

Board Report

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation
Authority
One Gateway Plaza
3rd Floor Board Room
Los Angeles, CA

File #: 2019-0243, File Type: Budget Agenda Number: 14.

FINANCE, BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE
JUNE 19, 2019

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2020 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATION

CONSIDER:

- A. APPROVING \$2.4 billion in FY20 Transit Fund Allocations for Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators and Metro operations as shown in Attachment A. These allocations comply with federal, state and local regulations and LACMTA Board approved policies and guidelines;
- B. APPROVING fund exchange of Federal Section 5307 discretionary funds awarded to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit in the amount of \$300,000 with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation;
- C. APPROVING fund exchanges in the amount totaling \$13.8 million of Metro's Federal Section 5307 share with Municipal Operators' shares of Federal Sections 5337 and 5339;
- D. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to adjust FY20 Federal Section 5307 (Urbanized Formula), Section 5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities) and Section 5337 (State of Good Repair) allocations upon receipt of final apportionments from the Federal Transit Authority and amend FY20 budget as necessary to reflect the aforementioned adjustment;
- E. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements to implement the above funding programs; and
- F. ADOPTING a resolution designating Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance (STA) fund allocations are in compliance with the terms and conditions of the allocations (Attachment C).

ISSUE

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), as the Regional Transportation Planning Entity for Los Angeles County, is responsible for planning, programming and allocating transportation funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro

Operations. LACMTA Board approval will allow the continued funding of transportation projects, programs and services in Los Angeles County.

Each year, transit operating and capital funds consisting of federal, state and local revenues are allocated to Metro operations, transit operators and Los Angeles County local jurisdictions for programs, projects and services according to federal guidelines, state laws and established funding policies and procedures. The Board of Directors must approve allocations for FY20 before funds can be disbursed.

The municipal operators are requesting fund exchanges of their Federal Sections 5339 and 5337 allocations with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 allocation in order to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with these funding programs.

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to PUC 99233.1 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Metro shall be allocated funds necessary to administer TDA funding. TDA planning and administrative funding for Metro has not increased since FY12, while demand for planning and administration has continued to grow over the last eight years. In order to keep pace with the growing planning needs, expansion of transit, and regional coordination throughout LA County, Metro will increase TDA Administration allocation by sales tax growth each year.

Pursuant to section 130004, up to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues shall be allocated to Metro and up to ¾ percent shall be allocated to Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) for transportation planning and programming process. Starting FY20, Metro will increase TDA planning allocation to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues.

FY18 AMENDMENT

On June 2018, the FY18 Transit Fund Allocations was amended to include the increased STA funds and the allocation of new SB1 funds. This amendment resulted in additional allocations for Foothill Transit Mitigation and Zero-fare Compensation program recipients in the amount of \$513,331 and is included in FY20 Transit Fund Allocations.

TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

The recommended FY20 Transit Fund Allocations are developed according to federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board. Details of significant information, methodologies and assumptions are described in Attachment B.

The Tier 2 Operators Funding Program will continue to be funded with \$6.0 million from Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary growth over inflation.

File #: 2019-0243, File Type: Budget Agenda Number: 14.

At its April 18, 2017 meeting, the Bus Operations Subcommittee awarded \$300,000 a year for three years of Federal Section 5307 15% Discretionary fund to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit. FY20 is the final year of allocation. Funds will be exchanged with Metro's share of the Transportation Development Act (TDA) fund.

Staff has reviewed the recommended allocations, related methodologies and assumptions with Metro operations, transit operators, Los Angeles County local jurisdictions, Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS) and the Local Transit Systems Subcommittee (LTSS). The TAC, BOS and LTSS have all formally adopted the recommended FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

Adoption of this item will provide funding for increased safety efforts.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The FY20 Transit Fund Allocations are included in the FY20 Budget in multiple cost centers and multiple projects. Approval of these recommendations authorizes LACMTA to disburse these funds to the Los Angeles County jurisdictions and transit operators.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal 3: Enhance Communities and lives through mobility and access to opportunity

Goal 4: Transform Los Angeles County through regional collaboration and national leadership

Goal 5: Provide responsive, accountable, and trustworthy governance within the LA Metro organization

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The Board may choose not to approve the FY20 Transit Fund Allocations. This alternative is not recommended because federal, state and local requirements, as well as prior LACMTA Board policies and guidelines require us to annually allocate funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations for programs, projects and services. Allocation methodologies and assumptions comply with federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board.

NEXT STEPS

File #: 2019-0243, File Type: Budget Agenda Number: 14.

After the Board of Directors approves the recommended allocations and adopts the resolution, we will work with Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and Metro Operations to ensure the proper disbursement of funds.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - FY20 Transit Fund Allocations

Attachment B - Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies and Assumptions

Attachment C - TDA and STA Resolution

Prepared by: Manijeh Ahmadi, Manager, Transportation Planning, (213) 922-3083

Michelle Navarro, EO, Finance, (213) 922-3056

Reviewed by: Nalini Ahuja, Chief Financial Officer, (213) 922-3088

Phillip A. Washington Chief Executive Officer

Metro Page 4 of 4 Printed on 4/4/2022



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Fiscal Year 2020 Proposed TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020

05/16/2019

FY 20

Proposed

Transit Fund Allocations

Table of Contents

I. BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES

State and Local Funds:	
Revenue Estimates	1-2
State and Local Funds Summary	3
Bus Transit Funding % Shares	4
Included and Eligible Operators Estimated Funding Levels	5
Senate Bill 1 STA and SGR Funding Allocations	6
Proposition C 5% Transit Security Funding Allocation	7
Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs	8-9
Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP).	
Zero-Fare Compensation for Commerce . Foothill Transit Mitigation .	
Transit Service Expansion . Discretionary Base Restructuring .	
BSIP Overcrowding Relief. Proposition 1B Bridge Funds - PTMISEA & SECURITY	
Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - PTMISEA (Worksheet)	10
Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - Security (Worksheet)	11
Measure R	12
Measure M	13
Tier 2 Operators Estimated Funding Levels	14
Federal Formula Grants:	
Revenue Estimates	15
Summary	16
Capital Allocation Procedure - % Share Calculation	17-18
Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program	19
Federal Section 5337 State of Good Repair	20
Federal Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities	21
II. LOCAL SUBSIDIES	
Incentive Programs	22-24
Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8	25-27



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations **Bus Transit Subsidies** STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

REVENUE ESTIMATES

STATE AND LOCAL		FY20 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY18 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY18 Actual	FY20 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY19 Total Funds Available
Transportation Development Act:							
Planning & Administration:							
Planning - Metro		\$ 4,365,000			\$ 4,365,000		\$ 2,000,000
2 Planning - SCAG		3,273,750			3,273,750		3,194,760
3 Administration - Metro		3,417,618			3,417,618		3,305,240
4	Sub-total	11,056,368			11,056,368		8,500,000
5 Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways	2.0000%	8,508,873	213,440		8,722,313		8,190,639
6 Article 4 Bus Transit	91.4022%	388,864,956	9,781,278	4,180,100	402,826,334		377,811,236
7 Article 8 Streets & Highways	6.5978%	28,069,804	677,292		28,747,096		25,832,364
8 Total		436,500,000	10,672,010	4,180,100	451,352,110	а	420,334,239
Proposition A:							
9 Administration	5.0000%	43,650,000	4,255,688		47,905,688		41,882,086
10 Local Return	25.0000%	207,337,500	n/a		207,337,500	b	200,450,000
11 Rail Development	35.0000%	290,272,500	28,300,328		318,572,828		278,515,874
Bus Transit:	40.0000%	040 004 044	- 1-		040 004 044		044 040 050
12 95% of 40% Capped at CPI 2.2800% 13 95% of 40% Over CPI		249,884,011 65,268,989	n/a n/a		249,884,011 65,268,989	c d	244,313,659 60,370,341
	Sub-total	315,153,000	- 11/a		315,153,000	u	304,684,000
15 5% of 40% Incentive		16,587,000	1,617,162		18,204,162		15,915,193
16 Total		873,000,000	34,173,178		907,173,178	а	841,447,153
Proposition C:							
17 Administration	1.5000%	13,095,000	518,181		13,613,181		12,563,535
18 Rail/Bus Security	5.0000%	42,995,250	1,701,362		44,696,612		41,250,275
19 Commuter Rail 20 Local Return	10.0000%	85,990,500	3,402,724		89,393,224	L	82,500,550
20 Local Return 21 Freeways and Highways	20.0000% 25.0000%	171,981,000 214,976,250	n/a 8,506,811		171,981,000 223,483,061	b	166,268,000 206,251,374
22 Discretionary	40.0000%	343,962,000	13,610,897		357,572,897	е	330,002,198
23 Total	10.000070	873,000,000	27,739,976		900,739,976	а	838,835,932
State Transit Assistance:		00 475 740	40.070.070	004.047	70 455 700	f	04 405 400
24 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share) 25 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		66,175,749	12,978,370 9,756,859	301,617	79,455,736		61,485,106 42,285,854
26 Total		51,830,263 118,006,012	22,735,229	157,099 458,716	61,744,221 141,199,957		103,770,960
		,		Í	,		
SB 1 State Transit Assistance:						g,f	
27 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		54,854,073	489,221	156,947	55,500,241	h	38,826,260
28 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		42,962,883	364,936	79,765	43,407,584		29,204,175
29 Total		97,816,955	854,157	236,712	98,907,824		68,030,435
SB 1 State Of Good Repair						g,f	
30 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		16,861,025	1,809,075	23,388	18,693,488	h	18,085,788
31 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		13,205,917	1,425,503	17,676	14,649,096		13,603,692
32 Total		30,066,941	3,234,578	41,064	33,342,583		31,689,480

REVENUE ESTIMATES (continued)

	STATE AND LOCAL		FY20 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY18 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY18 Actual	FY20 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY19 Total Funds Available
Measure	<u>R:</u>							
33 Ad	ministration	1.5000%	13,095,000	520,818	458,001	14,073,819		12,498,839
34 Tra	ansit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	300,966,750	11,970,127	846,522	313,783,399		289,119,183
	ansit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	25,797,150	1,026,011	415,921	27,239,082		25,915,175
	ansit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000%	17,198,100	684,007	(581,024)	17,301,083		16,150,117
37 Hig	ghway Capital	20.0000%	171,981,000	6,840,073	3,073,700	181,894,773		166,264,617
	erations "New Rail"	5.0000%	42,995,250	1,710,018	91,199	44,796,467		41,335,567
	erations Bus	20.0000%	171,981,000	6,840,073	(206,767)	178,614,306		164,684,961
	cal Return	15.0000%	128,985,750	3,990	9,902	128,999,642	b	124,701,077
41 To	tal		873,000,000	29,595,117	4,107,454	906,702,571	а	840,669,537
	cal Return Supplemental & Administration:							
42	Administration	0.5000%	4,495,950	335,105	(5,284)	4,825,771		4,346,600
43	Supplemental transfer to Local Return	1.0000%	8,599,050	n/a	n/a	8,599,050	b,i	8,313,400
44	Sub-total		13,095,000	335,105	(5,284)	13,424,821		12,660,000
45 Loc	cal Return Base	16.0000%	137,584,800	n/a	n/a	137,584,800	b,i	133,014,400
46 Me	etro Rail Operations	5.0000%	42,995,250	3,204,645	3,042	46,202,937		41,567,000
47 Tra	ansit Operations (Metro & Municipal Providers)	20.0000%	171,981,000	12,818,580	(53,858)	184,745,722		166,268,000
48 AD	A Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & Students	2.0000%	17,198,100	1,281,858	(27,634)	18,452,324		16,626,800
49 Tra	ansit Construction	35.0000%	300,966,750	22,432,516	(80,559)	323,318,707		290,969,000
50 Me	etro State of Good Repairs	2.0000%	17,198,100	1,281,858	65,788	18,545,746		16,626,800
51 Hig	ghway Construction	17.0000%	146,183,850	10,895,793	(233,298)	156,846,345		141,327,800
	etro Active Transportation Program	2.0000%	17,198,100	1,281,858	(960)	18,478,998		16,626,800
53 Re	gional Rail	1.0000%	8,599,050	640,929	48,831	9,288,810		8,313,400
54 To	tal		873,000,000	54,173,143	(283,932)	926,889,211	а	844,000,000
55 To	tal Funds Available		\$ 4,174,389,909	\$ 183,177,388	\$ 8,740,114	\$ 4,366,307,411		\$ 3,988,777,736
	tal Planning & Admin Allocations: nes 4, 9, 17, 27 and 36)		\$ 85,392,318	\$ 5,629,793	\$ 452,717	\$ 91,474,827		\$ 79,791,060

