

Board Report

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation
Authority
One Gateway Plaza
3rd Floor Board Room
Los Angeles, CA

File #: 2020-0548, File Type: Informational Report

Agenda Number: 11.

FINANCE, BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 16, 2020

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2021 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATION

CONSIDER:

- A. APPROVING \$2.1 billion in FY 2021 Transit Fund Allocations for Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators and Metro operations as shown in **Attachment A**. These allocations comply with federal, state and local regulations and LACMTA Board approved policies and guidelines;
- B. APPROVING fund exchanges in the estimated amount of \$2,813,249 of Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation with Municipal Operators' shares of Low Carbon Transit Operations Program. Funding will be adjusted based on LCTOP actual allocations:
- C. APPROVING fund exchanges in the estimated amount of \$267,928 of Metro's Prop C 40% allocation with Antelope Valley's shares of Low Carbon Transit Operations Program. Funding will be adjusted based on LCTOP actual allocations:
- D. APPROVING fund increases from \$6.0 million to \$9.0 million in FY 2021 for Tier 2 Operators. This allocation includes CARES ACT Equivalent Supplemental Funding as approved by the LACMTA Board of Directors;
- E. APPROVING the execution of local funding exchanges as appropriate in order to implement the Board approved CARES Act allocations;
- F. APPROVING fund exchange of Federal Section 5307 discretionary fund awarded to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit in the amount of \$330,000 with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation;
- G. APPROVING fund exchanges in the amount totaling \$14.0 million of Metro's Federal Section 5307 share with Municipal Operators' shares of Federal Sections 5337 and 5339;
- H. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to adjust FY 2021 Federal Section 5307 (Urbanized Formula), Section 5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities) and Section 5337 (State of Good Repair) allocations upon receipt of final apportionments from the Federal Transit Authority and amend FY 2021 budget as necessary to reflect the aforementioned adjustment;
- AUTHORIZING a \$1.26 million allocation to LIFE Program Administrators, FAME Assistance Corporation (FAME) and the International Institute of Los Angeles (IILA) to fund the FY21 Taxi Voucher component of the LIFE Program;
- J. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements to implement the

File #: 2020-0548, File Type: Informational Report Agenda Number: 11.

above funding programs; and

K. ADOPTING a resolution designating Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance (STA) fund allocations are in compliance with the terms and conditions of the allocations (**Attachment B**).

ISSUE

Each year, transit operating and capital funds consisting of federal, state and local revenues are allocated to Metro operations, transit operators and Los Angeles County local jurisdictions for programs, projects and services according to federal guidelines, state laws and established funding policies and procedures. The Board of Directors must approve allocations for FY 2021 before funds can be disbursed.

In May of 2020, in the midst of the pandemic, the Metro Board adopted a Continuing Resolution to extend the FY20 budget for one quarter into FY 2021 and authorized the extension of annual subsidies. The FY 2021 Transit Fund Allocations incorporates the continuing resolution and covers July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021.

The Municipal operators are requesting fund exchanges of their Federal Sections 5339 and 5337 allocations with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 allocation in order to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with these funding programs.

BACKGROUND

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), as the Regional Transportation Planning Entity for Los Angeles County, is responsible for planning, programming and allocating transportation funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations. LACMTA Board approval will allow the continued funding of transportation projects, programs and services in Los Angeles County.

DISCUSSION

With the implementation of the Safer at Home order in March, nonessential businesses were closed, and all residents were directed to leave their homes only for essential activities. As a result, consumer spending has plummeted, transit ridership and fare revenues have dropped dramatically, and a significant reduction is anticipated in resources available to operate and maintain Los Angeles County's transit mobility network.

The revenue received from local and state derived sales tax measures, the basis of our investment in mobility, is estimated to decline by a total of \$1.060 billion over FY20 and FY21. These unprecedented revenue losses affect every component of Los Angeles County's transportation investment program, including Metro's bus and rail operations, municipal transit operators, the 89 local jurisdictions throughout Los Angeles County, local community-based operators, and other partnerships throughout the county. Metro continues to pursue stimulus and recovery subsidies from FEMA, Federal, and State governments. The Federal CARES Act signed on March 27, 2020 provided \$1 Billion for LA county transit operators on a reimbursement basis.

In order to provide CARES Act relief to transit operators throughout Los Angeles County, Metro staff proposed, and the Board approved, the CARES allocation methodology, based on offsetting and mitigating the loss of sales tax revenues, fares and other revenues. The goal of the CARES allocation method is to ensure that funding for transit operations throughout the county is maintained and supported at pre-COVID funding levels, as intended under the CARES Act. Each operator will receive their full FY20 funding allocation as adopted by the Metro Board. Each operator is also proposed to receive funding equal to levels originally estimated for FY21, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, each operator is expected to be "held harmless" in relation to the reduction of sales tax revenues anticipated for FY20 and FY21.

Agenda Number: 11.

In FY21, in order to offset the estimated sales tax revenue losses and minimize future fiscal disruptions, Metro staff has proposed to deviate from traditional policy and incorporate the FY20 sales tax revenue losses with FY21 total funds available instead of including the FY20 loss in FY22. With the availability of CARES Act funding, operators should see significantly reduced variability in funding.

Staff has also proposed that Proposition C Discretionary programs and Metro TDA administrative allocations remain at FY19 funding levels in order to maintain funding and mitigate the reduction in sales tax revenues.

Transit Fund Allocations

The recommended FY 2021 Transit Fund Allocations are developed according to federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board. Details of significant information, methodologies and assumptions are described in **Attachment C**.

The Tier 2 Operators Funding Program will receive \$9.0 million of funding from Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary growth over inflation. This allocation includes a total of \$4,534,038 in CARES ACT Equivalent Supplemental Funding as approved by the LACMTA Board of Directors, and the CARES funds will be exchanged with local funds.

The Sub-Regional Paratransit operators, Voluntary NTD Reporting agencies, Avalon Ferry, Avalon Transit Services and Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Services will receive \$7,027,059 in CARES Act Equivalent Supplemental Funding as approved by the LACMTA Board of Directors, and the CARES funds will be exchanged with local funds.

At its April 21, 2020 meeting, the Bus Operations Subcommittee awarded \$330,000 a year for three years of Federal Section 5307 15% Discretionary fund to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit. Funds will be exchanged with Metro's share of the Transportation Development Act (TDA) fund.

Staff has reviewed the recommended allocations, related methodologies and assumptions with Metro operations, transit operators, Los Angeles County local jurisdictions, Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS) and the Local Transit Systems Subcommittee (LTSS). The TAC, BOS and LTSS have all formally adopted the recommended FY 2021 Transit Fund Allocations.

Low Income Fares is Easy (LIFE) Program

The LIFE program, in addition to the provision of fare subsidies, provides Taxi Vouchers to individuals with short term/immediate need transit services who are otherwise unable to use fixed route transit. Taxi Vouchers and their required reimbursements to Taxi providers are managed by the program administrators and distributed to the rider, through approved agencies such as hospitals and shelters, to provide trips categorized by mobility or health limitations, urgency or safety. Funding to accommodate Taxi reimbursements and voucher printing are to be allocated as follows: \$840 thousand to FAME, and \$420 thousand to IILA.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

Adoption of this item will provide funding for increased safety efforts.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The FY 2021 Transit Fund Allocations are included in the FY 2021 Budget in multiple cost centers and multiple projects. Approval of these recommendations authorizes LACMTA to disburse these funds to the Los Angeles County jurisdictions and transit operators.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The Board may choose not to approve the FY 2021 Transit Fund Allocations. This alternative is not recommended because federal, state and local requirements, as well as prior LACMTA Board policies and guidelines require an annual allocation of funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations for programs, projects and services. Allocation methodologies and assumptions comply with federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board.

NEXT STEPS

Upon Board approval of the recommended allocations and adoption of the resolution, we will work with Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and Metro Operations to ensure the proper disbursement of funds.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - FY 2021 Transit Fund Allocations

Attachment B - TDA and STA Resolution

Attachment C - Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies and Assumptions

Prepared by: Manijeh Ahmadi, Manager, Transportation Planning, (213) 922-3083

Drew Philips, Senior Director, Finance, (213) 922-2109

Michelle Navarro, Executive Officer, Finance (213) 922-3056

Reviewed by: Nalini Ahuja, Chief Financial Officer, (213) 922-3088

Phillip A. Washington Chief Executive Officer



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Fiscal Year 2021 PROPOSED

TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

July 1, 2020 - June 30, 2021

FY 2021

PROPOSED

TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY2021 Transit Fund Allocation

Table of Contents

Summary of FY21 Funding Including State, Local and CARES Act. Funds	1
I. BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES	
State and Local Funds:	
Revised Revenue Estimates	2-3
COVID Impact on FY20 and FY21 Revenue Estimates	4-5
State and Local Funds Summary	6
Bus Transit Funding % Shares	7
ncluded and Eligible Operators Estimated Funding Levels	8
Senate Bill 1 STA and SGR Funding Allocations	9
Proposition C 5% Transit Security Funding Allocation	10
Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs	11
Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) .	
Zero-Fare Compensation for Commerce . Foothill Transit Mitigation .	
Transit Service Expansion . Discretionary Base Restructuring .	
BSIP Overcrowding Relief	
Low Carbon Transit Operations Program Fund Exchange	12
Measure R 20% Bus Operation Allocations	13
Measure M 20% Transit Operations	14
Fier 2 Operators Estimated Funding Levels	15
II. LOCAL SUBSIDIES	
ncentive Programs	16-18
Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8	19-21
III. FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS	
Revenue Estimates	22
Summary	23
Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program	24
Federal Section 5337 State of Good Repair	25
Federal Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities	26
Capital Allocation Procedure - % Share Calculation	27-28
V. BOARD APPROVED CARES ACT ALLOCATIONS	
Summary of CARES Act Allocation/ Distribution	29

SUMMARY OF FY21 FUNDING INCLUDING STATE, LOCAL AND CARES ACT FUNDS

				FY21 Allocation	s	Increase from
	Operators	FY21 PRE-COVID	REVISED	CARES Allocations (1)	Total	Pre-Covid Draft Fund Allocations
	Included Operators:					
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 890,125,761	\$ 723,004,103	\$ 334,196,361	\$ 1,057,200,465	\$ 167,074,704
	Municipal Operators:					
2	Arcadia	1,196,979	989,896	413,118	1,403,014	206,035
3	Claremont	429,078	353,754	153,038	506,792	77,714
4	Commerce	2,670,918	2,265,903	834,418	3,100,321	429,403
5	Culver City	18,577,340	15,334,708	6,573,119	21,907,828	3,330,488
6	Foothill Transit	85,977,073	71,274,592	29,856,920	101,131,512	15,154,439
7	Gardena	19,091,131	15,828,389	6,650,248	22,478,637	3,387,506
8	La Mirada	354,804	293,186	123,098	416,284	61,480
9	Long Beach	82,602,264	68,512,304	29,203,837	97,716,141	15,113,877
10	Montebello	29,317,307	24,302,352	10,175,268	34,477,621	5,160,314
11	Norwalk	10,557,624	8,674,333	3,807,217	12,481,550	1,923,926
12	Redondo Beach	2,556,693	2,106,426	906,679	3,013,105	456,412
13	Santa Monica	68,349,769	56,324,285	24,360,412	80,684,697	12,334,928
14	Torrance	23,386,156	19,510,687	7,910,110	27,420,798	4,034,642
15	Sub-Total	345,067,136	285,770,816	120,967,484	406,738,300	61,671,164
	Eligible Operators:					
16	Antelope Valley (2)	14,886,831	12,809,223	4,239,043	17,048,266	2,161,435
17	LADOT	45,809,622	37,950,831	15,831,270	53,782,101	7,972,479
18	Santa Clarita	12,793,553	10,957,365	3,776,194	14,733,559	1,940,006
19	Foothill BSCP	8,796,547	7,234,447	3,160,600	10,395,047	1,598,500
20	Sub-Total	82,286,553	68,951,866	27,007,107	95,958,973	13,672,420
	Tier 2 Operators:					
21	LADOT Community Dash	4,779,942	3,557,840	3,612,073	7,169,913	2,389,971
22	Glendale	693,977	516,546	524,420	1,040,966	346,989
23	Pasadena	404,420	301,021	305,610	606,631	202,211
24	Burbank	121,660	90,555	91,935	182,490	60,830
25	Sub-Total	6,000,000	4,465,962	4,534,038	9,000,000	3,000,000
26	Lynwood Trolley	232,058	221,741	15,325	237,066	5,008
	<u>-</u>					
27	Total Excluding Metro	433,585,747	359,410,385	152,523,953	511,934,338	78,348,591
28	County of Los Angeles	59,212	59,212	-	59,212	
29	Grand Total	\$ 1,323,770,720	\$1,082,473,700	\$ 486,720,315	\$1,569,194,015	\$ 245,423,295

⁽¹⁾ CARES Act Funds are FTA 5307 grant funds provided under this heading, are eligible for the operating expenses of transit agencies related to the response to a coronavirus public health emergency as described in section 319 of the Public Health Service Act. As approved by LACMTA Board of Directors in May 2020, Municipal Operators are allocated Supplemental Relief in the amount of estimated FY20 and FY21 sales tax losses.

⁽²⁾ Per agreement between AVTA and Metro, CARES Act funds (\$4.2M) will be transferred to Metro to support Fixed Guideway operations.

