204,761	232,058	11,412			1,050,375
5 AVALON	3,718	0.0363%	72,771	60,362	45,272	51,308	5,000	3,718	148,677	383,390
6 AZUSA	49,762	0.4859%	973,979	807,890	605,930	686,707	33,739			3,108,246
7 BALDWIN PARK	75,537	0.7376%	1,478,467	1,226,349	919,781	1,042,397	51,207			4,718,202
8 BELL	36,408	0.3555%	712,605	591,087	443,325	502,424	24,689			2,274,129
9 BELLFLOWER	76,657	0.7485%	1,500,388	1,244,533	933,419	1,057,853	51,966			4,788,159
10 BELL GARDENS	42,824	0.4182%	838,184	695,251	521,449	590,964	29,037			2,674,885
11 BEVERLY HILLS	34,646	0.3383%	678,118	562,481	421,869	478,109	23,495			2,164,071
12 BRADBURY	1,107	0.0108%	21,667	17,972	13,479	15,276	5,000			73,395
13 BURBANK	105,033	1.0256%	2,055,785	1,705,219	1,278,942	1,449,437	71,197			6,560,579
14 CALABASAS	24,202	0.2363%	473,700	392,921	294,697	333,983	16,417			1,511,719
15 CARSON	93,674	0.9147%	1,833,458	1,520,805	1,140,628	1,292,684	63,499			5,851,074
16 CERRITOS	50,039	0.4886%	979,401	812,387	609,303	690,529	33,927			3,125,548
17 CLAREMONT	36,225	0.3537%	709,023	588,116	441,096	499,899	24,565			2,262,699
18 COMMERCE	13,064	0.1276%	255,698	212,095	159,075	180,281	8,869			816,018
19 COMPTON	100,050	0.9769%	1,958,254	1,624,320	1,218,266	1,380,672	67,820			6,249,331
20 COVINA	49,011	0.4786%	959,280	795,698	596,786	676,343	33,230			3,061,337
21 CUDAHY	24,411	0.2384%	477,790	396,315	297,242	336,867	16,559			1,524,774
22 CULVER CITY	40,103	0.3916%	784,926	651,076	488,317	553,414	27,193			2,504,926
23 DIAMOND BAR	57,066	0.5572%	1,116,939	926,471	694,868	787,501	38,689			3,564,468
24 DOWNEY	113,832	1.1115%	2,228,006	1,848,072	1,386,083	1,570,861	77,160			7,110,182
25 DUARTE	22,033	0.2151%	431,246	357,708	268,286	304,051	14,947			1,376,239
26 EL MONTE	114,268	1.1158%	2,236,539	1,855,150	1,391,392	1,576,878	77,455			7,137,415
27 EL SEGUNDO	16,717	0.1632%	327,198	271,402	203,556	230,692	11,345			1,044,191
28 GARDENA	60,721	0.5929%	1,188,477	985,810	739,373	837,939	41,166			3,792,766
29 GLENDALE	201,748	1.9699%	3,948,764	3,275,396	2,456,598	2,784,086	136,741			12,601,584
30 GLENORA	52,608	0.5137%	1,029,683	854,095	640,585	725,981	35,668			3,286,013
31 HAWAIIAN GARDENS	14,753	0.1441%	288,757	239,516	179,641	203,589	10,014			921,516
32 HAWTHORNE	87,662	0.8560%	1,715,787	1,423,200	1,067,422	1,209,720	59,424			5,475,553
33 HERMOSA BEACH	19,616	0.1915%	383,939	318,467	238,856	270,697	13,309			1,225,269
34 HIDDEN HILLS	1,885	0.0184%	36,895	30,603	22,953	26,013	5,000			121,463
35 HUNTINGTON PARK	59,383	0.5798%	1,162,289	964,088	723,081	819,475	40,259			3,709,192

**PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C , MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)**

LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population DOF Report 2016 data <sup>(1)</sup>	Population as % of County	Proposition A Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Proposition C Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Measure R Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Measure M Local Return Estimate	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike (A)	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total
								Population	Article 8 Allocation	
36 INDUSTRY (B)	440	0.0043%	8,612	7,143	5,358	6,072	-			27,185
37 INGLEWOOD	114,900	1.1219%	2,248,909	1,865,411	1,399,088	1,585,599	77,883			7,176,891
38 IRWINDALE	1,423	0.0139%	27,852	23,103	17,327	19,637	5,000			92,919
39 LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE	20,497	0.2001%	401,183	332,770	249,583	282,855	13,906			1,280,298
40 LA HABRA HEIGHTS	5,463	0.0533%	106,926	88,692	66,521	75,388	5,000			342,527
41 LAKEWOOD	79,272	0.7740%	1,551,571	1,286,988	965,261	1,093,939	53,738			4,951,497
42 LA MIRADA	49,434	0.4827%	967,559	802,565	601,936	682,180	33,517			3,087,758
43 LANCASTER	157,820	1.5410%	3,088,972	2,562,221	1,921,706	2,177,888	106,971	157,820	6,310,964	16,168,721
44 LA PUENTE	40,455	0.3950%	791,816	656,790	492,603	558,272	27,432			2,526,913
45 LA VERNE	33,174	0.3239%	649,306	538,583	403,945	457,795	22,498			2,072,127
46 LAWDALE	33,365	0.3258%	653,045	541,684	406,271	460,431	22,627			2,084,058
47 LOMITA	20,403	0.1992%	399,343	331,244	248,439	281,558	13,843			1,274,426
48 LONG BEACH	480,173	4.6886%	9,398,307	7,795,649	5,846,859	6,626,301	325,430			29,992,547
49 LOS ANGELES CITY	4,041,707	39.4649%	79,107,331	65,617,449	49,214,122	55,774,832	3,106,532			252,820,266
50 LYNWOOD	71,997	0.7030%	1,409,179	1,168,877	876,676	993,546	48,808			4,497,087
51 MALIBU	12,742	0.1244%	249,396	206,867	155,154	175,837	8,651			795,905
52 MANHATTAN BEACH	35,488	0.3465%	694,598	576,151	432,122	489,728	24,066			2,216,664
53 MAYWOOD	28,016	0.2736%	548,350	454,842	341,139	386,616	19,002			1,749,949
54 MONROVIA	38,514	0.3761%	753,825	625,278	468,968	531,486	26,116			2,405,674
55 MONTEBELLO	63,917	0.6241%	1,251,032	1,037,698	778,290	882,043	43,332			3,992,394
56 MONTEREY PARK	61,606	0.6015%	1,205,799	1,000,179	750,150	850,152	41,766			3,848,045
57 NORWALK	105,526	1.0304%	2,065,434	1,713,223	1,284,945	1,456,240	71,531			6,591,373
58 PALMDALE	158,605	1.5487%	3,104,336	2,574,965	1,931,265	2,188,721	107,503	158,605	6,342,355	16,249,144
59 PALOS VERDES ESTATES	13,663	0.1334%	267,423	221,820	166,368	188,547	9,275			853,433
60 PARAMOUNT	55,923	0.5461%	1,094,567	907,915	680,950	771,727	37,915			3,493,074
61 PASADENA	143,333	1.3996%	2,805,421	2,327,023	1,745,304	1,977,970	97,153			8,952,871
62 PICO RIVERA	64,046	0.6254%	1,253,557	1,039,792	779,860	883,823	43,420			4,000,452
63 POMONA	155,306	1.5165%	3,039,766	2,521,406	1,891,094	2,143,195	105,267			9,700,728
64 RANCHO PALOS VERDES	42,884	0.4187%	839,358	696,225	522,180	591,792	29,078			2,678,633
65 REDONDO BEACH	68,907	0.6728%	1,348,700	1,118,711	839,051	950,904	46,714			4,304,079
66 ROLLING HILLS	1,922	0.0188%	37,619	31,204	23,403	26,523	5,000			123,749
67 ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	8,059	0.0787%	157,737	130,839	98,131	111,213	5,477			503,396
68 ROSEMEAD	54,984	0.5369%	1,076,188	892,670	669,516	758,769	37,278			3,434,422
69 SAN DIMAS	34,231	0.3342%	669,995	555,743	416,816	472,382	23,214			2,138,150
70 SAN FERNANDO	24,486	0.2391%	479,258	397,532	298,155	337,902	16,610			1,529,458

**PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C , MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)**

LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population DOF Report 2016 data <sup>(1)</sup>	Population as % of County	Proposition A Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Proposition C Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Measure R Local Return Estimate <sup>(2)</sup>	Measure M Local Return Estimate	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike (A)	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total
								Population	Article 8 Allocation	
71 SAN GABRIEL	41,020	0.4005%	802,874	665,963	499,483	566,069	27,815			2,562,204
72 SAN MARINO	13,467	0.1315%	263,586	218,638	163,982	185,842	9,142			841,190
73 SANTA CLARITA	216,350	2.1125%	4,234,565	3,512,460	2,634,401	2,985,591	146,636	216,350	8,651,483	22,165,136
74 SANTA FE SPRINGS	18,291	0.1786%	358,005	296,956	222,722	252,413	12,411			1,142,507
75 SANTA MONICA	93,834	0.9162%	1,836,590	1,523,403	1,142,576	1,294,892	63,607			5,861,068
76 SIERRA MADRE	11,010	0.1075%	215,496	178,748	134,064	151,936	7,477			687,721
77 SIGNAL HILL	11,609	0.1134%	227,220	188,473	141,358	160,202	7,883			725,136
78 SOUTH EL MONTE	20,862	0.2037%	408,327	338,696	254,028	287,892	14,154			1,303,096
79 SOUTH GATE	98,633	0.9631%	1,930,519	1,601,315	1,201,011	1,361,118	66,859			6,160,823
80 SOUTH PASADENA	25,992	0.2538%	508,735	421,982	316,493	358,685	17,630			1,623,526
81 TEMPLE CITY	36,389	0.3553%	712,233	590,778	443,093	502,162	24,676			2,272,943
82 TORRANCE	147,101	1.4364%	2,879,171	2,388,197	1,791,185	2,029,967	99,706			9,188,227
83 VERNON (C)	209	0.0020%	4,091	3,393		2,884	5,000			15,368
84 WALNUT	30,134	0.2942%	589,805	489,228	366,929	415,844	20,437			1,882,243
85 WEST COVINA	107,813	1.0527%	2,110,197	1,750,353	1,312,792	1,487,800	73,081			6,734,223
86 WEST HOLLYWOOD	35,882	0.3504%	702,310	582,547	436,920	495,165	24,333			2,241,274
87 WESTLAKE VILLAGE	8,370	0.0817%	163,824	135,888	101,918	115,504	5,688			522,822
88 WHITTIER	87,708	0.8564%	1,716,687	1,423,947	1,067,982	1,210,355	59,455			5,478,426
89 UNINCORP LA COUNTY	1,053,030	10.2822%	20,610,696	17,096,030	12,822,292	14,531,625	1,571,018	109,504	4,378,886	71,010,546
90 <b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10,241,278</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 200,450,000</b>	<b>\$ 166,268,000</b>	<b>\$ 124,701,077</b>	<b>\$ 141,327,800</b>	<b>\$ 8,190,639</b>	<b>645,997</b>	<b>\$ 25,832,364</b>	<b>\$ 666,769,880</b>

**NOTES:**

(1) Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's (DOF) 2017 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA Article 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research.

(2) Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.

TDA Article 3 Allocation:

- (A) 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.
- (B) City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.
- (C) City of Vernon has opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

# **Amendment to the FY18 Transit Fund Allocations – STA & SB1**

Fiscal Year 2018

**July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018**

May 25, 2018

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Transit Fund Allocations  
Fiscal Year 2018**