- a) The revenue estimate is 3.4% over the FY19 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- b) Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received. Carryover represents the funds that had not been spent, and past the lapsing period and will be re-allocated to all the cities based on the formula.
- c) Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.28% represents the average estimated growth rate based on various forecasting sources and historical trends applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to Included operators.
- d) Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit growth over CPI estimate will be used to fund Eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carryover is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.
- e) FY18 Transit Fund allocations were amended, resulting in an adjustment to reallocate \$513,331 to Foothill Transit Mitigation and Zero-fare Compensation fund recipients.
- f) STA Revenue estimate from the State Controller's office is reduced by 5% for the revenue base share and population-base share due to anticipated shortfall of FY20 revenue.
- 9) The SGR program is one of two programs that allocate Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, to transit agencies through the State Transit Assistance (STA) formula. The first program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel and does not require pre-approval of project list. The second portion State of Good Repair is a new program funded from the increase in Vehicle License Fee. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible agencies must comply with various reporting requirements.
- h) STA and SGR portion of SB1 will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.
- i) Measure M provides for a total of 17% net revenues for Local Return. Supplement of 1% to be funded by 1.5% Administration.

STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

			Formula Alloca	tion Procedure		Proposition C	Proposition C	Meas	ure R	Measure	Senat	e Bill 1	
	Operators	TDA Article 4 +	STA+ Interest	Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary	Sub-Total FAP	5% Security	40% Discretionary	20% Bus Operations	Clean Fuel & Facilities	M	STA	State of Good Repair	Total
	ncluded Operators:												
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 296,500,297	\$ 58,542,563	\$ 184,113,208	\$ 539,156,068	\$ 32,634,277	\$ 23,368,663	\$ 122,693,057	\$ 6,596,834	\$ 126,904,826	\$ 38,124,013	\$ 12,666,297	\$ 902,144,036
	Municipal Operators:												
2	Arcadia	361,705	71,345	224,375	657,425	6,630	104,793	149,524	17,409	154,657	46,461	15,436	1,152,335
3	Claremont	139,086	27,434	86,279	252,799	2,650	50,124	57,496	5,779	59,470	17,866	5,936	452,120
4	Commerce	469,201	92,548	291,058	852,806	42,323	1,241,555	193,961	33,048	200,619	60,269	20,024	2,644,606
5	Culver City	5,749,508	1,134,065	3,566,575	10,450,148	404,087	2,154,335	2,376,766	141,775	2,458,354	738,525	245,367	18,969,357
6	Foothill Transit	26,695,630	5,265,596	16,560,017	48,521,244	1,042,060	10,010,062	11,035,597	838,277	11,414,423	3,429,055	1,139,267	87,429,985
7	Gardena	5,845,949	1,153,088	3,626,399	10,625,436	256,444	2,589,260	2,416,633	123,656	2,499,590	750,912	249,483	19,511,414
8	La Mirada	108,550	21,411	67,336	197,297	3,523	24,614	44,873	6,427	46,413	13,943	4,632	341,722
9	Long Beach	25,485,868	4,967,803	15,623,472	46,077,142	1,978,899	10,306,518	10,411,483	618,031	10,768,885	3,235,126	1,074,836	84,470,920
10	Montebello	8,840,232	1,743,697	5,483,834	16,067,763	479,886	3,826,638	3,654,427	186,899	3,779,875	1,135,528	377,267	29,508,284
11	Norwalk	3,400,348	670,704	2,109,327	6,180,378	121,378	886,560	1,405,656	67,180	1,453,909	436,775	145,114	10,696,949
12	Redondo Beach	805,958	158,972	499,958	1,464,888	31,052	243,991	333,172	32,682	344,609	103,525	34,395	2,588,314
13	Santa Monica	21,599,175	4,260,343	13,398,549	39,258,067	1,095,506	7,215,446	8,928,794	457,486	9,235,299	2,774,415	921,770	69,886,783
14	Torrance	6,824,827	1,346,167	4,233,624	12,404,619	310,866	3,717,603	2,821,287	140,463	2,918,136	876,649	291,257	23,480,880
15	Sub-Total	106,326,037	20,913,173	65,770,803	193,010,012	5,775,304	42,371,498	43,829,668	2,669,112	45,334,240	13,619,050	4,524,784	351,133,668
	Eligible Operators:												
16	Antelope Valley	-	-	5,640,301	5,640,301	202,892	2,109,405	2,851,883	183,390	2,949,781	886,156	294,416	15,118,224
17	LADOT	-	-	23,983,643	23,983,643	1,392,629	7,658,544	5,454,803	362,859	5,642,054	1,694,953	563,130	46,752,615
18	Santa Clarita	-	-	5,093,227	5,093,227	221,849	2,399,593	2,575,268	187,805	2,663,671	800,205	265,859	14,207,477
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	5,318,480	5,318,480		928,624	1,209,627	-	1,251,151	375,863	124,877	9,208,623
20	Sub-Total		-	40,035,652	40,035,652	1,817,370	13,096,166	12,091,580	734,054	12,506,657	3,757,177	1,248,282	85,286,939
	Tier 2 Operators:					.,0,0.0	10,000,100	12,001,000	70.,00	12,000,001	3,737,777	1,210,202	
21	LADOT Community Dash	-	-	4,824,381	4,824,381	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,824,381
22	Glendale	-	-	701,316	701,316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	701,316
23	Pasadena	-	-	348,922	348,922	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348,922
24	Burbank	-	-	125,382	125,382	-	-	-	-		-	-	125,382
25	Sub-Total	-	-	6,000,000	6,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000,000
26	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	226,796	-	-	-	-	-	226,796
27	Total Excluding Metro	106,326,037	20,913,173	111,806,455	239,045,664	7,592,674	55,694,460	55,921,249	3,403,166	57,840,896	17,376,227	5,773,066	442,647,403
- 1	County of Los Angeles	100,020,001	20,010,170	111,000,700	200,040,004	1,002,014	55,554,400	55,521,240	0, 100, 100	57,540,000	11,010,221	254,124	254,124
-	Grand Total	\$ 402,826,334	\$ 79,455,736	\$ 295,919,663	\$ 778,201,732	\$ 40,226,951	\$ 79.063.124	\$ 178,614,306	\$10,000 000	\$ 184,745,722	\$ 55,500,241	\$ 18,693,488	

BUS TRANSIT FUNDING PERCENTAGE SHARES

								_			
	Operators	Vehicle Service Miles (VSM)	Passenger	Base	Fare Units	Fare Units Prior to Fare	Fare Units	Sum 50% VSM +	Proposition A	DAR Cap	TDA/STA Share
		(1)	Revenue (\$) (1)	Fare (\$)			Used in FAP (2)	50% Fare	Base Share	Adjustment (3)	
						decrease		Units			
	Included Operators										
1	Metro Bus Ops.(4)	72,653,000	212,840,000	\$ 1.75	121,622,857	197,161,600	197,161,600	134,907,300	73.6795%	0.0000%	73.6795%
2	Arcadia DR	86,608	5,730	0.50	11,460	72,829	72,829	79,719	0.0435%	0.0000%	0.0435%
3	Arcadia MB	154,997	7,192	0.50	14,384		14,384	84,691	0.0463%	0.0000%	0.0463%
4	Claremont	44,600	45,600	2.50	18,240	81,840	81,840	63,220	0.0345%	0.0000%	0.0345%
5	Commerce	426,540	-	-	-	-	-	213,270	0.1165%	0.0000%	0.1165%
6	Culver City	1,553,543	2,844,747	1.00	2,844,747	3,673,208	3,673,208	2,613,376	1.4273%	0.0000%	1.4273%
7	Foothill	10,047,408	13,444,608	1.50	8,963,072	14,221,000	14,221,000	12,134,204	6.6271%	0.0000%	6.6271%
8	Gardena	1,610,823	2,228,499	1.00	2,228,499	3,703,600	3,703,600	2,657,212	1.4512%	0.0000%	1.4512%
9	La Mirada	64,692	33,988	1.00	33,988		33,988	49,340	0.0269%	0.0000%	0.0269%
10	Long Beach	6,923,461	13,769,460	1.25	11,015,568	15,972,456	15,972,456	11,447,959	6.2523%	0.0000%	6.2523%
11	Montebello	2,180,904	4,024,999	1.10	3,659,090	5,855,556	5,855,556	4,018,230	2.1946%	0.0000%	2.1946%
12	Norwalk	997,113	1,155,621	1.25	924,497	2,094,068	2,094,068	1,545,591	0.8441%	0.0000%	0.8441%
13	Redondo Beach DR	54,042	10,980	1.00	10,980		10,980	32,511	0.0178%	0.0000%	0.0178%
14	Redondo Beach MB	366,851	300,806	1.00	300,806		300,806	333,829	0.1823%	0.0000%	0.1823%
15	Santa Monica	4,974,000	11,603,000	1.25	9,282,400	14,661,333	14,661,333	9,817,667	5.3619%	0.0000%	5.3619%
	Torrance	1,694,300	2,025,800	1.00	2,025,800	4,510,000	4,510,000	3,102,150	1.6942%	0.0000%	1.6942%
17	Sub-Total	103,832,882	264,341,030		162,956,388	,,	262,367,648	183,100,265	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%
	Eligible Operators										
	Antelope Valley	3,166,832	4,849,941	1.50	3,233,294	3,543,241	3,543,241	3,355,037	1.7126%	0.0000%	1.7126%
	Santa Clarita	2,866,266	3,192,972	1.00	3,192,972	0.707.500	3,192,972	3,029,619	1.5465%	0.0000%	1.5465%
	LADOT Local	1,695,256	3,229,770	0.50	6,459,540	6,727,520	6,727,520	4,211,388	2.1497%	0.0000%	2.1497%
	LADOT Express Foothill - BSCP	1,258,765 1,216,905	3,220,511 1,505,991	1.50 1.50	2,147,007 1,003,994	3,152,832 1,650,000	3,152,832 1,650,000	2,205,799 1,433,453	1.1260% 0.7264%	0.0000% 0.0000%	1.1260% 0.7264%
22	Sub-Total	10,204,024	15,999,185	1.30	16,036,807	1,000,000	18,266,565	14,235,295	7.2612%	0.0000%	7.2612%
23	Sub-Total	10,204,024	10,000,100		10,000,001		10,200,000	17,200,200	1.2012/0	0.000070	1.201270
24	Total	114,036,906	280,340,215		178,993,195		280,634,213	197,335,560			
24	Notas	114,030,300	200,040,210		170,993,193		200,034,213	191,333,300			

⁽¹⁾ Operators' statistics exclude BSIP, TSE, Base Restructuring and MOSIP services that are funded from PC 40% Discretionary. Also excluded are services funded from other sources (CRD, FTA, etc.)

⁽²⁾ Fare units used are frozen to the level prior to fare change in accordance with the Funding Stability Policy, adopted by the Board in November 2007.

⁽³⁾ TDA cap of 0.25% is applied for DAR operators - Arcadia, Claremont,La Mirada and Redondo Beach DR.

⁽⁴⁾ MTA Statistics include contracted services with LADOT for Lines 422, 601 and 602 (Consent Decree Lines), Glendale and Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA).

