

Board Report

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation
Authority
One Gateway Plaza
3rd Floor Board Room
Los Angeles, CA

File #: 2022-0262, File Type: Policy Agenda Number: 35.

OPERATIONS, SAFETY, AND CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE NOVEMBER 17, 2022

SUBJECT: METRO 2022 TRANSIT SERVICE POLICY

ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION

ADOPT the 2022 Transit Service Policy (Attachment A).

ISSUE

Metro's Transit Service Policy (TSP) is periodically revised to reflect the policy framework for how the agency meets existing and anticipated challenges with providing high quality transit service. This policy is required as part of Federal Title VI compliance. Changes to the Metro TSP were last adopted by the Metro Board in January 2020, reflecting the newly developed framework for the NextGen Bus Plan focused on developing a fast, frequent, and reliable bus network. Since that time, the NextGen Bus Plan has been adopted and the majority of the service plan has been implemented. This 2022 update for the TSP reflects the approved and implemented NextGen Bus Plan and will serve as a fundamental guide for bus route design, scheduling, implementation and evaluation for Metro transit service moving forward based on the principles established in the NextGen Bus Plan.

BACKGROUND

Metro's Transit Service Policy (TSP) establishes criteria and guidelines to ensure that the transit system is developed and managed consistent with policy guidance approved by the Metro Board of Directors. These include criteria for service provision including minimum service frequencies, load standards and route and stop spacing. The TSP also includes a formal process for evaluating services, service design guidelines, and a process for implementing service changes.

DISCUSSION

This 2022 update of the Transit Service Policy (Attachment A) incorporates the following changes:

- Critical elements of the NextGen Bus Plan were updated to reflect the plan having been adopted and largely implemented, including:
 - NextGen frequency tiers
 - Toolkit of bus speed and reliability tools,

File #: 2022-0262, File Type: Policy Agenda Number: 35.

- Key system principals and design concepts
- Addition of Metro MicroTransit pilot service
- Metro's Equity Platform, recognizing the need in planning service to consider higher need for people to use transit in areas with a higher transit equity score, such as Equity Focus Communities
- Restored documentation of on time performance standards

A redlined version of all of the changes is provided in Attachment B.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

This Transit Service Policy and all recommendations identified will be implemented with full adherence to established safety policies and procedures.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Implementation of any of the recommendations, elements, and principles established in the policy document would be reflected in the annual Metro Operating and Capital budgets brought to the Board for approval. The adoption of this updated TSP document does not directly impact the budget.

EQUITY PLATFORM

This 2022 update of the TSP continues to incorporate Metro's Title VI Service and Fare Equity Analysis policy which provides for formal consideration of the impact on people of color (minority) and low-income communities of any Metro major service change. This update also incorporates the 2022 Equity Focus Communities definition and addresses the Four Pillars of the Equity Platform.

The TSP also reflects the NextGen principles of all day frequent service based on a set of frequency tiers, which resulted in more bus service resources in areas with higher Transit Equity scores and in Equity Focus Communities (EFCs), where the need to use the Metro transit system is greatest. The TSP also includes the NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability program of new bus lanes, signal priority, all door boarding, plus bus stop and terminal optimization. The roll out of these enhancements will further improve the rider experience through faster and more reliable travel, especially in areas with higher Transit Equity scores and in EFCs where the most frequent and highest ridership bus services are concentrated.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

The recommendation supports strategic plan goal #1: Provide high quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling. This update to the TSP also encompasses two sub-goals: 1) Target infrastructure and service investments towards those with the greatest mobility needs; and 2) Invest in a world class bus system that is reliable, convenient, safe, and attractive to more users for more trips.

Alternatives_Considered
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

File #: 2022-0262, File Type: Policy Agenda Number: 35.

This new version of the TSP updates the January 2020 version to reflect the NextGen Bus Plan implementation. There are no other updates required at this time.

NEXT STEPS

With the adoption of the 2022 Metro Transit Service Policy, staff will continue to work towards the full implementation of the NextGen Bus Plan with the roll out of addition bus speed and reliability improvements. Lessons learned from this process will be included in future updates for the Transit Service Policy.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - December 2022 Metro Transit Service Policies and Standards

Attachment B - The redline version

Prepared by: Joe Forgiarini, Senior Executive Officer, Service Development

Lilia Montoya, Deputy Chief Operations Officer, Admin &

Development, (213) 922-4061

Reviewed by: Conan Cheung, Chief Operations Officer, Mobility Services & Development (213)

418-3034

ief Executive Officer

Transit Service Policy

December 2022





TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABESAND FIGURES	3
EXECUTIVE SUM MARY	4
SECTION 1: IN TRODUCTION, PURPOSE & BACKGROUND	5
SECTION 2: DESIGN IN G A WORLD CLASS BUSSYSTEM	12
SECTION 3: SERVICE DESIGN GUIDELINES	16
3.1 Service Design Carcepts	16
3.2 Service Stan dards	20
3.3 MetroBus Routing Guidelines	24
3.4 Veh icle Assign m en t	27
3.5 Charter Service	29
3.6 Special Even t Service	30
3.7 Service Transfer Guideline	30
3.8 Alternative Service DeliveryOptions	31
SECTION 4: CU STOM ER IN FORMATION AND AMENITIES	34
4.1 Custom er In form ation	34
4.2 Custom erAm en ities	35
4.3 Rail Stations and Bus/Multi-Modal Transit Center-Facilities	37
4.4 Bus Stop Am en ities	37
4.5 Bus Stop/Station Location, Design and Guidelines	39
SECTION 5: SERVICE PERFORM AN CE EVALUATION	45
5.1 Route Perform an ce In dex	45
5.2 Custom er Experience	46
5.3 Service Evaluation Process	47

SECTION 6: SERVICE CHANGE PROCESS	. 49
6.1 Service Change Programs	. 51
6.2 Title VI EquityAn alysis and Metros Equity Platform	. 51
6.4-3 M etros Equity Platform	. 55
6.54 Public Outreach	. 57
6.56 Public Hearing Process	. 58
6.67 Im plem enting M in or Changes on an Interim Basis	. 59
A PPEN D ICES	. 60
A PPEN DIX A: M etrol in e Iden tification	. 61
A PPEN DIX BLOS An geles CountyLocal Fixed and Demand Response	. 64
Route Tran sit Operators	. 64

LIST OF TABLESAND FIGURES

SECTION 1: I	IN TRODUCTION, PURPOSE & BACKGROUND	
Table 1.1 Table 1.2	Service Type Determ in ation	{
Figure 1.1	Bus Bulb	(
SECTION 3:5	SERVICE DESIGN GUIDEL IN ES	
Table 3.1 Table 3.2 Table 3.3 Table 3.4 Table 3.5 Table 3.6	M in im um N extGen Bus Frequen cybyService Type	20 21 22 23
Figure 3.1 Figure 3.2 Figure 3.3	40 -foot bus turn in g radius	27
SECTION 4:	CUSTOMER IN FORMATION AND AMENITIES	
Table 4.1 Table 4.2	Custon er In form ation and Am en ities	37 41
Figure 4.1 Figure 4.2 Figure 4.3 Figure 4.4	Gen eral Stan dard Bus Stop/Zone Attributes Typical N ear-Side Bus Stop Typical Far-Side Bus Stop Typical M id-Book Bus Stop	43 44
SECTION 6:5	SERVICE CHANGE PROCESS	
Table 6.1 Table 6.2	Major Service Change Timeline	
Figure 6.1 Figure 6.2	M etro Service Coun cil Regions	

EXECUTIVE SUM MARY

Los An geles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) serves as transportation planner, coordinator, designer, builder and operator for Los An geles County More than 10.3 million people live, work, and playwith in its 1,469-square-mile service area.

Metro's Tran sit Service Policy (TSP) establishes criteria and guidelines to en sure that the tran sit system is developed and managed consistent with policy guidance approved by the Metro Board of Directors, in cluding a form all process for evaluating services, service design guidelines, and a process for implementing service changes.

In 2018, the Board adopted MetroVision 2028 as the agencys strategic plan. The Plan outlines five goals toguide the development of transportation in LA County. These goals will help Metro ensure that our customers feel safe when riding, that they do so in clean equipment, service is reliable and on time, and our staff provides service in a courteous manner.

- Gall: Provide high -qualitym obility options that enable people to spendless time traveling
- Gal 2: Deliver outstanding trip experiences for all users of the transportation system
- Gal 3: Enhance can munities and lives through mability and access to apportunity
- Goal 4: Transform LA Countyth rough regional collaboration and national leadership
- Goal 5: Provide responsive, accountable, and trustworthy governance within the Metroorganization

Also in 2018, Metrobegan the NextGen Bus Studytoreview and update the Metrobus system to ensure it provides a competitive transit service to meet the travel needs of LA County residents and visitors. The NextGen Bus Study in cluded a comprehensive look at both Metrobus service perform ance and the overall travel market in LA Countyto determine where Metrobus service could be more useful. The study included significant input from riders and stakeholders to help develop a fram ework of guiding principles for positioning Metros bus services to be more competitive in the overall travel market and to most effectively serve Equity Focus Communities, where the need for high quality transit is greatest.

In early 20 20, the Metro Board approved the release of a draft NextGen Bus Plan for public review. Sign ificant public in put gathered in the first half of 20 20 resulted in a revised draft NextGen Bus Plan being released ahead of public hearings, Service Council approvals, and Board adoption of this plan in October 20 20. Phased implementation of the NextGen Bus Plan occurred beginning in December 20 20, with additional phases in June and September/December-20 21. Key elements of the NextGen Bus Plan, including a set of frequencytiers and bus speed and reliability tools, are reflected in this update of the Transit Service Policy.

-

¹ Represents all people living in the Census Tracts covered by Metros service area per the 2020 Census Data. Service area is calculated from taking 0.75 mile buffer around all Metrobus line and rail stations.

SECTION 1: IN TRODUCTION, PURPOSE & BACKGROUND

Metrofirst adopted a Transit Service Policy (TSP) in 1986. The TSP is reviewed on at least a trian nual basis and updated as needed to better reflect agency goals and objectives, major in itiatives, and changes in local, state, and federal regulations and funding. It is a required component of Metros Title VI Plan. This document updates the most recent version adopted by the Board as part of the Next Gen Bus Plan adoption in October 2020². This document sets forth the policies, principles, and service guidelines that are used by Metrostaff in the design or modification of the bus network to better serve customers and make more beneficial use of available operating resources. The TSP outlines the service change process that provides the quantitative tools to evaluate the system, identifies the process required to seek public in put on and approvals for major service changes to the system, and ensures the regional transit system is adjusted according to the service goals and objectives approved by the Metro Board.

Metro-operates a con prehensive bus and rail network that con plements Metrolink regional rail and municipal operator services across LA County. Determining the most appropriate transit service on a corridor depends on several factors such as demand, resource availability, site and corridor characteristics, environmental considerations, and community acceptance. The characteristics that determine which type of service is most appropriate are summarized in Table 1.1.

M etro Bus

As of December 2021, Metrooperates 119 bus routes. Metros bus operations consist of directly operated and contract operated services: 103 routes are directly operated by Metro, and 16 routes are operated by contractors. Metroserves over 12,200 bus stops, in cluding station stops on the GLine (Orange) and JLine (Silver) BRT systems. On week days, Metrooperates affect of over 1,600 buses during peak service hours. Metrooperates the largest portion of all bus services provided in the region. Municipal and Local Return operators provide additional public bus and paratran sit services in areas of the region where Metroprovides limited or no service. Metrorelies on Access Services for provision of ADA paratran sit service in the Metroservice area.

As developed in the NextGen Bus Study, Metroclassifies its bus services in totiers stratified by the frequency of service. The tiers are assigned to individual routes in accordance with demand and propen sity for future growth. Table 1.2 describes the features of each of Metros bus service types. Tier definitions are:

- Care (Tier1): week dayall dayh eadways of 10 m in utes or better
- Carven ien ce (Tier2): 12 to 15 m in utes
- Can ectivity (Tier3): 20 to 30 m in utes
- Con m unity (Tier4): 40 to 60 m in utes
- Con muter (Tier5): Varies by Line

-

² b cardagen das.m etron et/b card-report/2020 4 617/

Table 1.1 Service Type Determ in ation ³

Table 1.1 Service	le 1.1 Service Type Determ in ation 3			
Service Type	Carridar	Optim al Characteristics		
H eav yRail (Subway)	Operate 100% with in an exclusive right of way.	2,500 b cardings per routemile or more than 50,000 b cardings per day. A bility to construct a fully grade- separated facility.		
L igh t Rail	Operate in m ixed flow traffic, sem i- exclusive or a fully-exclusive right of way.	1,000 b cardings per routemile or more than 25,000 b cardings per day. Ability to construct a guideway within cradjacent to the corridor.		
CommuterRoutes (Tier5)	Operate in m ixed-flow traffic in eith era H igh Occupan cyVeh icle (H OV) or H igh Occupan cyToll (H OT) Lan e. M ayoperate segm en ts of the route on local streets. Operated usin g 40 ', 45', or 60 ' buses.	300 orm one boardings during peak hour and in peak direction of travel.		
M etroL in er an d M etroRapid	Operated usin g 40 ', 45' or 60 ' buses. M etroG L in e BRT and JL in e (M etroL in er) operate en tirelyor partiallyon a fixed guideway dedicated totran sit buses. M etroRapid L in es operate in exclusive peak period or all day bus lan es orm ixed flow traffic on local streets with sign al priority.	300 orm one boardings during peak hour and in peak direction of travel. Dailyaverage of more than 500 boardings per route mile orm one than 10,000 dailyboardings. Abilitytoim plement operating speed improvements in the corridor.		
Care (Tier1), Can ven ien ce (Tier2), Can n ectivity (Tier3), and Can m un ity (Tier4) Lacal Rautes	Operate in m ixed flow traffic on local streets by 32′, 40′, 45′, or 60′ buses. Core lines to be supported by exclusive peak period or all day bus lanes and sign al priority on existing and form er Metro Rapid corridors. Lines are also defined in terms of the frequency of service offered, with Core lines being the most frequent and Community lines having a minimum frequency of at least hourly, with all tiers in tended torun all days of the week.	The median bus route carries about 4,500 average week day boardings (pre-COVID, 2019). Core and Convenience services are expected to carrym ore than the dailymedian, while Connectivity and Conmunity are anticipated to carryless.		

⁻

 $^{^3}$ Capacitylim its adapted from TCRP, Research Results Digest, N orem ber1999— N um ber35, H igh light of Large Tran sit Capacityan d Quality of Service M an ual, Figure 1 A chievable Capacity (Peak direction passen gers/h our)

These frequencytiers are especially important to ensure high frequency service is provided or key corridors serving Equity Focus Communities where the need for high-quality transit is greatest.

 Table 1.2
 M etroBus Service Types and Features

Table 1.2	M etrobus service Types and Features				
	Bus Service Type				
Featu <i>r</i> e	BRT and Liner	Rapid	Com m uter (Tier5)	Care (Tier1), Carven ience (Tier2), Carn ectivity (Tier3), Cann un ity (Tier4) Lacal Bus Services	
Right of Way	Segregated right- of-way	M ajcrarterials; peak h our crall- daybus lan es	M ajcrarterials and freeways.	M ajcrarterials and local streets; peak hour or all-day bus lan es for Core Tier 1 lin es, with bus bulbs as altern ative tobus lan es for Tier 1 and 2 lin es	
Target Average Stop Spacing	1.25 m iles	0.75 m ile	1.25 m iles	0.25 m ile	
Target Travel Market	In ter-com m un ity, regional	In ter-con m un ity	In tercon m un ity, regional	In ter-con m un ity, n eigh borh cood	
Vehicle Type	40 / 45 / 60 -foot buses	40 / 45 / 60 -foot buses	40 / 45 / 60 -foot buses	32/40/45/60 -food buses	
Communities Served	M ultiple	M ultiple	M ultiple	M ultiple	
Sign al Pricrity	Yes	Yes	No	Yes for Core and Convenience (Tiers 1 and 2)	
Fare Collection	On board JLine (Silver) Off-board pre-pay G Line (Orange)	On board	On board	On board, with all-door boarding a goal for Core and Convenience (Tier 1 and 2)	
Passen ger Am en ities	Sn elters an d station s	Si elters an d station s	Sh elters an d station s	Ben ches and shelters	
Real-tim e Passen ger In fc	Yes	Yes	Yes	Atsome stops and via smart phone applications	

MetroLinerTransit

_

In 2018, Metros Board adopted and the Equity Platform, a fram ework that guides how the agency works to address in equities and create more equitable access to opportunity. In 2019, under the Equity Platform, the Board adopted a definition for community designation called Equity Focus Communities (EFCs) to help identify where transportation needs are greatest. The EFCs are definition of EFCs, as of 2022, ed as areas consists of areas where there are higher concentrations of resident and household demographics associated with mobility barriers (low in comme households earning less than \$60,000 per year; Black, Indigenous, or People of Color (BPOC) populations; and households that donot have a car) least 40% of residents are low in comme (earning \$35,000 or less per year), and 80% of residents are people of color, or 10% of households donot have a car.

MetroL in er tran sit provides regional, high-speed line haul service in high-volume corridors. These lines are designed to operate like rail service, con plete with separated right-of-way, wide stop spacing, bus stations, pre-paid and/orall doorboarding, real time customer in formation, and tran sit signal priority. Currently, Metrooperates two MetroL in erservices:

- G Line (Orange) operates on its own sem i-exclusive right-of-way, and meets the Federal Transit Adm in istration (FTA) definition of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)
- JLine (Silver) operates on the I-10 and I-110 ExpressLanes (freewaytoll lanes) as well as surface streets through down town Los Angeles, soit does not fully meet the FTA definition of BRT. JLine charges a premium fare (coordinated with Footh ill Transit service fares on same corridor) since it operates on the freeway.

Attributes supporting the MetroLiner services and other Metrobus services as part of the NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability focus are:

 Separated Bus Lanes: There are three types of segregated bus lanes that Metro Liner service can use:

Fully segregated tran sit bus right-of-way. segregated bus lan es reserved exclusively for tran sit service on a full-time basis such as the right-of-way built for the GL ine (Orange) or the I-10 tran sit way for the JL ine (Silver) other tran sit services. These lanes can either be spaced apart from streets and freeways or be physically separated with either physical barriers or painted lines.

Exclusive bus lan es operatin g on existin g arterial roads and local streets on a part-time basis (e.g. peak period week day, daytim e week day, etc.). These lan es are also bein g im plem en ted to support the NextGen Core (Tier 1) Local bus lin es and MetroRapid lin es.

HOV travel lan es reserved not on ly for tran sit but also for high occupan cyveh icles and som etim es vehicles paying a toll. Separation is achieved with either physical barriers or pain ted lines. JL in e (Silver) and Metro Commuter (Tier 5) services use this third type of lane on parts of the I-10 and I-110 freeways.



Figure 1.1 Busbulb

Bus Bulb Outs: On NextGen Care (Tier1) and Carven ience (Tier2) corridors where dedicated bus lanes are unable to be accommodated due to the need to main tain traffic and parking capacity, or where the frequency of service (less than 7.5 m in ute headway) does not warrant dedicated lanes, bus bulb outs can support transit service by minimizing stop delay. Bulbouts are extensions of the bus zone, typically across the first parking lane, that enable buses to serve the bus stop from the second traffic lane. This reduces delays for buses merging in and out of traffic and creates additional space for transit stop amenities. Figure 1.1 provides an illustratration.