Bus Transit Subsidies STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

REVISED REVENUE ESTIMATES

	STATE AND LOCAL	STATE AND LOCAL				Carryover FY19 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY19 Actual	FY21 Total Funds	N O T E
Transp	ortation Development Act:								
	Planning & Administration:								
1	Planning - Metro			\$ 3,890,500	\$ (456,500)			\$ 3,434,000	
2	Planning - SCAG			2,917,875	(342,375)			2,575,500	
3	Administration - Metro			3,305,240	(112,378)			3,192,862	
4		Sub-total		10,113,615	(911,253)			9,202,362	
5 A	Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways		2.0000%	7,578,728	(894,775)	(24,138)	88,900	6,748,715	
6 A	Article 4 Bus Transit		91.3933%	346,322,624	(40,892,211)	(1,103,020)	4,062,447	308,389,840	
7 A	Article 8 Streets & Highways		6.6067%	25,035,033	(2,951,761)	(79,735)	293,667	22,297,204	
8 T	Total			389,050,000	(45,650,000)	(1,206,893)	4,445,014	346,638,121	а
Propos	sition A:								
9 A	Administration		5.0000%	38,905,000	(4,565,000)	127,414		34,467,414	
	ocal Return		25.0000%	184,798,750	n/a	n/a		184,798,750	b
	Rail Development		35.0000%	258,718,250	(30,357,250)	847,301		229,208,301	
	Bus Transit:		40.0000%						
12	95% of 40% Capped at CPI 2.3000%			255,631,343	(00.050.000)	n/a		255,631,343	
13	95% of 40% Over CPI	0		25,262,757	(32,959,300)	n/a -		(7,696,543)) d
14		Sub-total		280,894,100	(32,959,300)	-		247,934,800	
15	5% of 40% Incentive			14,783,900	(1,734,700)	48,417		13,097,617	
16 T	Total Total			778,100,000	(69,616,250)	1,023,132		709,506,882	а
Propos	sition C:								
	Administration		1.5000%	11,671,500	(1,369,500)	38,184		10,340,184	
	Rail/Bus Security		5.0000%	38,321,425	(4,496,525)	125,370		33,950,270	
	Commuter Rail		10.0000%	76,642,850	(8,993,050)	250.740		67.900.540	
20 L	ocal Return		20.0000%	153,285,700	n/a	n/a		153,285,700	b
21 F	reeways and Highways		25.0000%	191,607,125	(22,482,625)	626,850		169,751,350	
22 D	Discretionary		40.0000%	306,571,400	(35,972,200)	1,002,959		271,602,159	
23 T	Total Total			778,100,000	(73,313,900)	2,044,102		706,830,202	а
State T	ransit Assistance:								
	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)			49,286,000	(9,090,749)	13,410,305	730,993	54,336,549	C
	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)			37,786,000	(8,010,263)	11,963,627	434,110	42,173,474	
26 T				87,072,000	(17,101,012)	25,373,932	1,165,103	96,510,023	
	tate Transit Assistance:			40.070.000	(7.500.070)	10.510.070	000 470	40.005.477	f,e
	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)			40,272,000	(7,536,073)	10,546,370	603,179	43,885,477	g
28 F	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)			30,875,000 71,147,000	(6,639,883) (14,175,955)	9,465,031 20,011,401	358,206 961.385	34,058,354 77,943,831	+-
_ 29 I	Viai			71,147,000	(14,175,955)	20,011,401	301,365	11,943,031	+
	tate Of Good Repair								f
30 E	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)			14,367,000	3,519,975	(777,927)	440,333	17,549,382	g
l	(5110 55515 5 1 61)			11,008,000	2,436,083	(41,775)	350,208	13,752,517	1
31 F	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)			25,375,000	5,956,059	(819,701)	790,541	31,301,899	+

REVISED REVENUE ESTIMATES (continued)

STATE AND LOCAL		FY21 Estimated Revenue	FY20 Impact	Carryover FY19 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY19 Actual	FY21 Total Funds	N O T E
Measure R:							
33 Administration	1.5000%	11,671,500	(1,369,500)	41,900	1,334,498	11,678,398	
34 Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	268,249,975	(31,475,675)	963,000	5,333,401	243,070,701	
35 Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	22,992,855	(2,697,915)	82,543	713,874	21,091,356	
36 Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000%	15,328,570	(1,798,610)	55,029	(1,150,671)	12,434,317	
37 Highway Capital	20.0000%	153,285,700	(17,986,100)	550,285	7,767,252	143,617,137	
38 Operations "New Rail"	5.0000%	38,321,425	(4,496,525)	137,571	(280,529)	33,681,942	
39 Operations Bus	20.0000%	153,285,700	(17,986,100)	550,285	(850,176)	134,999,710	
40 Local Return	15.0000%	114,964,275	n/a	n/a	n/a	114,964,275	b
41 Total		778,100,000	(77,810,425)	2,380,613	12,867,649	715,537,837	а
Measure M:							
Local Return Supplemental & Administration:							
42 Administration	0.5000%	4.007.215	(470,195)	(40,307)	83,101	3,579,814	
43 Supplemental transfer to Local Return	1.0000%	7,664,285	n/a	n/a `	n/a	7,664,285	b.h
44 Sub-total		11,671,500	(470,195)	(40,307)	83,101	11,244,099	
45 Local Return Base	16.0000%	122,628,560	n/a	n/a	n/a	122,628,560	h h
	5.0000%	38,321,425	(4,496,525)	(385,462)	6.537	33.445.975	0,11
·	20.0000%	153,285,700	(4,496,525)	(365,462)	(655,282)	133.102.471	
47 Transit Operations (Metro & Municipal Providers) 48 ADA Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & Students	2.0000%	15,328,570	(1,798,610)	(1,541,647)	535,177	13,910,953	
49 Transit Construction	35.0000%	268,249,975	(31,475,675)	(2,698,233)	8,796,954	242,873,021	
50 Metro State of Good Repairs	2.0000%	15,328,570	(1,798,610)	(2,090,233)	(66,879)	13,308,897	
51 Highway Construction	17.0000%	130,292,845	(15,288,185)	(1,310,570)	` ' '	119,229,734	
52 Metro Active Transportation Program	2.0000%	15,328,570	(1,798,610)	(154,185)	518.906	13,894,681	
53 Regional Rail	1.0000%	7,664,285	(899,305)	(77,092)	111,752	6,799,640	
54 Total	1.000070	778,100,000	(76,011,815)	(6,516,065)	14,865,911	710,438,030	а
55 Total Funds Available		\$ 3,685,044,000		•	, ,	\$ 3,394,706,825	
						·	
Total Planning & Admin Allocations:							
56 (Lines 4, 9, 17, 33 and 42)		\$ 76,368,830	\$ (8,685,448)	\$ 167,190	\$ 1,417,599	\$ 69,268,172	<u> </u>

- a) The revenue estimate is projected to decline 14.5% over the FY20 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- b) Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received. Carryover represents the funds that had not been spent, and past the lapsing period and will be re-allocated to all the cities based on the formula.
- c) Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.30% represents the average estimated growth rate based on various forecasting sources and historical trends applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to Included operators.
- d) Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit growth over CPI estimate will be used to fund Eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carryover is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.
- e) STA Revenue estimate from the State Controller's office is reduced by 14.2% for the revenue base share and population-base share due to anticipated shortfall of FY21 revenue
- f) The SGR program is one of two programs that allocate Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, to transit agencies through the State Transit Assistance (STA) formula. The first program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel and does not require pre-approval of project list. The second portion State of Good Repair is a new program funded from the increase in Vehicle License Fee. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible agencies must comply with various reporting requirements.
- g) STA and SGR portion of SB1 will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.
- h) Measure M provides for a total of 17% net revenues for Local Return. Supplement of 1% to be funded by 1.5% Administration.

COVID IMPACT ON FY20 and FY21 REVENUE ESTIMATES

	COVID IIVIFACI	Oiti izo ai	IGT TETTLEV	LINUL LOT IIVI	71.20		
			FY20 ⁽¹⁾			FY21 ⁽²⁾	
STATE AND LOCAL		PRE-COVID FY20 Total Funds	REVISED FY20 Total Funds	COVID Impact	PRE-COVID FY21 Total Funds	REVISED FY21 Total Funds	COVID IMPACT
Transportation Development Act:							
Planning & Administration:							
Planning - Metro		\$ 4,365,000		. , ,	. , ,	\$ 3,434,000	
2 Planning - SCAG		3,273,750	2,931,375	(342,375)	3,412,500	2,575,500	(837,000)
3 Administration - Metro		3,417,618	3,305,240	(112,378)	3,533,817	3,192,862	(340,955)
4	Sub-total	11,056,368	10,145,115	(911,253)	11,496,317	9,202,362	(2,293,955)
5 Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways	2.0000%	8,722,313	7,827,538	(894,775)	8,934,836	6,748,715	(2,186,121)
6 Article 4 Bus Transit	91.3933%	402,826,334	361,934,122	(40,892,211)	408,292,263	308,389,840	(99,902,423)
7 Article 8 Streets & Highways	6.6067%	28,747,096	25,795,335	(2,951,761)	29,514,705	22,297,204	(7,217,502)
8 Total		451,352,110	405,702,110	(45,650,000)	458,238,121	346,638,121	(111,600,000)
Proposition A:							
9 Administration	5.0000%	47,905,688	43,340,688	(4,565,000)	45,627,414	34,467,414	(11,160,000)
10 Local Return	25.0000%	207,337,500	185,653,750	(21,683,750)	216,125,000	184,798,750	(31,326,250)
11 Rail Development	35.0000%	318,572,828	288,215,578	(30,357,250)	303,422,301	229,208,301	(74,214,000)
Bus Transit:	40.0000%	, ,	, ,	,	, ,	, ,	, , , ,
12 95% of 40% Capped at CPI 2.3000%		249,884,011	249,884,011	-	255,631,343	255,631,343	(0)
13 95% of 40% Over CPI		65,268,989	32,309,689	(32,959,300)	72,878,657	(7,696,543)	(80,575,200)
14	Sub-total	315,153,000	282,193,700	(32,959,300)	328,510,000	247,934,800	(80,575,200)
15 5% of 40% Incentive		18,204,162	16,469,462	(1,734,700)	17,338,417	13,097,617	(4,240,800)
16 Total		907,173,178	815,873,178	(91,300,000)	911,023,132	709,506,882	(201,516,250)
Proposition C:							
17 Administration	1.5000%	13,613,181	12,243,681	(1,369,500)	13,688,184	10,340,184	(3,348,000)
18 Rail/Bus Security	5.0000%	44,696,612	40,200,087	(4,496,525)	44,942,870	33,950,270	(10,992,600)
19 Commuter Rail	10.0000%	89,393,224	80,400,174	(8,993,050)	89,885,740	67,900,540	(21,985,200)
20 Local Return	20.0000%	171,981,000	153,994,900	(17,986,100)	179,270,000	153,285,700	(25,984,300)
21 Freeways and Highways	25.0000%	223,483,061	201,000,436	(22,482,625)	224,714,350	169,751,350	(54,963,000)
22 Discretionary 23 Total	40.0000%	357,572,897 900,739,976	321,600,697 809,439,976	(35,972,200) (91,300,000)	359,542,959 912,044,102	271,602,159 706,830,202	(87,940,800) (205,213,900)
23 10tai		900,739,976	609,439,976	(91,300,000)	912,044,102	700,030,202	(205,213,900)
State Transit Assistance (3):							
24 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		79,455,736	70,364,987	(9,090,749)	71,589,711	54,336,549	(17,253,162)
25 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		61,744,221	53,733,958	(8,010,263)	56,423,690	42,173,474	(14,250,217)
26 Total		141,199,957	124,098,945	(17,101,012)	128,013,401	96,510,023	(31,503,379)
SB 1 State Transit Assistance (3):							
27 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		55,500,241	47,964,168	(7,536,073)	58,090,876	43,885,477	(14,205,400)
28 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		43,407,584	36,767,701	(6,639,883)	45,797,016	34,058,354	(11,738,662)
29 Total		98,907,824	84,731,869	(14,175,955)	103,887,893	77,943,831	(25,944,062)
SB 1 State Of Good Repair							
30 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		18,693,488	22,213,463	3,519,975	16,362,581	17,549,382	1,186,800
31 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		14,649,096	17,085,179	2,436,083	13,106,717	13,752,517	645,800
32 Total		33,342,583	39,298,642	5,956,059	29,469,298	31,301,899	1,832,600

COVID IMPACT ON FY20 and FY21 REVENUE ESTIMATES (continued)

OCVID IIIII AC	_		FY20 ⁽¹⁾		(11111)	FY21 ⁽²⁾	
STATE AND LOCAL		PRE-COVID FY20 Total Funds	REVISED FY20 Total Funds	COVID Impact	PRE-COVID FY21 Total Funds	REVISED FY21 Total Funds	COVID IMPACT
Measure R:							
33 Administration	1.5000%	14,073,819	12,704,319	(1,369,500)	15,026,398	11,678,398	(3,348,000)
34 Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	313,783,399	282,307,724	(31,475,675)	320,018,901	243,070,701	(76,948,200)
35 Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	27,239,082	24,541,167	(2,697,915)	27,686,916	21,091,356	(6,595,560)
36 Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000%	17,301,083	15,502,473	(1,798,610)	16,831,357	12,434,317	(4,397,040)
37 Highway Capital	20.0000%	181,894,773	163,908,673	(17,986,100)	187,587,537	143,617,137	(43,970,400)
38 Operations "New Rail"	5.0000%	44,796,467	40,299,942	(4,496,525)	44,674,542	33,681,942	(10,992,600)
39 Operations Bus	20.0000%	178,614,306	160,628,206	(17,986,100)	178,970,110	134,999,710	(43,970,400)
40 Local Return	15.0000%	128,999,642	115,510,067	(13,489,575)	134,452,500	114,964,275	(19,488,225)
41 Total		906,702,571	815,402,571	(91,300,000)	925,248,262	715,537,837	(209,710,425)
Measure M: Local Return Supplemental & Administration:							
42 Administration	0.5000%	4,825,771	4,355,576	(470,195)	4,729,294	3,579,814	(1,149,480)
43 Supplemental transfer to Local Return	1.0000%	8,599,050	7,699,745	(899,305)	8,963,500	7,664,285	(1,299,215)
44 Sub-total		13,424,821	12,055,321	(1,369,500)	13,692,794	11,244,099	(2,448,695)
45 Local Return Base	16.0000%	137,584,800	123,195,920	(14,388,880)	143,416,000	122,628,560	(20,787,440)
46 Metro Rail Operations	5.0000%	46,202,937	41,706,412	(4,496,525)	44,438,575	33,445,975	(10,992,600)
47 Transit Operations (Metro & Municipal Providers)	20.0000%	184,745,722	166,759,622	(17,986,100)	177,072,871	133,102,471	(43,970,400)
48 ADA Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & Students	2.0000%	18,452,324	16,653,714	(1,798,610)	18,307,993	13,910,953	(4,397,040)
49 Transit Construction	35.0000%	323,318,707	291,843,032	(31,475,675)	319,821,221	242,873,021	(76,948,200)
50 Metro State of Good Repairs	2.0000%	18,545,746	16,747,136	(1,798,610)	17,705,937	13,308,897	(4,397,040)
1 ,	17.0000%	156,846,345	141,558,160	(15,288,185)	156,604,574	119,229,734	(37,374,840)
52 Metro Active Transportation Program	2.0000%	18,478,998	16,680,388	(1,798,610)	18,291,721	13,894,681	(4,397,040)
53 Regional Rail	1.0000%	9,288,810	8,389,505	(899,305)	8,998,160	6,799,640	(2,198,520)
54 Total		926,889,211	835,589,211	(91,300,000)	918,349,845	710,438,030	(207,911,815)
55 Total Funds Available	=	\$ 4,366,307,411	\$ 3,930,136,502	\$ (436,170,909)	\$ 4,386,274,055	\$ 3,394,706,825	\$ (991,567,230)
Total Planning & Admin Allocations:							
56 (Lines 4, 9, 17, 33 and 42)		\$ 91,474,827	\$ 82,789,380	\$ (8,685,448)	\$ 90,567,607	\$ 69,268,172	\$ (21,299,435)

- 1) The FY20 revenue estimate is projected to decline 11.2% over the FY19 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- 2) The FY21 revenue estimate is projected to decline 14.5% over the FY20 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- 3) STA Revenue (including SB1/STA) estimate from the State Controller's office is reduced by 14.2% for FY20 and 14.5% for FY21 due to anticipated shortfall of estimated revenues.

STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

			Formula Alloca	tion Procedure		D	Proposition C	Measu	ıre R		Senat	e Bill 1	
	Operators	TDA Article 4 +	STA + Interest	Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary	Sub-Total FAP	Proposition C 5% Security	40% Discretionary	20% Bus Operations	Clean Fuel & Facilities	Measure M	STA	State of Good Repair	Total
Ī	Included Operators:			_	•			-	•			•	
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 224,032,132	\$ 40,027,020	\$ 188,310,833	\$ 452,369,985	\$ 24,722,248	\$ 19,773,019	\$ 92,657,766	\$ -	\$ 91,355,586	\$ 30,121,029	\$ 12,004,471	\$ 723,004,103
	Municipal Operators:												
2	Arcadia	308,378	50,646	238,270	597,294	5,080	101,388	117,240	-	115,592	38,112	15,189	989,896
3	Claremont	112,791	18,525	87,154	218,471	1,732	28,890	42,884		42,281	13,941	5,556	353,754
4	Commerce	413,359	61,926	291,336	766,621	29,795	1,119,627	143,351	-	141,336	46,600	18,572	2,265,903
5	Culver City	4,612,255	774,517	3,643,789	9,030,561	300,645	1,627,746	1,792,915	-	1,767,718	582,838	232,285	15,334,708
6	Foothill Transit	21,380,759	3,600,033	16,936,688	41,917,480	787,627	8,230,544	8,333,645	-	8,216,527	2,709,087	1,079,683	71,274,592
7	Gardena	4,636,851	782,879	3,683,129	9,102,859	190,864	2,111,668	1,812,272	-	1,786,803	589,130	234,793	15,828,389
8	La Mirada	96,118	15,039	70,754	181,911	2,855	23,453	34,814	-	34,325	11,317	4,510	293,186
9	Long Beach	19,708,492	3,414,381	16,063,272	39,186,144	1,519,157	8,516,929	7,903,883		7,792,805	2,569,381	1,024,004	68,512,304
10	Montebello	7,080,895	1,198,623	5,639,033	13,918,551	348,186	3,263,809	2,774,669		2,735,675	901,985	359,478	24,302,352
11	Norwalk	2,602,247	458,501	2,157,062	5,217,810	93,300	772,848	1,061,376		1,046,459	345,030	137,509	8,674,333
12	Redondo Beach	663,012	109,600	515,622	1,288,233	23,969	175,023	253,710		250,144	82,476	32,870	2,106,426
13	Santa Monica	17,176,977	2,904,583	13,664,880	33,746,440	819,168	5,348,785	6,723,762		6,629,269	2,185,749	871,111	56,324,285
14	Torrance	5,565,574	920,276	4,329,522	10,815,371	236,550	3,259,527	2,130,328		2,100,389	692,523	275,999	19,510,687
15	Sub-Total	84,357,708	14,309,529	67,320,510	165,987,747	4,358,929	34,580,237	33,124,850		32,659,324	10,768,170	4,291,559	285,770,816
	Eligible Operators:												
16	Antelope Valley	-	-	5,621,487	5,621,487	150,416	1,735,318	2,172,434	-	2,141,903	706,211	281,454	12,809,223
17	LADOT	-	-	20,742,720	20,742,720	1,156,008	5,754,411	4,219,360	-	4,160,062	1,371,622	546,648	37,950,831
18	Santa Clarita	-	-	4,717,718	4,717,718	167,642	1,399,720	1,914,414		1,887,510	622,335	248,026	10,957,365
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	4,477,996	4,477,996	-	533,357	910,887	-	898,086	296,110	118,012	7,234,447
20	Sub-Total	-	-	35,559,922	35,559,922	1,474,066	9,422,805	9,217,095	-	9,087,561	2,996,277	1,194,140	68,951,866
	Tier 2 Operators:												
21	LADOT Community Das	-	-	7,169,913	7,169,913	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,169,913
22	Glendale	-	-	1,040,966	1,040,966	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,040,966
23	Pasadena	-	-	606,631	606,631	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	606,631
24	Burbank	_	-	182,490	182,490	_	_	_			_	_	182,490
25	Sub-Total	-	-	9,000,000	9,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,000,000
26	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	237,066	-	-	-	-		237,066
- 1	Total Excluding Metro	84,357,708	14,309,529	111,880,432	210,547,669	5,832,995	44,240,108	42,341,944	-	41,746,885	13,764,447	5,485,699	363,959,748
- 1	County of Los Angeles	A (A /	A	A		A 1016			A 45 6 ·	59,212	59,212
29	Grand Total	\$ 308,389,840	\$ 54,336,549	\$ 300,191,265	\$ 662,917,654	\$ 30,555,243	\$ 64,013,127	\$ 134,999,710	\$ -	\$ 133,102,471	\$ 43,885,477	\$ 17,549,382	\$ 1,087,023,063

BUS TRANSIT FUNDING PERCENTAGE SHARES

	Operators	Vehicle Service Miles (VSM)	Passenger Revenue (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Base Fare (\$)	Fare Units	Fare Units Prior to Fare Increase/ decrease	Fare Units Used in FAP	Sum 50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	Proposition A Base Share	DAR Cap Adjustment (3)	TDA/STA Share
	Included Operators										
1	Metro Bus Ops.(4)	72,792,000	185,702,000	\$ 1.75	106,115,429	197,161,600	197,161,600	134,976,800	73.6650%	0.0000%	73.6650%
2	Arcadia DR	89,056	5,087	0.50	10,174	72,829	72,829	80,943	0.0442%	0.0000%	0.0442%
3	Arcadia MB	165,108	7,290	0.50	14,580	-	14,580	89,844	0.0490%	0.0000%	0.0490%
4	Claremont	43,100	37,700	2.50	15,080	81,840	81,840	62,470	0.0341%	0.0000%	0.0341%
5	Commerce	417,646	-	-	-	-	-	208,823	0.1140%	0.0000%	0.1140%
6	Culver City	1,550,357	2,722,099	1.00	2,722,099	3,673,208	3,673,208	2,611,783	1.4254%	0.0000%	1.4254%
7	Foothill	10,058,643	13,270,666	1.50	8,847,111	14,221,000	14,221,000	12,139,822	6.6254%	0.0000%	6.6254%
8	Gardena	1,576,361	2,083,161	1.00	2,083,161	3,703,600	3,703,600	2,639,981	1.4408%	0.0000%	1.4408%
9	La Mirada	65,827	35,602	1.00	35,602		35,602	50,715	0.0277%	0.0000%	0.0277%
10	Long Beach	7,055,099	13,370,830	1.25	10,696,664	15,972,456	15,972,456	11,513,778	6.2838%	0.0000%	6.2838%
	Montebello	2,228,298	3,675,867	1.10	3,341,697	5,855,556	5,855,556	4,041,927	2.2059%	0.0000%	2.2059%
12	Norwalk	998,195	1,179,834	1.25	943,867	2,094,068	2,094,068	1,546,132	0.8438%	0.0000%	0.8438%
13	Redondo Beach DR	60,453	12,084	1.00	12,084		12,084	36,269	0.0198%	0.0000%	0.0198%
14	Redondo Beach MB	365,547	301,087	1.00	301,087		301,087	333,317	0.1819%	0.0000%	0.1819%
15	Santa Monica	4,928,000	11,315,000	1.25	9,052,000	14,661,333	14,661,333	9,794,667	5.3455%	0.0000%	5.3455%
16	Torrance	1,696,600	2,054,200	1.00	2,054,200	4,510,000	4,510,000	3,103,300	1.6937%	0.0000%	1.6937%
17	Sub-Total	104,090,290	235,772,507		146,244,835		262,370,843	183,230,567	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%
	Eligible Operators										
18	Antelope Valley	3,233,545	4,689,668	1.50	3,126,445	3,543,241	3,543,241	3,388,393	1.7271%	0.0000%	1.7271%
19	Santa Clarita	2,874,288	3,097,621	1.00	3,097,621		3,097,621	2,985,955	1.5220%	0.0000%	1.5220%
20	LADOT Local	1,837,377	2,802,798	0.50	5,605,596	6,727,520	6,727,520	4,282,449	2.1829%	0.0000%	2.1829%
	LADOT Express	1,444,329	3,294,488	1.50	2,196,325	3,152,832	3,152,832	2,298,581	1.1716%	0.0000%	1.1716%
	Foothill - BSCP	1,212,189	1,486,549	1.50	991,033	1,650,000	1,650,000	1,431,095	0.7242%	0.0000%	0.7242%
23	Sub-Total	10,601,728	15,371,124		15,017,020		18,171,214	14,386,471	7.3278%	0.0000%	7.3278%
24	Total	114,692,018	251,143,631		161,261,855		280,542,057	197,617,038			

⁽¹⁾ Operators' statistics exclude BSIP, TSE, Base Restructuring and MOSIP services that are funded from PC 40% Discretionary. Also excluded are services funded from other sources (CRD, FTA, etc.)

⁽²⁾ Fare units used are frozen to the level prior to fare change in accordance with the Funding Stability Policy, adopted by the Board in November 2007.

⁽³⁾ TDA cap of 0.25% is applied for DAR operators - Arcadia, Claremont, La Mirada and Redondo Beach DR.

⁽⁴⁾ MTA Statistics include contracted services with LADOT for Lines 422, 601 and 602 (Consent Decree Lines), Glendale and Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA).

INCLUDED & ELIGIBLE OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

				TDA	Articl	le 4 plus inte	rest			STA		Prop	osition A		Total
	Operators	TDA & STA				Exchange			ļ	v Base Share					Formula
	Operators	IDA & SIA		Allocated	Fullo	(1)		Net	Re	v base snare	Prop A Disc %		4-1		Formula
		% Shares							F	Plus Interest	Shares	Discre	etionary ⁽²⁾		Funds
	Included Operators														
1	Metro Bus Ops	73.6650%	 \$	227,175,381	\$	(3,143,249)	\$	224,032,132	\$	40,027,020	73.6650%	\$ 1	188,310,833	\$	452,369,985
			ľ	,,,,	•	(0,110,210)	•	,,,,,,,	ľ	,,		•		•	,,
2	Arcadia DR	0.0442%		136,232				136,232		24,003	0.0442%		112,926		273,161
3	Arcadia MB	0.0490%		151,214		20,932		172,146		26,643	0.0490%		125,344		324,133
4	Claremont	0.0341%		105,141		7,650		112,791		18,525	0.0341%		87,154		218,471
5	Commerce	0.1140%		351,464		61,895		413,359		61,926	0.1140%		291,336		766,621
	Culver City	1.4254%		4,395,812		216,443		4,612,255		774,517	1.4254%		3,643,789		9,030,561
	Foothill Transit	6.6254%		20,432,167		948,592		21,380,759		3,600,033	6.6254%		16,936,688		41,917,480
	Gardena	1.4408%		4,443,272		193,579		4,636,851		782,879	1.4408%		3,683,129		9,102,859
	La Mirada	0.0277%		85,356		10,762		96,118		15,039	0.0277%		70,754		181,911
	Long Beach (3)	6.2838%		19,378,492		330,000		19,708,492		3,414,381	6.2838%		16,063,272		39,186,144
	Montebello	2.2059%		6,802,845		278,050		7,080,895		1,198,623	2.2059%		5,639,033		13,918,551
	Norwalk	0.8438%		2,602,247		-		2,602,247		458,501	0.8438%		2,157,062		5,217,810
	Redondo Beach DR Redondo Beach MB	0.0198% 0.1819%		61,042 560,996		40,974		61,042 601,970		10,755 98,844	0.0198% 0.1819%		50,599 465,022		122,397 1,165,836
	Santa Monica	5.3455%	1	16,485,108		691,869		17,176,977		2,904,583	5.3455%		13,664,880		33,746,440
	Torrance	1.6937%		5,223,071		342,503		5,565,574		920,276	1.6937%		4,329,522		10,815,371
17	Sub-Total			308,389,840		-		308,389,840		54,336,549	100.0000%		255,631,343		618,357,732
••	Odb Total	100.000070		000,000,010				000,000,010		0 1,000,0 10	100.000070	_	200,001,010		010,007,702
				Forr	nula l	Equivalent E	unde	ad from Prope	ociti	ion A 95% of 40	% Growth over CPI	(4), (5)			
	Eligible Operators			1 011	iiuia i	Equivalent	unu	eu nom Fropi	USIL	1011 A 93 /8 01 40	7/8 GIOWIII OVEL CET	(), ()			
	A state of Malle	4 70740/				007.000		007.000		000 405	4.70740/		4 445 004	•	5 004 407
	Antelope Valley Santa Clarita	1.7271% 1.5220%		-		267,928		267,928		938,465	1.7271% 1.5220%		4,415,094	Ф	5,621,487 4,717,718
	LADOT Local	2.1829%		6,731,693				6,731,693		827,004 1,186,086	2.1829%		3,890,715 5,580,054		13,497,833
	LADOT Express	1.1716%		3,613,199				3,613,199		636,625	1.1716%		2,995,063		7,244,887
	Foothill - BSCP	0.7242%		2,233,284				2,233,284		393,492	0.7242%		1,851,220		4,477,996
23	Sub-Total	7.3278%		12,578,177		267,928		12,846,105		3,981,672	7.3278%		18,732,146		35,559,922
	Total FAP		\$	308,389,840		· ·	\$		\$	54,336,549	107.3278%			\$	653,917,654
	Proposition A Discretionary (95°	% of 40%) Gro	wth	Over CPI:											
	Revenue													\$	(7,696,543)
	Uses of Fund:												•		
26	Eligible Operators - Formula E	auivalent Fund	ds												35,559,922
27	Tier 2 Operators (6)	1	-												9,000,000
28	Total Uses of Funds												•		44,559,922
	Proposition A Discretionary (95%	of 40%) GOI S	Surplu	us (Shortfall)											(52,256,465)
	Backfill from (Transfer to) PC40%	,	•	,											52,256,465
		-												\$	-