**ATTACHMENT B**

<b>AMENDMENT TO THE FY18 REVENUE ESTIMATES (STA AND SB1 ONLY)</b>						
STATE AND LOCAL	FY18 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY16 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY16 Actual	FY18 Total Funds Available	NOTE	FY17 Total Funds Available
<b>Transportation Development Act:</b>						
Planning & Administration:						
1 Planning - Metro	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000		\$ 2,000,000
2 Planning - SCAG	3,007,500	7,521		3,015,021		3,035,559
3 Administration - Metro	3,492,500	(7,521)		3,484,979		3,464,441
4 Sub-total	8,500,000	-	-	8,500,000		8,500,000
5 Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways 2.0000%	7,850,000	20,055		7,870,055		7,924,824
6 Article 4 Bus Transit 91.6536%	359,740,268	919,051	1,416,021	362,075,340		364,667,432
7 Article 8 Streets & Highways 6.3464%	24,909,732	63,638		24,973,370		25,188,543
8 <b>Total</b>	<b>401,000,000</b>	<b>1,002,744</b>	<b>1,416,021</b>	<b>403,418,765</b>	a	<b>406,280,799</b>
<b>Proposition A:</b>						
9 Administration 5.0000%	40,100,000	6,824		40,106,824		40,357,732
10 Local Return 25.0000%	190,475,000	n/a		190,475,000	c	188,978,750
11 Rail Development 35.0000%	266,665,000	45,377		266,710,377		268,378,917
Bus Transit: 40.0000%						
12 95% of 40% Capped at CPI 1.7500%	238,937,564	n/a		238,937,564	b	234,828,073
13 95% of 40% Over CPI	50,584,436			50,584,436	d	52,419,627
14 Sub-total	289,522,000	-		289,522,000		287,247,700
15 5% of 40% Incentive	15,238,000	2,593		15,240,593		15,335,938
16 <b>Total</b>	<b>802,000,000</b>	<b>54,794</b>		<b>802,054,794</b>	a	<b>800,299,037</b>
<b>Proposition C:</b>						
17 Administration 1.5000%	12,030,000	2,144		12,032,144		12,106,982
18 Rail/Bus Security 5.0000%	39,498,500	7,039		39,505,539		39,751,257
19 Commuter Rail 10.0000%	78,997,000	14,078		79,011,078		79,502,514
20 Local Return 20.0000%	157,994,000	n/a		157,994,000	c	156,752,900
21 Freeways and Highways 25.0000%	197,492,500	35,196		197,527,696		198,756,285
22 Discretionary 40.0000%	315,988,000	56,313		316,044,313		318,010,055
23 <b>Total</b>	<b>802,000,000</b>	<b>114,770</b>		<b>802,114,770</b>	a	<b>804,879,993</b>
<b>State Transit Assistance:</b>						
24 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)	45,543,942	(14,490,130)	134,993	31,188,805	e	29,277,328
25 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)	34,257,053	(12,506,066)	41,581	21,792,568		29,665,148
26 <b>Total</b>	<b>79,800,995</b>	<b>(26,996,196)</b>	<b>176,574</b>	<b>52,981,373</b>		<b>58,942,476</b>
<b>SB1 State Transit Assistance:</b>						
27 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)	27,137,519	-	-	27,137,519	e	-
28 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)	20,412,186	-	-	20,412,186		-
29 <b>Total</b>	<b>47,549,704</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>47,549,704</b>		<b>-</b>
<b>SB1 State of Good repair:</b>						
30 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)	16,277,209	-	-	16,277,209	e	-
31 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)	12,243,323	-	-	12,243,323		-
32 <b>Total</b>	<b>28,520,532</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>28,520,532</b>		<b>-</b>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Transit Fund Allocations  
Fiscal Year 2018**

**ATTACHMENT B**

<b>AMENDMENT TO THE FY18 REVENUE ESTIMATES (STA AND SB1 ONLY)</b>						
STATE AND LOCAL	FY18 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY16 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY16 Actual	FY18 Total Funds Available	NOTE	FY17 Total Funds Available
<b>Measure R:</b>						
33 Administration	1.5000%	12,030,000	22,018	535,646		12,401,771
34 Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	276,489,500	506,039	(1,143,270)		278,588,940
35 Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	23,699,100	43,375	1,752,117		25,063,336
36 Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000%	15,799,400	28,917	(806,835)		16,099,796
37 Highway Capital	20.0000%	157,994,000	289,165	5,176,782		162,012,631
38 Operations "New Rail"	5.0000%	39,498,500	72,291	1,031,693		40,384,729
39 Operations Bus	20.0000%	157,994,000	289,165	(234,359)		158,958,494
40 Local Return	15.0000%	118,495,500	n/a	(51)	c	117,554,748
<b>41 Total</b>		<b>802,000,000</b>	<b>1,250,970</b>	<b>6,311,723</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>811,064,445</b>
<b>Measure M:</b>						
Local Return Supplemental & Administration:						
36 Administration	0.5000%	3,923,785	-	3,923,785	f	-
37 Supplemental transfer to Local Return	1.0000%	7,504,715	n/a	7,504,715	c,g	-
38 Sub-total		11,428,500		11,428,500		
39 Local Return Base	16.0000%	120,075,440	n/a	120,075,440	c,g	-
40 Metro Rail Operations	5.0000%	37,523,575	-	37,523,575		-
41 Transit Operations ( Metro & Municipal Providers)	20.0000%	150,094,300	-	150,094,300		-
42 ADA Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & Students	2.0000%	15,009,430	-	15,009,430		-
43 Transit Construction	35.0000%	262,665,025	-	262,665,025		-
44 Metro State of Good Repairs	2.0000%	15,009,430	-	15,009,430		-
45 Highway Construction	17.0000%	127,580,155	-	127,580,155		-
46 Metro Active Transportation Program	2.0000%	15,009,430	-	15,009,430		-
47 Regional Rail	1.0000%	7,504,715	-	7,504,715		-
<b>48 Total</b>		<b>761,900,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>761,900,000</b>		<b>-</b>
<b>49 Total Funds Available</b>		<b>\$ 3,724,771,231</b>	<b>\$ (24,572,918)</b>	<b>\$ 7,904,318</b>		<b>\$ 3,708,102,632</b>
<b>Total Planning &amp; Admin Allocations:</b>						
50 (Lines 4, 9, 17, 27 and 36)		\$ 76,583,785	\$ 30,985	\$ 535,646		\$ 77,150,416
				\$ 77,150,416		\$ 73,366,485