INCLUDED & ELIGIBLE OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

			TD.	A Article 4 plus i	nteres	st	STA		Proposition A	Total
	Operators	TDA & STA	Allocated	Fund Exchange		Net	Rev Base Share	Prop A Disc %		Formula
		% Shares	Allocated	Fund Exchang	je	ivet	Plus Interest	Shares	Discretionary (1)	Funds
	Included Operators									
1	Metro Bus Ops	73.6795%	\$ 296,800,297	\$ (300,00	00) \$	296,500,297	\$ 58,542,563	73.6795%	\$ 184,113,208	\$ 539,156,068
2	Arcadia DR	0.0435%	175,383			175,383	34,594	0.0435%	108,795	318,772
3	Arcadia MB	0.0463%	186,322			186,322	36,751	0.0463%	115,580	338,653
4	Claremont	0.0345%	139,086			139,086	27,434	0.0345%	86,279	252,799
5	Commerce	0.1165%	469,201			469,201	92,548	0.1165%	291,058	852,806
6	Culver City	1.4273%	5,749,508			5,749,508	1,134,065	1.4273%	3,566,575	10,450,148
7	Foothill	6.6271%	26,695,630			26,695,630	5,265,596	6.6271%	16,560,017	48,521,244
8	Gardena	1.4512%	5,845,949			5,845,949	1,153,088	1.4512%	3,626,399	10,625,436
9	La Mirada	0.0269%	108,550			108,550	21,411	0.0269%	67,336	197,297
10	Long Beach	6.2523%	25,185,868	300,00	00	25,485,868	4,967,803	6.2523%	15,623,472	46,077,142
11	Montebello	2.1946%	8,840,232			8,840,232	1,743,697	2.1946%	5,483,834	16,067,763
12	Norwalk	0.8441%	3,400,348			3,400,348	670,704	0.8441%	2,109,327	6,180,378
13	Redondo Beach DR	0.0178%	71,525			71,525	14,108	0.0178%	44,369	130,002
14	Redondo Beach MB	0.1823%	734,433			734,433	144,864	0.1823%	455,589	1,334,886
15	Santa Monica	5.3619%	21,599,175		-	21,599,175	4,260,343	5.3619%	13,398,549	39,258,067
16	Torrance	1.6942%	6,824,827			6,824,827	1,346,167	1.6942%	4,233,624	12,404,619
17	Sub-Total	100.0000%	402,826,334		-	402,826,334	79,455,736	100.0000%	249,884,011	732,166,080
	Eligible Operators		F	ormula Equivale	nt Fun	nded from Prop	osition A 95% of 40	0% Growth over CPI	(2)	
10	Antelope Valley	1.7126%	_				1,360,766	1.7126%	4,279,535	\$ 5,640,301
	Santa Clarita	1.5465%	_			_	1,228,780	1.5465%	, -,	5,093,227
	LADOT Local	2.1497%	8,659,723			8,659,723	1,708,093	2.1497%		15,739,675
	LADOT Express	1.1260%	4,535,703			4,535,703	894,648	1.1260%	, ,	8,243,969
	Foothill - BSCP	0.7264%	2,926,145			2,926,145	577,169	0.7264%	, ,	5,318,480
23	Sub-Total	7.2612%	16,121,571		_	16,121,571	5,769,455	7.2612%		40,035,652
	Total FAP	1.201270	\$ 402,826,334		\$			107.2612%		\$ 772,201,732
24	Proposition A Discretionary (95%	/ of 409/ \ C==	, , ,		φ	702,020,334	ψ 13,433,130	107.2012/0	Ψ 2-3,00-,011	Ψ 112,201,132
25	Revenue	6 UI 4U%) Gr	will Over CPI:							\$ 65,268,989
20	Uses of Fund:									Ţ 00,200,000
00	Eligible Operators - Formula Ed	auivoloat Eusak	,							40,035,652
26	Tier 2 Operators	quivalent rund	5							6,000,000
27	Total Uses of Funds									46,035,652
28		of 400/) COL S	urnlus (Chartfall)							
29	, ,	,	urpius (Snortiali)							19,233,337
30	Backfill from (Transfer to) PC40%	Discretionary								(19,233,337)
										\$ -

- (1) Prop A Discretionary funds, (95% of 40%) allocated to Included Operators have been capped at 2.28% CPI for FAP allocation.
- (2) Formula Equivalent funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators in lieu of Section 9, TDA, STA and Prop A 40% Discretionary funds. Fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI.

Senate Bill 1 - Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017

	Operators	Measure R %Share ⁽¹⁾	SB1 - STA Allocation	SB1 - SGR Allocation ⁽²⁾	Total
	Included Operators:				
1	Metro Bus Ops	68.6916%	\$ 38,124,013	\$ 12,666,297	\$ 50,790,311
2	Arcadia	0.0837%	46,461	15,436	61,897
3	Claremont	0.0322%	17,866	5,936	23,801
4	Commerce	0.1086%	60,269	20,024	80,293
5	Culver City	1.3307%	738,525	245,367	983,892
6	Foothill	6.1785%	3,429,055	1,139,267	4,568,322
7	Gardena	1.3530%	750,912	249,483	1,000,395
8	La Mirada	0.0251%	13,943	4,632	18,576
9	Long Beach	5.8290%	3,235,126	1,074,836	4,309,962
10	Montebello	2.0460%	1,135,528	377,267	1,512,795
11	Norwalk	0.7870%	436,775	145,114	581,889
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0166%	9,187	3,052	12,240
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1700%	94,338	31,343	125,681
14	Santa Monica	4.9989%	2,774,415	921,770	3,696,185
15	Torrance	1.5795%	876,649	291,257	1,167,907
	Eligible Operators:				
16	Antelope Valley	1.5967%	886,156	294,416	1,180,572
17	Santa Clarita	1.4418%	800,205	265,859	1,066,064
18	LADOT Local	2.0042%	1,112,342	369,564	1,481,906
19	LADOT Express	1.0497%	582,611	193,566	776,178
20	Foothill BSCP	0.6772%	375,863	124,877	500,740
21	Total Municipal Operators	31.3084%	17,376,227	5,773,066	23,149,294
22	County of Los Angeles			254,124	254,124
23	Total Funds Allocated	100.0000%	\$ 55,500,241	\$ 18,693,488	\$ 74,193,728

- (1) STA and SGR portion of SB1 will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.
- (2) Preliminary estimates. Subject to the submittal of eligible projects.

PROPOSITION C 5% TRANSIT SECURITY FUNDING ALLOCATION

	Operators		FY18 Unlinked Passengers	Percent of Total Unlinked Passengers	Total ⁽¹⁾
1	Antelope Valley		2,442,282	0.5044%	\$ 202,892
2	Arcadia		79,809	0.0165%	6,630
3	Claremont		31,900	0.0066%	2,650
4	Commerce		509,461	0.1052%	42,323
5	Culver City		4,864,138	1.0045%	404,087
6	Foothill		12,543,650	2.5905%	1,042,060
7	Gardena		3,086,911	0.6375%	256,444
8	LADOT Local/Express		16,763,577	3.4619%	1,392,629
9	La Mirada		42,407	0.0088%	3,523
10	Long Beach		23,820,716	4.9193%	1,978,899
11	Montebello		5,776,558	1.1929%	479,886
12	Norwalk		1,461,068	0.3017%	121,378
13	Redondo Beach DR/MB		373,790	0.0772%	31,052
14	Santa Clarita		2,670,472	0.5515%	221,849
15	Santa Monica		13,187,000	2.7233%	1,095,506
16	Torrance		3,742,000	0.7728%	310,866
17	;	Sub-Total	91,395,739	18.8746%	7,592,674
18	Metro Bus/Rail Ops ⁽²⁾		392,830,493	81.1254%	32,634,277
19	Total		484,226,232	100.0000%	\$ 40,226,951

Notes:

Estimated Revenue: \$ 44,696,612

90% Thereof: \$ 40,226,951

(2) Metro operations data includes unlinked passengers for bus and rail.

⁽¹⁾ Total funding is 90% of Prop C 5% Transit Security:

PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS

			MOSIP			Foothill	Transit	Discretionary	BSIP	Prop 1B Bri	dge Funding	
	Operators	Prop A % Share	% Share	\$ Allocation	Zero-fare Compensation (1)	Transit Mitigation	Service Expansion	Base Restructuring	Overcrowding Relief	PTMISEA	SECURITY	Total
	INCLUDED OPERATORS											
1	Metro Bus Ops			\$ -	\$ -	\$11,223,858	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,144,805	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,368,663
2	Arcadia	0.0898%	0.2674%	68,280	-	13,596	-	-	22,917	-	-	104,793
3	Claremont	0.0345%	0.1028%	26,256	-	5,294	-	-	-	15,138	3,436	50,124
4	Commerce	0.1165%	0.3468%	88,572	872,970	17,732	-	262,281	-	-	-	1,241,555
5	Culver City	1.4273%	4.2502%	1,085,352	-	217,384	252,811	-	176,666	344,025	78,097	2,154,335
6	Foothill	6.6271%	19.7342%	5,039,417	-	-	349,912	2,099,785	977,602	1,257,810	285,536	10,010,062
7	Gardena	1.4512%	4.3215%	1,103,558	-	220,836	726,670	-	184,424	288,321	65,452	2,589,260
8	La Mirada	0.0269%	0.0802%	20,491	-	4,123	-	-	-	-	-	24,614
9	Long Beach	6.2523%	18.6181%	4,754,414	-	951,907	2,399,092	-	865,966	1,088,123	247,015	10,306,518
10	Montebello	2.1946%	6.5350%	1,668,798	-	334,214	-	1,197,790	228,588	323,752	73,495	3,826,638
11	Norwalk	0.8441%	2.5136%	641,894	-	128,324	-	-	59,144	46,615	10,582	886,560
12	Redondo Beach DR/MB	0.2001%	0.5958%	152,143	-	30,436	-	-	4,198	46,628	10,585	243,991
13	Santa Monica	5.3619%	15.9667%	4,077,343	-	816,279	-	-	837,826	1,209,442	274,556	7,215,446
14	Torrance	1.6942%	5.0451%	1,288,344	-	258,023	850,852	762,154	252,966	248,786	56,477	3,717,603
15	Sub-Total	26.3205%	78.3775%	20,014,863	872,970	2,998,149	4,579,337	4,322,010	3,610,297	4,868,640	1,105,232	42,371,498
	ELIGIBLE OPERATORS											
16	Antelope Valley	1.7126%	5.0998%	1,302,315	-	46,261	396,211	-	50,287	256,175	58,155	2,109,405
17	Santa Clarita	1.5465%	4.6052%	1,175,999	-	42,606	207,230	-	53,790	749,763	170,204	2,399,593
18	LADOT Local/Express	3.2757%	9.7544%	2,490,941	-	465,544	2,846,487	-	157,670	1,383,771	314,131	7,658,544
19	Foothill BSCP	0.7264%	2.1631%	552,377	-	-	-	-	-	306,637	69,610	928,624
20	Sub-Total	7.2612%	21.6225%	5,521,633	-	554,410	3,449,928	-	261,748	2,696,347	612,100	13,096,166
21	City of Lynwood Trolley						226,796	-	-			226,796
22	Total Municipal Operators	33.5818%	100.0000%	25,536,495	872,970	3,552,560	8,256,062	4,322,010	3,872,045	7,564,987	1,717,331	55,694,460
23	Total	33.5818%	100.0000%	\$25,536,495	\$ 872,970	\$14,776,417	\$8,256,062	\$ 4,322,010	\$ 16,016,851	\$ 7,564,987	\$ 1,717,331	\$ 79,063,124

Last Year	\$24,792,714	\$8,072,020	\$ 4,225,665	\$ '	15,659,807
% Increase (2)	3.00%	2.280%	2.280%		2.280%
Current Year	\$25,536,495	\$8,256,062	\$ 4,322,010	` \$\$	16,016,851

⁽¹⁾ Allocated as part of FAP to Commerce as compensation for having zero passenger revenues.

⁽²⁾ CPI of 2.28% is applied to Proposition C Discretionary programs: Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY2019 allocation.

Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs FISCAL YEAR 2020

		Zero	-fare Compen	sation	Foothill	Transit Mitigati	on	Total
	Operators	FY18 ⁽¹⁾	FY20	Total FY20 allocation	FY18 ⁽¹⁾	FY20	Total FY20 allocation	Carryover from FY18
	INCLUDED OPERATORS							
1	Metro Bus Ops				366,644	10,857,213	11,223,858	366,644
2	Arcadia				364	13,231	13,596	364
3	Claremont				206	5,088	5,294	206
4	Commerce	20,163	852,806	872,970	568	17,164	17,732	20,731
5	Culver City				7,062	210,322	217,384	7,062
6	Foothill				-	-	-	-
7	Gardena				6,986	213,850	220,836	6,986
8	La Mirada				152	3,971	4,123	152
9	Long Beach				30,586	921,321	951,907	30,586
10	Montebello				10,831	323,383	334,214	10,831
11	Norwalk				3,937	124,388	128,324	3,937
12	Redondo Beach DR/MB				953	29,483	30,436	953
13	Santa Monica				26,163	790,117	816,279	26,163
14	Torrance				8,365	249,658	258,023	8,365
15	Sub-Total	20,163	852,806	872,970	96,174	2,901,975	2,998,149	116,337
	ELIGIBLE OPERATORS							
16	Antelope Valley				7,478	38,783	46,261	7,478
17	Santa Clarita				7,585	35,021	42,606	7,585
18	LADOT Local/Express				15,286	450,258	465,544	15,286
19	Foothill BSCP				-	-	-	-
20	Sub-Total				30,349	524,061	554,410	30,349
22	Total Municipal Operators	20,163	852,806	872,970	126,523	3,426,037	3,552,560	126,523
23	Total	20,163	852,806	\$ 872,970	493,167	14,283,250	14,776,417	513,331

⁽¹⁾ FY18 Transit Fund allocations were amended, resulting in additional allocations of \$513,331 to Foothill Transit Mitigation and Zero-fare Compensation funds recipients.

BRIDGE FUNDING FOR PROPOSITION 1B PTMISEA FUND

Allocation Basis - FY15

1		[A]	[B]	[0]	[D]	(E)	(E)	[0]
		[A]	[Б]	[C]	[D] (C-A)	[E]	[F] (A+E)	[G] ([E] /3)
	Operators	PTMISEA FUND	FY15 STA % Share	FAP Allocation	FAP Allocation Over (Under) STA Allocation	Bridge Funding	Total Funds	FY20 Bridge Funding (3rd of 3 Installments) (1)
	Included Operators							
1	Arcadia	\$ 132,924	0.0891%	\$ 117,917	\$ (15,007)	\$ -	\$ 132,924	\$ -
2	Claremont	40,609	0.0650%	86,023	45,414	45,414	86,023	15,138
3	Commerce	282,048	0.0921%	121,887	(160,161)	-	282,048	-
4	Culver City	873,391	1.4398%	1,905,465	1,032,074	1,032,074	1,905,465	344,025
5	Foothill	4,323,936	6.1185%	8,097,366	3,773,430	3,773,430	8,097,366	1,257,810
6	Gardena	1,014,034	1.4198%	1,878,996	864,962	864,962	1,878,996	288,321
7	La Mirada	107,067	0.0333%	44,070	(62,997)	-	107,067	-
8	Long Beach	4,904,330	6.1724%	8,168,698	3,264,368	3,264,368	8,168,698	1,088,123
9	Montebello	2,004,725	2.2487%	2,975,982	971,257	971,257	2,975,982	323,752
10	Metro Bus Ops	103,154,440	74.1778%	98,168,631	(4,985,809)	-	103,154,440	-
11	Norwalk	946,553	0.8209%	1,086,398	139,845	139,845	1,086,398	46,615
12	Redondo Beach	120,697	0.1969%	260,582	139,885	139,885	260,582	46,628
13	Santa Monica	3,529,674	5.4087%	7,158,000	3,628,326	3,628,326	7,158,000	1,209,442
14	Torrance	1,525,960	1.7170%	2,272,318	746,358	746,358	2,272,318	248,786
15	Sub-Total	122,960,388	100.0000%	132,342,333	9,381,945	14,605,919	137,566,307	4,868,640
	Eligible Operators							
16	Antelope Valley	1,265,840	1.5372%	2,034,366	768,526	768,526	2,034,366	256,175
17	Santa Clarita	-	1.6996%	2,249,290	2,249,290	2,249,290	2,249,290	749,763
18	City of Los Angeles	-	3.1368%	4,151,314	4,151,314	4,151,314	4,151,314	1,383,771
19	Foothill BSCP	-	0.6951%	919,912	919,912	919,912	919,912	306,637
20	Sub-Total	1,265,840	7.0687%	9,354,882	8,089,042	8,089,042	9,354,882	2,696,347
21	Total Municipal Operators	124,226,228	107.0687%	141,697,215	17,470,987	22,694,961	146,921,189	7,564,987
22	SCRRA	8,116,105	-	-	-	-	8,116,105	-
23	Grand Total	\$ 132,342,333	107.0687%	\$ 141,697,215	\$ 17,470,987	\$ 22,694,961	\$ 155,037,294	\$ 7,564,987

⁽¹⁾ The final appropriation of Prop 1B PTMISEA fund was made in FY 2014-15 state budget; therefore, FY20 will be the last year of prop 1B Bridge Funding.

BRIDGE FUNDING FOR PROPOSITION 1B SECURITY FUND

Allocation Basis - FY15

		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]
					(C-A)		(A+E)
	Operators	SECURITY FUND	FY15 STA % Share	FAP Allocation	FAP Allocation Over (Under) STA Allocation	FY20 Bridge Funding (1)	Total
	Included Operators						
1	Arcadia	\$ 10,058	0.0891%	\$ 8,923	\$ (1,136)	\$ -	\$ 10,058
2	Claremont	3,073	0.0650%	6,509	3,436	3,436	6,509
3	Commerce	21,343	0.0921%	9,223	(12,119)	-	21,343
4	Culver City	66,090	1.4398%	144,187	78,097	78,097	144,187
5	Foothill	327,193	6.1185%	612,729	285,536	285,536	612,729
6	Gardena	76,732	1.4198%	142,184	65,452	65,452	142,184
7	La Mirada	8,102	0.0333%	3,335	(4,767)	-	8,102
8	Long Beach	371,112	6.1724%	618,127	247,015	247,015	618,127
9	Montebello	151,698	2.2487%	225,193	73,495	73,495	225,193
10	Metro Bus Ops	7,805,715	74.1778%	7,428,438	(377,277)	-	7,805,715
11	Norwalk	71,626	0.8209%	82,208	10,582	10,582	82,208
12	Redondo Beach	9,133	0.1969%	19,718	10,585	10,585	19,718
13	Santa Monica	267,091	5.4087%	541,647	274,556	274,556	541,647
14	Torrance	115,470	1.7170%	171,947	56,477	56,477	171,947
15	Sub-Total	9,304,435	100.0000%	10,014,368	709,933	1,105,232	10,409,667
	Eligible Operators						
16	Antelope Valley	95,786	1.5372%	153,941	58,155	58,155	153,941
17	Santa Clarita	, -	1.6996%	170,204	170,204	170,204	170,204
18	City of Los Angeles	-	3.1368%	314,131	314,131	314,131	314,131
19	Foothill BSCP	-	0.6951%	69,610	69,610	69,610	69,610
20	Sub-Total	95,786	7.0687%	707,886	612,100	612,100	707,886
21	Total Municipal Operators	9,400,221	107.0687%	10,722,254	1,322,033	1,717,331	11,117,552
22	SCRRA	614,147	-	-	-	-	614,147
23	Grand Total	\$ 10,014,368	107.0687%	\$ 10,722,254	\$ 1,322,033	\$ 1,717,331	\$ 11,731,700

⁽¹⁾ The final appropriation of Prop 1B Security fund was made in FY 2014-15 state budget; therefore, FY20 will be the last year of Prop 1B Bridge Funding.

MEASURE R 20% BUS OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL ALLOCATIONS

		20	% Bus Operatio	ns	Clean Fuel Bus Capita Rolling Stock F	
	Operators	Proposition A Base Share %	MR Percentage Share	Bus Operations Allocation	Federal Section 5307 Capital Allocation Formula Share	\$ Allocation
	Included Operators:					
1	Metro Bus Ops	73.6795%	68.6916%	\$122,693,057	65.9683%	\$ 6,596,834
2	Arcadia	0.0898%	0.0837%	149,524	0.1741%	17,409
3	Claremont	0.0345%	0.0322%	57,496	0.0578%	5,779
4	Commerce	0.1165%	0.1086%	193,961	0.3305%	33,048
5	Culver City	1.4273%	1.3307%	2,376,766	1.4177%	141,775
6	Foothill	6.6271%	6.1785%	11,035,597	8.3828%	838,277
7	Gardena	1.4512%	1.3530%	2,416,633	1.2366%	123,656
8	La Mirada	0.0269%	0.0251%	44,873	0.0643%	6,427
9	Long Beach	6.2523%	5.8290%	10,411,483	6.1803%	618,031
10	Montebello	2.1946%	2.0460%	3,654,427	1.8690%	186,899
11	Norwalk	0.8441%	0.7870%	1,405,656	0.6718%	67,180
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0178%	0.0166%	29,568	0.3268%	32,682
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1823%	0.1700%	303,604	0.320070	32,002
14	Santa Monica	5.3619%	4.9989%	8,928,794	4.5749%	457,486
15	Torrance	1.6942%	1.5795%	2,821,287	1.4046%	140,463
	Eligible Operators:					
16	Antelope Valley	1.7126%	1.5967%	2,851,883	1.8339%	183,390
17	Santa Clarita	1.5465%	1.4418%	2,575,268	1.8780%	187,805
18	LADOT Local	2.1497%	2.0042%	3,579,807	3.6286%	362,859
19	LADOT Express	1.1260%	1.0497%	1,874,996	3.0200%	302,039
20	Foothill BSCP	0.7264%	0.6772%	1,209,627		
21						
22	Total Municipal Operators	33.5818%	31.3084%	55,921,249	34.0317%	3,403,166
23	Total Funds Allocated	107.2612%	100.0000%	\$178,614,306	100.0000%	\$ 10,000,000

⁽¹⁾ Clean Fuel Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Funds of \$10M will be allocated every even fiscal year.

MEASURE M 20% TRANSIT OPERATIONS

(Metro and Municipal Providers)

	Operators	Measure M ⁽¹⁾ Percentage Share	\$ Allocation
	Included Operators:		
1	Metro Bus Ops	68.6916%	\$ 126,904,826
2	Arcadia	0.0837%	154,657
3	Claremont	0.0322%	59,470
4	Commerce	0.1086%	200,619
5	Culver City	1.3307%	2,458,354
6	Foothill	6.1785%	11,414,423
7	Gardena	1.3530%	2,499,590
8	La Mirada	0.0251%	46,413
9	Long Beach	5.8290%	10,768,885
10	Montebello	2.0460%	3,779,875
11	Norwalk	0.7870%	1,453,909
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0166%	30,583
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1700%	314,026
14	Santa Monica	4.9989%	9,235,299
15	Torrance	1.5795%	2,918,136
	Eligible Operators:		
16	Antelope Valley	1.5967%	2,949,781
17	Santa Clarita	1.4418%	2,663,671
18	LADOT Local	2.0042%	3,702,694
19	LADOT Express	1.0497%	1,939,360
20	Foothill BSCP	0.6772%	1,251,151
21	Total Municipal Operators	31.3084%	57,840,896
22	Total Funds Allocated	100.0000%	\$ 184,745,722

Notes:

(1) Metro follows Measure R allocation methodology for Measure M transit operations.