- (1) Operators' share of LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation.
- (2) Prop A Discretionary funds, (95% of 40%) allocated to Included Operators have been capped at 2.30% CPI for FAP allocation.
- (3)Funds allocated to the SCRTTC through Long Beach Transit will be exchanged with Metro's TDA share.
- (4) Formula Equivalent funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators in lieu of Section 9, TDA, STA and Prop A 40% Discretionary funds. Fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI. Due to an estimated shortfall of GOI funds this year, funds will be allocated from PC40% Discretionary.
- (5) Antelope Valley's LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's PC 40% Discretionary transfer to Proposition A Discretionary GOI.
- (6) Includes \$ 4,534,038 in CARES Act Equivalent Supplemental Funding as approved by the LACMTA Board of Directors. CARES funds will be exchanged with local funds.

Senate Bill 1 - Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017

	Operators	Measure R %Share ⁽¹⁾	SB1 - STA Allocation	SB1 - SGR Illocation ⁽²⁾	Total
	Included Operators:				
1	Metro Bus Ops	68.6355%	\$ 30,121,029	\$ 12,004,471	\$ 42,125,500
2	Arcadia	0.0868%	38,112	15,189	53,302
3	Claremont	0.0318%	13,941	5,556	19,497
4	Commerce	0.1062%	46,600	18,572	65,172
5	Culver City	1.3281%	582,838	232,285	815,123
6	Foothill	6.1731%	2,709,087	1,079,683	3,788,770
7	Gardena	1.3424%	589,130	234,793	823,923
8	La Mirada	0.0258%	11,317	4,510	15,828
9	Long Beach	5.8547%	2,569,381	1,024,004	3,593,385
10	Montebello	2.0553%	901,985	359,478	1,261,463
11	Norwalk	0.7862%	345,030	137,509	482,539
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0184%	8,094	3,226	11,319
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1695%	74,382	29,644	104,026
14	Santa Monica	4.9806%	2,185,749	871,111	3,056,860
15	Torrance	1.5780%	692,523	275,999	968,522
	Eligible Operators:				
16	Antelope Valley	1.6092%	706,211	281,454	987,665
17	Santa Clarita	1.4181%	622,335	248,026	870,360
18	LADOT Local	2.0338%	892,551	355,718	1,248,269
19	LADOT Express	1.0916%	479,072	190,930	670,001
20	Foothill BSCP	0.6747%	296,110	118,012	414,122
21	Total Municipal Operators	31.3645%	13,764,447	5,485,699	19,250,146
22	County of Los Angeles		-	59,212	59,212
23	Total Funds Allocated	100.0000%	\$ 43,885,477	\$ 17,549,382	\$ 61,434,858

⁽¹⁾ STA and SGR portion of SB1 will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.

⁽²⁾ Preliminary estimates. Subject to the submittal of eligible projects.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY2021 Transit Fund Allocation

PROPOSITION C 5% TRANSIT SECURITY FUNDING ALLOCATION

Operators	FY19 Unlinked Passengers	Percent of Total Unlinked Passengers	Total ⁽¹⁾
1 Antelope Valley	2,301,868	0.4923%	\$ 150,416
2 Arcadia	77,743	0.0166%	5,080
3 Claremont	26,500	0.0057%	1,732
4 Commerce	455,961	0.0975%	29,795
5 Culver City	4,600,876	0.9839%	300,645
6 Foothill	12,053,307	2.5777%	787,627
7 Gardena	2,920,856	0.6247%	190,864
8 LADOT Local/Express	17,690,763	3.7833%	1,156,008
9 La Mirada	43,686	0.0093%	2,855
10 Long Beach	23,248,158	4.9718%	1,519,157
11 Montebello	5,328,407	1.1395%	348,186
12 Norwalk	1,427,804	0.3053%	93,300
13 Redondo Beach DR/MB	366,810	0.0784%	23,969
14 Santa Clarita	2,565,484	0.5487%	167,642
15 Santa Monica	12,536,000	2.6809%	819,168
16 Torrance	3,620,000	0.7742%	236,550
17 Sub-Total	89,264,223	19.0900%	5,832,995
18 Metro Bus/Rail Ops ⁽²⁾	378,332,642	80.9100%	24,722,248
19 Total	467,596,865	100.0000%	\$ 30,555,243

Notes:

(1) Total funding is 90% of Prop C 5% Transit Security:

Estimated Revenue: \$

90% Thereof: \$ 30,555,243

33,950,270

(2) Metro operations data includes unlinked passengers for bus and rail.

PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS

			MOSIP		Zero-fare	Foothill	Transit	Discretionary	BSIP	
	Operators	Prop A %Share	% Share	\$ Allocation	Compensation (1)	Transit Mitigation (2)	Service Expansion	Base Restructuring	Overcrowding Relief	Total
	INCLUDED OPERATORS									
1	Metro Bus Ops			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,898,942	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,874,077	\$ 19,773,019
2	Arcadia	0.0932%	0.2769%	68,648	-	10,334	-	-	22,406	101,388
3	Claremont	0.0341%	0.1013%	25,110	-	3,780	-	-	-	28,890
4	Commerce	0.1140%	0.3386%	83,937	766,621	12,635	-	256,434	-	1,119,627
5	Culver City	1.4254%	4.2344%	1,049,815	-	158,028	247,175	-	172,727	1,627,746
6	Foothill	6.6254%	19.6818%	4,879,646	-	-	342,112	2,052,977	955,809	8,230,544
7	Gardena	1.4408%	4.2801%	1,061,150	-	159,734	710,471	-	180,313	2,111,668
8	La Mirada	0.0277%	0.0822%	20,385	-	3,069	-	-	-	23,453
9	Long Beach	6.2838%	18.6668%	4,628,005	-	696,650	2,345,612	-	846,662	8,516,929
10	Montebello	2.2059%	6.5530%	1,624,667	-	244,560	-	1,171,089	223,492	3,263,809
11	Norwalk	0.8438%	2.5067%	621,473	-	93,550	-	-	57,825	772,848
12	Redondo Beach DR/MB	0.2017%	0.5992%	148,556	-	22,362	-	-	4,105	175,023
13	Santa Monica	5.3455%	15.8797%	3,937,002	-	592,633	-	_	819,150	5,348,785
14	Torrance	1.6937%	5.0312%	1,247,383	-	187,767	831,885	745,165	247,327	3,259,527
15	Sub-Total	26.3350%	78.2318%	19,395,777	766,621	2,185,101	4,477,256	4,225,665	3,529,818	34,580,237
	ELIGIBLE OPERATORS									
16	Antelope Valley	1.7271%	5.1307%	1,272,037	-	26,735	387,379	-	49,166	1,735,318
17	Santa Clarita	1.5220%	4.5213%	1,120,958	-	23,560	202,611	-	52,591	1,399,720
18	LADOT Local/Express	3.3545%	9.9650%	2,470,585	-	346,637	2,783,033	-	154,155	5,754,411
19	Foothill BSCP	0.7242%	2.1513%	533,357	-	-	-	-	-	533,357
20	Sub-Total	7.3278%	21.7682%	5,396,937	-	396,932	3,373,023	-	255,913	9,422,805
21	City of Lynwood Trolley (3)						237,066	-	-	237,066
22	Total Municipal Operators	33.6628%	100.0000%	24,792,714	766,621	2,582,033	8,087,345	4,225,665	3,785,730	44,240,108
23	Total	33.6628%	100.0000%	\$ 24,792,714	\$ 766,621	\$10,480,975	\$8,087,345	\$ 4,225,665	\$ 15,659,807	\$ 64,013,127
		Last Year		\$ 25,536,495			\$8,256,062	\$ 4,322,010	\$ 16,016,851	

Last Year
% Increase (4)
Current Year

\$ 25,536,495	\$8,256,	062 \$	\$ 4,322,010	\$ 16,016,851
\$ 24,792,714	\$8,072,	020 \$	4,225,665	\$ 15,659,807

- (1) Allocated as part of FAP to Commerce as compensation for having zero passenger revenues.
- (2) Antelope Valley's LCTOP fund exchange of \$267,928 with Metro was deducted from Metro's "Foothill Mitigation" Fund. In exchange, Metro will allocate Proposition A Discretionary (95% of 40%) GOI fund to Antellope Valley.
- (3) Includes \$ 15,325 in CARES Act Equivalent Supplemental Funding as approved by the LACMTA Board of Directors. CARES funds will be exchanged with local funds.
- (4) Due to the reduction in funding, Proposition C Discretionary programs including Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP), Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program were kept at FY19 allocation level.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY2021 Transit Fund Allocation

Low Carbon Transit Operations Program

Eligible Allocation Fiscal Year 2019-2020

		Eligible Alloce				-0.0 -0-0	
	OPERATOR	LCTOP Share ⁽¹⁾	TDA Fund Exchange ⁽²⁾			rop A GOI / Prop C 40% Fund Exchange ⁽³⁾	let Funds vailable ⁽¹⁾
1	Metro Bus Ops.		\$	2,813,249	\$	267,928	\$ 3,081,177
2	Antelope Valley	\$ 267,928				(267,928)	-
3	Arcadia	20,932		(20,932)			-
4	Claremont	7,650		(7,650)			-
5	Commerce	61,895		(61,895)			-
6	Culver City	216,443		(216,443)			-
7	Foothill Transit	948,592		(948,592)			-
8	Gardena	193,579		(193,579)			-
9	La Mirada	10,762		(10,762)			-
10	Montebello	278,050		(278,050)			-
11	Redondo Beach	40,974		(40,974)			-
12	Santa Monica	691,869		(691,869)			-
13	Torrance	342,503		(342,503)			-
14	TOTAL	\$ 3,081,177	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,081,177

- (1) Estimated To be adjusted based on actual fundings.
- (2) Operators' share of LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation.
- (3) Antelope Valley's LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's "Foothill Mitigation Fund" share. Metro will allocate Proposition A Discretionary (95% of 40%) GOI fund to Antellope Valley.

MEASURE R 20% BUS OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL ALLOCATIONS

		20	%Bus Operatio	ons	Clean Fuel Bus Capita Rolling Stock F	
	Operators	Proposition A Base Share %	MR Percentage Share	Bus Operations Allocation	Federal Section 5307 Capital Allocation Formula Share	\$ Allocation
	Included Operators:					
1	Metro Bus Ops	73.6650%	68.6355%	\$ 92,657,766	65.6344%	\$ -
2	Arcadia	0.0932%	0.0868%	117,240	0.1604%	-
3	Claremont	0.0341%	0.0318%	42,884	0.0578%	-
4	Commerce	0.1140%	0.1062%	143,351	0.3351%	-
5	Culver City	1.4254%	1.3281%	1,792,915	1.4181%	-
6	Foothill	6.6254%	6.1731%	8,333,645	8.3256%	-
7	Gardena	1.4408%	1.3424%	1,812,272	1.2453%	-
8	La Mirada	0.0277%	0.0258%	34,814	0.0648%	-
9	Long Beach	6.2838%	5.8547%	7,903,883	6.2603%	-
10	Montebello	2.2059%	2.0553%	2,774,669	1.8661%	-
11	Norwalk	0.8438%	0.7862%	1,061,376	0.6849%	-
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0198%	0.0184%	24,897	0.22000/	
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1819%	0.1695%	228,813	0.3308%	-
14	Santa Monica	5.3455%	4.9806%	6,723,762	4.5853%	-
15	Torrance	1.6937%	1.5780%	2,130,328	1.4164%	-
	Eligible Operators:					
16	Antelope Valley	1.7271%	1.6092%	2,172,434	1.9408%	_
17	Santa Clarita	1.5220%	1.4181%	1,914,414	1.8877%	_
18		2.1829%	2.0338%	2,745,648		
19	LADOT Express	1.1716%	1.0916%	1,473,711	3.7863%	-
20	Foothill BSCP	0.7242%	0.6747%	910,887		
21		U.1 Z∃Z /U	0.01-170	510,007		
22	Total Municipal Operators	33.6628%	31.3645%	42,341,944	34.3656%	-
	Table than span spandton	33.332370		,,,,,,,	22300 //	
23	Total Funds Allocated	107.3278%	100.0000%	\$ 134,999,710	100.0000%	\$ -

Notes:

(1) Clean Fuel Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Funds of \$10M will be allocated every even fiscal year.