**Notes:**

- a) The revenue estimate is 2.6% over the FY17 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- b) CPI of 1.75% represents the average estimated growth rate based on various forecasting sources and historical trends applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to Included operators.
- c) Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received.
- d) Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit current year estimate will be used to fund Eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carryover is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.
- e) STA and SB1 revenue estimate from the State Controller's office is reduced by 10% for the revenue and population based share due to anticipated shortfall of FY18 revenue.
- f) Revenues for Measure M's inaugural year are estimated to approximate 95 percent of the Proposition A, C and Measure R revenues. This is based on past history with new sales tax ordinance receipts. The remaining 5 percent will carryover to FY19.
- g) Measure M provides for a total of 17% net revenues for Local Return. Supplement of 1% to be funded by 1.5% administration.



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Transit Fund Allocations  
Fiscal Year 2018**

**ATTACHMENT B**

STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS													
	Formula Allocation Procedure				Proposition C 5% Security	Proposition C 40% Discretionary	Measure R		Measure M	Senate Bill 1 <sup>(1)</sup>		Total State and Local Funds	
	TDA Article 4 + Interest	STA+ Interest	Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary	Sub-Total FAP			20% Bus Operations	Clean Fuel & Facilities		STA	State of Good Repair		
<b>Included Operators:</b>													
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 262,223,136	\$ 23,130,313	\$ 175,614,272	\$ 460,967,721	\$ 28,586,677	\$ 19,774,680	\$ 108,667,922	\$ 6,653,343	\$ 103,730,543	\$ 18,754,807	\$ 11,099,813	\$ 758,235,505
<b>Municipal Operators:</b>													
2	Arcadia	266,793	22,981	176,060	465,834	7,164	83,478	108,213	17,288	103,062	18,634	-	803,674
3	Claremont	151,235	13,027	99,802	264,064	3,104	53,534	61,342	7,368	58,422	10,563	-	458,398
4	Commerce	416,134	35,845	274,611	726,590	40,716	1,072,350	168,786	32,878	160,753	29,065	17,202	2,248,339
5	Culver City	5,172,364	445,542	3,413,301	9,031,207	374,954	2,026,429	2,097,944	140,984	1,998,085	361,260	213,808	16,244,671
6	Foothill Transit	23,116,173	1,991,204	16,562,423	41,669,800	900,983	9,440,544	9,376,067	816,437	8,929,782	1,614,533	955,542	73,703,689
7	Gardena	5,116,125	440,698	3,376,188	8,933,011	237,924	2,403,348	2,075,133	121,059	1,976,360	357,332	211,483	16,315,650
8	La Mirada	111,396	9,596	73,511	194,503	3,413	25,750	45,183	6,658	43,032	7,780	-	326,320
9	Long Beach	22,700,848	1,929,586	14,782,570	39,413,004	1,745,933	9,620,121	9,085,927	613,168	8,653,452	1,564,571	925,973	71,622,149
10	Montebello	7,932,178	683,270	5,234,533	13,849,980	470,252	3,588,089	3,217,342	190,109	3,064,202	554,017	327,889	25,261,881
11	Norwalk	2,883,178	248,354	2,181,987	5,313,519	91,421	779,954	1,169,435	55,649	1,113,772	201,373	119,181	8,844,305
12	Redondo Beach	698,276	60,149	460,800	1,219,224	26,272	222,623	283,225	27,001	269,744	48,771	28,864	2,125,724
13	Santa Monica	25,160,976	1,650,507	12,644,542	39,456,025	1,099,488	6,710,491	7,771,814	454,854	7,401,889	1,338,285	792,048	65,024,893
14	Torrance	6,126,528	527,733	4,042,964	10,697,226	252,968	3,496,989	2,484,959	134,498	2,366,679	427,903	253,249	20,114,471
15	Sub-Total	99,852,204	8,058,493	63,323,292	171,233,989	5,254,592	39,523,700	37,945,371	2,617,952	36,139,236	6,534,087	3,845,238	303,094,164
<b>Eligible Operators:</b>													
16	Antelope Valley	-	-	4,381,932	4,381,932	201,215	1,930,436	2,382,333	186,720	2,268,938	410,231	242,790	12,004,594
17	LADOT	-	-	20,963,711	20,963,711	1,308,803	7,306,995	4,869,857	356,991	4,638,060	838,576	496,301	40,779,294
18	Santa Clarita	-	-	4,444,800	4,444,800	203,699	2,376,679	2,416,512	184,995	2,301,490	416,117	246,274	12,590,564
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	4,592,402	4,592,402	-	903,740	1,066,812	-	1,016,034	183,702	108,722	7,871,412
20	Sub-Total	-	-	34,382,845	34,382,845	1,713,717	12,517,850	10,735,514	728,705	10,224,521	1,848,625	1,094,087	73,245,864
<b>Tier 2 Operators:</b>													
21	LADOT Community Dash	-	-	4,712,808	4,712,808	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,712,808
22	Glendale	-	-	674,726	674,726	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	674,726
23	Pasadena	-	-	472,954	472,954	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	472,954
24	Burbank	-	-	139,512	139,512	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	139,512
25	Sub-Total	-	-	6,000,000	6,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000,000
26	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	215,801	-	-	-	-	-	215,801
27	Total Excluding Metro	99,852,204	8,058,493	103,706,137	211,616,833	6,968,308	52,257,351	48,680,884	3,346,657	46,363,757	8,382,712	4,939,326	382,555,829
28	Los Angeles County											238,071	238,071
29	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 362,075,340</b>	<b>\$ 31,188,805</b>	<b>\$ 279,320,409</b>	<b>\$ 672,584,554</b>	<b>\$ 35,554,985</b>	<b>\$ 72,032,031</b>	<b>\$ 157,348,806</b>	<b>\$ 10,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 150,094,300</b>	<b>\$ 27,137,519</b>	<b>\$ 16,277,209</b>	<b>\$ 1,141,029,405</b>