TIER 2 OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

	% Shares Calculation	Vehicle Service Miles	Passenger Revenue	Base Fare	Fare Units (1)	50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	Adjustment (2)	% Share
1	LADOT Community Dash	2,594,003	\$ 3,429,875	\$ 0.50	16,808,232	9,701,118	-	4.6299%
2	Glendale	632,761	709,712	1.00	2,187,836	1,410,299	-	0.6731%
3	Pasadena	672,330	742,520	0.75	990,027	831,178	-	0.3967%
4	Burbank	309,680	194,459	1.00	194,459	252,070	-	0.1203%
5	Sub-Total	4,208,774	5,076,566		20,180,554	12,194,664		5.8200%
6	Included and Eligible Operators	114,036,906	280,340,215		178,993,195	197,335,560	-	94.1800%
7	Total	118,245,680	\$ 285,416,781		199,173,749	209,530,223	-	100.0000%
				TDA Article 4	STA Revenue Base	Proposition A		
			% Share	+ Interest	Share + Interest	Discretionary		Total
8	Funds Allocated to Included Operate	ors		\$402,826,334	\$ 79,455,736	\$ 249,884,011	\$ -	\$732,166,080
	Formula Equivalent Calculation							
9	LADOT Community Dash		4.6299%	\$ 18,650,606	\$ 3,678,751	\$ 11,569,472	\$ -	\$ 33,898,829
10	Glendale		0.6731%	2,711,329	534,798	1,681,910	-	4,928,037
11	Pasadena		0.3967%	1,597,958	315,190	991,256	-	2,904,405
12	Burbank		0.1203%	484,609	95,587	300,616	-	880,812
13	Total		5.8200%	\$ 23,444,502	\$ 4,624,326	\$ 14,543,255	\$ -	\$ 42,612,083
	Funds Allocated to Tier 2 Operate	ors	14.08% (3)					
	Actual Allocation							
14	LADOT Community Dash			\$ 2,626,101	\$ 517,987	\$ 1,629,041	\$ 51,252	\$ 4,824,381
15	Glendale			381,769	75,302	236,822	7,423	\$ 701,316
16	Pasadena			225,001	44,380	139,574	(60,033)	\$ 348,922
17	Burbank			68,235	13,459	42,328	1,359	\$ 125,382
18	Total			\$ 3,301,106	\$ 651,129	\$ 2,047,765	\$ -	\$ 6,000,000

						1	let Prop A
		Ве	fore Tier 2	(OI Allocation		Incentive
	Prop A Incentive Allocation:	GO	I Allocation		Deduction		Allocation
19	LADOT Community Dash	\$	1,333,095	\$	(187,707)	\$	1,145,389
21	Glendale		323,780		(45,590)		278,190
22	Pasadena		303,676		(42,759)		260,917
23	Burbank		132,427		(18,646)		113,781
24	Total	\$	2,092,978	\$	(294,702)	\$	1,798,276

- (1) Funding Stability Policy is applied on LADOT and Glendale Fare Units.
- (2) Due to Pasadena's revised FY17 TPM data, adjustment has been made to FY20 allocations.
- (3) This percentage is applied as a deduction from Tier 2 Operators' Incentive Program allocations.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations **Bus Transit Subsidies** FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS REVENUE ESTIMATES

Los Angeles County Share of Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim UZA

1 Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Grants			
Estimated Revenue	<u>-</u>		\$ 245,731,656
	Estimated Revenue	Ф - 045-704-050	
2	Off the Top:	\$ 245,731,656	
3	1% Enhancement Allocation	(2,457,317)	
4		\$ 243,274,339	
5	85% Formula Allocation	\$ 206,783,189	
6	15% Discretionary Allocation	36,491,151	
7	,	\$ 243,274,339	
Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities Formula	Crento		
8 Estimated Revenue	<u>Grants.</u>		\$ 26,975,868
Section 5337 State of Good Repair (LA Count	y Share of LA UZA 2):		
High Intensity Fixed Guideway:			
9 Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generate		\$ 34,117,857	
10 Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated	d	59,836,696	
11		\$ 93,954,553	
High Intensity Motorbus:			
12 Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generate		\$ 2,646,573	
Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated	d	3,230,998	
14		\$ 5,877,571	
Section 5337 State of Good Repair Total I	Estimated Revenue		\$ 99,832,124
16 Total Federal Formula Funds Available			\$ 372,539,648

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

		Urbanized F	ormula Program (Section 5307)	Bus & B	us Facilities (Secti	on 5339)	State of	Good Repair (Sec	ction 5337)	
	Operators	FY19 \$Allocation	Fund Exchanges	Adjusted \$	FY19 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$ Allocation	FY19 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$	Total
	Included Operators:										
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$159,116,284	\$ (13,497,952)	\$ 145,618,332	\$ 18,316,692	\$ 8,659,176	\$ 26,975,868	\$94,693,348	\$ 5,138,776	\$ 99,832,124	\$ 272,426,324
	Municipal Operators:										
2	Arcadia	370,538	48,338	418,876	48,338	(48,338)	_	-	_	_	418,876
3	Claremont	123,006	16,047	139,053	16,047	(16,047)	_	_	_	_	139,053
4	Commerce	703,400	91,762	795,162	91,762	(91,762)	_	-	_	_	795,162
5	Culver City	4,916,885	393,651	5,310,535	393,651	(393,651)	_	-	_	_	5,310,535
6	Foothill Transit	21,214,226	5,900,122	27,114,348	2,327,551	(2,327,551)	_	3,572,571	(3,572,571)	_	27,114,348
7	Gardena	6,602,488	343,341	6,945,830	343,341	(343,341)	_	-	(0,012,011)	-	6,945,830
8	La Mirada	136,786	17,844	154,631	17,844	(17,844)	_	-	-	-	154,631
9	Long Beach	15,218,453	1,583,799	16,802,253	1,716,018	(1,716,018)	_	167,781	(167,781)	-	16,802,253
10	Montebello	3,977,934	518,941	4,496,875	518,941	(518,941)	_	-	-	-	4,496,875
11	Norwalk	2,595,176	186,532	2,781,707	186,532	(186,532)	-	-	-	-	2,781,707
12	Redondo Beach	695,592	90,743	786,335	90,743	(90,743)	-	-	-	-	786,335
13	Santa Monica	13,483,688	1,351,731	14,835,419	1,270,249	(1,270,249)	-	81,482	(81,482)	-	14,835,419
14	Torrance	3,525,221	390,008	3,915,230	390,008	(390,008)	-	-	-	-	3,915,230
15	Sub-Total	73,563,395	10,932,859	84,496,255	7,411,026	(7,411,026)	-	3,821,834	(3,821,834)	-	84,496,255
	Eligible Operators:										
16	Antelope Valley	243,694	590,111	833,804	31,791	(31,791)	-	558,320	(558,320)	-	833,804
17	LADOT	11,207,353	1,766,133	12,973,485	1,007,510	(1,007,510)	-	758,622	(758,622)	-	12,973,485
18	Santa Clarita	1,600,931	208,849	1,809,780	208,849	(208,849)	-	-	-	-	1,809,780
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Sub-Total	13,051,978	2,565,092	15,617,070	1,248,151	(1,248,151)		1,316,942	(1,316,942)	-	15,617,070
21	Total Excluding Metro	86,615,373	13,497,952	100,113,325	8,659,176	(8,659,176)	-	5,138,776	(5,138,776)	-	100,113,325
22	Grand Total	\$245,731,657	\$ -	\$ 245,731,657	\$ 26,975,868	\$ -	\$ 26,975,868	\$99,832,124	\$ -	\$ 99,832,124	\$ 372,539,649

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION

			MILEAGE CAL	CULATION				ACTIVE FL	EET CALC	ULATION		
	OPERATOR	Local Vehicle Miles [Input]	Express Vehicle Miles [Input]	Total Miles Weighted 60% Local/ 40% Express	1/3 Weight	Active Fleet (1) [Input]	Peak Bus Fixed Route (2) [Input]	Allowable Peak Bus (Peak+20%)	DAR Seats (3) [Input]	Bus Eqvt. (44 Seats per Bus)	Total Active Vehicle	1/3 Weight
1	Antelope Valley	2,434,273	1,141,092	1,917,001	0.7802%	75	61	73.2	0	0.0	73.2	0.6446%
2	Arcadia DR	101,391	-	60,835	0.0248%	0	0	0.0	184	4.2	4.2	0.0368%
3	Arcadia MB	179,225	-	107,535	0.0438%	8	6	7.2	0	0.0	7.2	0.0634%
4	Claremont	55,900	-	33,540	0.0137%	0	0	0.0	204	4.6	4.6	0.0408%
5	Commerce	482,465	-	289,479	0.1178%	18	14	16.8	48	1.1	17.9	0.1575%
6	Culver City	1,850,075	-	1,110,045	0.4518%	56	44	52.8	0	0.0	52.8	0.4649%
7	Foothill	10,433,630	6,972,134	9,049,032	3.6828%	356	296	355.2	0	0.0	355.2	3.1278%
8	Gardena	1,752,887	-	1,051,732	0.4280%	58	43	51.6	0	0.0	51.6	0.4544%
9	LADOT	2,863,091	2,530,745	2,730,153	1.1111%	198	170	198.0	0	0.0	198.0	1.7435%
10	La Mirada	72,021	-	43,213	0.0176%	0	0	0.0	208	4.7	4.7	0.0416%
11	Long Beach	8,001,768	-	4,801,061	1.9539%	259	197	236.4	40	0.9	237.3	2.0897%
12	Montebello	2,422,854	77,999	1,484,912	0.6043%	72	67	72.0	40	0.9	72.9	0.6420%
13	Metro Bus Ops.	82,943,000	5,382,000	51,918,600	21.1299%	2,425	1,933	2,319.6	0	0.0	2,319.6	20.4256%
14	Norwalk	1,087,204	-	652,322	0.2655%	33	24	28.8	0	0.0	28.8	0.2536%
15	Redondo Beach	478,564	-	287,138	0.1169%	20	14	16.8	75	1.7	18.5	0.1629%
16	Santa Clarita	2,254,312	1,090,941	1,788,964	0.7281%	83	69	82.8	0	0.0	82.8	0.7291%
17	Santa Monica	5,330,000	361,000	3,342,400	1.3603%	199	166	199.0	0	0.0	199.0	1.7523%
18	Torrance	1,646,700	619,300	1,235,740	0.5029%	56	48	56.0	48	1.1	57.1	0.5027%
19	TOTAL	124,389,360	18,175,211	81,903,700	33.3333%	3,916	3,152	3,766.2	847	19.3	3,785.5	33.3333%

Notes:

Include only MTA Funded Programs:

⁽¹⁾ Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode MB), Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet". LADOT's total active vehicles is reported separately.

⁽²⁾ Source: NTD Report Form S-10 "Service Non-Rail (Mode MB), Vehicles Operated in Annual Maximum Service". LADOT's figure is from TPM excluding Community Dash.

⁽³⁾ Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode DR), Seating Capacity". Redondo Beach's Seating Capacity is apportioned between FAP and non-FAP vehicles.