- Tran sit-Sign al Priority. This keyN extG en Bus Speed and Reliability strategy facilitates the movements of in-service tran sit vehicles through signalized in tersections to improve tran sit perform and e by extending the green phase or shortening the red phase (advancing the green phase) of traffic signals when a tran sit bus is detected at an intersection. This technology already exists on former and existing Metro Rapid corridors in City of LA, selected other cities, and LA Countyunin corporated areas, or is being added to NextG en Core (Tier 1) and Convenience (Tier 2) routes. Metro is working with LADOT to adapt LADOT's existing Tran sit Signal Priority system to better serve Metro's NextG en service model. The work underway will adapt LADOT's system to provide signal priority to all Metrobuses. Certain constraints of the old system such as only serving buses that arrived late and requiring individual buses to be associated with a single corridor will also be removed. This project will provide improved signal priority operation for all Metrobuses operating on equipped corridors. Work on this project is an ticipated to be complete by fall 2022.
- Headway-Based Service M an agement: Operating the most frequent and highest usage
 bus lines on a system based on managing headways (or intervals) between trips rather
 than operating based on time points to regulate service offers the chance to keep service

9

⁵ Illustration from National Association of City Transportation Officials Urban Design Guide:

nactoorg/publication/urban-street-design-guide/street-design-elements/curb-extensions/bus-bulbs/

m oring while m in im izing wait times and travel times for riders. This approach will be piloted as part of the NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability in itiatives using a mix of staffand technology based line management techniques.

- Bus Tran sit Centers and Stop Am en ities: Stations and shelters provide custom ers with enhanced comfort and safety. As part of the NextGen Bus Plan, Metrowill continue to work with municipalities to maximize the number of bus stops with seating and shelter, as this function is led by municipalities. An emphasis will be made on allocating many of these amenities to Equity Focus Communities where the need for high quality transit is greatest.
- Streetscape: Streetscape and other design features such as landscaping, pedestrian
 countdown signals, bicycle racks, and well-designed crosswalks make it easier for
 pedestrians and bicyclists to access the stations.
- Fare Collection Am en ities: For convenience and faster service, major stations have ticket vending machines (TVMs) which allow customers to preload their TAP cards. For the GLine (Orange), all fare collection is completed at the stations and the ffeet does not have on aboard fare boxes. The JLine (Silver) has TAP validators at both the front and back doors to facilitate all-door boarding to speed up boarding and reduce rider travel times. Metro Rapid Lines 720 (Wilshire) and 754 (Vermont) operate on two of Metros busiest bus service corridors and have also piloted this option. All-door boarding will be extended to all Core (Tier1) and Convenience (Tier2) lines by mid-decade to help reduce travel times form ost riders.
- Park & Ride Facilities: Provided in close proxim itytom ajor stops and stations. Adjacent development and joint use parking are encouraged.
- A dvan ced Tran sportation M an agem ent System s: A TM Sprovide an array of technologies
 to improve service reliability and customer experience including on board stop
 announcements.

Articulated Buses

The G Line (Orange) operates with a dedicated ffeet of 60 'h igher capacity articulated buses. The advantage of the deployment of articulated buses is the opportunity to reduce vehicle requirements and service hours while maintaining high ridership capacity, however, deployment should not in crease service in tervals to the point where service quality is degraded. For this reason, bus lines with a peak headway of five minutes or better are ideal candidates for this type of bus. In evaluating services for higher capacity articulated buses, other factors must be considered in cluding facility con patibility, street design, and operational factors such as buses that operate on a mix of lines during their operating day. The deployment of articulated buses must also be coordinated with the efforts to convert the Metroffeet to fully zero emission buses.

M etroRail

As of May 2022, Metro operates two heavy rail and four light rail lines serving a total of 96 stations across approximately 101 route miles, with a fleet of 102 heavy rail and 293 light rail cars. Metro Rail operates in heavily congested, high-demand travel corridors and provides

connections to keym ulti-modal transportation hubs. Metrooperates two types of rail service to bettermatch the transit mode with specific customer demand and needs. Metroheavy rail is high-capacity, two line rapid transit services operating along a dedicated subwayright-of-way, serving full-scale transit stations in some of the most densely populated areas of LA County. Metros existing light rail system consists of four lines with segments of mixed flow, street running, or grade separated right of way, with full-scale transit stations. The rail system is a critical public transportation asset in the greater Los Angeles region, linking many keymultimodal transportation centers and destinations together.

Metrosheavyrail is the subwaysystem served by the Band D Lines (Red, Purple) powered by a third rail and operated with 4-or 6-car train sets. Metros four light rail lines – A (Bue), C (Green), E Line (Expo), and L Line (Gold) are powered by overhead catenarywires, generally use shorter 2-or 3-car train sets, and operate at slower speeds than heavyrail.

The first segment of the new 8.5 m ile, 8-station Crenshaw/LAXK Line is expected to open in late 2022. A n in the new station, the Airport MetroConnector (AMC) Station, should open by the end of 2024. The new 1.9 m ile Regional Connector light rail alignment through down town LA will also open around the same time as the K Line, which will see the L Line (Gold) rail line realigned in to the A Line (Blue) and E Line (Expo) services, creating direct links from Long Beach to Azusa (A Line) and Santa Monica to East LA (E Line). This alignment includes two new stations and one replacement station.

SECTION 2: DESIGN IN G A WORLD CLASS BJ S SYSTEM

As outlined in the Executive Sum mary, in 2018, the Board adopted MetroVision 2028 as the agen cys strategic plan. The Plan outlines five goals toguide the development of transportation in LA County. The NextGen Bus Studywas also in itiated in 2018 to reimagine the Metrobus network to be more relevant, reffective of, and attractive to the diverse customer needs with in Los Angeles County. The NextGen Bus Plan and Studywere con pleted to address Goal #1: Provide high qualitym obilityoptions that enable people to spendless time traveling. The study also encompassed two sub-goals: 1) Target in frastructure and service investments towards those with the greatest mobility needs; and 2) Invest in a world class bus system that is reliable, convenient, safe, and attractive to more users form one trips.

In addition to the Vision 2028 strategic plan, the Board adopted Michigan 38.1 (June 2018), endorsing travel speed, service frequency, and system reliability as the high est priority service design objectives for the NextGen Bus Study. Finally, regardless of the level of resources expended on the businetwork, optimizing system perform ance should always be an objective in network design tomaxim ize benefit to the public from available resources.

These goals and objectives drove the development of the NextGen Bus Plan, including guiding principles for routing, stop spacing, frequency, span of service, and coordination with municipal operators. A set of performance measures are defined below to ensure the bus network continues to evolve consistent with the intent of NextGen to create a conspetitive bus service for LA County.

NextGen Bus Plan

MetroVision 2028 envisions building a World Class Transportation System in which a World Class Bus System is a corn erstone to its success. Building a World Class Bus System requires improving the attractiveness and corn petitiveness of the busnetwork. Attractiveness in cludes addressing issues such as safety and security, clean liness, corn fort, real-time arrival information, easy fare payment, wayfinding and signage, and first/last mile access. Corn petitiveness requires developing a busnetwork that min imizes the overall travel time to corn plete a trip corn pared to the driving alternative. This travel time considers directness of route, access to and firon the bus stop, waiting time, and on board travel time.

As mentioned in the Executive Summary, NextGen's primary purpose was to improve the competitiveness of the busnetwork. However, through this process, improvements to certain aspects of attractiveness can also be achieved. The following outlines the strategy of the NextGen Bus Plan's design as the foundation for building a fast, frequent, and reliable World Class Bus System.

Step 1: Recarnect Scenario Metrocurren tlyprovides roughly 7 million revenue service hours (RSH) of bus service per year. The first step in creating a World Class Bus System is to redesign the routes and schedules to attract trips where and when there is the greatest market potential. The lessons learned in Phase 1 of the bus study presented a path forward for reinventing the bus network through restructuring the bus lines consistent with service usage and travel patterns using the following guiding principles identified in the NextGen Bus Study.

- 85% of LA Countyresidents have used transitat least once in the past year, THERFORE, the NextGen Bus Plan attempts to maintain coverage throughout the County by minimizing discontinued segments.
- Fast/frequent/reliable service is key, TH EREFORE, the NextGen Bus Plan is designed to create a connective transit network that reduces overall travel time by optimizing all connects of the trip, in cluding walking, waiting, and riding.
- Metros pre-NextGen bus system was not always con petitive toget people where they want togo. THEREFORE NextGen Bus Plan has adjusted routing to reflect the key origins and destinations identified in cell phone location data and ridership patterns.
- The greatest opportunity to grow ridership is between midday ween in g when many trips are short distance, THEREFORE service levels under the NextGen Bus Plan have been improved for off-peak periods, especially midday week day and weekends, with more improvements planned, especially for evenings. New overnight Owl services have been added or are planned.
- N eed to in tegrate M etros Equity Fram ew ork in to the plann in g process, TH EREFORE
 the N extGen Bus Plan service improvements prioritize equity-focus areas where the
 n eed for high -quality transit service is greatest.

These lessons were in corporated in to the Plan's Service Design Guidelines outlined in Section 3 to "reconnect" routes and schedules with where and when people travel today as the NextGen Bus Plan Reconnect scenario implemented across the December 2020, June 2021, and September/December 2021 service change cycles. Reconnect was estimated to increase ridership by 5% with no additional increase in revenue service hours. It will also help Metro recover from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on ridership.

Step 2: Tran sit First Scen ario Building upon the Reconnect scen ario of Next Gen Bus Plan that provides a bus network that better reflects the travel patterns of today, the next step in building a World Class Bus System is to 1) invest in speed and reliability in frastructure, 2) create safe and conn fortable waiting environments, 3) improve the boarding and riding experience, and 4) establish facilities to optimize layovers. These capital improvements create a more connective and attractive bus network while saving resources to be reinvested in tomore frequent service.

Speed and Reliability Im provements - As bus system speeds have continued to decline over the last decade, Metrohashad to allocate an additional \$10 million cumulatively on an annual basis to provide the same amount of service. Not only does this reduce the opportunity to increase service, it degrades the competitiveness and attractiveness of bus service and is not sustainable. Therefore, investing to improve the speed and reliability of the bus system is critical to the success of NextGen. Some improvements can be implemented within Metros control, such as optimizing stop spacing, implementing all-door boarding, and piloting headway based service management. However, other improvements can only be implemented through collaboration with local jurisdictions, such as transit signal priority system upgrades and expansion, new bus bulb-outs, and bus-only or bus priority lanes. Under the NextGen Transit First scenario, a major 5-year program of capital improvements was approved to support speed and reliability

im provem ents for the region all bus network. This investment is anticipated to save 25 - 34% in system speed if fully implemented, and to allow formore frequent service to be delivered with out adding additional operating costs. New bus lanes have already been rolled out in 2020 and 2021 on 5th and 6th Sts, Grand Av, Olive St, and Aliso St in down town LA, and on Alvarado St between 7th St and the 101 freeway. These are just the beginning of a program to add over 80 miles of dedicated bus lanes through partnerships with City of LA and otherm unicipalities.

- Custom er Wait Environment Through the significant public outreach conducted in Phase 1 of the NextGen Bus Study, as well as other Metro in itiatives such as the How Women Travel Study, we learned that an uncomfortable and unsecured waiten vironment is a significant barrier for customers in using the bus network. This is particularly concerning for women who account for overhalf of our customers and offen travel with young children. Metro completed the Metro Transfers Design Guide in March 20187. Under the Transit First scenario, the NextGen Bus Plan is intended to begin implementing the recommendations from this policy document at our busiest wait and transfer locations. This investment is anticipated to cost \$150 million and address several of the safetyand comfort issues identified through the NextGen outreach and the How Women Travel Study. Implementation will be completed in partnership with local authorities responsible for the provision of bus stop amenities through out the Metro transit network.
- Boarding and Riding Experience Metrohas im plemented all-door boarding on the GLine (Orange), JLine (Silver), and Rapid Lines 720 (Wilshire) and 754 (Vermont). Experience on the JLine showed that dwell times were reduced by up to 15% on average, on time perform ance improved, and cash payment declined with more TAP penetration. Surveys confirmed that both customers and operators were significantly satisfied with the implementation of all-door boarding. In early 2022, the Metro Board approved the purchase of reardoor validators and other equipment to allow for implementation of all-door boarding across the higher frequency Core and Convenience (Tiers 1 and 2) local bus lines. Other strategies to improve the boarding and riding experience have focused on improved real-time in formation accuracy.
- Layorer Optim ization Due to lim ited curb space, many routes are extended purely to access a suitable layorer location. These route extensions are not required for riders and cost several million dollars in operating costs per year. By investing in off-street layorer term in als to optimize layorer locations, Metrocan reallocate wasted resources to more productive uses. In addition, these locations can provide facilities for better regional mobility coordination, better wait and rest environments for customers and operators, improved bus service reliability, and opportunities for new en-route Zero Emission Bus (ZEB) charging in frastructure.

_

⁶ libraryarch ives.m etron et/DB Attach m en ts/2019-

^{0 294/}Un derstan din gH owW on en Travel_ FullReport_ FIN AL.pdf

⁷ dropb ox.com /s/iv6ruaxdw5g945b/M etro_ Tran sfers_ Design_ Guide_ 2018 4 312.pdf?dl= 0

This estimated \$1 billion capital program, planned for implementation over a five-year period, is expected to achieve resource savings by generating more revenue service miles/trips with the same number of revenue service hours. These savings would be reinvested into Transit First service improvements, including:

- En suring that all bus lines operate seven days perweek;
- In creased week daym iddayan d even in g service levels;
- In creased weeken d service levels and:
- Expan ded owl (overn ight) service.

In vesting "one time" capital dollars into transit supportive in frastructure will increase the attractiven ess and con petitiven ess of the bus network, while free in gresources to rein vest in to service enhancements. Under the Transit First scenario, these benefits are expected togenerate a 15-20% in crease in ridership (10-15% over and above what Reconnect can achieve) with out additional increases in revenue service hours.

Step 3: Future Funding Scenario Should future funding be secured through efforts such as congestion pricing, additional resources can be added to the NextGen Transit First network. However, without disincentives for driving, there will be diminishing returns on benefits since most customers would already have been served within the Transit First Scenario Therefore, a 34% in crease in revenue service hours to provide even more frequent service, as planned under a Future Funding Scenario, would only be expected to yield a 10% in crease in ridership over Transit First.

SECTION 3: SERVICE DESIGN GUIDELINES

KeyPrin ciples of Network Design

There keyelem ents were taken in toconsideration during the NextGen Bus Studyand NextGen Bus Plan toidentifywhen and where transit can be competitive and successful.

- Tran sit Propen sity—A reas where the propen sity to use tran sit is the greatest embodyth ree main characteristics: first, there is a significantly large population of tran sit market segments, including people who rely on tran sit (especially those identified in Metro's Equity Focus Communities) form ost of their travel such as commuters, students who use tran sit for work and school trips, and discretionary customers who choose tran sit for some or all their trips. The second characteristic is the intensity of travel demand to and from areas based on population and employment densities, retail and entertainment, colleges and universities, and other trip generators. Third, a pedestrian-oriented street environment that includes safe and well lighted path ways, sidewalks and curb-cuts, grid street network, and level topograph yis critical.
- Existing Service Perform ance It is important to identify the most productive segments of the existing businetwork which articulate current transit demand. These corridors and routes have been optimized through the NextGen Bus Plan, and lessons learned will be applied to other areas with similar demand and service characteristics.
- Service Environment A transit-oriented service environment is also critical to the success of transit, not just to facilitate fast, frequent, and reliable transit operations, but also to support to the ability of transit to thrive as a viable option. The importance of environmental elements such as pedestrian orientation of the streets, land use, barriers to other modes such as limited and costly parking supply, and transit supportive in frastructure such as bus-onlylanes and other transit prioritization design are critical. The NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability program is working to address this keyelement.

On ce these keyelem ents are taken in tocon sideration in the NextGen Bus Plan's focus on fast, frequent, and reliable service, this transit orientation can then be translated in todesign considerations, in cluding elements explained in the following sub-sections.

3.1 Service Design Carcepts

Service design concepts were developed as part of the NextGen Bus Studyand in corporated in to the NextGen Bus Plan based on the feedback received through the studys stakeh older and public outreach sessions and established as guidelines. Network characteristics most important to the public in clude:

- Fasterservice
- Frequent service through out the day
- M ore reliable service

- Betternetwork connectivity
- A ccessib ilitytok eydestin ations
- Im proved security

Based on these themes, the following service design concepts were incorporated into the NextGen Bus Plan implemented to deliver an improved Metrobus network:

Hybrid Local/Rapid Stop Spacing — Past practice was that stop spacing was determ in ed by route classification. For exam ple, Local lines were planned with ¼ mile stop spacing while Rapid lines had ¾ to 1 mile stop spacing. As a result, custom ers travelling on Local lines travelled more slowly but had closer access to origin s and destinations. Conversely, Rapid custom ers travelled fasteralong a corridor, but may have been picked up or dropped off much further from their origin or destination. In addition, resources were split between the Local and Rapid lines resulting in less frequency for each service. Thus overall end-to-end travel time including walking/rolling to from stops, waiting for the bus, and in vehicle run time may result in longer overall travel times on the Rapid, especially for shorter distance trips.

Consolidating Local and Rapid resources along 18 m ajor transit corridors was implemented in 20 20 / 20 21 as part of the initial roll out of the NextGen Bus Plan. The single hybrid service retained on these keycorridors provides more frequent service at all stops and, when matched with optimized ¼ mile average stop spacing adopted as part of NextGen Bus Plan and new bus lanes, results in shorter wait times, faster on board travel times compared to the previous Local service, and shorter walk/roll compared to Rapid service. In addition, this standardizes the service frequencyalong the entire corridor as compared to providing in consistent frequencies between Local and Rapid services that have different speeds. Stop spacing can be adjusted to reflect local conditions with the needs of keydestinations such as schools, medical centers, and senior centers being taken in to account while balancing the impact each stop has not just for those that use the stop, but for those on board that are delayed by buses stopping.

Shorter Route Lengths and Subarea Transit Hubs — Location based cell phone data in dicates that almost half of all trips made in Los Angeles Countyare with in 1 to 5 miles. In addition, the origin destination travel patterns in dicate that many people travel locally and not necessarily across the region. Creating shorter, core route lengths with maximized service frequency and bus speed improvements such as new bus lanes will improve schedule reliability. Being able to tie the lines to subarea transit hubs will improve network efficiencies and provide safer and more convenient locations for transfers.

Municipal Operator Coordination — Metroserves as LA Countys regional coordinator of transit services. Improved coordination between all operators and modes is vital to establishing an integrated regional transit network. Metrooperates within a hierarchy of services, in which Metrolink provides the region's commuter rail to serve high volume, longer distance trips. Metro Rail, Metro Liner [G Line (Orange) and J Line (Silver)], and Metro Bus serve as the backbone of the urban transit network within much of LA County, and are augmented by municipal operators. Municipal and local return operators complement the system with community and shuttle buses that serve specific neighborh cool needs.