Note:

(1) Metro will follow Measure R allocation methodology for STA and SGR portion of SB1.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Transit Fund Allocations  
Fiscal Year 2018**

**ATTACHMENT B**

<b>Senate Bill 1 - Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017</b>					
<b>Operators</b>	<b>Measure R %Share <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>SB1 - STA Allocation</b>	<b>SB1 - SGR</b>		<b>Total Funds</b>
			<b>% share <sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>\$ Allocation</b>	
<b><u>Included Operators:</u></b>					
1 Metro Bus Ops	69.1102%	\$ 18,754,807	69.2045%	\$ 11,099,813	\$ 29,854,619
2 Arcadia <sup>(2)</sup>	0.0687%	18,634	0.0000%	-	18,634
3 Claremont <sup>(2)</sup>	0.0389%	10,563	0.0000%	-	10,563
4 Commerce	0.1071%	29,065	0.1072%	17,202	46,266
5 Culver City	1.3312%	361,260	1.3330%	213,808	575,068
6 Foothill	5.9494%	1,614,533	5.9576%	955,542	2,570,075
7 Gardena	1.3167%	357,332	1.3185%	211,483	568,815
8 La Mirada <sup>(2)</sup>	0.0287%	7,780	0.0000%	-	7,780
9 Long Beach	5.7653%	1,564,571	5.7732%	925,973	2,490,544
10 Montebello	2.0415%	554,017	2.0443%	327,889	881,906
11 Norwalk	0.7420%	201,373	0.7431%	119,181	320,554
12 Redondo Beach DR	0.0056%	1,512	0.0056%	895	2,406
13 Redondo Beach MB	0.1741%	47,259	0.1744%	27,970	75,229
14 Santa Monica	4.9315%	1,338,285	4.9382%	792,048	2,130,333
15 Torrance	1.5768%	427,903	1.5789%	253,249	681,152
<b><u>Eligible Operators:</u></b>					
16 Antelope Valley	1.5117%	410,231	1.5137%	242,790	653,021
17 Santa Clarita	1.5334%	416,117	1.5355%	246,274	662,390
18 LADOT Local	2.0430%	554,428	2.0458%	328,132	882,559
19 LADOT Express	1.0471%	284,148	1.0485%	168,170	452,318
20 Foothill BSCP	0.6769%	183,702	0.6779%	108,722	292,424
21 Total Municipal Operators	30.8898%	8,382,712	30.7955%	4,939,326	13,322,038
22 County of Los Angeles				238,071	238,071
23 <b>Total Funds Allocated</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 27,137,519</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 16,277,209</b>	<b>\$ 43,176,657</b>

**Notes:**

(1) Metro will follow Measure R allocation methodology for STA and SGR portion of SB1.

(2) No SB1- SGR funds are allocated because SGR projects were not submitted.

(3) SB1 - SGR percentage share are based on Measure R allocation and subject to redistribution based on submittal of eligible project listings.

## Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies & Assumptions for Revenue Estimates

- Sales tax revenue estimate is 3.4% over FY 2018 budget based upon review of several economic forecasts.
- Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.25% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources and is applied to Proposition C Discretionary program for Included Operators, Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY 2018 allocation.
- Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, allocates formula funds to transit agencies for two different programs: 1) State of Good Repair (SGR) and 2) State Transit Assistance. SGR is a new program funded by the increase in Vehicle License Fees. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible transit agencies must comply with various reporting requirements. The second program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel. Recipients are asked to provide supplemental reporting on the augmented State Transit Assistance funding received each fiscal year to allow for transparency and accountability of all SB 1 expenditures. Recipients are asked to report on the general uses of STA expenditures. These funds are allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- Proposition A 95% of 40% growth over inflation (GOI) revenue of \$60.4 million is used to fund formula equivalents for Eligible and Tier 2 operators.
- Proposition 1B PTMISEA Bridge funding allocation represents the 2nd of three installments of FY 2015 funding allocation.
- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339, and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments.
- Federal Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS). Section 5337 is calculated based on directional route miles and vehicle revenue miles formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Operators' shares of Sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

**Bus Transit Subsidies (\$1,228.1M)****Formula Allocation Procedure (\$727.2M)**

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County Included and Eligible Operators submitted their FY 2017 Transit Performance Measures (TPM) data for the FY 2019 FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50% of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare).

In November 2008, the Board approved a Funding Stability Policy, where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

In FY 2008, the Board set aside \$18.0 million from GOI fund to provide operating assistance to Tier 2 Operators including LADOT Community Dash, Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated using the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing Included and Eligible Operators. This program was funded \$6.0 million each year for three years beginning FY 2011. With the Board's approval, we will continue to fund this program in FY 2019 in the amount of \$6.0 million.

**Measure R Allocations (\$164.7M)**

- **Measure R 20% Bus Operations (\$164.7M)**  
Measure R, approved by voters in November 2008, allocates 20% of the revenues for bus service operations, maintenance and expansion. The 20% bus operations share is allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- **Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (\$0.0M)**  
Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150.0 million over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County Municipal Operators at \$10 million every even year. No allocation this year as this is an odd year.

**Measure M 20% Transit Operations (\$166.3M)**

Measure M, approved by voters of Los Angeles County in November, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion. As defined in Section 3 of the Measure M

Ordinance, the 20% Transit Operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

### **Proposition C 5% Security (\$37.1M)**

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The unlinked boardings used for allocating these funds are based on the operators' TPM reports of LACMTA approved services. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

### **Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs (\$75.9M)**

The following programs are funded with Prop C 40% Discretionary funds:

- **Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP).** MOSIP was adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program is intended to provide bus service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by reducing overcrowding and expanding services. Funding is increased by 3% from the previous year's funding level. All Municipal Operators participate in this program and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.
- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- **Foothill Mitigation.** This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an Included Operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data is frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the BOS in November 1995.
- **Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE).** Created in 1990 to increase ridership by providing funds for additional services to relieve congestion. The TSE Program continues for eight Municipal Operators including Culver City, Foothill Transit, Gardena, Long Beach, Torrance, Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita, and LADOT for expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested corridors. Metro Operations does not participate in this program.
- **Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re).** The Base Restructuring Program continues for four Municipal Operators who added service before 1990. These operators are Commerce, Foothill Transit, Montebello and Torrance.

- **Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP).** Created in 1996 to provide additional buses on existing lines to relieve overcrowding. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators participate in this program, except for Claremont, Commerce, and La Mirada.
- **Proposition 1B Bridge Funding Program.** The Bridge Funding Program was established to compensate certain operators for the differences in State Proposition 1B allocation, which uses the State Transit Assistance (STA) allocation methodology, and the Los Angeles County Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP). Operators who would have received less or no funding under the State method are allocated with local funds if the FAP method is used. This program continues through the life of the bond as approved by the Board in September 2009. For FY 2019, Bridge Funding allocation for the Transit Modernization (PTMISEA) account represents the 2nd of three installments the operators earned from FY 2015 Proposition 1B allocation; Bridge Funding for the Security account represents the full funding earned from the FY 2015 allocation.

### **Federal Funds (\$344.8M)**

#### **Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program (\$238.5M)**

The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (49 U.S.C. 5307) makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2019, \$238.5 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. The 15% Capital Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with BOS review and concurrence.