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION (Continued)

			FAR	E UNITS		UNLINKED PA	ASSENGERS		Re-Allocate	
	OPERATOR	Passenger Revenue [Input]	Base Fare \$ [Input]	Fare Units	1/2 of 1/3 Weight	Unlinked Passengers [Input]	1/2 of 1/3 Weight	Gross Formula Share	AVT A And Santa Clarita's Non-LA2 UZA Share	LA UZA 2 Net Formula Share
1	Antelope Valley	\$4,866,537	\$ 1.50	3,244,358	0.2977%	2,442,282	0.1115%	1.8339%	-1.7161%	0.1178%
2	Arcadia DR	6,163	0.50	12,326	0.0011%	31,263	0.0014%	0.0641%	0.0019%	0.0660%
3	Arcadia MB	6,244	0.50	12,488	0.0011%	35,965	0.0016%	0.1100%	0.0032%	0.1132%
4	Claremont	50,700	2.50	20,280	0.0019%	31,900	0.0015%	0.0578%	0.0017%	0.0595%
5	Commerce (1)	-	-	347,430	0.0319%	509,461	0.0233%	0.3305%	0.0097%	0.3402%
6	Culver City	3,041,100	1.00	3,041,100	0.2790%	4,864,138	0.2220%	1.4177%	0.0415%	1.4593%
7	Foothill	16,343,391	1.50	10,895,594	0.9996%	12,543,650	0.5726%	8.3828%	0.2455%	8.6283%
8	Gardena	2,324,257	1.00	2,324,257	0.2132%	3,086,911	0.1409%	1.2366%	0.0362%	1.2728%
9	LADOT	6,764,281	1.50	4,509,521	0.4137%	7,891,383	0.3602%	3.6286%	0.1063%	3.7349%
10	La Mirada	33,988	1.00	33,988	0.0031%	42,407	0.0019%	0.0643%	0.0019%	0.0661%
11	Long Beach	14,297,103	1.25	11,437,682	1.0494%	23,820,716	1.0873%	6.1803%	0.1810%	6.3613%
12	Montebello	4,303,782	1.10	3,912,529	0.3590%	5,776,558	0.2637%	1.8690%	0.0547%	1.9237%
13	Metro Bus Ops.	219,524,000	1.75	125,442,286	11.5090%	282,691,000	12.9038%	65.9683%	1.9319%	67.9003%
14	Norwalk	1,219,874	1.25	975,899	0.0895%	1,384,111	0.0632%	0.6718%	0.0197%	0.6915%
15	Redondo Beach	326,431	1.00	326,431	0.0299%	373,790	0.0171%	0.3268%	0.0096%	0.3364%
16	Santa Clarita	3,258,614	1.00	3,258,614	0.2990%	2,670,472	0.1219%	1.8780%	-1.1038%	0.7742%
17	Santa Monica	11,721,000	1.25	9,376,800	0.8603%	13,187,000	0.6019%	4.5749%	0.1340%	4.7088%
18	Torrance	2,487,000	1.00	2,487,000	0.2282%	3,742,000	0.1708%	1.4046%	0.0411%	1.4458%
19	TOTAL	\$290,574,465		181,658,583	16.6667%	365,125,007	16.6667%	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%

Note:

FORM FFA10, SECTION 9 STATISTICS PASSENGER MILES IS USED TO CALCULATE AVTA AND SANTA CLARITA'S RE-ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL MONIES.

		AN	ITELOPE VALLE	ΕY	SANTA CLARITA			
		Passenger		Re-Allocated	Passenger		Re-Allocated	
		Miles	%	Share	Miles	%	Share	
20	Non-LA 2 UZA (AV 123 for AVTA, AV 176 for Santa Clarita)	27,083,967	93.5738%	1.7161%	11,941,064	58.7760%	1.1038%	
21	UZA number LA 2	1,859,994	6.4262%	0.1178%	8,375,167	41.2240%	0.7742%	
22	Total	28,943,961	100.0000%	1.8339%	20,316,231	100.0000%	1.8780%	

⁽¹⁾ Commerce Fare Units are calculated as follows: ((Total Fare Units w/out MTA and Commerce) / (Total Unlinked Passengers w/out MTA and Commerce)) * Commerce Unlinked Passengers.

FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)											
	OPERATOR	LAUZA2 NET FORMULA	85% FORMULA ALLOCATION	15% DISCRETIONARY ALL	OCATION			TOTAL	TDA Fund Exchange	S5339/S5337 Fund Exchange	Total Funds Available
		SHARE	7	Project Title	Amount	Project Title	Amount				
	Antelope Valley	0.1178%	\$ 243,694					\$ 243,694		\$ 590,111	\$ 833,804
2	Arcadia	0.1792%	370,538					370,538		48,338	418,876
3	Claremont	0.0595%	123,006					123,006		16,047	139,053
4	Commerce	0.3402%	703,400					703,400		91,762	795,162
5	Culver City	1.4593%	3 (11 / 525	Facility Capacity Enhancement Project	1,632,000	Bus Stop Improvement Project	267,360	4,916,885		393,651	5,310,535
6	Foothill Transit	8.6283%	17,841,812	10 CNG Replacement Buses	3,372,414			21,214,226		5,900,122	27,114,348
7	Gardena	1.2728%		Gtrans Zero Emission Bus Replacement Project	3,746,610	Real Time Information Signage & Amenities	224,000	6,602,488		343,341	6,945,830
8	LADOT	3.7349%	7,723,058	Propane to Electric Buses	2,810,943	Solar Powered Bus Stop Arrival Information Signs	673,352	11,207,353		1,766,133	12,973,485
9	La Mirada	0.0661%	136,786					136,786		17,844	154,631
10	Long Beach Transit	6.3613%	13,154,117	LBT Bus Fleet Expansion Regional Training	1,548,336 300,000	Bus Stop Improvements	216,000	15,218,453	(1) (300,000)	1,883,799	16,802,253
11	Montebello	1.9237%	3,977,934					3,977,934		518,941	4,496,875
12	Metro Bus Ops.	67.9003%	140,406,379	Bus Facilities & Asset Improvements & BEB en Rt charging Infrastructure	18,095,576	Division 2 Historic Preservation & Rehabilitation	614,329	159,116,284	(1) 300,000	(13,797,952)	145,618,332
13	Norwalk	0.6915%		Phase II Route 7 Electric Bus Project Gap Funding	703,043	Bus Stop Beacon Replacement & ATI Digital Signs	462,276	2,595,176		186,532	2,781,707
14	Redondo Beach	0.3364%	695,592					695,592		90,743	786,335
15	Santa Clarita	0.7742%	1,600,931					1,600,931		208,849	1,809,780
16	Santa Monica	4.7088%	9,737,078	Replacement of Buses	3,746,610			13,483,688		1,351,731	14,835,419
	Torrance	1.4458%		Torrance Transit Bus Fleet Expansion	535,619			3,525,221		390,008	3,915,230
18	TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 206,783,189		\$ 36,491,151		\$ 2,457,317	\$245,731,657	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ 245,731,657
	Notes: Total may no		-								

Notes: Total may not add due to rounding.

⁽¹⁾ Last year of Federal Section 5307 15% Discretionary fund allocations to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit. Funds to the SCRTTC will be exchanged with Metro's TDA share.

FEDERAL SECTION 5337 - STATE OF GOOD REPAIR

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

	LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHARE (UZA 2)	Directional Route Miles (DRM) Allocation				evenue Miles Allocation	(VRM)	Total \$	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾	
	(VENE)	DRM	DRM%	DRM \$Allocation	VRM	VRM%	VRM \$Allocation			Available	
	High Intensity Fixed Guideway:										
1	Metro (Including Metrolink)	462.9	99.763%	\$ 34,036,974	27,318,023	98.591%	\$ 58,993,859	\$ 93,030,833	\$ 923,720	\$ 93,954,553	
2	Long Beach Transit	0.5	0.108%	36,765	60,669	0.219%	131,016	167,781	(167,781)	-	
3	Santa Monica	0.6	0.129%	44,118	17,302	0.062%	37,364	81,482	(81,482)	-	
4	Foothill Transit	-	0.000%	-	312,318	1.127%	· ·	674,457	(674,457)	-	
5	Sub-total	464.0	100.000%	34,117,857	27,708,312	100.000%	59,836,696	93,954,553	-	93,954,553	
	High Intensity Motorbus:										
6	Antelope Valley	23.6	15.003%	397,070	110,163	4.991%	161,250	558,320	(558,320)	-	
7	Foothill Transit	39.4	25.048%	662,905	1,527,057	69.180%	2,235,208	2,898,113	(2,898,113)	-	
8	LADOT	35.1	22.314%	590,558	114,819	5.202%	168,065	758,622	(758,622)	-	
9	Metro Bus Ops.	59.2	37.635%	996,040	455,325	20.628%	666,476	1,662,516	4,215,055	5,877,571	
0	Sub-total	157.3	100.00%	2,646,573	2,207,364	100.000%	3,230,998	5,877,571	-	5,877,571	
1	Total LA County Share - UZA 2	621.30		\$ 36,764,430	29,915,676	200.000%	\$ 63,067,694	\$ 99,832,124	\$ -	\$ 99,832,124	

⁽¹⁾ Operators' share of Section 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

FEDERAL SECTION 5339 - BUS AND BUS CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

	OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE	Net Formula Share	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾	
1	Antelope Valley	0.1178%	\$ 31,791	\$ (31,791)	\$ -	
2	Arcadia	0.1792%	48,338	(48,338)	-	
3	Claremont	0.0595%	16,047	(16,047)	-	
4	Commerce	0.3402%	91,762	(91,762)	-	
5	Culver City	1.4593%	393,651	(393,651)	-	
6	Foothill	8.6283%	2,327,551	(2,327,551)	-	
7	Gardena	1.2728%	343,341	(343,341)	-	
8	LADOT	3.7349%	1,007,510	(1,007,510)	-	
9	La Mirada	0.0661%	17,844	(17,844)	-	
10	Long Beach	6.3613%	1,716,018	(1,716,018)	-	
11	Montebello	1.9237%	518,941	(518,941)	-	
12	Metro Bus Ops.	67.9003%	18,316,692	8,659,176	26,975,868	
13	Norwalk	0.6915%	186,532	(186,532)	-	
14	Redondo Beach	0.3364%	90,743	(90,743)	-	
15	Santa Clarita	0.7742%	208,849	(208,849)	-	
16	Santa Monica	4.7088%	1,270,249	(1,270,249)	-	
17	Torrance	1.4458%	390,008	(390,008)	-	
18	TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 26,975,868	\$ -	\$ 26,975,868	

⁽¹⁾ Operators' share of Section 5339 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations
LOCAL SUBSIDIES

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS

(In Order of Priority)

_	DIODITY I. EVICTING OUR REGIONAL PARATRANCIT PROJECTS		EV00	Allegation
1	RIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS Agoura Hills		\$	Allocation 68,461
2	Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled		Ψ	337,251
3	Beverly Hills Taxi & Lift Van			26,019
4	Culver City Community Transit and LA County			71,805
5	Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County			187,497
6	Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge			254,031
7	Inglewood Transit and LA County			177,270
8	LA County (Whittier et al)			214,534
9	LA County (Willowbrook)			41,321
10	Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride			329,818
11	Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride			1,048,550
12	Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County			130,903
13	Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R.			40,549
14	Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit			393,482
15	Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County			451,809
16	Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About)			760,883
17	Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC)			80,877
18	Redondo Beach Community Transit and Hermosa Beach			14,952
19	Santa Clarita D.A.R.			692,936
20	West Hollywood (DAR)			253,524
21	West Hollywood (Taxi)			95,979
22	Whittier (DAR)			274,919
23		Sub-total	\$	5,947,368
Р	RIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION			
24	City of L.A Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle		\$	_
25	Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route		Ψ	_
26	Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route			_
27	Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project			_
28	. 552 245 55.1100 5511111000511 1 10j500	Sub-total	\$	-
29 P	RIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT		\$	-
30 P	RIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES		\$	-

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)

(In Order of Priority)