MEASURE M 20% TRANSIT OPERATIONS

(Metro and Municipal Providers)

	Operators	Measure M ⁽¹⁾ Percentage Share	\$ Allocation
	Included Operators:		
1	Metro Bus Ops	68.6355%	\$ 91,355,586
2	Arcadia	0.0868%	115,592
3	Claremont	0.0318%	42,281
4	Commerce	0.1062%	141,336
5	Culver City	1.3281%	1,767,718
6	Foothill	6.1731%	8,216,527
7	Gardena	1.3424%	1,786,803
8	La Mirada	0.0258%	34,325
9	Long Beach	5.8547%	7,792,805
10	Montebello	2.0553%	2,735,675
11	Norwalk	0.7862%	1,046,459
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0184%	24,547
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1695%	225,597
14	Santa Monica	4.9806%	6,629,269
15	Torrance	1.5780%	2,100,389
	Eligible Operators:		
16	Antelope Valley	1.6092%	2,141,903
17	Santa Clarita	1.4181%	1,887,510
18	LADOT Local	2.0338%	2,707,062
19	LADOT Express	1.0916%	1,453,000
20	Foothill BSCP	0.6747%	898,086
21	Total Municipal Operators	31.3645%	41,746,885
۱ ک		31.304370	41,740,000
22	Total Funds Allegated	100 00000/	¢ 122 102 474
22	Total Funds Allocated	100.0000%	\$ 133,102,471

⁽¹⁾ Metro follows Measure R allocation methodology for Measure M transit operations.

TIER 2 OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

	% Shares Calculation	Vehicle Service Miles	Passenger Revenue	Base Fare	Fare Units (1)	50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	% Share
1	LADOT Community Dash	2,617,725	\$ 3,413,087	\$ 0.50	16,808,232	9,712,979	4.6294%
2	Glendale	632,528	875,056	1.00	2,187,836	1,410,182	0.6721%
3	Pasadena	726,888	687,525	0.75	916,700	821,794	0.3917%
4	Burbank	304,648	189,786	1.00	189,786	247,217	0.1178%
5	Sub-Total	4,281,789	5,165,454		20,102,554	12,192,172	5.8111%
6	Included and Eligible Oper	114,692,018	251,143,631		161,261,855	197,617,038	94.1889%
7	Total	118,973,807	\$256,309,085		181,364,409	209,809,209	100.0000%
				TDA Article 4	STA Revenue Base	Proposition A	
			% Share	+ Interest	Share + Interest	Discretionary	Total
8	Funds Allocated to Included Operat	ors		\$ 308,389,840	\$ 54,336,549	\$ 255,631,343	\$618,357,732
	Formula Equivalent Calculation						
9	LADOT Community Dash		4.6294%	\$ 14,276,704	\$ 2,515,475	\$ 11,834,284	\$ 28,626,462
10	Glendale		0.6721%	2,072,768	365,210	1,718,164	4,156,142
11	Pasadena		0.3917%	1,207,921	212,829	1,001,273	2,422,023
12	Burbank		0.1178%	363,374	64,024	301,209	728,607
13	Total		5.8111%	\$ 17,920,766	\$ 3,157,538	\$ 14,854,930	\$ 35,933,235

	Funds Allocated to Tier 2 Operators	12.43% (2)				21 Revised	Maintain Funding Level	 plemental unding	otal Funds ailable (3)
	Actual Allocation								
14	LADOT Community Dash	9	1,774,380	\$ 312,636	\$ 1,470,824	\$ 3,557,840	\$ 1,222,102	\$ 2,389,971	\$ 7,169,913
15	Glendale		257,614	45,390	213,542	516,546	177,431	346,989	1,040,966
16	Pasadena		150,126	26,451	124,443	301,021	103,399	202,210	606,631
17	Burbank		45,162	7,957	37,436	90,555	31,105	60,830	182,490
18	Total	•	2,227,282	\$ 392,435	\$ 1,846,245	\$ 4,465,962	\$ 1,534,038	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 9,000,000

		Ве	fore Tier 2			N	let Prop A
			GOI	OI Allocation	n Incentive		
	Prop A Incentive Allocation:	Α	llocation	Deduction	-	Allocation	
19	LADOT Community Dash	\$	1,318,365	\$	(163,853)	\$	1,154,512
20	Glendale		335,965		(41,755)		294,210
21	Pasadena		337,284		(41,919)		295,365
22	Burbank		133,444		(16,585)		116,859
23	Total	\$	2,125,058	\$	(264,113)	\$	1,860,945

- (1) Funding Stability Policy is applied on LADOT and Glendale Fare Units.
- $(2) \ This \ percentage \ is \ applied \ as \ a \ deduction \ from \ Tier \ 2 \ Operators' \ Incentive \ Program \ allocations.$
- (3) Includes \$ 4,534,038 in CARES Act Equivalent Supplemental Funding as approved by the LACMTA Board of Directors. CARES funds will be exchanged with local funds.

LOCAL SUBSIDIES

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (In Order of Priority) Supplemental Total Funds **Available** Maintenance of Base Funding (2) **FUND RECIPIENTS** Funding (1) Sales Tax Funding (1) Available PRIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS Agoura Hills 56,818 \$ 9,632 \$ 66,450 \$ 31,304 97,753 Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled 158,875 288,368 48.883 337,251 496,126 Beverly Hills Taxi & Lift Van Culver City Community Transit and LA County 50,335 58,867 27,732 86,599 8,533 Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County 166,570 28,236 194,807 91,771 286,578 396,338 Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge 230.368 39,051 269.419 126.920 Inglewood Transit and LA County 185,043 216,411 101.949 318,360 31,368 LA County (Whittier et al) 98.842 308.659 179,405 30.412 209.817 LA County (Willow brook) 37,098 6,289 43,386 20,439 63,825 10 Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride 355.683 60.294 415,976 195.962 611,938 11 Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride 948.327 160,756 1,109,084 522.476 1,631,560 12 Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County 88,548 15,010 103,558 48,785 152,344 13 Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R. 36,249 6,145 42,394 19,971 62,365 14 Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit 397,850 187,423 585,273 340,184 57,666 15 Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County 409,404 225,559 704,364 69,400 478,805 16 Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About) 686.984 116,454 803,438 378,490 1,181,928 17 Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC) 64,029 10,854 74,883 35,277 110,160 18 Redondo Beach Community Transit and Hermosa Beach 1,940 2,269 1,069 3,337 19 Santa Clarita D.A.R. 820.537 139.094 959.631 452.071 1,411,701 20 West Hollywood (DAR) 221,669 37,576 259,246 122,128 381,374 21 West Hollywood (Taxi) 22 Whittier (DAR) 249,148 42,234 291,382 137,267 428,648 23 Sub-total \$ 5,416,707 \$ 918,216 \$ 6,334,923 \$ 2,984,308 9,319,232 PRIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION 24 City of L.A. - Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle 25 Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route 26 Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route 27 Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project 28 \$ Sub-total \$ 29 PRIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT 30 PRIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES \$

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued) (In Order of Priority)												
Available Maintenance of Page Funding (2) Supplemental												
FUND RECIPIENTS	Sa	les Tax	Funding (1)	Base Funding (2)	Funding (1)	Total Funds Available						
			i dildilig		ranang	7 13 01110110						
PRIORITY V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING												
(Estimated - To Be Adjusted To Actual Apportionment)												
31 City of Alhambra (MB and DR)	\$	100,772		·		\$ 173,374						
32 City of Artesia (DR)		4,631	785	5,416	2,552 19,202	7,968						
33 City of Azusa (DR) 34 City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR)		34,853	5,908	40,761	•	59,964						
34 City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR) 35 City of Bell (MB/DR)		87,566 20,720	14,844 3,512	102,409 24,232	48,244 11,416	150,653 35,648						
36 City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR) 37 City of Bellflower (MB and DR)		54,937	9,313	64,250	30,268	94,518						
		35,461 98,109	6,011 16,631	41,472 114,740	19,537 54,053	61,009 168,792						
· · ·		-	•	•	•							
39 City of Calabasas (MB and DR) 40 City of Carson (MB and DT)		45,775 163,189	7,760 27,663	53,535 190,852	25,220 89,908	78,754 280,760						
41 City of Cerritos (MB)		88,926	15,074	104,000	48,993	152,993						
42 City of Compton (MB)		48,353	8,197	56,550	26,640	83,190						
43 City of Covina (DR)		22,886	3,879	26,765	12,609	39,374						
44 City of Cudahy (MB and DR)		20,816	3,529	24,345	11,468	35,813						
45 City of Downey (MB and DR)		75,158	12,740	87,898	41,408	129,306						
46 City of Duarte (MB) 47 City of El Monte (MB and DR)		22,252	3,772	26,024	12,259	38,283						
		111,582	18,915	130,497	61,476	191,972						
48 City of Glendora (MB and DR)		67,570	11,454	79,024	37,227	116,251						
49 City of Glendale (MB)*		247,004	41,871	288,875	136,086	424,960						
50 City of Huntington Park (MB)		93,478	15,846	109,324	51,501	160,825						
51 City of Los Angeles Community DASH* (MB)		969,271	164,306	1,133,577	534,015	1,667,592						
52 City of Los Angeles Department of Aging (DR)		146,284	24,797	171,081	80,594	251,675						
53 LA County Dept. of Public Works Avocado Heights (MB) 54 LA County Dept. of Public Works East Valinda (MB)		14,543	2,465	17,009	8,013	25,021						
,		16,378	2,776	19,155	9,024	28,179						
55 LA County Dept. of Public Works East LA (MB and DR)		118,578	20,101	138,679	65,330	204,009						
 LA County Dept. of Public Works Willowbrook (MB) LA County Dept. of Public Works King Medical (MB) 		30,795 13,152	5,220 2,229	36,015 15,381	16,966 7,246	52,982 22,627						
58 LA County Dept. of Public Works Athens (MB)		13,132	2,229	15,989	7,532	23,522						
59 LA County Dept. of Public Works Athens (MB)		10,626	1,801	12,428	5,855	18,282						
60 LA County Dept. of Public Works South Whittier (MB)		75,616	12,818	88,434	41,660	130,094						
61 LA County Dept. of Public Works Florance/Firestone (MB)		20,931	3,548	24,480	11,532	36,012						
62 City of Lakewood (DR)		27,130	4,599	31,729	14,947	46,677						
63 City of Lawndale (MB)		29,217	4,953	34,170	16,097	50,267						
64 City of Lynwood (MB)		50,698	8,594	59,293	27,932	87,225						
65 City of Malibu (DT)		3,124	530	3,654	1,721	5,375						
66 City of Manhattan Beach (DR)		18,600	3,153	21,753	10,248	32,000						
67 City of Maywood (DR)		21,372	3,623	24,995	11,775	36,769						
68 City of Monterey Park (MB and DR)		90,161	15,284	105,444	49,674	155,118						
69 City of Pasadena (MB)*		247,973	42,035	290,009	136,620	426,628						
70 City of Pico Rivera (DR)		7,643	1,296	8,939	4,211	13,150						
71 City of Rosemead (MB and DR)		65,468	11,098	76,565	36,069	112,634						
72 City of Santa fe Springs (DR)		7,881	1,336	9,217	4,342	13,559						
73 City of South Gate (DT and MB)		130,944	22,197	153,141	72,143	225,284						
74 City of South Pasadena (DR)		13,216	2,240	15,457	7,281	22,738						
75 City of West Covina (MB and DR)		84,375	14,303	98,678	46,486	145,164						
76 City of West Hollywood (MB)		43,136	7,312	50,448	23,765	74,213						
77 Sub-Tot	al \$	3,714,822		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 2,046,663	\$ 6,391,204						
Sub-100		-,,022	- 025,720	,0,0-1	,0-10,000	- 0,001,204						

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY2021 Transit Fund Allocation

	PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued) (In Order of Priority)												
	FUND RECIPIENTS		Available Maintenance of Sales Tax Funding (1)		Base Funding (2)		Supplemental Funding ⁽¹⁾		Total Funds Available				
	PRIORITY VI: SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS												
78	Avalon Ferry Subsidy		\$	598,538	\$	101,462	\$	700,000	\$	150,000	\$	850,000	
79	Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride)			256,516		43,484		300,000		-		300,000	
80	Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service			903,793		153,207		1,057,000		-		1,057,000	
81	Sub	total	\$	1,758,848	\$	298,152	\$	2,057,000	\$	150,000	\$	2,207,000	
82	Total Estimated Revenue		\$	10,890,377	\$	1,846,088	\$	12,736,465	\$	5,180,971	\$	17,917,436	

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$7,027,059 M in CARES Act Equivalent funding to support Local Operators. Funds provided under this heading are available for the operating expenses of transit agencies related to the response to a coronavirus public health emergency as described in section 319 of the Public Health Service Act.

⁽²⁾ Tier 2 Operator's share have been reduced by \$264,113 per Tier 2 Operators Funding Programs. Please see "Tier 2 Operators Estimated Funding Levels" for details.