It is imperative that Metrobus service be closely coordinated with municipal transit service as roughly on e-third of transit service in LA County is provided by municipal bus operators and Metrobink. Their coverage is especially strong in Santa Monica, Culver City, South Bay, Gateway Cities, and eastern San Gabriel Valley as well as Santa Clarita and the Antelope Valleys. Given that several municipal operators are currently undergoing their own system redesigns, there are opportunities towork together to develop service change ideas between Metroand municipal

services to improve overall coordination for customers. The NextGen Bus Plan included four transfers of Metrobus service to municipal operators, two of which were implemented in 2021 in cases where the line was more appropriate as part of the municipal operator's network.

MicroTran sit and Other On -Dem and Services - Son e areas of the Countyare difficult to serve with fixed-route transit due toterrain, narrow streets, dispersed lower density destinations, and relatively low travel activity. To address this, Metro is currently conducting a three-year m icrotran sit pilot program, an on-dem and, van based rideshare service branded as Metro Micro The service launched in December 2020 and the final eighth zone was implemented in December 2021. The zones are: Watts/Compton, LAX/Inglewood, North Hollywood/Burbank, El M on te, H igh lan d Park/G len dale/Eagle Rock, Pasaden a/A Itaden a/Sierra M adre, N orth west San Fern and O Valley, and Westwood/UCLA. The service is designed to provide short trips with in a zone where each rider would have towait non one than 15 m in utes from the time a reservation is made towhen they are picked up at a design ated pickup location. Reservations can be made the same dayand up to a week in advance. Riders can reserve rides by calling Metros Call Center, through an online reservation system, or via the service's dedicated smart ph on e application. All pickup and drop-offlocations are located with in the zone and must be ADA accessible, but are not limited to bus stops. The pilot program will operate forth ree years, afterwhich Metrowill determine whether tomake the service permanent ornot. A number of lower ridersh ip fixed-route services have been discortinued within the new MetroMicrozores as part of the NextGen Bus Plan implementation, to determine if microtransit can be an effective and efficient replacement for Metrofixed route bus service in these hard-toserve areas.

Table 3.1 M in im um Rail and NextGen Bus Plan Frequency by Service Type

Service Type	Peak	M idday W eek day	W eek en d	Even in g
H eav yRail	10	12	12	20
Light Rail	10	12-15	15	20
Care N etwark (Tier1) M etroL in erand M etroRapid	5-10	5-10	15	7.5
CanvenienceNetwark (Tier2)	12-15	12-15	30	10
Can ectivityN etwark (Tier3)	20 -30	20 -30	60	15
CommunityNetwork (Tier4)	40 -60	40 -60	60	30
Com m uterN etwork (Tier5)	varies	varies	varies	varies

Standardize Frequencies by Service Tiers — Prior to the implementation of the NextGen Bus Plan, schedules were written based on the Board-adopted load standard for frequent services (15 m in or better) and on policyservice levels for low frequencyservices (less than 15 m in). To ensure the core network has consistent frequencies and span of service, the NextGen Bus Plan categorized transit lines in totiers based on transit propensity, current ridership, the nature of the service, and overall travel demand. Each tierhas been assigned a frequency range for each time period to ensure that all services with in the tier provide consistent service levels for ease of transfer across the network, with minimal adjustment from year to year. These frequency levels are defined in Table 3.1. A line may see frequency improved at a selected time of day in

response to high demand, consistent with the Board-adopted load standard being met on all trips operating on the line.

Routing to Reflect Current Travel Patterns and Transit Propensity—Corridors are currently being evaluated by segments based on the origin-destination travel patterns identified using the cell phone location based data and regional TAP data. The segments will be connected together to create lines that better align the routing with travel patterns. This is expected to reduce the number of transfers required to make a trip, and to increase the distance travelable and access to opportunities along the network within a given time frame. While resources will be focused in areas with high transit propensity, there will be a concerted effort to maintain service in areas of low demand but with the greatest mobility needs.

Tran sit Supportive In frastructure — Service design will iden tifytran sit supportive in frastructure that either improves overall travel time and reliability, or reduces in efficiencies in the network. Speed and reliability improvements in clude bus-only lanes, queue jumpers, bus bulb-outs, traffic signal retiming, transit signal priority, all door-boarding, fare payment technology, and other technologies and infrastructure that improve the attractiveness and competitiveness of transit while reducing revenue hours so that they can be reapplied to provide more frequent service. In frastructure that optimizes term in als and layover locations, reduces out of direction movements, and improves transfer movements will reduce non-revenue miles and hours that can also be reallocated tomore frequent service.

 Table 3.2
 Service Design Carcepts

Tubio 0.2	Design Car	00013				
	Faster senvice	Frequent service th rough out the day	M cre reliable service	Better n etw ark can n ectivity	A ccess to key destinations	Im proved security
Routing to reffect current travel patterns and transit propensity				Х	x	X
Stan dardize frequen cy byservice tier	Х	Х				
Sub area tran sit h ub s				Х		Х
Si arter route lengths			Х			
Optim ize stop spacin g	Х		Х			
M un icipal operator coordin ation				Х	Х	
M icroTran sit and other on-dem and		Х			Х	
Tran sit-supportive in frastructure	Х		Х			Х

Table 3.2 illustrates how each service concept will address the various them es expressed by the public and stakeholders.

3.2 Service Standards

Board-adopted service standards are established to ensure that service levels are maintained to meet a minimum standard of rider experience. These focus on such items as maximum average loads on trips and on time perform ance and are discussed below.

H eadways

The headwaystan dard provides for the maximum scheduled gap (in minutes) between trips in the peak direction of travel at the maximum load point of a line by time of day, it should not be exceeded for at least 90% of all hourly periods as summarized in Table 3.3. The frequencies below are the minimum service levels versus the target frequencies established under Next Gen Bus Plan shown in Table 3.1 above.

Table 3.3 M in im um Headwayb y Service Type

Service Type	Peak (Weekday)	Off-Peak (Weekday-Weekend)
H eav yRail	10	20
Light Rail	12	20
Liner	12	30
Rapid	20	30
Care N etwark (Tier1)	10	10 -15
Can ven ien ce N etwark (Tier2)	15	15-30
Can ectivityNetwark (Tier3)	30	30 -60
CommunityNetwork (Tier4)	60	60
Com m uterN etwork (Tier5)	Varies	Varies

Passen gerl cads

Passen gerload stan dards have been developed toen sure there is sufficient capacity on Metro Bus and Rail service. The loading standard for bus is based on the maximum average ratio of custom ers to available seating pervehicle size (i.e. 40 -foot, 45-foot, and 60 -foot buses). The loading standard for rail is based on the maximum average ratio of custom ers per seat by service type (i.e. HeavyRail and Light Rail). Current loading standards are shown in Table 3.4.

- Bus Passen gerl cading Standard expresses the maximum average ratio of customers to vehicle size and frequency by direction for a one-hour period that should not be exceeded for at least 95% of all hour hyperiods. This TSP sets the current loading standard for Metro bus to 1.3 as reconned ended by the 2016 APTA Peer Review Connective. Vehicles used for MicroTran sit will have a load standard of 1.0.
- Rail Passen gerl cading Standard expresses the maximum average ratio of customers to seats by service type and by direction for one-hour period by time of day and should not be exceeded for at least 95% of all hour type riods.

Table 3.4 Passen gerl cadin g	i <i>Stan dards b</i> v	vvehicie i voe
-------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------

Service Type	Seats per Vehicle	Peak Passen gers per Seat	Off-Peak Passen gers per Seat	M axim um Passen gers On b card
H eav yRail	52	2.30	2.30	120
Light rail	60 -76	1.75	1.75	10 5 - 1 3 3
Bus-40 foot	38	1.30	1.30	49
Bus - 45 foot	46	1.30	1.30	60
Bus-60 foot	57	1.30	1.30	74
Van -M icroTran sit	10	1.0	1.0	10

Wheelch air Boardings and Passups.

Ideally, in a floating 6-m on the period, regular operating bus service will average nome than 6% in pass-ups of custom ers whouse wheelch airs or otherm obility devices. Should the average in crease to over the 6% threshold, Service Planning will adjust service to better serve the ridership patterns of the route in such a waysoas tom in imize pass-ups.

Network Route Spacing

Network Route Spacing refers to the average distance between two orm one parallel bus and/or rail lines. It is generally accepted that customers are willing towalk up to 0.25 mile to a bus stop. Generally, bus routes operating parallel to each other in an urban area should be spaced 0.5 mile apart from one another, and bus routes operating parallel to rail should be spaced a 0.5 mile apart on either side of a rail route. Bus routes operating parallel in a suburban area should be spaced nomore than one mile apart from each other, and bus routes operating in low density or underdeveloped areas should be operated where needed in a cost-effective manner. Where possible, alternate deliverymethods should be considered.

Stop/Station Spacing

Stop/Station spacing refers to the average distance between consecutive stops/stations along an entire bus/rail route. The standard is expressed as the maximum average stop/station spacing in miles by type of service and is not to be exceeded by at least 90% of all routes operated. Stop/station spacing is established based on the goals and guidelines each service type is designed to achieve as discussed below. Metros maximum average stop/station spacing bym ode is summarized in Table 3.4.

- HeavyLight RailLine station spacing is greater than bus stop/station spacing to ach ieve a high eroperating speed, recognizing that riders are willing to access such service from a greater distance and to ensure this mode is connection gendistance travel, while ensuring stations serve key activity nodes and transit connection points. Rail station location is determined during the design phase. Ideal average rail station spacing should be nogreater than 1.50 miles.
- Metrol in erand Rapid Bus Routes ach ieve the high est bus speeds through even greater stop spacing than Local Core (Tier 1), Convenience (Tier 2), Connectivity (Tier 3), Connunity (Tier 4), and Connuter (Tier 5) lines. Toen sure these services provide access tomajor activity centers and transfer points, average stop/station spacing should be no

- greater than 1.25 m iles, though there may be exceptions due to geograph yor existing facility design such as freeway HOT or HOV lanes. See Table 3.5 for further details.
- Care, Carven ience, Carnectivity, and Canmunity Bus Routes primarily operate or city streets and secondary streets respectively. These route types are designed to provide service closer to a custom er's destination and reduce walking times. Therefore, average stop spacing should be no greater than 0.25 mile for convenient walk access.

Decision s regarding bus stop spacing and location call for an alysis of ridersh ip density, custom er service requirements such as balancing access to key destinations and impact to on board riders, rider and operational safety, equipment size, the service type provided, in teraction of stopped buses with general traffic flow, and coordination with other curb side space allocations such as parking and driveways. Stops should be closer together in major commercial districts and farther apart in outlying areas. In general, bus stop spacing should not exceed 0.3 miles for local bus service except in areas where local conditions and/or lack of ridership generators may result in a wider gap between stops. Care should be taken to avoid low usage stops in areas where the buses are closest to the maximum load on board the bus. Special consideration may be given to stops near schools, senior centers, and medical centers where there is reasonable ridership (>= 15 b oardings or alightings on average perweek day).

 Table 3.5
 Target Average Stop/Station Spacing

<u> </u>	
Service Type	Average Stop/Station Spacing (miles)
H eav yRail	1.50
L igh t Rail	1.50
BRT	1.25
Rapid	0.75
Com m uter(Tier5)	1.25
Care (Tier1), Canven ience (Tier2), Cannectivity (Tier3), Cannunity (Tier4)	0.25

On -Tim e Perform an ce

A key elem ent of high quality transit service, as confirmed in the NextGen Bus Study, is reliability. This element is measured firstly in terms of on time performance. Managing this metric is intended to provide a high standard of service reliability. On time performance for buses is defined as a range from nomore than one minute early to nomore than five minutes late, which is measured at all time points along its route. For rail lines, on time performance is measured based on end term in all arrival. This standard varies between heavy rail and light rail. The on time performance standard is summarized in the Table 3.6.

As part of the NextGen Bus Plan speed and reliability improvements, a pilot of headway based service management will be conducted. This involves the operation of high-frequency bus lines with out in termediate time points along the line. The reliability of this type of service will be based

on the intervals between buses remaining with in a range. More in form ation will be added and standards developed for this mode of operation once the piloth as been con pleted.

Table 3.6 Target Stan dard for On -Time Perform ance

Service Type	On -Tim e Perform an ce
H eav y Rail	95%
L igh t Rail	90 %
BRT	85%
Rapid	85%
Com m uter (Tier5)	85%
Care (Tier1), Carven ience (Tier2), Cannectivity (Tier3), Cannunity Bus (Tier4)	85%

Service Can cellations:

In recent years, both pre-pandem ic and during times of sign if ican timpacts from the COVID-19 pandem ic on the Metrooperatorwork force, can celled service due to lack of available operators has had a sign if ican timpact on service reliability. Metro should not enter into service level changes unless sufficient operators are available to provide the required extraboard operator as required (OAR) ratio of 1.2 for bus and 1.25 for rail at each operating division. Can celled service should ideally be zero each day in support of the best custom er experience. As of March 2022, a target of 2% or less can celled service has been set as part of service restoration preconditions.

3.2 Bus/Rail Interface Planning

As the MetroRail system expands, the surrounding bus system within a halfmile of each station is assessed for adjustments that would improve access to rail stations, take advantage of new transfer facilities, and reduce bus and rail service duplication. The following guidelines provide direction for routing and scheduling changes that will be necessary as the MetroRail system is expanded:

Discortinuation of Parallel Limited and Express Service

Con peting Con m un ityand Con muter (Tiers 4 and 5) bus services that parallel the rail corridor will be discontinued where duplication exists. Revenue services should be reinvested to improve service on lines that feed the new rail service where possible.

Bus Route Deviation

Bus routes that run parallel to a rail line may be diverted to a station when:

- Walk time from the nearest station is greater than 3 m in utes;
- Diversion time in one direction is 5 m in utes or less, and;
- Net travel time benefit for connecting custom ers is positive i.e. the transfer to rail does not result in overall in creased travel time.

In tersecting bus lines are as that travel in a perpendicular direction to a rail line will be diverted to serve the closest rail station when:

- Diversion time in one direction is 5 m in utes or less
- Net travel time benefit for connections and through travel

Extend Term in ating Lines

Bus routes that end with in one mile of a rail station will be extended to term in ate at the station. Routes that term in ate at distances greater than one mile may be extended if the rerouting will create a valuable link to the rail system consistent with area travel patterns or will result in a reduction in travel time for a sign if ican thum ber of custom ers.

New Bus Routes

New rail feeder service will be considered as part of the service change process if a need is demonstrated based on significant area travel patterns and if funding is available.

Scheduling Rail/Bus Interface

Bus arrival and departure times should be governed by the rail arrival and departure times when predom in ant movement is from bus to rail. Bus routes with frequencies of 20 minutes or greaterending at a rail station should be scheduled to arrive 5 minutes before the rail departure time (plus walk time between the modes). When the predom in ant movement is from rail to bus, term in all buses should be scheduled to depart 5 minutes after the scheduled rail arrival time (plus walk time between the modes).

3.3 Metro Bus Routing Guidelines

An easy-to-un derstand and use transit system relies on simple network and route design. Consolidating duplicative services on the same or parallel corridors with in a quarter-mile to a half-mile distance provides an opportunity to simplify the network for ease of use, reduce underutilized capacity, and invest those resources in to other areas of the network. This concept requires better coordination of schedules and transfer points and will result in an easier-to-use and more convenient system while reducing wait time and overall traveltime.

Metros directly-operated service prim arily operates three types of buses: a standard 40 -foot bus, a 45-foot bus, and a 60 -foot "articulated" bus. To ensure that buses can adequately navigate route align ments and serve bus stops, Metroestablished the following standards:

Tran sit Cen ters / Bus Term in als

Layorerzon es should be designed to accommodate various sizes of buses.

Layorer zones should utilize sawtooth bay configurations where possible to ensure curb space is more efficiently and reliably utilized, and accommodating 60' buses where needed.

Re-striping of layorer zones should be con pleted as needed based on the needs of the service and bus sizes scheduled.

Routes should be scheduled so that the amount of layorer space needed is available. Layorer zones should be placed as close as possible to the route term in al. Where not accome modated by the design, the added operating cost to serve the location will be computed and made part of the decision making process for bus/rail in terface.

M in im um turn in g radius clearance required for each type size bus m or em en t

```
50 feet for 40 -foot buses (Figure 3.1)
47.5 feet for 45-foot buses (Figure 3.3)
44 feet for 60 -foot articulated buses (Figure 3.2)
```

- **Desired street lan e width s** for bus operations should be 12 feet or more.
- Optim al Bus Stop Curb Lengths and Zone 40 foot buses should at minimum:

```
Far-side – 90 feet

N ear-side – 100 feet

M id-block –150 feet
```

For tw 040 -foot buses servicing a stop simultaneously, add 50 feet. Additional bus stop curb length maybe needed for 45-foot buses.

- 60 - foot bus should at a m in im um:

```
Far-side and m id-block - 120 feet
N ear-side - 170 feet
```

For two 60 -foot buses servicing a stop simultaneously, add 70 feet.




Figure 3.1 40 -foot bus turn in g radius




Figure 3.2 45-foot bus turn in g radius




Figure 3.3 A rticulated 60 -foot bus turn in g radius

 Bus Layover Zone general space requirem ents based on frequency between scheduled trips:

On e space – 20 m in ute service or less frequent

Twospaces – 12 to 15 m in ute frequency

Three spaces – 7.5 to 10 m in ute frequency

Four spaces - 5 to 6 m in ute frequency

3.4 Vehicle Assignment

Metros goal is toen sure a consistent basis for assigning vehicles to facilities to meet operating needs and provide equitable access to the newest vehicles across the Metron etwork toen hance quality of service.

Metros tran sit system consists of light rail, heavyrail, and bus operations. As of October 2019 (pre-COVID), for an average week day Metroserved approximately 925,000 bus boardings and 297,000 rail boardings.

⁸ Figures taken from October 2019 data; selected for season all average and adjusted for Alline (Blue) closure.

- Buses: Buses will be assigned to in dividual facilities based on vehicle size requirements for lines supported by each facility. The ffeet is also distributed to ensure the average age of ffeet is consistent across each division for each bus type, so that all areas may have some service delivered using the newest buses.
- Light Rail: Light Rail cars will be assigned to individual lines based on a variety of factors in cluding facility con patibility, the deposition of the feet during mid-life modernization programs and age so that no single light rail line must solely rely on the oldest rail fleet. Ideally, the number of vehicle types/manufacturers will be kept to nomore than two at any facility to minimize parts storage and maximize main tenance expertise/training for mechanics on the different fleets. There is also a weight restriction that precludes the P2550 light rail cars from being assigned to the CLine along the I-105 freeway.
- HeavyRail: A ssign ment policyis not applicable to HeavyRail. The Metro Bline (Red) and D line (Purple) operate out of the same division, with the same vehicle type.