At its April 18, 2017 meeting, the BOS allocated \$300,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCRTTC provides a training resource network comprised of Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. The funds will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

#### **Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities (\$22.0M)**

Section 5339 is a grant program authorized by 49 United States Code (U.S.C) Section 5339 as specified under the Federal Reauthorization Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century or "MAP 21". The Program provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate

and purchase buses, vans, and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2019, \$22.0 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the BOS. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

### **Section 5337 State of Good Repair (\$84.3M)**

Section 5337 provides grants for new and expanded rail, bus rapid transit, and ferry systems that reflect local priorities to improve transportation options in key corridors. This program defines a new category of eligible projects, known as core capacity projects, which expand capacity by at least 10% in existing fixed guideway transit corridors that are already at or above capacity today, or are expected to be at or above capacity within five years. The program also includes provisions for streamlining aspects of the New Starts process to increase efficiency and reduce the time required to meet critical milestones. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- **High Intensity Fixed Guideway** - provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2019, \$79.5 million is allocated to Metro and Municipal operations.
- **High Intensity Motorbus** - provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2019, \$4.7 million is allocated to Metro Operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

### **Proposition A Incentive Programs (\$15.9M)**

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region.

Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland, will continue to receive \$650,000 in subsidy.

At its May 18, 2017 meeting, the Local Transit System Subcommittee (LTSS) approved an additional \$50,000 to Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy increasing the funding level to \$300,000, and the Hollywood Bowl Shuttles subsidy remains at \$1,057,000.

### **Local Returns (\$632.7M)**

Proposition A 25% (\$200.5M)

Proposition C 20% (\$166.3M)

Measure R 15% (\$124.7M)

Measure M 17% (\$141.3M)

Local Return estimates are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M ordinances. The City of Vernon opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.

### **TDA Article 3 funds (\$8.2M)**

TDA Article 3 funds are for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities and split into two parts:

- The 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively.
- The 85% of the funds are allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.

### **TDA Article 8 funds (\$25.8M)**

TDA Article 8 funds are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. This includes allocations to Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2019 Transit Fund Allocations

**RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018-2019 FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND ALLOCATIONS**

**WHEREAS**, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is the designated Transportation Planning agency for the County of Los Angeles and is, therefore, responsible for the administration of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code Section 99200 et seq.; and

**WHEREAS**, under Chapter 2.5, Article 5, the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA) Section 6753, allocations to claimants shall be made and take effect by resolution and shall designate: 1) the fiscal year for which the allocation is made; 2) the amount allocated to the claimant for each of the purposes defined in Sections 6730 and 6731; and 3) any other terms and conditions of the allocation; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 6659 requires that allocation instructions be conveyed each year to the county auditor by written memorandum of its executive director and accompanied by a certified copy of the authorizing resolution; and

**WHEREAS**, the resolution shall also specify conditions of payment and may call for a single payment, for payments as moneys become available, or for payment by installments monthly, quarterly, or otherwise; and

**WHEREAS**, the amount of a regional entity's allocation for a fiscal year that is not allocated to claimants for that fiscal year shall be available to the regional entity for allocation in the following fiscal year; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 6754 requires that the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- a.1 The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- a.2 The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of PUC Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant.
- a.3 The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2019 Transit Fund Allocations

- a.4 The sum of the claimant's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
- a.5 Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

**WHEREAS**, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for the purposes specified in Section 6730 only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- b.1 The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244.
- b.2 A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle code, as required in PUC Section 99251. The certification shall have been completed within the last 13 month, prior to filing claims.
- b.3 The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7

**WHEREAS**, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator to exchange funds pursuant to PUC Section 99314.4(b) only if, in the resolution allocating the funds made available pursuant to PUC Section 99231, it find that the operator is eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds; and

**WHEREAS**, LACMTA staff in consultation with the Transit Operators and Cities has developed allocations in accordance with the Transportation Development Act as previously specified.

**NOW THEREFORE,**

- 1.0 The LACMTA Board of Directors approves the allocation of TDA and STA for the Fiscal Year 2018-19 to each claimant for each of the purposes as specified in Attachments A.
- 2.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that a claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan., the level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements; the claimant is making full use of federal funds

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2019 Transit Fund Allocations**

available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964; the sum of the claimant's allocations from the State Transit Assistance fund and from the Local Transportation Fund do not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year; and that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

- 3.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that, for the purposes specified in Section 6730, the operators eligible for funding have made reasonable efforts to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, has been remitted. The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7
- 4.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators listed in Attachment A are eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds.
- 5.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators may receive payments upon meeting the requirements of the STA eligibility test and submittal of TDA and STA claims.

**CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned, duly qualified and acting as the Board Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of the Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held on June, 2018.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHELE JACKSON  
Board Secretary

DATED:  
(SEAL)