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8

		Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M	TDA Article 3	TDA Arti	article 8 (S & H)		
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report	as % of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike		Article 8		Total
		2019 data (1)	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate	(A)	Population	Allocation		
1	AGOURA HILLS	20,842	0.2033%	\$ 375,627	\$ 311,573	\$ 233,680	\$ 264,837	\$ 11,632		\$ -	\$	1,197,349
2	ALHAMBRA	86,931	0.8478%	1,566,724	1,299,556	974,667	1,104,623	48,451				4,994,020
3	ARCADIA	58,891	0.5743%	1,061,370	880,378	660,284	748,321	32,829				3,383,182
4	ARTESIA	16,919	0.1650%	304,925	252,927	189,695	214,988	9,447				971,981
5	AVALON	3,845	0.0375%	69,297	57,480	43,110	48,858	5,000	3,845	126,556		350,301
6	AZUSA	51,313	0.5004%	924,794	767,093	575,319	652,029	28,608				2,947,843
7	BALDWIN PARK	77,286	0.7537%	1,392,896	1,155,370	866,528	982,065	43,077				4,439,936
8	BELL	36,556	0.3565%	658,835	546,486	409,864	464,513	20,386				2,100,085
9	BELLFLOWER	78,308	0.7637%	1,411,315	1,170,648	877,986	995,051	43,647				4,498,647
10	BELL GARDENS	42,972	0.4191%	774,468	642,401	481,800	546,040	23,961				2,468,670
11	BEVERLY HILLS	34,627	0.3377%	624,069	517,649	388,237	440,001	19,312				1,989,268
12	BRADBURY	1,077	0.0105%	19,410	16,100	12,075	13,685	5,000				66,271
13	BURBANK	105,952	1.0333%	1,909,532	1,583,906	1,187,930	1,346,320	59,047				6,086,736
14	CALABASAS	24,239	0.2364%	436,850	362,356	271,767	308,002	13,525				1,392,499
15	CARSON	93,604	0.9129%	1,686,989	1,399,313	1,049,484	1,189,416	52,168				5,377,370
16	CERRITOS	50,711	0.4946%	913,945	758,093	568,570	644,379	28,272				2,913,259
17	CLAREMONT	36,511	0.3561%	658,024	545,813	409,360	463,941	20,361				2,097,499
18	COMMERCE	13,021	0.1270%	234,672	194,655	145,991	165,456	7,275				748,049
19	COMPTON	98,711	0.9627%	1,779,030	1,475,659	1,106,744	1,254,310	55,013				5,670,756
20	COVINA	48,876	0.4767%	880,873	730,661	547,996	621,062	27,250				2,807,842
21	CUDAHY	24,264	0.2366%	437,301	362,729	272,047	308,320	13,539				1,393,936
22	CULVER CITY	40,173	0.3918%	724,022	600,558	450,418	510,474	22,402				2,307,874
23	DIAMOND BAR	57,495	0.5607%	1,036,210	859,509	644,632	730,583	32,052				3,302,985
24	DOWNEY	114,212	1.1139%	2,058,399	1,707,387	1,280,541	1,451,279	63,649				6,561,255
25	DUARTE	21,952	0.2141%	395,632	328,167	246,125	278,942	12,251				1,261,116
26	EL MONTE	117,204	1.1430%	2,112,322	1,752,116	1,314,087	1,489,298	65,316				6,733,139
27	EL SEGUNDO	17,066	0.1664%	307,574	255,124	191,343	216,856	9,528				980,426
28	GARDENA	61,042	0.5953%	1,100,136	912,534	684,401	775,654	34,028				3,506,753
29	GLENDALE	206,283	2.0118%	3,717,759	3,083,783	2,312,837	2,621,215	114,942				11,850,536
30	GLENDORA	52,122	0.5083%	939,375	779,187	584,390	662,309	29,058				2,994,318
31	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	14,690	0.1433%	264,752	219,605	164,704	186,664	8,205				843,930
32	HAWTHORNE	87,854	0.8568%	1,583,359	1,313,354	985,016	1,116,351	48,965				5,047,045
33	HERMOSA BEACH	19,847	0.1936%	357,695	296,698	222,524	252,194	11,078				1,140,188
34	HIDDEN HILLS	1,885	0.0184%	33,973	28,179	21,135	23,952	5,000				112,239
35	HUNTINGTON PARK	59,350	0.5788%	1,069,642	887,240	665,430	754,154	33,085				3,409,551

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)

		Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M	TDA Article 3	TDA Arti	cle 8 (S & H)	
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report	as %of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike		Article 8	Total
		2016 data (1)	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate		Population	Allocation	
36	INDUSTRY(B)	432	0.0042%	7,786	6,458	4,844	5,489	-			24,577
	INGLEWOOD	112,549	1.0976%	2,028,427	1,682,527	1,261,895	1,430,148	62,723			6,465,719
-	IRWINDALE	1,506	0.0147%	27,142	22,514	16,885	19,137	5,000			90,677
39	LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE	20,602	0.2009%	371,302	307,985	230,989	261,787	11,498			1,183,562
40	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	5,485	0.0535%	98,854	81,997	61,498	69,697	5,000			317,046
41	LAKEWOOD	81,352	0.7934%	1,466,176	1,216,154	912,116	1,033,731	45,343	***************************************		4,673,519
42	LA MIRADA	49,558	0.4833%	893,165	740,857	555,642	629,728	27,630			2,847,022
43	LANCASTER	161,604	1.5761%	2,912,526	2,415,864	1,811,898	2,053,484	90,051	161,604	5,319,115	14,602,939
44	LA PUENTE	40,795	0.3979%	735,232	609,856	457,392	518,378	22,748			2,343,606
45	LA VERNE	33,201	0.3238%	598,369	496,331	372,248	421,881	18,517			1,907,347
46	LAWNDALE	33,436	0.3261%	602,604	499,844	374,883	424,868	18,648			1,920,847
47	LOMITA	20,763	0.2025%	374,204	310,392	232,794	263,833	11,588			1,192,811
48	LONG BEACH	475,013	4.6326%	8,560,975	7,101,104	5,325,828	6,035,938	264,653			27,288,498
49	LOS ANGELES CITY	4,040,079	39.4011%	72,812,778	60,396,283	45,297,213	51,336,841	2,553,193			232,396,308
50	LYNWOOD	71,343	0.6958%	1,285,787	1,066,527	799,895	906,548	39,767			4,098,523
51	MALIBU	12,046	0.1175%	217,100	180,079	135,059	153,067	6,732			692,038
52	MANHATTAN BEACH	35,922	0.3503%	647,408	537,008	402,756	456,457	20,033			2,063,663
53	MAYWOOD	27,971	0.2728%	504,110	418,146	313,610	355,424	15,604			1,606,895
54	MONROVIA	38,529	0.3758%	694,393	575,981	431,986	489,584	21,486			2,213,429
55	MONTEBELLO	64,247	0.6266%	1,157,899	960,447	720,335	816,380	35,813			3,690,873
56	MONTEREY PARK	61,828	0.6030%	1,114,302	924,284	693,213	785,642	34,466			3,551,907
57	NORWALK	106,744	1.0410%	1,923,806	1,595,746	1,196,810	1,356,384	59,489			6,132,235
58	PALMDALE	157,854	1.5395%	2,844,941	2,359,804	1,769,853	2,005,833	87,962	157,854	5,195,685	14,264,080
59	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	13,544	0.1321%	244,098	202,473	151,855	172,102	7,566			778,095
60	PARAMOUNT	55,497	0.5412%	1,000,201	829,640	622,230	705,194	30,939			3,188,204
61	PASADENA	146,312	1.4269%	2,636,924	2,187,259	1,640,445	1,859,171	81,532			8,405,331
62	PICO RIVERA	64,033	0.6245%	1,154,042	957,247	717,936	813,660	35,694			3,678,579
63	POMONA	154,310	1.5049%	2,781,069	2,306,824	1,730,118	1,960,800	85,988			8,864,799
64	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	42,560	0.4151%	767,042	636,241	477,181	540,805	23,731			2,445,002
65	REDONDO BEACH	68,473	0.6678%	1,234,062	1,023,622	767,717	870,079	38,168			3,933,648
	ROLLING HILLS	1,892	0.0185%	34,099	28,284	21,213	24,041	5,000			112,637
67	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	8,247	0.0804%	148,632	123,287	92,465	104,794	5,000			474,178
68	ROSEMEAD	55,097	0.5373%	992,992	823,661	617,745	700,112	30,716			3,165,225
69	SAN DIMAS	34,584	0.3373%	623,294	517,006	387,754	439,455	19,288			1,986,797
70	SAN FERNANDO	24,918	0.2430%	449,087	372,506	279,380	316,630	13,903			1,431,507

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)

		Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M	TDA Article 3	TDA Arti	cle 8 (S & H)	
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report	as %of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike		Article 8	Total
		2016 data (1)	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate	(A)	Population	Allocation	
71	SAN GABRIEL	41,178	0.4016%	742,135	615,582	461,686	523,244	22,961	***************************************		2,365,609
72	SAN MARINO	13,352	0.1302%	240,638	199,603	149,702	169,662	7,459			767,065
73	SANTA CLARITA	218,103	2.1271%	3,930,786	3,260,483	2,445,363	2,771,411	121,527	218,103	7,178,751	19,708,321
74	SANTA FE SPRINGS	18,261	0.1781%	329,111	272,989	204,742	232,041	10,194			1,049,076
75	SANTA MONICA	93,593	0.9128%	1,686,790	1,399,148	1,049,361	1,189,276	52,162			5,376,738
76	SIERRA MADRE	11,135	0.1086%	200,682	166,460	124,845	141,491	6,224			639,703
77	SIGNAL HILL	11,795	0.1150%	212,577	176,327	132,245	149,878	6,592			677,618
78	SOUTH EL MONTE	21,293	0.2077%	383,755	318,315	238,736	270,568	11,883			1,223,258
79	SOUTH GATE	96,777	0.9438%	1,744,174	1,446,747	1,085,060	1,229,735	53,936			5,559,652
80	SOUTH PASADENA	26,245	0.2560%	473,003	392,344	294,258	333,492	14,642			1,507,740
81	TEMPLE CITY	36,583	0.3568%	659,321	546,890	410,167	464,856	20,402			2,101,636
82	TORRANCE	148,054	1.4439%	2,668,320	2,213,301	1,659,976	1,881,306	82,503			8,505,405
83	VERNON	301	0.0029%	5,425	4,500	3,375	3,825	5,000			22,124
84	WALNUT	30,551	0.2980%	550,609	456,716	342,537	388,208	17,041			1,755,110
85	WEST COVINA	108,116	1.0544%	1,948,533	1,616,257	1,212,192	1,373,818	60,253			6,211,053
86	WEST HOLLYWOOD	36,660	0.3575%	660,709	548,041	411,031	465,835	20,444			2,106,059
87	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	8,378	0.0817%	150,993	125,245	93,934	106,458	5,000			481,631
88	WHITTIER	87,526	0.8536%	1,577,447	1,308,451	981,338	1,112,183	48,782			5,028,202
89	UNINCORP LA COUNTY	1,046,858	10.2095%	18,867,116	15,649,776	11,737,332	13,302,310	1,288,881	136,022	4,477,096	65,322,512
90	TOTAL	10,253,716	100.0000%	\$ 184,798,750	\$ 153,285,700	\$ 114,964,275	\$ 130,292,845	\$6,748,715	677,428	\$ 22,297,204	\$ 612,387,489

NOTES:

TDA Article 3 Allocation:

- (A) 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.
- (B) City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.

⁽¹⁾ Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's (DOF) 2019 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA Article 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research.

⁽²⁾ Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.

Bus Transit Subsidies

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS REVENUE ESTIMATES

Los Angeles County Share of Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim UZA

1 <u>Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formu</u> Estimated Revenue	la Grants:		\$ 249,763,193
2	Estimated Revenue Off the Top:	\$ 249,763,193	
3	1% Enhancement Allocation	(2,497,632)	
4		\$ 247,265,561	
5 6 7	85% Formula Allocation 15% Discretionary Allocation	\$ 210,175,727 37,089,834 \$ 247,265,561	
Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities Estimated Revenue Section 5337 State of Good Repair (I			\$ 27,849,576
High Intensity Fixed Guideway: 9 Directional Route Miles (DRM)		\$ 32,374,565	
10 Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) (Generated	\$ 88,621,447	
High Intensity Motorbus: Directional Route Miles (DRM) Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) (14)		\$ 2,474,173 3,282,642 \$ 5,756,815	
15 Section 5337 State of Good Repa	nir Total Estimated Revenue		\$ 94,378,262
16 Total Federal Formula Funds Availa	ble		\$ 371,991,031

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS (Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

		Urbanized For	mula Program (S	Section 5307)	Bus & Bi	us Facilities (Section	on 5339)	State of			
	Operators	FY21\$Allocation	Fund Exchanges	Adjusted \$ Allocation	FY21 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$	FY21 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$ Allocation	Total
	Included Operators:										
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 161,335,239	\$ (13,702,926)	\$ 147,632,312	\$ 18,834,202	\$ 9,015,374	\$ 27,849,576	\$ 89,360,710	\$ 5,017,552	\$ 94,378,262	\$ 269,860,150
	Municipal Operators:										
2	Arcadia	347,381	46,030	393,411	46,030	(46,030)	_	_	_	_	393,411
3	Claremont	125,188	16,588	141,776	16,588	(16,588)	_	_	_	_	141,776
4	Commerce	2,959,110	96,173	3,055,283	96,173	(96,173)	_	_	_	-	3,055,283
5	Culver City	6,035,966	406,923	6,442,889	406,923	(406,923)	_	_	_	_	6,442,889
6	Foothill Transit	20,620,062	5,913,747	26,533,809	2,389,094	(2,389,094)	_	3,524,653	(3,524,653)	_	26,533,809
7	Gardena	2,696,788	357,341	3,054,129	357,341	(357,341)	-	-	-	-	3,054,129
8	La Mirada	140,407	18,605	159,012	18,605	(18,605)	-	-	-	-	159,012
9	Long Beach	15,029,441	1,624,487	16,653,927	1,796,444	(1,796,444)	-	158,042	(158,042)	-	16,653,927
10	Montebello	4,041,145	535,477	4,576,622	535,477	(535,477)	-	-	-	-	4,576,622
11	Norwalk	3,624,315	196,526	3,820,840	196,526	(196,526)	-	-	-	-	3,820,840
12	Redondo Beach	716,377	94,924	811,301	94,924	(94,924)	-	-	-	-	811,301
13	Santa Monica	14,406,485	1,392,761	15,799,246	1,315,775	(1,315,775)	-	76,986	(76,986)	-	15,799,246
14	Torrance	3,067,310	406,437	3,473,748	406,437	(406,437)	-	-	-	-	3,473,748
15	Sub-Total	73,809,976	11,106,019	84,915,994	7,676,338	(7,676,338)	-	3,759,681	(3,759,681)	-	84,915,994
	Eligible Operators:										
16	Antelope Valley	242,635	567,182	809,818	32,151	(32,151)	-	535,032	(535,032)	-	809,818
17	LADOT	10,869,158	1,809,331	12,678,489	1,086,492	(1,086,492)	-	722,839	(722,839)	-	12,678,489
18	Santa Clarita	3,506,185	220,394	3,726,579	220,394	(220,394)	-	-	-	-	3,726,579
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Sub-Total	14,617,978	2,596,908	17,214,886	1,339,037	(1,339,037)		1,257,871	(1,257,871)	-	17,214,886
21	Total Excluding Metro	88,427,954	13,702,926	102,130,881	9,015,374	(9,015,374)	-	5,017,552	(5,017,552)		102,130,881
00	Grand Total	\$ 249,763,193	¢	\$ 249,763,193	\$ 27,849,576	•	\$ 27 040 E70	\$ 94,378,262	¢	\$ 94,378,262	\$ 271 004 024
22	Grand Total	φ 249,703,193	.	φ 249,703,193	ψ Z1,049,576	ψ -	φ 21,049,376	φ 34 ,310,202	.	Φ 94,370,202	\$ 571,991,U3T