3.5 Sch cod Trippers

School trippers are extra service operated to protect again st overcrowding on bus lines serving schools. Metro's policyon school trippers is based on FTA regulations (49 CFR Part 605). These regulations are directed at protecting the private sector against unfair con petition and ensuring that FTA funding is focused on providing services that meet the needs of the general public. School tripperservice maybe operated if the following criteria are met:

- There is sufficient demand towarrant the operation of a tripperthat cannot on average be accommodated within the load factor applicable to the regular service available;
- There are sufficient resources to operate a tripper;
- The school tripper will not result in a sign if in crease in travel time (nom one than 5 m in utes extra) for regular custom ers if the service is to be deviated via a school; and
- The school tripper is operated as part of the regularly-scheduled public transportation service and is included in such schedules and available for an yperson to ride.

Sch ∞ d tripperservice m ust m eet the following requirem en ts:

- All school trippers m ust fullycon plywith established policies and procedures;
- All regularlysch eduled sch od trippers m ust be publish ed or public tim etables;
- All location swhere trippers board or alight custom ers, in cluding the bus stops at deviated routes, must be marked with Metrosignage in cluding the bus line numbers servicing the stop;
- Sch od tripper changes must be provided to the public by a service change notice or on the Metrowebsite at metronet; and
- Requests for new school trippers or modifications to existing school trippers (bell time changes, etc.) will be considered when a notice is given at least 30-days in advance

providing am ple time to con plete an appropriate analysis of the request and to allow appropriate notification of changes to the public.

School tripperservices changes must can plywith the following pracedures

- Service Development Managers (SDM) in the Service Planning & Scheduling Department are responsible for ensuring that all school trippers in their respective service area fully complywith Metros School Tripper Policyas discussed herein.
- Uniform standards for the docum entation of daily school tripper arrangements must be employed. This includes standardizing the docum entation form and oversight of the docum ented in formation being input into the scheduling system to ensure accuracy. All requests for new school trippers and modifications to existing school trippers must be logged into the scheduling system regardless of whether the requested new or modified school tripper is implemented.
- SDMs are responsible for working with school districts in their service area which use school tripper service, where special events and bell-time changes are disseminated to Metrothrough communication with district staff.
- The inform ation fed totran sit apps and trip planners, such as Tran sit App and Google Tran sit, is made available via a General Tran sit Feed Specification (GTFS) con patible feed which is updated weekly to reflect school tripper service changes captured in the tran sit service scheduling software calendar utilized by Metro

3.5 Charter Service

As a grantee of Federal funds, Metro is prohibited from using its federally-funded equipment and facilities to provide charter service except on an incidental basis and when one of the applicable exceptions below apply.

- Charterservice shall be in ciden tal tothem asstran sportation service and shall be provided
 on lyduring times of the daywhen vehicles are not needed for regularlyscheduled service.
- Charter service will an lybe can sidered when an eafth e following exceptions apply.

There are now illing or able private charter operators;

For special even to the private operators are not capable of providing the service;

When there is a form all agreement regarding the provision of charter services between the recipient and all private charter operators who have been identified to be willing and able; and

For government or certain non-profit organizations, if the trip involves a significant number of handicapped persons, or if the organization is a qualified social service agency, or if it receives public welfare assistance funds whose implementation may require transportation services.

- All requests forch arterservice must be approved by the Chief Executive Officer and may require a waiver from the FTA. Petitions for a waiver should be requested in writing 90 days in advance of the event when ever possible.
- The rates forch arter service shall equal crexceed the annual fullyallocated cost, in cluding depreciation, of providing charter bus operations, and Metroshall deduct the mileage and hours from the useful life of the buses.
- The operation of charter service also must comply with relevant state laws, including Section 30 630.5 of the California Public Utilities Code.

Charterservice is the use of buses, van sor facilities (rail system) toprovide a group of persons under a single contract, at a fixed charge, with the exclusive use of the vehicle or service to travel together under an itin eraryeither specified in advance or modified after having left the place of origin. Generally, for service not to be considered charter, it must meet the following tests:

- Be available toth e public;
- Operate with in the system 's norm all scope (existing routings, fit with in norm all hours of operation and established fare structure);
- Provide a published timetable; and
- Custom ers m ust paytheir own fare.

3.6 Special Even t Service

Special event services are bus routes designed to take custom ers to a specific venue and are not part of regularly scheduled operations. Metrowill provide service under contract to other entities only if the provision of these services does not interfere with Metros ability to meet regularly scheduled service obligations and fits within the scope of the agencys regular operation in terms of route structure, fares, and span of service. Special event services will be provided on a full cost recovery basis and in conformance with the agencys charter bus policy which is consistent with FTA Charter Bus regulations.

3.7 Service Transfer Guideline

The region all public transit network in LA Countyconsists of 17 "In cluded or Eligible" fixed route operators (in cluding Metro). In cluded operators (and routes) are those that were operating with in LA Countyin 1971 at the time of adoption of the State of Californ in Transit Development Act/State Transit Assistance statute. Eligible operators (and routes) are those added to the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) since that time.

Much of the funding for operation of "Included or Eligible" fixed route public transit service in LA County is distributed according to an adopted FAP. The FAP allocates sales tax receipts for public transit each fiscal year in support of public transit through out the region. Many of the "Included and Eligible" systems operate under the guidelines of the "reserve service areas" established in 1971. Municipal operators have also grown, providing an expanded route network that has improved connections to Metros regional lines. In addition, there are

num erous Local Return fixed route transit providers who are not eligible for FAP funding, but in stead are funded through Propositions A and C (1990 sales tax in itiative), Measure R (2008 sales tax in itiative), and Measure M (2016 sales tax in itiative). These Operators are funded as "Local Return" operators (see Appendix Bfora list of operators funded as Local Return and/or Included/Eligible Municipal operators).

Policyguidan ce states that the network should be well in tegrated, coordinated, reduce service duplication, and simplifyservice. Therefore, the evaluation of transit corridors for consideration to be operated in the future by an other operators hould include:

- Existing perform an ce relative to the system average;
- Value to the custom erth rough in tegration in to an established nearby transit provider,
- Net cost to each operator and the region;
- Com pletion of an other operator's route network;
- Provide im proved connections to a Municipal Operator's established network;
- Im pacts to exit in g and projected ridersh ip;
- Generation of a net cost saving to Metrobased on Metros calculation of the FAP impacts for all service realignment proposals.

An ytran sfer of directly operated M etroservices to a m unicipal or contract operatorm ustadhere to the terms and conditions governing such transfers as agreed to within the adopted collective bargaining and other superseding agreements between the affected labor unions and Metro

If a proposed service change is adopted that results in a reduction of service, Metroshould reinvest at least half of the net savings (operating cost less custom er and FAP reduction) to improve service on Metros core network of regionally significant lines in the service area from which the savings were drawn.

An ysign ificant service modifications will be subject to review under the latest FTA procedures for adherence to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, the appropriate Metro-Service Council(s), and the local transit provider's Board of Governance, and must be in compliance with local, regional, and labor legislation or agreements. Finally, the agency that assumes service will be required to maintain or improve the days, span, and frequency of the existing Metro-service for at least a two-year period (two-year lag) for which Metro-will include such operation through the FAP. In addition, the assuming agency must be a participant in the regional TAP program to minimize fare change impacts.

3.8 Alternative Service DeliveryOptions

Alternative service delivery options generally refers to services not directly operated by Metro, such as contract services, Municipal and Local Return Operators, taxis, and other ffexible destination operations. These alternatives can complement traditional transit service. In addition, Access Services provides mandatory ADA complementary paratransit services for

function ally disabled in dividuals in Los An geles Countyas required by federal ADA law. Access Services transportation service is available for any ADA paratran sit-eligible in dividual to any location within ¾ of a mile of any fixed route bus operated by the Los Angeles County public fixed route bus operators and within ¾ of a mile around MetroRail stations during the hours that the systems are operational. Con plementary paratran sit service is not required to complement commuter rail and commuter bus services, since the federal ADA law does not require that these services provide complementary paratran sit service. 9

Metrohas launched twopilot program stoleverage dem and-responsive technologytoim prove mobility, custom er experience, and system perform ance by providing additional first-mile and last-mile service options: Mobility on Dem and and MicroTransit.

The M do ility on Dem and pilot launched in January 2019 and operated for 12 m on this. Metro partnered with Via, a provider of on-dem and ride sourcing services, to develop on-dem and technology to increase access to Metro's transit system by offering service to and from three of Metro's transit stations: North Hollywood, Artesia, and El Monte. This pilot program was funded in part by a \$1.35-million Mobility on Dem and (MOD) Sandbox Demonstrations grant from the FTA. The system was operated utilizing private cars. The Mobility on Dem and pilot concluded in January 2021 and the three Mobility on Dem and zones were transitioned to become part of the Metro Micromicrotransit pilot program.

Metro's microtran sit program, Metro Micro, is a three year pilot of an demand ride-source service operated with passen gervan's within eight design ated zones, in tended to test a range of use cases in cluding areas where fixed route service has not been effective or is unable to access parts of a community. Metro is partnering with a third-partyven dor for the technology to support this pilot program, while Metrostaff operate and manage the service. The pilot zones were coordinated with the Next Gen Bus Plan to replace some lower usage fixed route lines or route segments where Metro Microservice could better serve such areas, though this is only one of a range of use cases being tested by Metro Microservice.

The first two zones were launched in December 2020 (LAX/Inglew cood and Watts/Willowbrook). The three Mobility on Demand zones were added to the Metro Microprogram in January 2021. Two additional Metro Microzones launched in June 2021 (High land Park/Eagle Rock/Glendale and Altadena/Pasadena/Sierra Madre). The North west San Fernando Valleyzone was launched in September 2021, and the final pilot zone at UCLA/Westwood launched in December 2021, for a total of eight pilot zones.

Based on experience to date, Metro Microgenerally serves short trips of approxim ately 20 m in utes in vehicle time and one to five miles in distance on average. These short trips are in tended to serve as connections to other transit options such as Metro-operated bus and rail services and municipal operators. The target maximum size for each zone was originally set at no greater than 20 square miles to ensure the goal of nomore than an average 15 minute wait time for pick up could be consistently achieved. However, a number of zones were expanded to

⁹ accessla.org/about_us/orenview.h tm I

help better replace some low perform in g fixed route services during NextGen Bus Plan im plem en tation, and the overlapping Artesia and Watts/Willowbroods zones were also com bined in too 35 square m ile m ega zone (Watts/Com pton) in December 2021.

SECTION 4: CU STOM ER IN FORM ATION AND AM EN ITIES

Custom er in form ation in structs both regular custom ers and in frequent custom ers on how to use transit as a viable mode of transportation to and from their destinations. Clear, accurate, and timely information is an important adjunct to service quality, particularly when bus and rail services are not operating as planned. Am en ities aid in the conn fort and security of custom ers.

4.1 Custom er In form ation

Custom ers need tokn ow how to use tran sit: where togotoaccess it, where toalight toaccess their destination, whether transfers are required, when transit services are scheduled to depart and arrive, and how planned and un planned service changes or disruptions impact travel. Both regular and infrequent users require specific route information when they need to travel to a location they rarely visit or that is new to them. In formation must be provided in accessible formats. Metroprovides customer trip planning information via telephone, through customer service representatives. Metropuses, railcars, and stations also include announcement systems for stops and stations as well as other general service information. Mobile device applications and text/SMS messaging have expanded significantly as smart phones have become a common part of life formany people. Published schedules, maps, and other information are also available through Metro Customer Service Centers and by mail. Significant information is also provided on line at the metronet web site, and via email alerts for customers who sign up to receive them. In formation is also provided on signage at major stops and stations.

- Sign age at tran sit in frastructures such as stations and shelters, signs directing matorists to Park & Ride lots, and bus stop signs that in dicate the presence of service to people not currently using transit.
- Audible Announcements at bus stops, rail stations and on board vehicles to assist
 custom ers with visual impairments and custom ers un familiar with the route or area.
- On line In form ation is available 24 hours to an youe with Internet access such as:

Real-time in form ation stream ed tom any transit in form ation applications, in cluding the Transit App, Metros official smartphore app, as well as being displayed on Google, Apple and Eng Maps and in use by their trip planners.

Metros own websitemetronet:

- Route m aps and time tables, fare in form ation, detour notices, service change in form ation, can celled service alerts, special event detours, and other servicerelated in form ation
- M etros b logs, "The Source" and "El Pasajero"
- Specialized guides (Blkes, Riders with Disabilities, Safety & Security)
- o Commuterprogram information (carpods, van pods, em ployerprograms, etc.)
- o News and media in form ation
- Latest in form ation on Metroprojects and program s

Contact in form ation

Metros social media accounts in cluding Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram

- Bus and Train Real-Time In form ation: Accurate, timely, relevant, and readilyavailable trip in form ation is useful for reassuring customers when the next transitive hickewill arrive or how long the expected delay time is if there has been a service disruption. It should provide enough in form ation to help them decide whether to continue towait for the next transitive hick, consider alternate routes, or take another mode of transportation to complete their trip. Real-time in form ation is provided within selected transitish elters across the Metron etwork. Metrois testing e-paper real time in form ation signs at a limited number of bus stops and plans to roll out this amenity in a larger pilot in Fy23.
- Printed and Distributed In form ation such as time tables, maps, service change notices, custom ernewsletters, etc., are made available at multiple locations such as Metros own Custom er Service Centers, region allibraries, and recreation and community centers.
- Posted In form ation such as system maps, bus cubes posted at stops, stations, and on board transitive hicles.
- Route Sign age Convention at stops and on transitive hicle head signs assist customers to quicklyiden tifywhat stops towait at and what transitive hicle to board as well as direction of travel and location the lines term in ate at, as well as names of major corridors served.
- Wayfin ding is the process of con municating in form ation to support the ability to navigate using sign age, system / route maps, kiosks, bus cubes, directions, etc. so that customers can easily determine where they are, where they want togo, and how toget there.
- Visual Displays to assist custom ers with hearing impairm ents and to supplement on board announcements that maybe muffled by othernoise.
- Custom er In form ation Panels (CIPs) are interactive touch screen panels that display
 vehicle arrivals, service alerts, system and local maps, Metro Arts program ming,
 advertising, and Agencypublic service announcements.

4.2 Custon erAm en ities

Custom eram en ities are those elem en ts provided at a tran sit stops, tran sit cen ters, and stations to enhance con fort, convenience, and security. Am en ities include items such as shelters, benches, trash receptacles, lighting, restrooms, vending machines, and emergencytelephones. In some in stances, Metrocoordinates with municipalities to provide appropriate amenities.

- Benches provide seating for waiting custom ers, help identify the stop or station, and provide an affordable alternative tosh elters. Benches are provided by the local jurisdiction in coordination with Metro
- Elevator/Escalators provide accessibility for those who otherwise cannot use stairs to
 elevated or lowered station stops.
- Lighting in creases visibility and security, and discourages m is use of bus stops when
 tran sit operations are not in service.

- Public Restroom s m aybe provided at m ajor tran sit cen ters and m ain tain ed for public safetyan d con ven ien ce.
- Shelters provide waiting custom ers with protection from clim ate conditions and help identify the stop or station. Metrodoes not own or install shelters but coordinates with local jurisdictions on placement where appropriate. The NextGen Bus Plan includes an initiative to fund additional shelters across the Metrobus network in partnership with local jurisdictions.
- Teleph ares/Intercons provide access to transit in formation and emergen cyservices.
- Trash receptacles provide a place to discard trash and contribute to keeping bus stops and surroundings clean. Trash receptacles are placed at bus stop locations and maintained by in dividual municipalities.

Table 4.1 Custom er In form ation and Am en ities

Am en ity	Service Type	A llocation
Shelters:	H eav yRail:	n/a
	Light Rail:	At least 80 lin earft. perb ay
	Bus Facilities:	At least 6 lin earft. perbay
Seating:	H eav yRail:	At least 12 seats
	Light Rail:	At least 10 seats
	Bus Facilities:	At least 3 seats perbay
In fiction ation Displays:	H eav yRail:	At least 12
	Light Rail:	At least 10
	Bus Facilities:	At least 3
LED Displays:	H eav yRail:	At least 8 arrival/departure screen s
	Light Rail:	n/a
	Bus Facilities:	n/a
TVM s:	H eav yRail:	At least 2
	Light Rail:	At least 2
	Bus Facilities:	n/a
Elevators:	H eav yRail:	At least 2
	Light Rail:	At least 2 for elevated/un dergroun d
	Bus Facilities:	At least 2 form ulti-level term in als
Escalators:	H eav yRail:	Atleast 4 (2 U p/2 D own)
	Light Rail:	At least 2 form ulti-level term in als
	Bus Facilities:	At least 2 form ulti-level term in als
Trash receptacles:	H eav yRail:	At least 6
	Light Rail:	At least 2
	Bus Facilities:	At least 1 per3 bays/2 perfacility

Metroprovides a minimum set of custom er amenities at all rail stations and major Metroowned, off-street bus facilities that allow for boarding as sum marized in Table 4.1.

4.3 Rail Stations and Bus/Multi-Modal Transit Center-Facilities

When transit service is not available near one's trip origin, driving to a Park & Ride lot or utilizing an other first-last mile option such as a bicycle or scooter to transit may be a viable alternative. Park & Ride lots, bicycle storage, and microm obility parking areas are important amenities for transit customers.

- Park & Ride/Station Parking Facilities provide parking for transit custom ers whouse cars to access a bus or train. Park & Ride facilities are usually provided at rail stations or bus transit centers such as the Metro El Monte Station and Harbor Gateway Transit Center. Park & Ride lots in suburbs serve as a staging area for con muter custom ers. Parking may be provided for transit riders at no cost or for a non in all fee, based on demand.
- Bcycle Storage m aybe provided at tran sit stations where demand exists and space allows, and on tran sit vehicles. Bloycle racks, lookers, and hubs maybe provided at tran sit center and stations. On tran sit vehicles, bicycles may be tran sported on bus-mounted racks located in front of a bus or on board a rail car in design ated spaces. Blke racks provide a simple, relatively low-cost approach and can hold many bicycles in a relatively small space, but bicycles are subject topotential damage and theff. Enclosed bicycle lookers and hubs provide added protection from theff and from weather but cost more to install and operate, and require more space.
- MicroM do lility Vehicle Parking is being tested at keyMetrosystem locations as a pilot program. At their July 25, 2019 meeting, the Metro Board adopted a parking ordinance to regulate parking of microm do ility devices such as electric scooters. As part of the pilot, Metrohas design ated parking areas at selected stations and transithubs for parking of microm do ility devices; the private firms seek in g to park their vehicles at Metrosites must paya fee for use of the parking facilities.

4.4 Bus Stop Am en ities

Tran sit services are supported by bus stop and tran sit centerfacilities. These locations are often the first and last points of contact with the customer. These facilities are an essential component of tran sit in frastructure that direct customers to existing transit services, provide a safe and comfortable environment in which towait for service, and facilitate safe and efficient transfers between services. Given their importance, which was confirmed in the NextGen Bus Study, it is vital that transit routes and schedules are developed in consideration of the quality, appropriateness, and availability of facilities.

Bus stops are locations along the route of a bus line where custom ers safelywait to board or alight from a bus in service. Bus stops consist of a pole with a sign that includes line number, destination and service qualification signage, and curb markings or parking restriction signage. Select bus stops also include a bus in formation cube affixed to the pole. Tests are underway for new e-paper real time in formation signs for bus stops. Most bus stops are located along the

Planning and Program ming Committee File # 2019 4085; LACM TA Administrative Code Title 8: Metro Parking Ordinance

curb of a street; others are located at offsite facilities such as transit centers or rail stations that are owned and main tained by Metro, or in some cases by the local municipality.