Pr	iority V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING			
(E	stimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)		Tier 2	FY20 Net
F	/18 NTD Report Year	Estimate	Deduction (1)	Allocation
31	City of Alhambra (MB and DR)	\$ 113,48	39	\$ 113,489
32	City of Artesia (DR)	5,5 ⁻	19	5,519
33	City of Azusa (DR)	40,40	03	40,403
34	City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR)	87,68	31	87,681
35	City of Bell (MB/DR)	23,6	17	23,617
36	City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR)	63,13	31	63,131
37	City of Bellflower (MB and DR)	42,88	39	42,889
38	City of Burbank (MB)*	132,42	27 (18,646)	113,781
39	City of Calabasas (MB and DR)	68,69	92	68,692
40	City of Carson (MB and DT)	186,63	33	186,633
41	City of Cerritos (MB)	100,28	30	100,280
42	City of Compton (MB)	54,78	36	54,786
43	City of Covina (DR)	24,9	16	24,916
44	City of Cudahy (MB and DR)	21,9	58	21,958
45	City of Downey (MB and DR)	81,19	98	81,198
46	City of Duarte (MB)	34,5	38	34,538
47	City of El Monte (MB and DR)	138,86	67	138,867
48	City of Glendora (MB and DR)	87,43	31	87,431
49	City of Glendale (MB)*	323,78	30 (45,590)	278,190
50	City of Huntington Park (MB)	-	,	· -
51	City of Los Angeles Community DASH* (MB)	1,172,90	01 (165,150)	1,007,750
52	City of Los Angeles Department of Aging (DR)	178,38	30	178,380
53	LA County Dept. of Public Works Avocado Heights (MB)	16,60	05	16,605
54	LA County Dept. of Public Works East Valinda (MB)	18,59	95	18,595
55	LA County Dept. of Public Works East LA (MB and DR)	138,8°	11	138,811
56	LA County Dept. of Public Works Willowbrook (MB)	33,19	93	33,193
57	LA County Dept. of Public Works King Medical (MB)	14,74	1 5	14,745
58	LA County Dept. of Public Works Athens (MB)	15,79	97	15,797
59	LA County Dept. of Public Works Lennnox (MB)	12,96	67	12,967
60	LA County Dept. of Public Works South Whittier (MB)	89,12	29	89,129
61	City of Lawndale (MB)	32,80	03	32,803
62	City of Lynwood (MB)	57,02	23	57,023
63	City of Malibu (DT)	22,68	36	22,686
64	City of Manhattan Beach (DR)	18,03	32	18,032
65	City of Maywood (DR)	23,72	23	23,723
66	City of Monterey Park (MB and DR)	105,75	54	105,754
67	City of Pasadena (MB)*	303,67	76 (42,759)	
68	City of Pico Rivera (DR)	9,2	15	9,215
69	City of Rosemead (MB and DR)	74,10	D1	74,101
70	City of Santa fe Springs (DR)	5,58	31	5,581
71	City of South Gate (DT and MB)	153,62		153,626
72	City of South Pasadena (DR)	15,20		15,267
73	City of West Covina (MB and DR)	98,39		98,397
74	City of West Hollywood (MB)	44,15		44,158
75		Sub-Total \$ 4,287,39	97 \$ (272,146)	\$ 4,015,252

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)

(In Order of Priority)

Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride) Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service Sub-total 300, 1,057, 29						
76	Avalon Ferry Subsidy		\$	700,000		
77	Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride)			300,000		
78	Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service			1,057,000		
79		Sub-total	\$	2,057,000		
80	Total Expenditures		\$	12,019,620		
81	Reserves for contingencies (2)			6,184,542		
82		Sub-total		18,204,162		
83	Total Estimated Revenue			18,204,162		
84	Surplus (Deficit)		\$	-		

NOTES:

- (1) Tier 2 Operators' share have been reduced by % of GOI Funding per Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.
- (2) These funds are held in reserve for future contingency purposes such as deficit years, growth over inflation, approved new or existing expanded paratransit services, and new NTD reporters.

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8

		Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M	TDA Article 3	TDA Article 8 (S & H)			
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report	as % of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike	15///	Article 8		Total
		2018 data (1)	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate	(A)	Population	Allocation		
1	AGOURA HILLS	20,858	0.2039%	\$ 422,689	\$ 350,609	\$ 262,985	\$ 298,018	\$ 15,086		\$ -	\$	1,349,388
2	ALHAMBRA	86,420	0.8447%	1,751,308	1,452,664	1,089,615	1,234,764	62,462				5,590,814
3	ARCADIA	57,506	0.5621%	1,165,364	966,638	725,057	821,643	41,569				3,720,270
4	ARTESIA	16,781	0.1640%	340,068	282,078	211,581	239,766	12,140				1,085,633
5	AVALON	3,860	0.0377%	78,223	64,884	48,668	55,151	5,000	3,860	164,382		416,309
6	AZUSA	49,606	0.4848%	1,005,269	833,845	625,451	708,768	35,860				3,209,193
7	BALDWIN PARK	76,463	0.7473%	1,549,529	1,285,293	964,074	1,092,499	55,267				4,946,662
8	BELL	36,297	0.3548%	735,562	610,129	457,646	518,610	26,243				2,348,189
9	BELLFLOWER	77,466	0.7571%	1,569,854	1,302,153	976,720	1,106,830	55,992				5,011,549
10	BELL GARDENS	42,971	0.4200%	870,811	722,315	541,794	613,967	31,065				2,779,952
11	BEVERLY HILLS	34,443	0.3366%	697,990	578,964	434,270	492,120	24,903				2,228,247
12	BRADBURY	1,068	0.0104%	21,643	17,952	13,466	15,260	5,000				73,321
13	BURBANK	107,029	1.0461%	2,168,951	1,799,088	1,349,461	1,529,225	77,355				6,924,079
14	CALABASAS	24,183	0.2364%	490,070	406,500	304,908	345,525	17,489				1,564,493
15	CARSON	93,453	0.9134%	1,893,832	1,570,884	1,178,290	1,335,251	67,544				6,045,802
16	CERRITOS	50,025	0.4889%	1,013,761	840,888	630,734	714,755	36,163				3,236,299
17	CLAREMONT	36,293	0.3547%	735,480	610,062	457,596	518,552	26,240				2,347,930
18	COMMERCE	13,061	0.1277%	264,682	219,547	164,678	186,615	9,452				844,974
19	COMPTON	99,751	0.9750%	2,021,462	1,676,749	1,257,697	1,425,237	72,095				6,453,241
20	COVINA	48,901	0.4780%	990,983	821,994	616,562	698,695	35,350				3,163,584
21	CUDAHY	24,328	0.2378%	493,009	408,938	306,736	347,597	17,594				1,573,874
22	CULVER CITY	39,847	0.3895%	807,503	669,802	502,406	569,332	28,808				2,577,850
23	DIAMOND BAR	57,245	0.5595%	1,160,074	962,251	721,766	817,913	41,380				3,703,385
24	DOWNEY	113,670	1.1110%	2,303,531	1,910,719	1,433,193	1,624,111	82,153				7,353,708
	DUARTE	21,999	0.2150%	445,811	369,789	277,372	314,321	15,911				1,423,203
26	EL MONTE	116,942	1.1430%	2,369,839	1,965,719	1,474,448	1,670,861	84,518				7,565,384
27	EL SEGUNDO	16,777	0.1640%	339,987	282,010	211,531	239,709	12,137				1,085,374
28	GARDENA	60,987	0.5961%	1,235,906	1,025,152	768,947	871,379	44,084				3,945,468
29	GLENDALE	201,705	1.9715%	4,087,567	3,390,530	2,543,171	2,881,950	145,769				13,048,987
30	GLENDORA	52,452	0.5127%	1,062,944	881,684	661,334	749,431	37,916			2000/2000/2000/2000	3,393,310
31	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	14,625	0.1429%	296,377	245,837	184,397	208,961	10,582				946,154
32	HAWTHORNE	88,706	0.8670%	1,797,634	1,491,090	1,118,438	1,267,427	64,114				5,738,703
33	HERMOSA BEACH	19,684	0.1924%	398,898	330,875	248,183	281,244	14,238				1,273,438
34	HIDDEN HILLS	1,900	0.0186%	38,504	31,938	23,956	27,147	5,000				126,544
35	HUNTINGTON PARK	59,425	0.5808%	1,204,252	998,896	749,252	849,061	42,955				3,844,417

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)

		Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M	TDA Article 3	TDA Artic	cle 8 (S & H)	
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report	as % of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike		Article 8	Total
		2016 data (1)	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate	(A)	Population	Allocation	
								\ 7	[]		
	INDUSTRY (B)	437	0.0043%	8,856	7,346	5,510	6,244	-			27,955
	INGLEWOOD	113,476	1.1091%	2,299,600	1,907,458	1,430,747	1,621,339	82,013			7,341,157
	IRWINDALE	1,414	0.0138%	28,655	23,768	17,828	20,203	5,000			95,455
	LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE	20,638	0.2017%	418,231	346,911	260,212	294,875	14,927			1,335,155
	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	5,453	0.0533%	110,505	91,661	68,753	77,912	5,000			353,832
	LAKEWOOD	81,126	0.7929%	1,644,025	1,363,675	1,022,867	1,159,124	58,637			5,248,327
	LA MIRADA	49,558	0.4844%	1,004,297	833,038	624,846	708,082	35,825			3,206,087
	LANCASTER	161,148	1.5751%	3,265,677	2,708,793	2,031,813	2,302,474	116,462	161,148	6,862,652	17,287,870
44	LA PUENTE	40,640	0.3972%	823,573	683,132	512,404	580,662	29,381			2,629,152
45	LA VERNE	33,169	0.3242%	672,172	557,549	418,207	473,917	23,982	***************************************		2,145,828
	LAWNDALE	33,580	0.3282%	680,501	564,458	423,389	479,789	24,279			2,172,417
47	LOMITA	20,659	0.2019%	418,656	347,264	260,476	295,175	14,942			1,336,514
48	LONG BEACH	477,628	4.6683%	9,679,168	8,028,616	6,022,110	6,824,323	345,154			30,899,372
49	LOS ANGELES CITY	4,021,488	39.3058%	81,495,766	67,598,593	50,704,405	57,458,804	3,297,402			260,554,972
50	LYNWOOD	71,895	0.7027%	1,456,958	1,208,508	906,479	1,027,232	51,966		***************************************	4,651,143
51	MALIBU	12,939	0.1265%	262,210	217,496	163,140	184,872	9,364			837,081
52	MANHATTAN BEACH	35,961	0.3515%	728,752	604,481	453,410	513,809	26,000			2,326,452
53	MAYWOOD	28,021	0.2739%	567,848	471,015	353,299	400,363	20,262			1,812,786
54	MONROVIA	38,735	0.3786%	784,968	651,110	488,385	553,444	28,004			2,505,911
55	MONTEBELLO	64,142	0.6269%	1,299,843	1,078,185	808,726	916,457	46,364			4,149,575
56	MONTEREY PARK	62,154	0.6075%	1,259,556	1,044,768	783,661	888,053	44,927			4,020,965
57	NORWALK	107,251	1.0483%	2,173,450	1,802,819	1,352,260	1,532,397	77,515			6,938,441
58	PALMDALE	158,658	1.5507%	3,215,217	2,666,938	2,000,419	2,266,897	114,662	158,658	6,756,613	17,020,745
59	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	13,508	0.1320%	273,741	227,061	170,314	193,002	9,775			873,892
60	PARAMOUNT	55,909	0.5465%	1,133,000	939,794	704,921	798,825	40,415			3,616,955
61	PASADENA	143,379	1.4014%	2,905,587	2,410,108	1,807,775	2,048,591	103,622			9,275,682
62	PICO RIVERA	64,170	0.6272%	1,300,410	1,078,656	809,079	916,858	46,384			4,151,387
63	POMONA	154,718	1.5122%	3,135,372	2,600,709	1,950,742	2,210,602	111,815			10,009,240
64	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	42,628	0.4166%	863,860	716,549	537,470	609,067	30,818			2,757,762
65	REDONDO BEACH	68,602	0.6705%	1,390,225	1,153,155	864,959	980,182	49,587			4,438,107
66	ROLLING HILLS	1,938	0.0189%	39,274	32,577	24,435	27,690	5,000			128,975
67	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	8,106	0.0792%	164,269	136,257	102,203	115,818	5,871			524,418
68	ROSEMEAD	54,940	0.5370%	1,113,363	923,506	692,704	784,980	39,714			3,554,267
69	SAN DIMAS	34,471	0.3369%	698,557	579,435	434,623	492,520	24,923			2,230,059
70	SAN FERNANDO	24,560	0.2400%	497,710	412,838	309,662	350,912	17,761			1,588,883

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)

		Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M	TDA Article 3	TDA Arti	cle 8 (S & H)	
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report	as % of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike		Article 8	Total
		2016 data (1)	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate	(A)	Population	Allocation	
71	SAN GABRIEL	40,781	0.3986%	826,430	685,502	514,182	582,677	29,483		***************************************	2,638,274
72	SAN MARINO	13,255	0.1296%	268,614	222,808	167,124	189,387	9,592			857,524
73	SANTA CLARITA	215,348	2.1048%	4,364,044	3,619,860	2,715,187	3,076,881	155,627	215,348	9,170,814	23,102,412
74	SANTA FE SPRINGS	18,217	0.1781%	369,169	306,216	229,687	260,284	13,178			1,178,533
75	SANTA MONICA	92,305	0.9022%	1,870,568	1,551,587	1,163,816	1,318,849	66,715			5,971,534
76	SIERRA MADRE	10,973	0.1072%	222,369	184,449	138,352	156,782	7,943			709,894
77	SIGNAL HILL	11,555	0.1129%	234,163	194,232	145,690	165,097	8,364			747,546
78	SOUTH EL MONTE	20,864	0.2039%	422,811	350,710	263,061	298,104	15,091			1,349,776
79	SOUTH GATE	98,047	0.9583%	1,986,930	1,648,106	1,236,213	1,400,890	70,864			6,343,003
80	SOUTH PASADENA	26,026	0.2544%	527,419	437,480	328,145	371,858	18,821			1,683,723
81	TEMPLE CITY	36,236	0.3542%	734,325	609,104	456,877	517,738	26,199			2,344,242
82	TORRANCE	149,157	1.4579%	3,022,678	2,507,232	1,880,627	2,131,147	107,797			9,649,481
83	VERNON	209	0.0020%	4,235	3,513	2,635	2,986	5,000			18,370
84	WALNUT	30,151	0.2947%	611,012	506,819	380,155	430,796	21,801			1,950,583
85	WEST COVINA	108,289	1.0584%	2,194,485	1,820,268	1,365,348	1,547,227	78,265			7,005,593
86	WEST HOLLYWOOD	35,818	0.3501%	725,855	602,077	451,607	511,766	25,897			2,317,201
87	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	8,353	0.0816%	169,274	140,408	105,318	119,347	6,050			540,398
88	WHITTIER	87,117	0.8515%	1,765,433	1,464,380	1,098,403	1,244,723	62,966			5,635,905
89	UNINCORP LA COUNTY	1,054,744	10.3090%	21,374,469	17,729,559	13,298,602	15,070,126	1,675,470	136,022	5,792,635	74,940,861
90	TOTAL	10,231,271	100.0000%	\$207,337,500	\$171,981,000	\$128,999,642	\$146,183,850	\$8,722,313	675,036	\$28,747,096	\$ 691,971,400

NOTES:

TDA Article 3 Allocation:

- (A) 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.
- (B) City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.

⁽¹⁾ Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's (DOF) 2018 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA Article 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research.

⁽²⁾ Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.

Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies & Assumptions for Revenue Estimates

- Sales tax revenue estimate is 3.4% over FY 2019 budget based upon review of several economic forecasts.
- Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.28% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources and is applied to Proposition C Discretionary program for Included Operators, Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY 2019 allocation.
- Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, allocates formula funds to transit agencies for two different programs: 1) State of Good Repair (SGR) and 2) State Transit Assistance. SGR is a new program funded by the increase in Vehicle License Fees. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible transit agencies must comply with various reporting requirements. The second program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel. Recipients are asked to provide supplemental reporting on the augmented State Transit Assistance funding received each fiscal year to allow for transparency and accountability of all SB 1 expenditures. Recipients are asked to report on the general uses of STA expenditures. These funds are allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- Pursuant to PUC 99233.1 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Metro shall be allocated funds necessary to administer TDA funding. TDA planning and administrative funding for Metro has not increased since FY12, while demand for planning and administration has continued to grow over the last eight years. In order to keep pace with the growing planning needs, expansion of transit, and regional coordination throughout LA County, Metro will increase TDA Administration allocation by sales tax growth each year.
- Pursuant to section 130004, up to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues shall be allocated to Metro and up to ¾ percent shall be allocated to Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) for transportation planning and programming process. Starting FY20, Metro will increase TDA planning allocation to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues.
- Proposition A 95% of 40% growth over inflation (GOI) revenue of \$65.3 million is used to fund formula equivalents for Eligible and Tier 2 operators.
- Proposition 1B PTMISEA and Security Bridge funding allocation represents the final installments of FY 2015 funding allocation.

- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339, and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments.
- Federal Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS). Section 5337 is calculated based on directional route miles and vehicle revenue miles formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Operators' shares of Sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

Bus Transit Subsidies (\$1,345.0M)

Formula Allocation Procedure (\$778.2M)

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County Included and Eligible Operators submitted their FY 2017 Transit Performance Measures (TPM) data for the FY 2020 FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50% of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare).

In November 2008, the Board approved a Funding Stability Policy, where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

In FY 2008, the Board set aside \$18.0 million from GOI fund to provide operating assistance to Tier 2 Operators including LADOT Community Dash, Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated using the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing Included and Eligible Operators. This program was funded \$6.0 million each year for three years beginning FY 2011. With the Board's approval, we will continue to fund this program in FY 2020 in the amount of \$6.0 million.

Measure R Allocations (\$179.6M)

• Measure R 20% Bus Operations (\$178.6M)

Measure R, approved by voters in November 2008, allocates 20% of the revenues for bus service operations, maintenance and expansion. The 20% bus operations

share is allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (\$10.0M)
 Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150.0 million over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County Municipal Operators at \$10 million every even year.

Measure M 20% Transit Operations (\$184.7M)

Measure M, approved by voters of Los Angeles County in November, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion. As defined in Section 3 of the Measure M Ordinance, the 20% Transit Operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

Proposition C 5% Security (\$40.2M)

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The unlinked boardings used for allocating these funds are based on the operators' TPM reports of LACMTA approved services. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs (\$79.1M)

The following programs are funded with Prop C 40% Discretionary funds:

- Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP). MOSIP was
 adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program is intended to provide bus
 service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by
 reducing overcrowding and expanding services. Funding is increased by 3% from
 the previous year's funding level. All Municipal Operators participate in this
 program and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.
- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- Foothill Mitigation. This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an Included Operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data is frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the BOS in November 1995.

- Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE). Created in 1990 to increase
 ridership by providing funds for additional services to relieve congestion. The
 TSE Program continues for eight Municipal Operators including Culver City,
 Foothill Transit, Gardena, Long Beach, Torrance, Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita,
 and LADOT for expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested
 corridors. Metro Operations does not participate in this program.
- Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re). The Base Restructuring Program continues for four Municipal Operators who added service before 1990. These operators are Commerce, Foothill Transit, Montebello and Torrance.
- Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP). Created in 1996 to provide additional buses on existing lines to relieve overcrowding. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators participate in this program, except for Claremont, Commerce, and La Mirada.
- Proposition 1B Bridge Funding Program. The Bridge Funding Program was established to compensate certain operators for the differences in State Proposition 1B allocation, which uses the State Transit Assistance (STA) allocation methodology, and the Los Angeles County Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP). Operators who would have received less or no funding under the State method are allocated with local funds if the FAP method is used. This program continues through the life of the bond as approved by the Board in September 2009. For FY 2020, Bridge Funding allocation for the Transit Modernization (PTMISEA) and Security Bridge funding account represents the final installments the operators earned from FY 2015 Proposition 1B allocation.

Federal Funds (\$372.5M)

Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program (\$245.7M)

The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (49 U.S.C. 5307) makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2020, \$245.7 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. The15% Capital Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with BOS review and concurrence.

At its April 18, 2017 meeting, the BOS allocated \$300,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCRTTC provides a training resource network comprised of

Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. FY 2020 is the final year of allocating this fund to the SCRTTC. The funds will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities (\$27.0M)

Section 5339 is a grant program authorized by 49 United States Code (U.S.C) Section 5339 as specified under the Federal Reauthorization Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century or "MAP 21". The Program provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses, vans, and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2020, \$27.0 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the BOS. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Section 5337 State of Good Repair (\$99.8M)

Section 5337 provides grants for new and expanded rail, bus rapid transit, and ferry systems that reflect local priorities to improve transportation options in key corridors. This program defines a new category of eligible projects, known as core capacity projects, which expand capacity by at least 10% in existing fixed guideway transit corridors that are already at or above capacity today, or are expected to be at or above capacity within five years. The program also includes provisions for streamlining aspects of the New Starts process to increase efficiency and reduce the time required to meet critical milestones. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- High Intensity Fixed Guideway provides capital funding to maintain a system
 in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of
 public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue
 estimates for FY 2020, \$94.0 million is allocated to Metro and Municipal
 operations.
- High Intensity Motorbus provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2020, \$5.9 million is allocated to Metro Operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Proposition A Incentive Programs (\$18.2M)

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region.

Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland, will continue to receive \$700,000 in subsidy.

At its May 16, 2017 meeting, the Local Transit System Subcommittee (LTSS) approved an additional \$50,000 to Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy increasing the funding level to \$300,000, and the Hollywood Bowl Shuttles subsidy remains at \$1,057,000.

Local Returns (\$692.0M)

Proposition A 25% (\$207.3M) Proposition C 20% (\$172.0M) Measure R 15% (\$129.0M) Measure M 17% (\$146.2M)

Local Return estimates are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M ordinances.

TDA Article 3 funds (\$8.7M)

TDA Article 3 funds are for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities and split into two parts:

- The 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively.
- The 85% of the funds are allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and

the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.

TDA Article 8 funds (\$28.7M)

TDA Article 8 funds are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. This includes allocations to Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2020 Transit Fund Allocations

RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND ALLOCATIONS

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is the designated Transportation Planning agency for the County of Los Angeles and is, therefore, responsible for the administration of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code Section 99200 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, under Chapter 2.5, Article 5, the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA) Section 6753, allocations to claimants shall be made and take effect by resolution and shall designate: 1) the fiscal year for which the allocation is made; 2) the amount allocated to the claimant for each of the purposes defined in Sections 6730 and 6731; and 3) any other terms and conditions of the allocation; and

WHEREAS, Section 6659 requires that allocation instructions be conveyed each year to the county auditor by written memorandum of its executive director and accompanied by a certified copy of the authorizing resolution; and

WHEREAS, the resolution shall also specify conditions of payment and may call for a single payment, for payments as moneys become available, or for payment by installments monthly, quarterly, or otherwise; and

WHEREAS, the amount of a regional entity's allocation for a fiscal year that is not allocated to claimants for that fiscal year shall be available to the regional entity for allocation in the following fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, Section 6754 requires that the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- a.1 The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- a.2 The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of PUC Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant.
- a.3 The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2020 Transit Fund Allocations

- a.4 The sum of the claimant's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
- a.5 Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for the purposes specified in Section 6730 only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- b.1 The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244.
- b.2 A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle code, as required in PUC Section 99251. The certification shall have been completed within the last 13 month, prior to filing claims.
- b.3 The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator to exchange funds pursuant to PUC Section 99314.4(b) only if, in the resolution allocating the funds made available pursuant to PUC Section 99231, it find that the operator is eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds; and

WHEREAS, LACMTA staff in consultation with the Transit Operators and Cities has developed allocations in accordance with the Transportation Development Act as previously specified.

NOW THEREFORE.

- 1.0 The LACMTA Board of Directors approves the allocation of TDA and STA for the Fiscal Year 2019-20 to each claimant for each of the purposes as specified in Attachments A.
- 2.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that a claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan., the level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements; the claimant is making full use of federal funds

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2020 Transit Fund Allocations

available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964; the sum of the claimant's allocations from the State Transit Assistance fund and from the Local Transportation Fund do not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year; and that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

- 3.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that, for the purposes specified in Section 6730, the operators eligible for funding have made reasonable efforts to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, has been remitted. The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7
- 4.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators listed in Attachment A are eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds.
- 5.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators may receive payments upon meeting the requirements of the STA eligibility test and submittal of TDA and STA claims.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, duly qualified and acting as the Board Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of the Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held on June, 2019.

	MICHELE JACKSON
	Board Secretary
DATED:	•
(SEAL)	