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

	OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE	85% FORMULA ALLOCATION	15% DISCRETIONARY AI	1% ENHANCEMENT ALLOCATION		TOTAL	TDA Fund Exchange	S5339/S5337 Fund Exchange	Total Funds Available	
		SHARE	ALLOCATION	Project Title	Amount	Project Title	Amount			(1)	
1	Antelope Valley	0.1154%	\$ 242,635	-		-		\$ 242,635		\$ 567,182	\$ 809,818
2	Arcadia	0.1653%	347,381					347,381		46,030	393,411
3	Claremont	0.0596%	125,188					125,188		16,588	141,776
4	Commerce	0.3453%	725,800	CNG Replacement Buses	2,203,310	Bus Pole Instalation	30,000	2,959,110		96,173	3,055,283
5	Culver City	1.4611%	3,070,973	Battery Electric Buses	2,667,858	Solar LED Real Time Information Sinage	297,135	6,035,966		406,923	6,442,889
6	Foothill Transit	8.5786%	18,030,062	Fuel Cell Bus Replacement & Fueling Infrastructure	2,590,000			20,620,062		5,913,747	26,533,809
7	Gardena	1.2831%	2,696,788					2,696,788		357,341	3,054,129
8	LADOT	3.9013%	8,199,558	Electrification Infrastructure for Bus Maint. Facility	2,669,600			10,869,158		1,809,331	12,678,489
9	La Mirada	0.0668%	140,407					140,407		18,605	159,012
		0.45050/		Admin., Oerating & Maintenance Facility Rehab.	608,000	Bus Stop	504.000	45 000 444	(0) (000 000)	4 054 407	40.050.007
10	Long Beach Transit	6.4505%	13,557,441	Regional Training (2)	330,000	Improvements	534,000	15,029,441	(2) (330,000	1,954,487	16,653,927
11	Montebello	1.9227%	4,041,145					4,041,145		535,477	4,576,622
12	Metro Bus Ops.	67.6283%	142,138,322	Div. 9 Electric Buses & Charging Infrastructure	18,379,917	Expansion of NextGen Bus Study	817,000	161,335,239	(2) 330,000	(14,032,926)	147,632,312
13	Norwalk	0.7057%	1,483,146	CNG Replacement Buses	1,916,361	Bus Stop Improvements	224,808	3,624,315		196,526	3,820,840
14	Redondo Beach	0.3408%	716,377					716,377		94,924	811,301
15	Santa Clarita	0.7914%	1,663,277	Commuter Buses	1,842,908			3,506,185		220,394	3,726,579
16	Santa Monica	4.7246%	9,929,916	Replacement of Midsize Buses	3,881,880	Bus Stop Improvements	594,689	14,406,485		1,392,761	15,799,246
17	Torrance	1.4594%	3,067,310					3,067,310		406,437	3,473,748
18	TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 210,175,727		\$ 37,089,834		\$ 2,497,632	\$ 249,763,193	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ 249,763,193

Notes: Total may not add due to rounding.

⁽¹⁾ Operators' share of Section5337 and 5339 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

⁽²⁾ First year of fund allocations to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit. Funds to the SCRTTC will be exchanged with Metro's TDA share.

FEDERAL SECTION 5337 - STATE OF GOOD REPAIR

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHARE (UZA 2)	Directi	onal Route Mile Allocation	es (DRM)		evenue Miles Allocation	(VRM)	Total \$	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾
(OZA Z)	DRM	DRM%	DRM \$Allocation	VRM	VRM%	VRM \$Allocation	Allocation		
High Intensity Fixed Guideway:									
Metro (Including Metrolink)	462.9	99.763%	\$ 32,297,815	27,318,023	98.591%	\$ 55,454,609	\$ 87,752,424	\$ 869,023	\$ 88,621,447
2 Long Beach Transit	0.5	0.108%	34,886	60,669	0.219%	123,156	158,042	(158,042)	-
3 Santa Monica	0.6	0.129%	41,864	17,302	0.062%	35,122	76,986	(76,986)	-
4 Foothill Transit	- [0.000%	-	312,318	1.127%	633,994	633,994	(633,994)	-
5 Sub-total	464.0	100.000%	32,374,565	27,708,312	100.000%	56,246,882	88,621,447	-	88,621,447
High Intensity Motorbus:									
6 Antelope Valley	23.6	15.003%	371,205	110,163	4.991%	163,827	535,032	(535,032)	-
7 Foothill Transit	39.4	25.048%	619,723	1,527,057	69.180%	2,270,936	2,890,659	(2,890,659)	-
8 LADOT	35.1	22.314%	552,088	114,819	5.202%	170,751	722,839	(722,839)	-
9 Metro Bus Ops.	59.2	37.635%	931,157	455,325	20.628%	677,128	1,608,286	4,148,529	5,756,815
10 Sub-total	157.3	100.00%	2,474,173	2,207,364	100.000%	3,282,642	5,756,815	-	5,756,815
11 Total LA County Share - UZA 2	621.30		\$ 34,848,738	29,915,676	200.000%	\$ 59,529,524	\$ 94,378,262	\$ -	\$ 94,378,262

⁽¹⁾ Operators' share of Section 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

FEDERAL SECTION 5339 - BUS AND BUS CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

	OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE	Net Formula Share	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾
1	Antelope Valley	0.1154%	\$ 32,151	\$ (32,151)	\$ -
2	Arcadia	0.1653%	46,030	(46,030)	-
3	Claremont	0.0596%	16,588	(16,588)	-
4	Commerce	0.3453%	96,173	(96,173)	-
5	Culver City	1.4611%	406,923	(406,923)	-
6	Foothill	8.5786%	2,389,094	(2,389,094)	-
7	Gardena	1.2831%	357,341	(357,341)	-
8	LADOT	3.9013%	1,086,492	(1,086,492)	-
9	La Mirada	0.0668%	18,605	(18,605)	-
10	Long Beach	6.4505%	1,796,444	(1,796,444)	-
11	Montebello	1.9227%	535,477	(535,477)	-
12	Metro Bus Ops.	67.6283%	18,834,202	9,015,374	27,849,576
13	Norwalk	0.7057%	196,526	(196,526)	-
14	Redondo Beach	0.3408%	94,924	(94,924)	-
15	Santa Clarita	0.7914%	220,394	(220,394)	-
16	Santa Monica	4.7246%	1,315,775	(1,315,775)	-
17	Torrance	1.4594%	406,437	(406,437)	-
18	TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 27,849,576	\$ -	\$ 27,849,576

⁽¹⁾ Operators' share of Section 5339 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION

		MILEAGE CALCULATION				ACTIVE FLEET CALCULATION						
	OPERATOR	Local Vehicle Miles [Input]	Express Vehicle Miles [Input]	Total Miles Weighted 60% Local/ 40% Express	1/3 Weight	Active Fleet (1) [Input]	Peak Bus Fixed Route (2) [Input]	Allowable Peak Bus (Peak+20%)	DAR Seats (3) [Input]	Bus Eqvt. (44 Seats per Bus)	Total Active Vehicle	1/3 Weight
1	Antelope Valley	2,446,104	1,358,830	2,011,194	0.8153%	80	71	80.0	0	0.0	80.0	0.6989%
2	Arcadia DR	103,481	-	62,089	0.0252%	0	0	0.0	102	2.3	2.3	0.0203%
3	Arcadia MB	188,621	-	113,173	0.0459%	8	6	7.2	0	0.0	7.2	0.0629%
4	Claremont	48,300	-	28,980	0.0117%	0	0	0.0	218	5.0	5.0	0.0433%
5	Commerce	475,304	-	285,182	0.1156%	19	15	18.0	48	1.1	19.1	0.1668%
6	Culver City	1,832,828	-	1,099,697	0.4458%	54	44	52.8	0	0.0	52.8	0.4613%
7	Foothill Transit	10,319,428	6,972,134	8,980,510	3.6405%	347	303	347.0	0	0.0	347.0	3.0316%
8	Gardena	1,770,445	-	1,062,267	0.4306%	54	43	51.6	0	0.0	51.6	0.4508%
9	LADOT	2,982,484	2,943,835	2,967,024	1.2028%	199	170	199.0	0	0.0	199.0	1.7386%
10	La Mirada	73,476	-	44,086	0.0179%	0	0	0.0	208	4.7	4.7	0.0413%
11	Long Beach	8,195,601	-	4,917,361	1.9934%	234	196	234.0	40	0.9	234.9	2.0523%
12	Montebello	2,466,913	77,933	1,511,321	0.6127%	72	67	72.0	40	0.9	72.9	0.6370%
13	Metro Bus Ops.	82,830,000	5,360,000	51,842,000	21.0156%	2,419	1,963	2,355.6	0	0.0	2,355.6	20.5803%
14	Norwalk	1,089,677	-	653,806	0.2650%	34	24	28.8	0	0.0	28.8	0.2516%
15	Redondo Beach	487,557	-	292,534	0.1186%	20	14	16.8	75	1.7	18.5	0.1617%
16	Santa Clarita	2,249,325	1,086,067	1,784,022	0.7232%	83	69	82.8	0	0.0	82.8	0.7234%
17	Santa Monica	5,417,000	242,000	3,347,000	1.3568%	196	166	196.0	0	0.0	196.0	1.7124%
18	Torrance	1,634,000	613,000	1,225,600	0.4968%	56	48	56.0	48	1.1	57.1	0.4988%
19	TOTAL	124,610,544	18,653,799	82,227,846	33.3333%	3,875	3,199	3,797.6	779	17.7	3,815.3	33.3333%

Notes:

Include only MTA Funded Programs:

- (1) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode MB), Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet". LADOT's total active vehicles is reported separately.
- (2) Source: NTD Report Form S-10 "Service Non-Rail (Mode MB), Vehicles Operated in Annual Maximum Service". LADOT's figure is from TPM excluding Community Dash.
- (3) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode DR), Seating Capacity". Redondo Beach's Seating Capacity is apportioned between FAP and non-FAP vehicles.

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION (Continued)

		FARE	EUNITS		UNLINKED PAS	SENGERS		Re-Allocate	
OPERATOR	Passenger Revenue [Input]	Base Fare \$ [Input]	Fare Units	1/2 of 1/3 Weight	Unlinked Passengers [Input]	1/2 of 1/3 Weight	Gross Formula Share	AVTA And Santa Clarita's Non-LA2 UZA Share	LA UZA 2 Net Formula Share
Antelope Valley	\$4,706,264	\$ 1.50	3,137,509	0.3188%	2,301,868	0.1078%	1.9408%	-1.8253%	0.1154%
2 Arcadia DR	5,087	0.50	10,174	0.0010%	22,841	0.0011%	0.0475%	0.0014%	0.0490%
3 Arcadia MB	7,526	0.50	15,052	0.0015%	54,902	0.0026%	0.1129%	0.0034%	0.1163%
4 Claremont	37,700	2.50	15,080	0.0015%	26,500	0.0012%	0.0578%	0.0018%	0.0596%
Commerce (1)	-	-	309,059	0.0314%	455,961	0.0213%	0.3351%	0.0102%	0.3453%
6 Culver City	2,908,933	1.00	2,908,933	0.2955%	4,600,876	0.2154%	1.4181%	0.0431%	1.4611%
7 Foothill	16,079,595	1.50	10,719,730	1.0891%	12,053,307	0.5644%	8.3256%	0.2529%	8.5786%
8 Gardena	2,235,072	1.00	2,235,072	0.2271%	2,920,856	0.1368%	1.2453%	0.0378%	1.2831%
9 LADOT	6,411,286	1.50	4,274,191	0.4343%	8,769,797	0.4106%	3.7863%	0.1150%	3.9013%
0 La Mirada	35,602	1.00	35,602	0.0036%	43,686	0.0020%	0.0648%	0.0020%	0.0668%
1 Long Beach	13,854,161	1.25	11,083,329	1.1260%	23,248,158	1.0886%	6.2603%	0.1902%	6.4505%
2 Montebello	3,972,587	1.10	3,611,443	0.3669%	5,328,407	0.2495%	1.8661%	0.0567%	1.9227%
Metro Bus Ops.	191,776,000	1.75	109,586,286	11.1338%	275,603,000	12.9047%	65.6344%	1.9939%	67.6283%
4 Norwalk	1,246,966	1.25	997,573	0.1014%	1,427,804	0.0669%	0.6849%	0.0208%	0.7057%
Redondo Beach	328,405	1.00	328,405	0.0334%	366,810	0.0172%	0.3308%	0.0100%	0.3408%
6 Santa Clarita	3,159,143	1.00	3,159,143	0.3210%	2,565,484	0.1201%	1.8877%	-1.0963%	0.7914%
7 Santa Monica	11,431,000	1.25	9,144,800	0.9291%	12,536,000	0.5870%	4.5853%	0.1393%	4.7246%
8 Torrance	2,473,000	1.00	2,473,000	0.2513%	3,620,000	0.1695%	1.4164%	0.0430%	1.4594%
9 TOTAL	\$260,668,327		164,044,380	16.6667%	355,946,257	16.6667%	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%

Note:

(1) Commerce Fare Units are calculated as follows: ((Total Fare Units w/out MTA and Commerce) / (Total Unlinked Passengers w/out MTA and Commerce)) * Commerce Unlinked Passengers.