Metrohas nojurisdiction over a bus stop beyond a bus stop sign post; amenities are in stalled by the municipality where the stop is located. This function is sometimes contracted to third parties who support in stallation and maintenance, usually funded by advertising revenues. The Next Gen Bus Plannoted the importance of bus stop amenities such as seating and shelter, and Metrowill work with municipalities to maximize the number of Metrobus stops with such amenities available.

Tran sit stations are stops along a fixed guidewayand have features such as loading platforms, TVMs for pre-loading of TAP cards, shelters, benches, lighting, in formation displays, trash receptacles, bike racks and/or lockers, public announcement systems, security cameras, and emergen cycall boxes. Manyare located adjacent to Park & Ride lots and custom erpick-up/drop offareas.

Tran sit cen ters are h igh + dum e tran sferpoin ts form ultiple tran sit services and layorer spaces for en d-of-line bus storage and turn around. Features in clude custom er loading and alighting areas, benches, shelters, lighting, in form ation displays, bicycle racks and lookers, trash receptacles, and bus layorer bays.

On -street bus layorer zones are design ated stop over points for buses at orn eartheend of the line. They may or may not allow for custom erboarding and alighting. Bus layorer term in als are major offsite layorer areas for multiple bus lines and may or may not allow for custom erboarding and alighting.

Locating bus layorer facilities (other than on-street stops) in heavily congested or urban ized areas in creases the burden on the transit operator to find layorer spaces for buses and operator restrooms. The extension of a line to a specific term in all may prove uneconomical and at the very least adds costs to an already budget constrained operation. Metrocontinues to include such facilities in joint development projects where feasible to maximize the efficiency of bus term in all operations.

Cost and m in imization of custom erdisruptions are significant concerns when locating facilities for bus operations. MetroOperations staff continue to evaluate routes and layovers to reduce costs and improve efficiency as well as maintain required access to restrooms for operators. As a key in ternal stakeholder in the environmental planning process, the Service Development Departments hould be involved early in the analysis of alternatives to and the development of mitigation measures to ensure adequate accommodations are incorporated to foster connectivity of future joint development or private projects.

Capital costs of new support facilities are an important determinant; but more sign ificant is the added operating cost that maybe incurred due to in adequate facilities resulting in expanded line operations to reach suitable alternative layorers.

4.5 Bus Stop/Station Location, Design and Guidelines

Bus stops and station stops allow for boarding and alighting of customers; their locations should balance safe, convenient access with pedestrian safety as well as other community curb space needs. Locations should support efficient transit operations, convenient rider transfers, minimize walking distances and unnecessary crosswalk movements, and should be located at a signalized or signed crosswalk to disincentive/minimize potential jaywalking. Bus stops are generally located adjacent to a bus/rail station or within a short walk to medical facilities, schools, shopping centers, office buildings, multi-unit apartments, or other activity centers to provide access for uses that generally attract transit customers. Medical centers, senior centers, and schools have high priority when considering new bus stop locations and/or when relocating existing bus stops.

BRT/Rail station locations are determined during the design phase of a fixed guideway rightofway. There are criteria associated with station location, in cluding connectivity and centrality to catch ments and major arterials, but also technical feasibility which is beyond the scope of this TSP. Generally, stations are located at major transfer points with bus or rail and provide access to major activity centers and arterials. No standard type of stop can be recommended for all locations, as each intersection has its own unique characteristics. An inventory of land uses that serve as major trip producers and attractors within a 0.25-mile corridor of the road under consideration should be taken prior to establish ment. The location of a transit stop requires concurrence of the municipality in which the stop is located in.

In general, far-side stops are preferable, particularly at sign alized in tersections; however, near side or mid-block stops may be justified in certain situations. When two or more bus routes operate along the same corridor, stops should be consolidated to facilitate ease of transfer, a single location for all transit activity, avoid unnecessary crosswalk movements and minimize confusion as to which stop customers should wait to catch their bus wherever possible. However, for a group of bus lines operating along the same street, in the same direction, serving the same intersection (such as in the down town environment), it may be necessary to implement two stop locations (e.g. near side and far side) to minimize congestion and allow for required turn movements, under the following circum stances:

- Some bus lines will queue up tom ake a right turn while other lines continue through the intersection (unsafe right turn movements)
- Lack of space availability and norcon tolengthen zone due to business own erobjection, jurisdiction refusal to extend, a loading zone being located behind the current stop, etc.)

Bus Stop/Station Accessibility

All stops and stations should be fully accessible in accordance with the 1990 Am ericans with Disabilities Act. This includes ensuring there are noobstructions preventing the boarding and alighting of customers who use a wheelchair or other assistive mobility devices, and that path ways to and from a stop or station are unobstructed. If obstructions doexist, every effort must be made to mitigate the issue (s) with the respective municipalities. In the case of bus stops, they can either be moved to a new location on a permanent basis or temporary basis

depending on situations, such as during construction. A sum mary of advantages and disadvantages to each location are provided in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Con parative An alysis of Bus Stop Locations

Chen Trans	Advertees	
Stop Type	A dvan tages	D isadvan tages
N ear-Side	M in im izes in terference when traffic is heavyon the farside of the intersection Custom ers access buses closest to crosswalk Intersection available to assist in pulling away from curb Buses can service custom ers while stopped at a red light Provides driver with opportunity to look for on conting traffic including other buses with potential custom ers	Conflicts with right turn in giveh icles are in creased Stopped buses may obscure curb side traffic control devices and crossing pedestrians Sight distance is obscured for crossing vehicles stopped to the right of the bus. The through lane may be blocked during peak periods by queuing buses In creases sight distance problems for crossing pedestrians
Far-Side	M in im izes conflicts between right turn in g vehicles Provides additional right turn capacity by making curb lane available for traffic M in im izes sight distance problems on approaches to intersection En courages pedestrians to cross behind the bus Requires shorter deceleration distances for buses Gaps in traffic flow are created for buses reentering the flow of traffic at signalized intersections Allows bus routes that operate with signal priority to reap benefits of the technology at signalized in tersections.	In tersections maybe blocked during peak periods by queuing buses Sight distance maybe obscured for crossing vehicles In creases sight distance problems for crossing pedestrians Mayin crease number of rearend accidents since drivers donot expect buses to stop again after stopping at a red light
M id-Block	M in im izes sight distance problems for vehicles and pedestrians Passen gerwaiting areas experience less pedestrian congestion	Requires addition al distance for no- park in g restrictions En courages custom ers tocross street at m id block (jaywalk in g) In creases walk in g distance for custom ers crossing at in tersections and for transferring custom ers

Source: FTA webpage (http://www.fta.dot.go//12351_4361.html)

The following renderings (Figures 4.1-4.4) illustrate a typical bus stop/zone design and offers guideline for near-side, far-side, and mid-block locations. Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP) Report 19 "Guidelines for the Location and Design of Bus Stops" (1996) provides a more detailed discussion. Metroalsoadopted its own Transfers Design Guide in 2018 – see Section 2, page 15 form ore in form ation.




Figure 4.1 General Standard Bus Stop/Zone Attributes




Figure 4.2 Typical N ear-Side Bus Stop




Figure 4.3 Typical Far-Side Bus Stop




Figure 4.4 Typical M id-Book Bus Stop

SECTION 5: SERVICE PERFORM AN CE EVALUATION

This Metro TSP establishes a set of perform ance criteria and standards that balances optim ization for efficiency and productivity with custom er experience measures of success. Optim ization of keyperform ance indicators ensures that the services being provided generate the maximum benefit in terms of ridership at the lowest cost. Custom er experience criteria measure how well the transit system can attract custom ers to use the system more often and fornew trip purposes.

5.1 Route Perform an ce In dex

The Route Perform an ce Index (RPI) is a conventional industrym easure used toen sure transit services are effective and provide a reasonable return on investment. Metros RPI is designed toprovide an objective measure of bus route perform an ce relative to system perform ance. The index is based on system ridership and financial targets from the current fiscal year Metro Budget.

This measure is applied to all Metrobus lines that have been in operation form one than one year, allowing time for new lines to reach a level of maturity where riders have adapted to their availability. The RPI is used to identify under-performing lines. Specific corrective actions are taken during the service change process. Corrective actions may include marketing, service restructuring, implementing an alternative service, or discontinuation of service.

Defin in g RPI Variables

The RPI can siders the following three variables in creating the index. Noweight is given to an individual measure; rather the selected statistics represent all facets of the operation in terms of cost efficiency, service effectiveness, and customeruse.

- Utilization of Resources: Passen ger Board in gs per Reven ue Service Hour (RSH) are used as a measure to determ in e how effectively resources are used on a given line. This measure is determ in ed by dividing the total number of board in gs by the RSHs operated. A route having a higher number of board in gs per RSH represents a better utilization of the service provided.
- Utilization of Capacity. Passen ger M iles per Seat M ile is the measure used to evaluate how the seating capacity of the system is being used. Passen ger m iles are calculated by multiplying the average distance traveled percustom erbythenum ber of custom ersusing the service. Seat miles are calculated by determining the number of seats pervehicle by the number of service miles operated. A higher resulting number indicates greater utilization of service capacity.
- Fiscal Responsibility. Subsidy per Passen ger is the measure for fiscal responsibility. Subsidyrefers to the amount of public funding required to cover the difference between the cost of operation and the customer fare revenues collected. Higher subsidy services require more public funding support perpassenger boarding.

The form ula for calculation of the RPI for each Metro Bus line is as follows:

RPI = ((Passen gers/RSH/System Avg.) + (Passen gers Miles per Seat Mile/System Avg.) + (Subsidyper Passen ger/System Avg.))/3

Lines with an index of 1.0 perform at the system average, while lines with an index of less than 1.0 perform below the average. Lines with an RPI lower than 0.6 are defined as performing poorly and targeted for corrective action. Lines that have been subjected to corrective actions and donot meet the 0.60 productivity index after six additional months of operation may be discontinued, subject to the Title VI, Metro Service Council, and Board approval processes.

The RPI is calculated and reported quarterly by Metros Service Planning staff for use in developing revised service plans to improve route perform ance..

5.2 Custom er Experience

Providing high qualitym obtility options that enable people to spend less time traveling on the transit network requires that service be available when and where custom ers want to travel, that service be connected by to have custom ers be willing to try transit over other options, and that service be attractive enough to ensure riders return for the same trip and ideally for more trips. Therefore, the recommended measures of success are aimed at evaluating the bus network implemented under the NextGen Bus Plan within these three elements, referred to as Find, Try, and Rely. These customer-focused measures help to balance the traditional metrics of productivity and efficiency (e.g. ridership, boardings perhour, subsidyper boarding). Several of these measures (italicized below) will be used to evaluate the network through the lens of equity.

<u>Find</u> - How well do people understand how effectively transit can serve their needs? Is the system easy to understand and use? Proposed measures include:

Services and in form ation are readily available

Percentage of trip ends with in 1/4 mile of transit stop

Trip plan n in g apps and web site usage rates

Percent of public considering transit (surveybased)

- Bus system is easy to un derstand and use

Percentage of out-of-direction travel

Percentage of route miles with all-day frequent service (<=15 min headways)

Percent of public that understands how touse system (surveybased)

<u>Try</u>-How can we en courage custon erstotrythe region altransit system? (Metroand Municipal Bus Operators) Proposed measures in clude:

Busques where/when custom ers want

Percen tage of trips can patible with transit by time of dayand dayafweek

Number of jobs and activity centers accessible within a 15-m in ute and 30-m in ute transit ride

Num ber of un ique tran sit users

- Bus system is con petitive

Door-to-door travel tim es

Can petitiven ess aftran sit tim e todrive tim e

System -wide boardings

Corerage is adequate

Population with in 4 m ile of tran sit stops by frequency of service

Tran sit journ eys are sim ple

Average num ber of transfers

Percent of trips that are on e-seat rides

Rely-How can we provide services that custom ers can rely on forth eintravel needs? Proposed measures in clude:

Bus system is effective and productive

Con petitive tran sit path s for short, even in g, m idday, and weekend trips

Num ber of frequent custom ers

Boardin gs bytim e ofdayan d dayofweek

Boardings per revenue hours and miles

Cost perpassen germ ile

Buses are reliable

Headwayregularity on frequent routes

On time perform ance

Real tim e arrival accuracy

Custom ers are satisfied

Rides perweek for frequent and in frequent users

Percen tage of custom ers satisfied with M etroservices (surveybased)

5.3 Service Evaluation Process

Services are evaluated m on the ly, quarterly, and bian neally based on the network, lines and segments (geographic, time of day, and day of week). Services that are inconsistent with demand or do not meet system standards are identified for restructuring, reduction, or

discortinuation. Services that have potential for exceeding existing perform ance will be identified for possible enhancements as should markets that are currently not well served. The following priorities will be considered when restructuring the Metrosystem:

- Pricrity1 Restructure services to in crease system speed, or time perform ance, service frequencies consistent with NextGen Bus Plan, productivity, and balance loads.
- Priority2 Restructure services that are duplicative with MetroRail, other Metro Bus
 lines, and Municipal and Local Return operator services. Such services will be identified
 for discontinuation, consolidation, reduction and/or reallocation to achieve greater
 productivityand cost efficiency.
- Priority3 Restructure rem ain in g services (constrained by existing budget) based on
 the service consept and toaddress majorgaps and deficiencies. Prioritize these service
 adjustments.
- Priority4 Develop n ew services (un constrain ed) toaddress all gaps and deficiencies.
 Prioritize these new services.

Sign ificant changes to municipal operator services are in corporated in to the evaluation of existing and new services as possible enhancements to address identified gaps or deficiencies in service.

Service Change Perform and Evaluation

Schedule adjustments to bus or rail should be evaluated shortly after implementation to determine if there are any obvious issues. This should include line rides and visits to the operating divisions to receive comments and recommendations from customers, operators and supervisors. Appropriate adjustments should be made as required. After three months of operations, the schedules should be evaluated in detail to begin the process of schedule adjustments for the next service change cycle.

Route modifications to bus service should also be evaluated after implementation based on the evaluation outlined above. The overall goals of the service changes such as reducing costs, improving connections, in creasing bus speeds, and in creasing ridership, among others, should have near term goals that are established prior to the service change process. At about 6 months after service implementation, the performance of the changes should be evaluated relative to the established goals. Remedial actions, if necessary, should be developed and considered for the next service change cycle.

SECTION 6: SERVICE CHANGE PROCESS

In 2003, Metro created five localized service areas (Figure 6.1), each to be overseen by a Govern an ce Council. In 2011, Metro restructured and re-established a centrallyman aged bus operation to include the service planning and scheduling functions, while maintaining the authority and responsibility of the five Regional Service Councils to help locally coordinate service changes. Metro restructured the roles and responsibilities of these five Regional Service Councils.




Figure 6.1 M etro Service Coun cil Regions

Metros five Region al Service Councils provide locally accessible public forum s for con munity members, transitusers, and local municipal operators to voice concerns, suggestions, and questions on how Metrocan best serve customers. Through these forums, Service Council members can:

- better un derstan d custon ern eeds an d m ake recon m en dations;
- evaluate opportunities and service coordination issues;
- advise and approve the planning and implementation of service changes with in their areas.

As stated in the 2011 update to the Service Council by Jaws, one of the Service Council's primary responsibilities is to render decisions on proposed bus route changes considering staff's reconnected mendations and public connects. Metro Service Councils (MSC) will be responsible for

approxing all proposed perm an ent major service changes, excluding turn around and out of service route modifications. All major service changes that require public hearings will be brought to the MSCs who will conduct public hearings then vote to approve, modify, or deny the service change proposals. Any sign if icant temporary service change should be brought to the Council for their in form ation but not approval.

Each Region al Service Council is responsible for holding public hearings that relate tom ajor service changes (as defined in Title VI Section 6.3 below) to Metrobus and rail lines that provide sign if can to service with in their region, consistent with State and Federal laws and with Metropolicies pertaining to public hearings. Following receipt of public input, the Council is responsible for approxing all major service changes that are to be implemented that modify, add or delete Metrobus routes with in the Service Council's jurisdiction in conformance with Metroservice standards, collective bargaining agreements and Metropolicies. When a major service change program requires three or more Councils to hold public hearings, an additional hearing is held at a central location, normally at the Metrohead quarters building, on an appropriate Saturday.

Table 6.1 Major Service Change Timeline

K eyA ctivities	Required Lead Time (M on this Prior to Implementation)
In itiate Planning Process	12
Develop Prelim in ary Recomm en dations	7-8
Im pact An alysis for Proposed Changes	6-7
Title VI EquityAn alysis on Major Service Change and Fare Change Proposals	5-7
Service Council Review and Input	6-7
Can ferwith LabarRelation and Union Representatives	6-7
Public Review and Input	5
Fin alize Service Change Program	4-5
Program Approval	3-4
Develop New Service Schedules	2-4
Print Public Timetables and Operator Assignments	1-2
Fabricate Decals for Bus Bades	1-2
Take On es/RiderAlerts on Buses	0.5-1

All route and major service changes that are approved by the Regional Service Councils will be brought to the Metro Board of Directors as an information item. Should the Metro Board decide to move a Service Council approved service change to an Action Item, the Service Council will be notified of this change, prior to the next Service Council monthlymeeting. Table 6.1 provides the established service change timeline.

6.1 Service Change Program s

Service change program s are developed based on in put generated by a wide variety of sources in cluding rider, community, and employee in put, service restructuring studies, coordination with major Metrocapital projects such as new rail alignments or joint developments, requests from other local operators, and performance monitoring results such as load levels and ontime performance. The service change process includes public review of the proposals, a technical evaluation of ridership impact, and Title VI equity an alysis.

In accordance with contractual agreements with the Sheet Metal Air, Rail and Transit Union (SMART)¹¹, bi-annual service changes will be implemented each year in June and December. Metroservice changes are conducted tom odifyservice based on ridership and load factors, ontime performance, other performance monitoring results, rider and community input, and budget considerations. A service change process work flow is provided in Figure 6.2.

As part of the evaluation process, resource impacts to in-service hours and required vehicles are also tracked to en sure con pliance with budget parameters. In sum mary, the purpose of an evaluation on proposed service changes is to

- Define and evaluate the impact on custom ers;
- Determ in ewhether a proposed major service change or fare in crease will have disparate impact on minorities or a disproportionate burden on low-income individuals by performing a Title VI EquityAnalysis;
- Consider alternatives if a disparate adverse impact to m in crities or disproportion ate burden on low-income in dividuals are identified;
- Develop appropriate m itigation m easures if n eeded; and
- Conduct required public hearing for all major service changes (see definition in Section 6.3 Title VI EquityAnalysis).

Changes to the rail system occur less frequently. They generally relate to the opening of an ew line or adjustments to the frequency or hours of operation for existing service. Changes in rail and bus service follow the same planning and implementation process.

6.2 Title VI Equity An alysis and M etros Equity Platform

Metros EquityPlatform was adopted in February2018¹². The fram ework for equity begins with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which protects m in ority con m unities from disparate and disproportion at enegative impacts as a result of major transit service changes. Executive Order 12898 - Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and


¹¹ The United Transportation Union (UTU) merged with the Sheet Metal Workers Union in 2014 to form SMART.

12 http://metrolegistar1.com/metro/attachments/dabba808 fdf7 4f71 8869 66f2f60 d40 c7.pdf3 HASTUS

(Horaires et Assignments pour Systems de Transport Urban et Semi-Urban) refers to the software used to create schedules. ATMS (Advanced Transportation Management System)

Low In come Populations provides further protection of low in come communities from disparate and disproportion at enegative impacts.





6.3 Title VI EquityAn alysis Metrom ust ensure a Title VI EquityAn alysis is performed on all major service change proposals and any fare change proposals to determine if these proposals will have a disparate adverse impact on minorities or disproportionate burden on low-income individuals prior to a public hearing. If it is determined that these proposed changes will have a disparate adverse impact on minorities or a disproportionate burden on low-income individuals, Metrowill make a good-faith effort to mitigate or reduce the adverse impacts by looking for alternatives that can meet legitimate program goals with a lesser impact to protected groups.

In accordance with FTA's Title VI Circular 470 2.1 B "Title VI Requirem ents and Guidelines for Federal Transit Adm in istration Recipients" (Effective October 1, 2012), Metros Adm in istrative Code was revised to in corporate FTA's requirements under Title VI. The Metro Board adopted the updated Adm in istrative Code in January 2013. Based on this Circular, Metro is required to perform a Title VI Equity Analysis on all proposed major service changes or fare changes prior to implementation. The goal is to ensure there is no disparate adverse impact to minorities or disproportion at a burden on low in come in dividuals created by a major service or fare change.

The following definitions and criteria were updated and adopted by the Board in September 2019. The FTA is considering developing an updated circular in 2022. The Adm in istrative Code now contains a reference to these definitions so that it need not be amended everytime there is a need to modify the definitions:

Disparate Impact Policy.

Disparate im pact refers to a facially neutral policy or practice that disproportion at ely affects members of a group identified by race, color or national origin and the policylacks a substantial legitim ate justification, in cluding one ormore alternatives that would serve the same legitim ate objectives but with less disproportionate effects on the basis of race, color or national origin. This policy defines the threshold Metrowill utilize when analyzing the impacts to minority populations and/orm in ority custom ers.

- a. Form ajor service changes, a disparate impact will be deemed to have occurred if the absolute difference between the percentage of m in crity adversely affected and the overall percentage of m in crities is at least five percent (5%).
- b. For an yapplicable fare changes, a disparate im pact will be deem ed to have occurred if the absolute difference between the percentage of m in orityadverselyaffected and the overall percentage of m in orities is at least five percent (5%)

Disproportion at Burden Policy.

Disproportion at burden refers to a facially neutral policy or practice that disproportion at ely affects low in cone populations more than those populations that are not low in cone. A finding of disproportion at a burden for major service and fare changes requires Metro to evaluate alternatives and mitigate burdens where practicable.

1. For major service changes, a disproportion at burden will be deemed to exist if an absolute difference between percentage of low-in cone adversely affected by the service change and the overall percentage of low-in cone persons is at least five percent (5%).

2. For fare changes, a disproportion at burden will be deemed to exist if an absolute difference between the percentage of low-in come adversely affected and the overall percentage of low-in come is at least five percent (5%)

Discretion of the Metro Board of Directors

A *m ajorservice ch an ge or fare in crease* m aybe im plem en ted even if the Title VI EquityAn alysis determ in es a *disparate adverse im pact* tom in orities was created by the change. However, the Metro Board of Directors must first en sure these changes meet two tests:

- There is a substantial legitim ate justification for adopting the proposed major service change or fare in crease, meaning the selected service change or fare in crease meets a goal that is in tegral to the mission of Metro, and
- The selected alternative would have a less severe adverse effect or Title VI protected populations than other alternatives that were studied.

Major Service Change

Major service changes are defined in Metros Adm in istrative Code in Chapter 2-50 Public Hearings Subsection 2-50-10 as any service change that meets at least one of the following criteria:

- 1. A revision to an existing transit route that in creases or decreases the route miles and/or the revenue miles operated by 25% or more at one time or cumulatively in any period with in 36 consecutive months since the last major service change;
- 2. A revision to an existing transit service that in creases or decreases the scheduled trips operated by at least 25% at one time or cumulatively in any period with in 36 consecutive months since the last major service change;
- 3. An increase or decrease to the span of service of a transit line of at least 25% at anyone time or cumulatively in any period with in 36 consecutive months since the last major service change;
- 4. The implementation of a new transit route that provides at least 50% of its route miles with out duplicating other routes;
- 5. Six m on this prior to the open ing of any new fixed guideway project (e.g. BRT line or rail line) regardless of whether or not the amount of service being changed meets the requirements in the subsections 1-5 above to be inclusive of any bus/rail in terface changes.
- 6. Experim en tal, dem on stration or em ergen cyservice changes maybe in stituted for one year or less with out a Title VI EquityAn alysis being con pleted and considered by the Board of Directors. If the service is required to be operated beyond one year the Title VI EquityAn alysis must be conspleted and considered by the Board of Directors before the end of the one year experimental, demonstration or emergency.
- 7. A Title VI EquityAn alysis shall not be required if a Metrotran sit service is replaced by a different route, mode, or operator providing a service with the same headways, fare, transferoptions, span of service and stops.

Fare Ch an ges

An yfare change requires an equityevaluation consistent with the following guidance:

- 1. A Fare EquityAn alysis shall be prepared for any fare change (in crease or decrease). This in cludes but is not limited to permanent fare changes, tem porarychanges, promotional fare changes, and pilot fare programs. The analysis will evaluate the effects of fare changes on Title VI protected populations and low-income populations. The analysis will be done for fares not available to the general public such as special discount programs for students, groups or employers.
- 2. If fare changes are planned due to the open in gofanew fixed guidewayproject, an equity an alysis shall be con pleted six months prior to open in gofthe service.
- 3. Each Title VI Fare EquityAn alysis shall be con pleted and presented for consideration of the Board of Directors in advance of the approval of the proposed fare or fare media change by the Board of Directors. The EquityAn alysis will then be forwarded to the FTA with a record of action taken by the Board.
- 4. A Title VI an alysis is n of required when:
 - a) A change is in stituted that provides free fares for all custom ers;
 - b) Tem parary fare reductions are provided tom itigate for other actions taken by Metro
 - c) Promotional fare reductions are less than six months in duration. An equity an alysism ust be conducted prior tomaking any temporary fare change in to a permanent part of the fare system.

6.4-3 M etros Equity Platform

The NextGen Bus Study aim ed to go above and beyond Title VI requirements to an alyze disparate impacts and disproportionate burden on minority and low-income populations to identify communities with the greatest mobility needs. but to further improve service for communities with the greatest mobility needs to be served by transit-Todoth is, Metros Equity Platform was in tegrated in to the NextGen Bus Studyplanning and public engagement process. The Platform provides a fram ework that guides how the agen cyworks to address in equities and create more equitable access to opportunity.

Metros Equity Platform builds up or Title VI in two distinct ways. First, it goes beyond the Title VI Equity An alysis of disparate impacts and disproportion at a burden on minority and low in come populations eth nicity and in come to identify determine communities with the greatest mobility needs. The NextGen process started with an alysis of Equity Focus Communities (EFCs) is used to help identify where Metros community designation that defines areas where transportation needs are greatest. EFCs consider where there are higher concentrations of resident and household demographics associated with mobility barriers (low-income households earning less than \$60,000 per year, Black, Indigenous, or People of Color (BPOC) populations; and households that do not have a car). Additionally, the NextGen sought to capture other metrics to identify transit propensity to ensure investment in transit targeted area populations with the most need to use transit. Through market research, surveys, and public

in put, oth ergroups determ in ed to be most reliant on transit in clude non-English speaking new im migrants, youth and seniors, persons with out access to an autom obile either by choice or necessity, persons with disabilities, and women, who tend to make more transit trips than men.

The Four Pillars of the Equity Platform were in tegrated in to the NextGen Bus Study as follows doth is, the Four Pillars of the Equity Platform were in tegrated in to the NextGen Bus Study plan n in q and public en gagement process.

- I. Define and Measure Use <u>EFCs</u> Title VI as a baseline for identifying con munities with the greatest needs, and supplement those with market research to identify the segments of population and trips with the highest propensity for transituse. Evaluate busine two the changes based on custom er-focused performance metrics established within this Transit Service Policy document with particular focus on Equity Focus Conmunities with the greatest mobility needs as identified above.
- II. Listen & Learn The technical work of the NextGen Bus Study identified important in form ation about Metros current and potential customers. This data was validated by a robust countywide public engagement effort that included engaging customers on board buses, at outreach sessions at community events, stakeholder briefings, interactive public workshops, digital engagement, and print advertising. Comments received were incorporated into the system wide service design as well as individual route changes.
- III. Focus & Deliver Service design concepts established with in this Transit Service Policy document are intended to address the recurring them es identified from the public outreach and market research, including faster and more frequent service, better reliability and accessibility to key destinations, better connectivity particularly with the municipal operators, and improved perception of safety ecurity on board buses and at bus stops. These concepts, described below, were used to redesign the routes and schedules for the NextGen Bus Plan.

In addition, a Transit Propensity Index score was developed and assigned to every Census Tract in Los Angeles County. This index score considers the various market segments likelihood to use transit, the transit orientation of the environment being served, and the travel demand within the area. Areas with high scores should be prioritized for high quality transit service.

Lastly, other custom er experience enhancements such as improved safetyecurity, accurate real time arrival in formation, clean liness, and improved first/last mile service are critical toattracting customers to use transit.

The Board-adopted Tran sit Service Policywill be updated to reflect the Regional Service Concept as adopted by the Board, in cluding the goals and objectives of the businetwork, measures of success, route and network design concepts based on public input and data an alysis, and the fram ework referenced for balancing tradeoffs in consideration of Metros Equity Platform.

IV. Train & Grow — The Board adopted Transit Service Policywill be updated to reffect the Region al Service Concept as adopted by the Board, in cluding the goals and objectives of the businetwork, in easures of success, route and network design concepts based or public in put and data an alysis, and the fram ework referenced for balancing tradeoffs in consideration of Metros Equity Platform. Service Planning has adopted new tools to an alyze the potential impacts of service changes on EFCs. A In addition, an annual monitoring program will be established to track the progress of achievement towards the goals and objectives, and to inform on necessary adjustments.

6.54 Public Outreach

Prior to a public hearing, public outreach is conducted so that the greatest number of customers may respond to the changes at either a public hearing or by submitting written comments at a hearing, or via email, mail, or fax. The distribution of information will include line number, line name, route change information, and/or fare change proposals. Other public outreach occurs at key transportation centers, bus stops, and bus and rail stations 30 days prior to the public hearing date. These efforts are made to reach and engage customers who may not have time to attend a public hearing and to inform themofalternative communication methods available to file public comments. Public participation in the public hearing process is an important step in assisting staff and Metro Service Councils in developing and approxing final service change proposals. Table 6.2 provides a timeline for public notification activities.

Table 6.2 Tim eline for Public N ctification Activities

A ctiv ity	M on this Prior to Service Change
Service Planning staffreviews preliminary proposals.	7
Metro Service Councils set dates of public meetings, publish hearing notices in local new spapers and send LEP and minority communities written notification to elected officials, other operators and keystakeholder groups. Conferwith Labor Relations and Union representatives.	5-6
Service Planning staffprovides in form ation on proposed changes to the Metro Bus Operators Subcomm ittee and at quarterlymeetingsheld with the region's municipal and local operators.	3
Com m un ication Departm ent posts in form ation proposed changes on Metros web site.	5
Operations staffdistributes meeting notices on board vehicles. Public outreach at keytran sportation centers, bus stops, and on board customer interface occurs as well.	Minimum are marth priorto publichearings
M etro Service Coun cils con duct publich earings.	4
Metro Service Councils approve final service change program.	3
Metro Board receives the Service Councils' approved service change program as a Receive and File item.	2
Communication Department prepares press releases on final program and program broothures are distributed on 40 card Metrovehicles and other outlets.	1

These procedures are in accordance with Metros Adm in istrative Code in Chapter 2-50 Public Hearings Subsection 2-50 4 25:

- A. An ypublic hearing required by Section 2-20 4 20 shall be conducted as set forth in this section.
- B Notice of the hearing shall be published in at least one English language and Span ish language new spaper of general circulation and at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of the hearing. Notice at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of the hearing shall also be published in the neighborhood and foreign language and eth nic new spapers as appropriate to provide notice to the members of the public most likely to be impacted by the proposed action.
- C. Notice of the public hearing shall also be announced by brochures in English, Span ish and other appropriate languages on transitive hicles serving the areas to be impacted and at custom erservice centers.
- D. To ensure that the views and comments expressed by the public are taken into consideration, MTA staffshall prepare a written response to the issues raised at the public hearing. That response should also include a general assessment of the social, economic and environmental impacts of the proposed change, including anyim pact on energy conservation.
- E. The public hearing related to a recommendation to increase transit fares charged the public shall be held before the Board of Directors and any action taken to increase the fares charged the general public must be approved by a two-thirds vote of the members of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors may delegate to another body or a hearing officer appointed by the Chief Executive Officer the authority to hold the public hearing related to a change in transit service.

6.56 Public Hearing Process

On ce a Service Change Program has been developed by Metro Service Planning Staff, the Metro Service Councils are asked to set a date, time and place for their public hearings. During the period between publication of the hearing notices and public hearings, each Service Council is provided a detailed presentation on service change proposals and given an opportunity to discuss the changes that will be the subject of public connent. After each hearing, each Service Council will meet to consider and approve, modify, or denyall proposed service changes. These actions will then be summarized and presented in an informational report to the Metro Board of Directors.

Under Metros Service Council by Jaws, all service changes must be reviewed and approved by their respective Service Council (s). Public hearings are usually held at the same location where the Service Councils hold their meetings but may be held at other locations in order to be more accessible to those customers who would be affected by the proposed service changes. When a major service change program requiring the associated Councils to hold public hearings affects three or more service regions, thus, an additional hearing will be held at a central

location, normally at the Metro headquarters building, on an appropriate Saturday. In accordance with Metro's Adm in istrative Code in Chapter 2-50 Public Hearings Subsection 2-50 4 20, Metrowill hold a public hearing on all major service change or fare change proposals that are subject to a Title VI Equity Analysis. These proposals are subject to Metro Regional Service Council and Metro Board approval.

6.67 Implementing Min or Changes on an Interim Basis

M in conservice changes are generally route modifications that can be accommodated with out impacting the vehicle correquirements of the service. M in conservice changes don correquire a public hearing but are shared with the relevant Service Councils as a courte syand can be implemented at the discretion of staff.

A PPEN DICES

A PPEN DIX A: M etrol in e Iden tification

The purpose of establishing transit service line identification standards is to create a simple way for customers to identify, locate, and reference Metro services, and thereby make the services easier for customers to use.

The line identification standards shall be adhered towhen identifying Metro-Bus and Metro-Rail lines by name. The standards shall be implemented across all internal and external mediums including but not limited to rail station signs, bus stop signs, bus station signs, vehicle head signs, vehicle destination signs, timetables, HASTUS and ATMS³. The descriptions and chart below help explain the standards, and how and when the yshould be implemented.

Gen eral Stan dards

- Tran sit lines will be identified using a combination of line number, destinations (both term in als) and the corridor(s) the line travels along. MetroRail and Metro BRT service which previously used the established operational names (e.g., Metro Red Line, Metro Purple Line, Metro Orange Line) are being transitioned to a letter-based designation. To ensure consistent usage of transitional naming for Rail and BRT lines, updates to customer in formation should be referred to the Communications Department.
- Acceptable destination names include a city, community, major landmark, transit center or rail station. Street in tersections are noton gertobe used as a destination, unless the intersection is required to identify short-line service.
- The destination points will be listed in a West to East on N orth to South order, consistent with how the line would be read on a map. Destination son head signs, destination signs, time tables, and physical signage must always be consistent.
- Lin es that have Down town LA as one of the lin e's end points will list its first, as Down town LA.
- The name of the line will also list at least one major corridor on which it travels.
- N am e abbreviations, street extensions and other topics will be dictated by the M etro Sign age Guidelines.

Printed Materials and Electronic Customer Information

- The line will be presented using the full name, listing both the destinations and major corridor(s).
- Printed materials include, but are not limited to timetables, service change announcements, brookures, system maps, and service reports.
- Electron ic custom er in form ation in cludes the line in form ation presented on metron et and underlying electron ic databases such as HASTUS and ATMS

¹³ H A STU S (H craires et Assign m en ts pour System s de Transport Urban et Semi-Urban) refers tothe software used tocreate schedules. A TM S (Advanced Transportation M an agement System)

Trip Planners and m do ile applications providing real-time data to riders will present the linename similarly to what will be shown on the vehicle head sign and bus stop sign, so customers can easily locate the appropriate line at the stop.

Rail Station Signage

The line will be presented using the line letter designation, and destination point that the
vehicle is traveling to in each direction.

Bus Stop Sign age

- The line will be presented using the line number, service brand, color and destination point that the vehicle is traveling to in each direction.
- The main corridor(s) will also be listed as well as special service qualifiers in cluding, but not limited to rush it our service and week day on lyservice.
- Short-line trip destinations will not be shown on bus stop signs.

Bus Route Numbering Convention

Bus lin en um bers are assigned to in dicate the type of service provided and where the line travels.

Lin e N um bers	Type of Service
1-99	Travel in todown town Los An geles, referen cin g gen eral corridors
	con secutively in a counterclock wise rotation
100s	Operate from east towest and travel outside of down town Los Angeles
200s	Operate from north to south and travel outside of down town Los Angeles
30 0 s	MetroLocal buses with limited stop service
40 0 s	Arterial express bus services to from down town Los Angeles
500s	Freewayexpress bus services outside of down town Los Angeles
600s	Operate local shuttle bus service
700s	M etroRapid bus service
800s	Busbridges for the rail network
900s	M etroL in erbus service

Veh icle Head Sign s

- Head signs will list the destination in which the vehicle is traveling towards in one frame.
- Head signs on Rail and BRT vehicles will list the line letter design ation in one frame.
- For short-line trips, the line number and destination shown will be the destination of that trip and not of the entire line.
- When the line is not in service, the sign will read "Not in Service" and displaythe route numberper Operations Notice # 09-18.
- N am e abbreviations, street extensions and other topics will be dictated by the Metro Sign age Guidelines.

Auton atic Voice Announcements

- Extern al On -Board Ann oun cem en ts:

The line will be identified in automatic external voice announcements using the line number and destination point that the vehicle is traveling to in each direction.

For short-line trips, the destination noted will be the destination of that trip and not of the entire line.

In term al On -Board Ann our cem ents:

When the autom atic voice announcement system identifies a stop, the end destination of that line will follow.

The stops and stations and ounced on board should be consistent with names used on maps, time tables and other printed materials.