FORM FFA10, SECTION 9 STATISTICS PASSENGER MILES IS USED TO CALCULATE AVTA AND SANTA CLARITA'S RE-ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL MONIES.

			NIELOPE VALLE	Y	S	ANTA CLARIT	CLARITA		
		Passenger		Re-Allocated	Passenger		Re-Allocated		
		Miles	%	Share	Miles	%	Share		
20	Non-LA 2 UZA (AV 123 for AVTA, AV 176 for Santa Clarita)	28,383,366	94.0517%	1.8253%	11,404,989	58.0772%	1.0963%		
21	UZA number LA 2	1,795,116	5.9483%	0.1154%	8,232,648	41.9228%	0.7914%		
22	Total	30,178,482	100.0000%	1.9408%	19,637,637	100.0000%	1.8877%		

CARES Act Allocation

Approved by

LACMTA Board of Directors

SUMMARY OF CARES ALLOCATION / DISTRIBUTION

		Maintaining Funding	Supplemental	Total CARES
	Fund Recipients	Levels	Funding	Allocations
	Metro Transit			
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 167,098,181	\$ 167,098,181	\$ 334,196,361
2	Metro Rail Ops	126,470,961	126,470,961	252,941,922
	Municipal Operators			
3	Arcadia	206,559	206,559	413,118
4	Claremont	76,519	76,519	153,038
5	Commerce	417,209	417,209	834,418
6	Culver City	3,286,560	3,286,560	6,573,119
7	Foothill Transit	14,928,460	14,928,460	29,856,920
8	Gardena	3,325,124	3,325,124	6,650,248
9	La Mirada	61,549	61,549	123,098
10	Long Beach	14,601,919	14,601,919	29,203,837
11	Montebello	5,087,634	5,087,634	10,175,268
12	Norwalk	1,903,609	1,903,609	3,807,217
13	Redondo Beach	453,340	453,340	906,679
14	Santa Monica	12,180,206	12,180,206	24,360,412
15	Torrance	3,955,055	3,955,055	7,910,110
16	Antelope Valley	2,119,521	2,119,521	4,239,043
17	LADOT	7,915,635	7,915,635	15,831,270
18	Santa Clarita	1,888,097	1,888,097	3,776,194
19	Foothill BSCP	1,580,300	1,580,300	3,160,600
20	Sub-Total	73,987,295	73,987,295	147,974,591
	Tier 2 Operators (1),(2)			
21	LADOT Community Dash	1,222,102	2,389,971	3,612,073
	Glendale	177,431	346,989	524,420
23	Pasadena	103,399	202,210	305,610
24	Burbank	31,105	60,830	91,935
25	Sub-Total	1,534,038	3,000,000	4,534,038
	Small Operators (1),(3)	, ,	-,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
26		1 540 202	2 084 308	4 504 511
	Regional Paratransit Operators	1,540,203	2,984,308	4,524,511
27	1 .	1,049,642	2,046,663	3,096,305
28	Special Demonstration Projects Sub-Total	513,277	150,000	663,277
29	Other Transit Operators (1)	3,103,122	5,180,971	8,284,093
	<u> </u>	04 400 000	05 000 000	50,400,000
30	Metrolink	21,432,000	35,000,000	56,432,000
31	Access Services	25,200,000	8,300,000	33,500,000
32	Regional Needs (1)	160,300,000	-	160,300,000
	Direct Apportionments			
33	Santa Clarita (5307/5340)			14,486,864
55	Lancaster-Palmdale (Antelope Valley Transit			14,400,004
34	Authority) (5307/5337/5340)			30,713,119
	Metro (5340)			24,724,705
	Section 5311 (AVTA) ⁽⁴⁾			_
37	Sub-Total			69,924,688
38	Total CARES Act Allocations			\$ 1,068,087,693
				, , , , ,

Notes

- (1) Fund exchanges of Federal CARES Act funding, as appropriate, with other local funding sources in order to provide administrative efficiencies and to optimize and accelerate the distribution of resources.
- (2) Detailed listing of Tier 2 Operators Allocations on page 15.
- (3) Detailed listing of Small Operators Allocations on pages 16-18.
- (4) Represents 5311 funds to LACDPW for AVTA's service to rural areas in the North County subregion. Amount is estimated at \$1.36M and contingent upon final allocations by CalTrans.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2021 Transit Fund Allocations

RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021 FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND ALLOCATIONS

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is the designated Transportation Planning agency for the County of Los Angeles and is, therefore, responsible for the administration of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code Section 99200 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, under Chapter 2.5, Article 5, the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA) Section 6753, allocations to claimants shall be made and take effect by resolution and shall designate: 1) the fiscal year for which the allocation is made; 2) the amount allocated to the claimant for each of the purposes defined in Sections 6730 and 6731; and 3) any other terms and conditions of the allocation; and

WHEREAS, Section 6659 requires that allocation instructions be conveyed each year to the county auditor by written memorandum of its executive director and accompanied by a certified copy of the authorizing resolution; and

WHEREAS, the resolution shall also specify conditions of payment and may call for a single payment, for payments as moneys become available, or for payment by installments monthly, quarterly, or otherwise; and

WHEREAS, the amount of a regional entity's allocation for a fiscal year that is not allocated to claimants for that fiscal year shall be available to the regional entity for allocation in the following fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, Section 6754 requires that the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- a.1 The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- a.2 The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of PUC Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant.
- a.3 The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2021 Transit Fund Allocations

- a.4 The sum of the claimant's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
- a.5 Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for the purposes specified in Section 6730 only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- b.1 The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244.
- b.2 A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle code, as required in PUC Section 99251. The certification shall have been completed within the last 13 month, prior to filing claims.
- b.3 The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator to exchange funds pursuant to PUC Section 99314.4(b) only if, in the resolution allocating the funds made available pursuant to PUC Section 99231, it find that the operator is eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds; and

WHEREAS, LACMTA staff in consultation with the Transit Operators and Cities has developed allocations in accordance with the Transportation Development Act as previously specified.

NOW THEREFORE.

- 1.0 The LACMTA Board of Directors approves the allocation of TDA and STA for the Fiscal Year 2020-21 to each claimant for each of the purposes as specified in Attachments A.
- 2.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that a claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan., the level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements; the claimant is making full use of federal funds

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2021 Transit Fund Allocations

available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964; the sum of the claimant's allocations from the State Transit Assistance fund and from the Local Transportation Fund do not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year; and that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

- 3.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that, for the purposes specified in Section 6730, the operators eligible for funding have made reasonable efforts to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, has been remitted. The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7
- 4.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators listed in Attachment A are eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds.
- 5.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators may receive payments upon meeting the requirements of the STA eligibility test and submittal of TDA and STA claims.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, duly qualified and acting as the Board Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of the Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held on September, 2020.

	MICHELE JACKSON
	Board Secretary
DATED:	•
(SEAL)	

Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies & Assumptions for Revenue Estimates

- Sales tax revenue estimate is projected to decline 14.5% over FY 2020 budget based upon review of several economic forecasts.
- Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.30% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources.
- Due to the reduction in funding, Proposition C Discretionary programs including Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP), Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program were kept at FY19 allocation level.
- Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, allocates formula funds to transit agencies for two different programs: 1) State of Good Repair (SGR) and 2) State Transit Assistance. SGR is a new program funded by the increase in Vehicle License Fees. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible transit agencies must comply with various reporting requirements. The second program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel. Recipients are asked to provide supplemental reporting on the augmented State Transit Assistance funding received each fiscal year to allow for transparency and accountability of all SB 1 expenditures. Recipients are asked to report on the general uses of STA expenditures. These funds are allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- Pursuant to PUC 99233.1 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Metro shall be allocated funds necessary to administer TDA funding. In FY21, due to the reduction in Sales Tax Revenue, Metro will cap TDA administrative funding at FY19 allocation level.
- Pursuant to section 130004, up to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues shall be allocated to Metro and up to ¾ percent shall be allocated to Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) for transportation planning and programming process. Starting FY20, Metro will increase TDA planning allocation to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues.
- Formula Equivalent funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators in lieu of Section 9, TDA, STA and Prop A 40% Discretionary funds. Fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI. Due to an estimated shortfall of GOI revenue this year, \$52.3 million fund will be allocated to Eligible and Tier 2 operators from PC 40% Discretionary.

- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339, and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments.
- Federal Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS). Section 5337 is calculated based on directional route miles and vehicle revenue miles formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Operators' shares of Sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

Bus Transit Subsidies (\$1,087.0M)

Formula Allocation Procedure (\$662.9M)

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County Included and Eligible Operators submitted their FY 2019 Transit Performance Measures (TPM) data for the FY 2021 FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50% of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare).

In November 2008, the Board approved a Funding Stability Policy, where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

In FY 2008, the Board set aside \$18.0 million from GOI fund to provide operating assistance to Tier 2 Operators including LADOT Community Dash, Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated using the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing Included and Eligible Operators. This program was funded \$6.0 million each year for three years beginning FY 2011. With the Board's approval, we will continue to fund this program in FY 2021 in the amount of \$9.0 million. Fund includes \$4,534,038 in CARES Act Equivalent Supplemental Funding as approved by the Board of Directors. CARES fund will be Exchange with local funds.

Measure R Allocations (\$135.0M)

Measure R 20% Bus Operations (\$135.0M)

Measure R, approved by voters in November 2008, allocates 20% of the revenues for bus service operations, maintenance and expansion. The 20% bus operations share is allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (\$0.0M)
 Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150.0 million over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County Municipal Operators at \$10 million every even year.

Measure M 20% Transit Operations (\$133.1M)

Measure M, approved by voters of Los Angeles County in November, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion. As defined in Section 3 of the Measure M Ordinance, the 20% Transit Operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

Proposition C 5% Security (\$30.6M)

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The unlinked boardings used for allocating these funds are based on the operators' TPM reports of LACMTA approved services. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs (\$64.0M)

The following programs are funded with Prop C 40% Discretionary funds:

- Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP). MOSIP was adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program is intended to provide bus service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by reducing overcrowding and expanding services. In the past, funding was increased by 3% from the previous year's funding level. This year due to the reduction in funding, the allocation was kept at FY19 level. All Municipal Operators participate in this program and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.
- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- **Foothill Mitigation.** This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an Included Operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data is frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is

then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the BOS in November 1995.

- Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE). Created in 1990 to increase
 ridership by providing funds for additional services to relieve congestion. The
 TSE Program continues for eight Municipal Operators including Culver City,
 Foothill Transit, Gardena, Long Beach, Torrance, Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita,
 and LADOT for expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested
 corridors. Metro Operations does not participate in this program.
- Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re). The Base Restructuring Program continues for four Municipal Operators who added service before 1990. These operators are Commerce, Foothill Transit, Montebello and Torrance.
- Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP). Created in 1996 to provide additional buses on existing lines to relieve overcrowding. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators participate in this program, except for Claremont, Commerce, and La Mirada.

Federal Funds (\$372.0M)

Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program (\$249.8 M)

The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (49 U.S.C. 5307) makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2021, \$249.8 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. The15% Capital Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with BOS review and concurrence.

At its April 21, 2020 meeting, the BOS allocated \$330,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCRTTC provides a training resource network comprised of Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. The funds will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities (\$27.8M)

Section 5339 is a grant program authorized by 49 United States Code (U.S.C) Section 5339 as specified under the Federal Reauthorization Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century or "MAP 21". The Program provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses, vans, and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2021, \$27.8 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the BOS. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Section 5337 State of Good Repair (\$94.4M)

Section 5337 provides grants for new and expanded rail, bus rapid transit, and ferry systems that reflect local priorities to improve transportation options in key corridors. This program defines a new category of eligible projects, known as core capacity projects, which expand capacity by at least 10% in existing fixed guideway transit corridors that are already at or above capacity today, or are expected to be at or above capacity within five years. The program also includes provisions for streamlining aspects of the New Starts process to increase efficiency and reduce the time required to meet critical milestones. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- High Intensity Fixed Guideway provides capital funding to maintain a system
 in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of
 public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue
 estimates for FY 2021, \$88.6 million is allocated to Metro and Municipal
 operations.
- High Intensity Motorbus provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2021, \$5.8 million is allocated to Metro Operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Proposition A Incentive Programs (\$17.9M)

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program

guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region. In order to maintain funding level and mitigate the reduction in sales tax revenues for FY21, \$6.6M in CARES Act Equivalent funding as approved by LACMTA Board of Directors were added to fund PA Incentive programs.

Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland, will receive \$850,000 in subsidy which includes \$251,462 in CARES Act Equivalent funding.

At its May 16, 2017 meeting, the Local Transit System Subcommittee (LTSS) approved an additional \$50,000 to Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy increasing the funding level to \$300,000. In FY21, \$43,484 and \$153,207 were added to Avalon's Transit Service and the Hollywood Bowl Shuttles from CARES Act Equivalent funding to remain subsidy at \$300,000 and \$1,057,000 level, respectively.

Local Returns (\$583.3M)

Proposition A 25% (\$184.8M) Proposition C 20% (\$153.3M) Measure R 15% (\$115.0M) Measure M 17% (\$130.3M)

Local Return estimates are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M ordinances.

TDA Article 3 funds (\$6.7M)

TDA Article 3 funds are for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities and split into two parts:

- The 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively.
- The 85% of the funds are allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.

TDA Article 8 funds (\$22.3M)

TDA Article 8 funds are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. This includes allocations to Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.