Assign in g L in e Iden tifiers

It is expected that the standards will be easily applied to the majority of lines; however, it is also understood that exceptions will have to be made for some lines due to unfamiliarend points or corridors, or where temporary solutions are necessary due to construction, temporary service changes, or pilot program deployment. In these limited cases, Service Planning staff and Communications must be in consensus regarding these changes before deciding to deviate from the standards. The Stop and Zones Department may also deploy temporary signage at bus and rail facilities as needed when emergency closures or other service changes impact scheduled service. For detailed guidance on using Metrosignage standards, Metro Signage and Environmental Graphic Design Standards documents may be obtained from the Communications Department.

Metros Rail Line Iden tification, Naming, and Color Conventions

Rail and ERT lines previously denoted by a color transitioned to a letter/color combination beginning in November 2019. The letters assigned to each rail line generally conform to the order in which each line went into operation. The current planned designations are depicted in the adjacent chart.

The Gold Line has been assigned the letter L for clarity and consistency system wide. The service plan for the Regional Connector Project will result in the L designation being phased out and the relevant sections of the Gold Line will become the A Line to Azuza or the E Line to East LA. The Crenshaw Line will be known as the K Line with a pink color.

Prior Designation	Updated Designation	Updated Line Badge
Blue Line	A Line	A
Red Line	B Line	B
Green Line	C Line	G
Purple Line	D Line	0
Expo Line	E Line	E
Orange Line	G Line	G
Silver Line	J Line	J
Gold Line	L Line	L

A PPEN DIX BLOS An geles CountyLocal Fixed and Dem and Response Route Transit Operators

A gour a Hills X X X X A In am b ra X X X A In telope Valley Tran sit Auth crity (A VTA) serves: Palm dale Lan caster X X X Portions of Un in corporated Los An geles County A readia X X A readia X X A readia X X A valon X X A zusa X X Baldwin Park X X Baldwin P			L cocal	G en eral	Special Purpose
All ham bira X X X An telope ValleyTran sit Authority (A VTA) serves: Pallm dale Lan caster Portion's of Un in corporated Los An geles Counity A readia X X Artesia X X Avalon X X Avalon X X Azusa X X Baldwin Park X Bach Cities Tran sit serves: Redon do Bach X X Hermicas Bach El Segun do Ball X X Ball Garden's X Ball Gorden's X Ball Gorden's X Ball Gorden's X Ball Gorden's X Carison X X Cominierce X X Culver City X X Cullan and Garden's X Culver City X X X Culver City X X X Culver City X X X Culon In Corporated Los An geles X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		M un icipal	Retun	Dial a Ride	
An telope ValleyTran sit Auth crity (A VTA) serves: Palm dale Lan caster X X X Arcadia Arcadia X Artesia Avalon Azusa Baldwin Park Beach Cities Tran sit serves: Redon do Beach M an hattan Beach H em osa Beach El Segun do Bill Bill Garden s Bill Garden s Billflower BiverlyH ills Ax Birban k Calabasas Carson Carson Com m erce Com m erce Com m erce Cudah y Culver City Diam on d Bar					X
Palm dale Lan caster Portions of Un in corporated Los An geles County Arcadia A ratesia A valor A zusa Baldwin Park Bach Cities Tran sit serves: Redon do Beach Main hattan Beach H emica Beach El Segun do Ball Ball Garden s Ballflower Ballflower Ballflower Ball Ray A X Carson Cerritos Con merce Cor in a Culver City Diam on di Bar			Х	Х	
Lan caster Portion's of Unin corporated Los Anigeles Counity Arcadia Artesia Avalor Azusa Baldwin Park Beach Cities Tranisit serves: Redor do Beach Main hattan Beach Hermicaa Beach El Segun do Bell Garden's Bell Garden's Bell Garden's Bell Garden's Bell Garden's Ax Bell					
Portion's offUn in corporated Los An geles Counity A readia A readia X A resia X Avalon A zusa Baldwin Park Bach Cities Tranist serves: RedondoBach Manhattan Bach Herm osa Bach El Segun do Ball Ball Sarden's Bullfower Bullfower Burbank Calabasas Carson Cerritos Commerce X Commerce Compton Couliver City Diam ond Bar	Palm dale				
Counity Arcadia X Artesia X Avalor Av	L an caster	X	Х		
A rcadia X A rtesia X A valor X Azusa X Baldwin Park X Bach Cities Tran sit serves: X Redor do Beach X M an h attan Beach X K Herm Osa Beach X El Segun do X Bell Garden's X Bell Garden's X BeverlyH ills X Burbank X Calabasas X Carson X Cerritos X Com merce X X X Cor in a X Cudah's X X X					
Artesia X X Avalor X X Azusa X X Baldwin Park X Beach Cities Tran sit serves: Redor do Beach M an h attan Beach X X X X H H erm cosa Beach El Segun do Bell Sardners X X Bellfower X BeverlyH ills X X BeverlyH ills X X Barbanak X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X					
A zusa X X Baldwin Park X X Beach Cities Transit serves: Redon do Beach X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	A rcadia				X
Azusa X Baldwin Park X Beach Cities Tran sit serves: Redor do Beach Man hattan Beach X Herm osa Beach El Segun do Bell X Bell Garden s ReverlyH ills X Bell flower X BeverlyH ills X Calabasas Carson X Cerritos Con merce X Con pton Covin a Culver City Diam ond Bar					
Baldwin Park Beach Cities Tran sit serves: Red or do Beach Man hattan Beach Herm cosa Beach El Segun do Bell Bell Garden s Bellffower X BeverlyHills X Burban k Calab asas Carson Cerritos Con merce Con pton Covin a Culver City Diam ond Bar	Avalo				
Beach Cities Tran sit serves: Redon do Beach M an hattan Beach H erm osa Beach El Segun do Bell Garden s Bell Garden s ReverlyH ills Rarban k Calab asas Carson Cerritos Con merce X Con pton Covin a Culver City Diam and Bar X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Azusa				
Redord obeach Manhattan Beach Herm osa Beach El Segun do Bell Bell Garden s ReverlyHills BeverlyHills Roadsass Carson Cerritos Con merce X X X X X X X X X X X X X			Χ		
Manhattan Beach Hermicsa Beach El Segun do Bell Bell X Bell Garden's X Bellffower X BeverlyHills X Calab asas X Carson Cerritos Con merce X Con pton Covin a CulverCity Diam on d Barr X X X X X X X X X X X X	Beach Cities Tran sit serves:				
Herm Osa Beach El Segun do Bell X Bell Garden s X Bellffower X BeverlyH ills X Calab asas X Carson Cerritos Con m erce X Con pton Covin a Cudah y CulverCity Diam on d Bar	Reda do Beach				
El Segun do Bell	M an h attan Beach	Х	Χ		
Bell	Henn osa Beach				
Bell Garden's X Bell flower X X BeverlyHills X X X X X X X X X	El Segun do				
Bellificower	Bill		Χ		
BeverlyHills	Bell Gardens		Х		
Burban k	Bellflower		Χ		
Burban k	BeverlyH ills		Χ		
Carson X Cerritos X Con merce X Con pton X Covina X Cudahy X CulverCity X Diam ord Bar X			Χ		
Cerritos X Con merce X Con pton X Cor in a X Cudah y X CulverCity X Diam or d Bar X	Calabasas		Х		
Con merce X X Con pton X Cor in a X Cudah y X Culver City X Diam on d Bar X	Carson		Χ		
Con pton X Covin a X Cudah y X CulverCity X Diam ord Bar X	Cerritos		Χ		
Cor in a X Cudah y X CulverCity X X D iam or d Bar X X	Con m erce	Х	Χ		
Corin a X Cudah y X CulverCity X Diam ord Bar X	Can pta		Χ		
CulverCity X X Diam ord Bar X	·		Χ		
Diam ord Bar X	Cudah y		Χ		
	CulverCity	Х	Χ		
D CWI ()	Diam ond Bar				Х
(DOWIE)	Down ey		Х		
Duarte X			Х		
EIM on te X					
El Segun dc X					
Footh ill Tran sit serves m em bercities of	<u>v</u>				
A madia			.,		
A zu sa X		X	Х		
Baldwin Park					

	M un icipal	L cocal Return	General DialaRide	Special Purpose Dial a Ride
M em bercities served by Footh ill Tran sit continued	un ioipui	11014111	D lai a itiao	Dia a mas
Bradbury				
Clarem on t				
Corina				
Diam ond Bar				
Duarte				
G len dora				
In dustry				
Irw in dale				
La Ruen te				
La Vem e				
M on roy ia				
Pasaden a				
Pon ora				
San Dim as				
South EIM on te				
Tem ple City				
Walnut				
West Covin a				
Garden a	Х	Х		
G len dale	^	X		
G len dora		X		
Hawaiian Gardens		X	Х	
Hawthone		X	Λ	
Herm Osa Beach		X		
Huntington Park		X		
In glew cood		X		
La Cañada Flin tridge		X	Χ	X
La Habra Heights		,,	X	X
La Mirada			7.	X
La Ruen te		Χ	Х	
La Vem e			Χ	
Lakew cood			Χ	
Lawn dale		Χ		
Lom ita				Х
Lang Beach	Х	Х		
L Os An geles	Χ	Х		
Los An geles County		Χ		
L yı w cool		Χ		
Manhattan Beach		Χ		
M alibu		Χ		
M ayw cood		Χ		
M or rovia		Χ		

	M un icipal	L cocal Return	General DialaRide	Special Purpose Dial a Ride
M at tebello	X	X	שואו מ ולוטכ	Diai a Nide
M at tereyPark		X		
N owalk	Х	X		
Palos Verdes Estates		Х		
Param ount		Х		
Pasaden a		Χ		
PicoRivera		Х		
Pon ora		Χ		
Redoi do Beach		Χ		
Rolling Hills Estates		Х		
Rosem ead		Χ		
San Dim as			Χ	
San Fernando		Χ		
San Gabriel			Х	
San Marin c				Χ
San ta Clarita ValleyTran sit (SCVT) serves				
San ta Clarita	X	Χ		
Portions of Unincorporated Los Angeles	^	Λ		
Coun ty				
San ta Fe Springs		Χ		
San ta M on ica	Х	Χ		
Sierra M adre		Χ		
Sign al H ill		Χ		X
South EIM on te			Χ	
South Gate		Χ		
South Pasaden a		Χ		Х
Tem ple City			Х	X
Torran ce	X	Χ		
Walnut				X
West Covin a		Χ		
West Hollywood		Χ		
W estlak e Village		Χ		
Whittier		Χ		
Total	13	69		

M any of the Local Return system's listed above donot provide fixed route service but in stead provide Dem and Response services: Hawthorne, Malibu, and Manhattan Beach are examples.



Transit Service Policy

December 2022





TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION, PURPOSE & BACKGROUND
SECTION 2: DESIGNING A WORLD CLASS BUS SYSTEM
SECTION 3: SERVICE DESIGN GUIDELINES
3.1 Service Design Concepts
3.2 Service Standards 27
3.3 Metro Bus Routing Guidelines
3.4 Vehicle Assignment37
3.5 Charter Service
3.6 Special Event Service 40
3.7 Service Transfer Guideline 40
3.8 Alternative Service Delivery Options
SECTION 1: CUSTOMER INFORMATION AND AMENITIES4
4.1 Customer Information 43
4.2 Customer Amenities 44
4.3 Rail Stations and Major Off-Street Bus Facilities
4.4 Bus Stop Amenities 47
4.5 Bus Stop/Station Location, Design and Guidelines
SECTION 5: SERVICE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
5.1 Route Performance Index
5.2 Customer Experience
5.3 Service Evaluation Process 60

SECTION 6: SERVICE CHANGE PROCESS	61
6.1 Service Change Programs	63
6.2 Title VI and Metro's Equity Platform	 64
6.3 Title VI Equity Analysis	 66
6.4 Public Outreach	 71
6.5 Public Hearing Process	7 3
6.6 Implementing Minor Changes on an Interim Basis	 74
APPENDICES	75
APPENDIX A: Metro Line Identification	 76
APPENDIX B: Los Angeles County Local Fixed and Demand Response Route Operators	
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	7
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION, PURPOSE & BACKGROUND	10
SECTION 2: DESIGNING A WORLD CLASS BUS SYSTEM	19
SECTION 3: SERVICE DESIGN GUIDELINES	23
3.1 Service Design Concepts	<u></u> 23
3.2 Service Standards	<u></u> 27
3.3 Metro Bus Routing Guidelines	32
3.4 Vehicle Assignment	37
3.5 Charter Service	39
3.6 Special Event Service	<u></u> 40
3.7 Service Transfer Guideline	<u></u> 40
3.8 Alternative Service Delivery Options	<u></u> 41
SECTION 4: CUSTOMER INFORMATION AND AMENITIES	43

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

4.1 Customer Information	
4.2 Customer Amenities 44	
4.3 Rail Stations and Bus/Multi-Modal Transit Center-Facilities	
4.4 Bus Stop Amenities47	
4.5 Bus Stop/Station Location, Design and Guidelines	
SECTION 5: SERVICE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	<u>.</u> 57
5.1 Route Performance Index	
5.2 Customer Experience	
5.3 Service Evaluation Process 60	
SECTION 6: SERVICE CHANGE PROCESS	<u>.</u> 61
6.1 Service Change Programs 63	
6.2 Title VI Equity Analysis	
6.3 Metro's Equity Platform 69	
6.4 Public Outreach71	
6.5 Public Hearing Process73	
6.6 Implementing Minor Changes on an Interim Basis	
APPENDICES	_7\$
APPENDIX A: Metro Line Identification	
APPENDIX B: Los Angeles County Local Fixed and Demand Response	
Route Transit Operators	

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION, PURPOSE & BACKGROUND

Table 1.1						
Table 1.2			* •	ıres 		6
Table 1.2	Service Type					7
Figure 1.1	Bus Bulb					9
	SERVICE DESI					
Table 3.1	Minimum Ne	extGen	Rus Frequency	by Service Tv	ne	19
Table 3.2						
	_	- 				
	- Maximum3	Minir	num	Headway	by	Service
Table 3. <mark>34</mark>	Passenger Lo	ading S				17
Table 3.4	Maximum A	/g. 5	Target	Aver	age	Stop/Station
Spacing				8 23		• •
Table 3.6	Target Stand	ard for	On-Time Perfo	rmance		24
Figure 3.1	40-foot			bus 2027		turning
Figure 3.2	45-foot			bus		turning
Figure 3.3	Articulated		60-foot	bus	turning	radius
SECTION 4:	CUSTOMER	INFOR	MATION AND	AMENITIES		
Table 4.1	Passenger Cu		•	Inforn		and
Arrieriiues						<u></u>
Table 4.2	Comparative		Analysis <u>3341</u>	of	Bus	Stop
Figure 4.1 Attributes			Standard		Bus	Stop/Zone
Figure 4.2	Typical			Near-Side 36 43		Bus
Figure 4.3				Far-Side		Bus

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

	Typical		Mid-Block 3845	Bus
SECTION 6:	SERVICE CHANG	E PROCESS		
Table 6.1			Service	Change
i irrieiirie	51	•••••		
	Timeline	for <u>5158</u>	Public	Notification
Figure 6.1	Metro		Service 43Regions	Council
	50			
Figure 6.2	Service		4 6 53	Change

This page intentiona	المحاط الأعال		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) serves as transportation planner-and, coordinator, designer, builder and operator for Los Angeles County. More than 8.610.3 million people live, work, and play within its 1,469-square-mile service area. 1

Metro's Transit Service Policy (TSP) establishes criteria and guidelines to ensure that the transit system is developed and managed consistent with policy guidance approved by the Metro Board of Directors, including a formal process for evaluating services, service design guidelines, and a process for implementing service changes.

In 2018, the Board adopted Metro Vision 2028 as the agency's strategic plan. The planPlan outlines five goals to guide the development of transportation in LA County. These goals will help Metro must ensure that: our customers feel safe when riding, that they do so in clean equipment, service is reliable and on-time, and our staff provides service in a courteous manner.

- Goal 1: Provide high-quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling
- Goal 2: Deliver outstanding trip experiences for all users of the transportation system
- Goal 3: Enhance communities and lives through mobility and access to opportunity
- Goal 4: Transform LA County through regional collaboration and national leadership
- Goal 5: Provide responsive, accountable, and trustworthy governance within the Metro organization

Also in 2018, Metro began the NextGen Bus Study to review and update the Metro bus system to ensure it provides a competitive transit service to meet the travel needs of LA County residents and visitors. The NextGen Bus Study included a comprehensive look at both Metro bus service performance and the overall travel market in LA County to determine where Metro bus service could be more useful.. The study included significant input from riders and stakeholders to help develop a framework of guiding principles for positioning Metro's bus services to be more competitive in the overall travel market and to serve Equity Focus Communities most effectively, where the need for high quality transit is greatest.

In early 2020, the Metro Board approved the release of a draft NextGen Bus Plan for public review. Significant public input gathered in the first half of 2020 resulted in a revised draft NextGen Bus Plan being released ahead of public hearings, Service Council approvals, and Board adoption of this plan in October 2020. Phased implementation of the NextGen Bus Plan occurred beginning in December 2020, with additional phases in June and September/December-2021. Key elements of the NextGen Bus Plan, including a set of

¹-FY19 National Transit Database- Represents all people living in the Census Tracts covered by Metro's service area per the 2020 Census Data. Service area is calculated from taking 0.75 mile buffer around all Metro bus line and rail stations.

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

frequency tiers and bus speed and reliability tools, are reflected in this update of the Transit Service Policy.

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

Metro's Transit Service Policy (TSP) establishes criteria and guidelines to ensure that the transit system is developed and managed consistent with policy guidance approved by the Metro Board of Directors, including a formal process for evaluating services, service design guidelines, and a process for implementing service changes.

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION, PURPOSE & BACKGROUND

Metro first adopted a Transit Service Policy (TSP) in 1986. The TSP is reviewed on at least a triannual basis and updated as needed to better reflect agency goals and objectives, major initiatives, and changes in local, state, and federal regulations and funding. It is a required component of Metro's Title VI Plan. This document updates the most recent version adopted by the Board as part of the NextGen Bus Plan adoption in October 2020². This document sets forth the policies, principles, and service guidelines that are used by Metro staff in the design or modification of the bus network to better serve customers and make more beneficial use of available operating resources. The TSP outlines the service change process that provides the quantitative tools to evaluate the system, identifies the process required to seek public input on and approvals for major service changes to the system, and ensures the regional transit system is adjusted according to the service goals and objectives approved by the Metro Board.

Metro operates a comprehensive bus and rail network that complements Metro RailMetrolink regional rail and municipal operator services—across LA County. Determining the most appropriate transit service inon a corridor depends on several factors such as level of demand, resource availability, site orand corridor characteristics, environmental considerations, and community acceptance. The characteristics that determine which type of service is most appropriate are summarized in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Service Type Determination

Service Type	Corridor		Optimal Characteristics
Heavy Rail (Subway)	Operate 100% within an exclusive right of way.	th -/	2,500 boardings per route mile or more an 50,000 boardings per day. Ability to construct a fully grade parated facility.
Light Rail	Operate in mixed flow traffic or an exclusive right of way.	th -/	,000 boardings per route mile or more an 25,000 boardings per day. Ability to construct a guideway within or ljacent to the corridor.
Commuter Routes	Operate in mixed flow traffic in along either an HOV or HOT Lane and may operate a segment of their route on local streets.	20	00 or more boardings during peak hour and in peak direction of travel.

² boardagendas.metro.net/board-report/2020-0617/

³Capacity limits adapted from TCRP, Research Results Digest, November 1999 — Number 35, Highlight of Large Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual, Figure 1 Achievable Capacity (Peak direction passengers/hour)

BRT and Rapid	Operated using 40', 45' or 60'	-300 or more boardings during peak-
•	buses.	hour and in peak direction of travel.
	-Metro G Line (Orange) (BRT)	-Daily average of more than 500
	operates on a fixed guideway.	boardings per route mile or more than
	-Metro Rapid and Hybrid Lines	10,000 daily boardings.
	operate in exclusive bus lanes or	Ability to implement operating speed
	mixed flow traffic on local streets	improvements in the corridor.
	with signal priority.	
Core, Convenience,	Operate in mixed flow traffic on	The median bus route carries about
Connectivity and	local streets by 32', 40', 45', or 60'	4,500 daily boardings.
Community Routes	buses.	-Core and Convenience services are
		expected to carry more than the daily
		median, while Connectivity and
		Community are anticipated to carry less.

Metro Bus

As of December 2021, Metro currently operates 165119 bus routes, of which 18. Metro's bus operations consist of directly operated and contract operated services: 103 routes are contracted out.directly operated by Metro, and 16 routes are operated by contractors. Metro serves nearly 14,000over 12,200 bus stops, including station stops on the G Line (Orange) and J Line (Silver) BRT systems. On weekdays, Metro operates a fleet of over 2,3001,600 buses. Metro's bus operations consist of both directly operated and contract operated services. during peak service hours. Metro operates the largest shareportion of all bus services provided in the region. Municipal and Local Return operators provide additional public bus and paratransit services in areas of the region where Metro provides limited service or no service at allor no service. Metro relies on Access Services for provision of ADA paratransit service in the Metro service area.

As developed in the NextGen Bus Study, Metro classifies its bus services into tiers stratified by the frequency of service. The tiers are assigned to individual routes in accordance with demand and propensity for future growth. Table 1.2 describes the features of each of Metro's bus service types. Tier definitions are:

- Core (Tier 1): weekday all day headways of 7.510 minutes or better
- Convenience (Tier 2): 7.512 to 1015 minutes
- Connectivity (Tier 3): 1020 to 1530 minutes
- Community (Tier 4): 1540 to 30+60 minutes
- Commuter (Tier 5): Varies by Line

Table 1.1 Service Type Determination⁴

|--|

⁴Capacity limits adapted from TCRP, Research Results Digest, November 1999—Number 35, Highlight of Large Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual, Figure 1 Achievable Capacity (Peak direction passengers/hour)

Heavy Rail (Subway) Light Rail Commuter Routes	Operate 100% within an exclusive right of way. Operate in mixed flow traffic, semi-exclusive or a fully- exclusive right of way. Operate in mixed-flow traffic in	 2,500 boardings per route mile or more than 50,000 boardings per day. Ability to construct a fully gradeseparated facility. 1,000 boardings per route mile or more than 25,000 boardings per day. Ability to construct a guideway within or adjacent to the corridor. 300 or more boardings during
(Tier 5)	either a High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) or High Occupancy Toll (HOT) Lane. May operate segments of the route on local streets. Operated using 40', 45', or 60' buses.	peak-hour and in peak direction of travel.
Metro Liner and Metro Rapid	Operated using 40', 45' or 60' buses. Metro G Line BRT and J Line (Metro Liner) operate entirely or partially on a fixed guideway dedicated to transit buses. Metro Rapid Lines operate in exclusive peak period or all day bus lanes or mixed flow traffic on local streets with signal priority.	 300 or more boardings during peak-hour and in peak direction of travel. Daily average of more than 500 boardings per route mile or more than 10,000 daily boardings. Ability to implement operating speed improvements in the corridor.
Core (Tier 1), Convenience (Tier 2), Connectivity (Tier 3), and Community (Tier 4) Local Routes	Operate in mixed flow traffic on local streets by 32', 40', 45', or 60' buses. Core lines to be supported by exclusive peak period or all day bus lanes and signal priority on existing and former Metro Rapid corridors. Lines are also defined in terms of the frequency of service offered, with Core lines being the most frequent and Community lines having a minimum frequency of at least hourly, with all tiers intended to run all days of the week.	 The median bus route carries about 4,500 average weekday boardings (pre-COVID, 2019). Core and Convenience services are expected to carry more than the daily median, while Connectivity and Community are anticipated to carry less.

These frequency tiers are especially important to ensure high frequency service is provided on key corridors serving Equity Focus Communities⁵ where the need for high-quality transit is greatest.

 $^{^{5}}$ In 2018, Metro's Board adopted the Equity Platform, a framework that guides how the agency works to address

 Table 1.2
 Metro Bus Service Types and Features

I ADIC 1.2	Table 1.2 Metro bus Service Types and Features							
	Bus Service Type							
Feature	BRT and Liner	Rapid	Commuter (Tier 5)	Core, (Tier 1), Convenience, (Tier 2), Connectivity, (Tier 3), Community (Tier 4) Local Bus Services				
Right of Way	Dedicated Segrega ted right-of-way	Major arterials; peak hour or all- day bus lanes	Major arterials and freeways.	Major arterials and local streets; peak hour or all-day bus lanes for Core Tier 1 lines, with bus bulbs as alternative to bus lanes for Tier 1 and 2 lines				
MinimumTarge <u>t</u> Average Stop Spacing	1.25 miles	0.75 mile	1.25 miles	0. 2 0.30 25 mile				
Target Travel Market	Inter-community, regional	Inter-community	Inter-community, regional	Inter-community, neighborhood				
Vehicle Type	40/45/60-foot buses	40/45/60-foot buses	40 <u>/45/60</u> -foot bus buses	32/40/45/60-foot buses				
Communities Served	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple				
Signal Priority	Yes	Yes	No	Yes for Core and Convenience (Tiers 1 and 2)				
Fare Collection	On board J Line (Silver) +Off-board pre- pay G Line (Orange)	On Boardboard	On Board board	On BoardOn board, with all-door boarding a goal for Core and Convenience (Tier 1 and 2)				
Passenger Amenities	Shelters and stations	Shelters and stations	Shelters and stations	Benches and shelters				
Real-time Passenger Info	Yes	Yes	Yes	At some stops and via smart phone applications				

Note: Proposed stop spacing standards provide for the average stop spacing in miles by type of service and spacing should fall within 0.1 mile of the specified average at least 90% of the time.

inequities and create more equitable access to opportunity. In 2019, under the Equity Platform, the Board adopted a community designation called Equity Focus Communities (EFCs) to help identify where transportation needs are greatest. The definition of EFCs, as of 2022, consists of areas where there are higher concentrations of resident and household demographics associated with mobility barriers (low-income households earning less than \$60,000 per year; Black, Indigenous, or People of Color (BIPOC) populations; and households that do not have a car).

Metro Bus Rapid Liner Transit (BRT)

To support BRT, Metro incorporates a series of design features to reduce delays, increase reliability and improve customer comfort. Metro operates two high capacity vehicle types: 45-foot buses with 46 seats and articulated 60 foot buses with 57 seats. Ideally, high-capacity vehicles should primarily be operated on high-volume trunk service routes with more than 10,000 total daily boardings. Metro BRT services operate on an exclusive right of way, major arterials, or in HOV/HOT lanes.

Metro operates two such routes: the Metro Liner transit provides regional, high-speed line haul service in high-volume corridors. These lines are designed to operate like rail service, complete with separated right-of-way, wide stop spacing, bus stations, pre-paid and/or all door boarding, real time customer information, and transit signal priority. Currently, Metro operates two Metro Liner services:

- G Line (Orange) which operates on its own semi-exclusive right-of-way, and the meets the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) definition of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)
- J Line (Silver) which operates on the I-10 and I-110 ExpressLanes (freeway toll lanes) as well as surface streets through downtown. These are considered Tier 1 services. BRT services charge a premium fare Los Angeles, so it does not fully meet the FTA definition of BRT. J Line charges a premium fare (coordinated with Foothill Transit service fares on same corridor) since it operates on the freeway.
- Dedicated Bus Lanes: A bus lane is an exclusive lane used by transit on urban streets along a roadway through widening or dedication of one or more existing general traffic or parking lanes for transit use. These lanes can be designated for transit use during peak periods only or all day. Bus lanes typically allow use by general traffic for right turn movements, bicycles, parking, and local access to and from driveway, and are most effective in those areas where there are very high bus or customer volumes and where operational efficiencies can be achieved. Bus lanes should be a minimum of 17 feet wide. This right of way provides fewer traffic conflicts and obstructions and reduces delays and travel time. Metro is currently studying the feasibility of adding bus lanes on several major corridors to further improve travel times.
- High-Capacity Vehicles: State of the art high capacity vehicles are used to meet high demand and provide greater customer comfort.

Attributes supporting the Metro Liner services and other Metro bus services as part of the NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability focus are:

- Separated Bus Lanes: There are three types of segregated bus lanes that Metro Liner service can use:
 - Fully segregated transit bus right-of-way: segregated bus lanes reserved exclusively for transit service on a full-time basis such as the right-of-way built for the G Line (Orange) or the I-10 transitway for the J Line (Silver) other transit services. These lanes can either be spaced apart from streets and freeways or be physically separated with either physical barriers or painted lines.

- Exclusive bus lanes operating on existing arterial roads and local streets on a part-time basis (e.g. peak period weekday, daytime weekday, etc.). These lanes are also being implemented to support the NextGen Core (Tier 1) Local bus lines and Metro Rapid lines.
- HOV travel lanes reserved not only for transit but also for high occupancy vehicles and sometimes vehicles paying a toll. Separation is achieved with either physical barriers or painted lines. J Line (Silver) and Metro Commuter (Tier 5) services use this third type of lane on parts of the I-10 and I-110 freeways.




Figure 1.1 Bus bulb

Bus Bulb Outs: On NextGen Core (Tier 1) and Convenience (Tier 2) corridors where dedicated bus lanes are unable to be accommodated due to the need to maintain traffic and parking capacity, or where the frequency of service (less than 7.5 minute headway) does not warrant dedicated lanes, bus bulb-outs can support transit service by minimizing stop delay. Bulb-outs are extensions of the bus zone, typically across the first parking lane, that enable buses to serve the bus stop from the second traffic lane. This reduces delays for buses merging in and out of traffic and creates additional space for transit stop amenities. Figure 1.1 provides an illustratration.⁶

Transit-Signal Priority: An operational This key NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability strategy that facilitates the movements of in-service transit vehicles through signalized intersections to improve transit performance by extending the green phase or shortening the red phase of traffic signals. (advancing the green phase) of traffic signals when a transit bus is detected at an intersection. This technology already exists on former and existing Metro Rapid corridors in City of LA, selected other cities, and LA County unincorporated areas, or is being added to NextGen Core (Tier 1) and Convenience (Tier 2) routes. Metro is working with LADOT to adapt LADOT's existing Transit Signal Priority system to better

⁶ Illustration from National Association of City Transportation Officials Urban Design Guide: nacto.org/publication/urban-street-design-guide/street-design-elements/curb-extensions/bus-bulbs/

serve Metro's NextGen service model. The work underway will adapt LADOT's system to provide signal priority to all Metro buses. Certain constraints of the old system such as only serving buses that arrived late and requiring individual buses to be associated with a single corridor will also be removed. This project will provide improved signal priority operation for all Metro buses operating on equipped corridors. Work on this project is anticipated to be complete by fall 2022.

- Bus Stations Headway-Based Service Management: Operating the most frequent and Shelters highest usage bus lines on a system based on managing headways (or intervals) between trips rather than operating based on timepoints to regulate service offers the chance to keep service moving while minimizing wait times and travel times for riders. This approach will be piloted as part of the NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability initiatives using a mix of staff- and technology-based line management techniques.
- Bus Transit Centers and Stop Amenities: Stations and shelters provide customers with enhanced comfort and safety. As part of the NextGen Bus Plan, Metro will continue to work with municipalities to maximize the number of bus stops with seating and shelter, as this function is led by municipalities. An emphasis will be made on allocating many of these amenities to Equity Focus Communities where the need for high quality transit is greatest.
- Streetscape: Streetscape and other design features such as landscaping, pedestrian
 count downcountdown signals, bicycle racks, and well-designed crosswalks make it easier
 for pedestrians and bicyclists to access the stations.
- Improved-Fare Collection Amenities: For convenience and faster service and convenience, major stations have ticket vending machines (TVMs) which allow customer scustomers to preload their TAP cards. For the G Line (Orange), all fare collection is completed at the stations and the fleet does not have on-board fare boxes. The J Line (Silver) has TAP validators at both the front and back doors to facilitate all-door boarding to speed up boarding and reduce rider travel times. Metro Rapid Lines 720 (Wilshire) and 754 (Vermont) operate on two of Metro's busiest bus service corridors and have also piloted this option. All-door boarding will be extended to all Core (Tier 1) and Convenience (Tier 2) lines by mid-decade to help reduce travel times for most riders.
- Park & Ride Facilities: Provided in close proximity to major stops and stations. Adjacent development and joint use parking are encouraged.
- Advanced Transportation Management Systems: ATMS provide an array of technologies
 to improve service reliability and customer travelexperience including on-board stop
 announcements.

Articulated Buses

The G Line (Orange) operates with a dedicated fleet of 60' higher capacity articulated buses. The advantage of theirthe deployment of articulated buses is the opportunity to reduce vehicle requirements and service hours while maintaining high ridership capacity; however, deployment should not increase service intervals to the point where service quality is degraded. For this reason, bus lines with a peak headway of five minutes or less better are ideal candidates

for this type of vehiclebus. In evaluating services for higher capacity vehicles articulated buses, other factors must be considered including facility compatibility, street design, and potential impacts operational factors such as buses that operate on a mix of lines during their operating day. The deployment of articulated buses must also be coordinated with the efforts to services where schedules have been interlined convert the Metro fleet to fully zero-emission buses.

Metro Rail

As of May 2022, Metro operates two heavy rail and four light rail lines serving a total of 96 stations across approximately 101 route miles, with a fleet of 406102 heavy rail and 293 light rail cars.

Metro Rail operates in heavily congested, high-demand travel corridors and provides connections to key multi-modal transportation hubs. Metro operates two types of rail service to better match the transit mode with specific customer demand and needs. Metro Railheavy rail is high-capacity, two line rapid transit services operating along a dedicated subway right-of-way, serving full-scale transit stations, and powered by electricity. In some of the most densely populated areas of LA County. Metro's existing light rail system consists of four lines with segments of mixed flow, street running, or grade separated right of way, with full-scale transit stations. The rail system supports a critical public transportation asset in the greater Los Angeles region, linking many key multi-modal transportation centers and destinations together.

Rail service operates in high-demand travel corridors and is offered in two forms—heavy rail and light rail. Metro's heavy rail is the subway system served by the B and D Lines (Red, Purple) powered by a third rail- and operated with 4- or 6-car train sets. Metro's four light rail lines — A (Blue), C (Green), E Line (Expo), and L Line (Gold) and E (Expo)—are powered by overhead catenary wires, generally use shorter trains2- or 3-car train sets, and operate at slower speeds than heavy rail. Unlike heavy rail, light rail lines run along a right of way ranging from complete grade separation to at grade in mixed flow traffic.

Transit Service Policy (TSP)

The TSP was originally adopted in 1986 and is reviewed on an annual basis. This document set forth the policies, principles, and service guidelines that are used by Metro staff in the design or modification of the bus network to better serve customers and make more beneficial use of available operating resources. This document outlines the service change process that provide the quantitative tools to evaluate the system, identifies opportunities for service improvements and ensures the regional transit system is adjusted according to the service goals and objective approved by the Metro Board.

The TSP is updated as needed to better reflect agency goals and objectives, major initiatives, and changes in local, state, and federal regulations and funding.

This document updates the most recent version adopted by the Board in FY2016.

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

The first segment of the new 8.5 mile, 8-station Crenshaw/LAX K Line is expected to open in late 2022. A ninth new station, the Airport Metro Connector (AMC) Station, should open by the end of 2024. The new 1.9 mile Regional Connector light rail alignment through downtown LA will also open around the same time as the K Line, which will see the L Line (Gold) rail line realigned into the A Line (Blue) and E Line (Expo) services, creating direct links from Long Beach to Azusa (A Line) and Santa Monica to East LA (E Line). This alignment includes two new stations and one replacement station.

SECTION 2: DESIGNING A WORLD CLASS BUS SYSTEM

In addition to the <u>Vision 2028</u> strategic plan, the Board adopted Motion 38.1 (June 2018), endorsing travel speed, service frequency, and system reliability as the highest priority service design objectives for the NextGen Bus Study. Finally, regardless of the level of resources expended on the bus network, optimizing system performance should always be an objective in network design to maximize benefit to the public from available resources.

These goals and objectives drivedrove the development of the NextGen ServiceBus Plan, including guiding principles for routing, stop spacing, frequency, span of service, and coordination with municipal operators. In addition, a A set of performance measures have been are defined below to ensure the bus network continues to evolve consistent with the goals and objectives defined by the Board.

intent of NextGen Serviceto create a competitive bus service for LA County.

NextGen Bus Plan

Metro Vision 2028 envisions building a World Class Transportation System in which a World Class Bus System is a cornerstone to its success. Building a World Class Bus System requires improving the attractiveness and competitiveness of the bus network. Attractiveness includes addressing issues such as safety and security, cleanliness, comfort, real—time arrival information, easy fare payment, wayfinding and signage, and first/last mile access. Competitiveness requires developing a bus network that minimizes the overall travel time to complete a trip compared to the driving alternative. This travel time considers directness of route, access to and from the bus stop, waiting time, and onboard travel time.

As mentioned in the Executive Summary, NextGen's primary purpose iswas to improve the competitiveness of the bus network. However, through this process, improvements to certain aspects of attractiveness can also be achieved. The following outlines athe strategy for howof the NextGen will setBus Plan's design as the foundation for building a fast, frequent, and reliable World Class Bus System.

Step 1: Reconnect Scenario: Metro currently provides roughly 7 million revenue service hours (RSH) of bus service per year. The first step in creating a World Class Bus System is to redesign the routes and schedules to attract trips where and when there is the greatest market potential. The lessons learned in Phase 1 of the bus study present a path forward for reinventing

the bus network through restructuring the bus lines consistent with service usage and travel patterns using the following guiding principles identified in the NextGen Bus Study:

- 85% of LA County residents have used transit at least once in the past year, THERFORE, we should attempt the NextGen Bus Plan attempts to maintain coverage throughout the County by minimizing discontinued segments.
- Fast/Frequent/reliable service is key; THEREFORE, we needthe NextGen Bus Plan is designed to create a competitive transit network that reduces overall travel time by optimizing all components of the trip, including walking, waiting, and riding.
- Metro's <u>currentpre-NextGen bus</u> system <u>iswas</u> not always competitive to get people where they want to go, THEREFORE <u>routing should be NextGen Bus Plan has</u> adjusted <u>routing</u> to reflect the key origins and destinations identified in <u>the</u>-cell phone location data-<u>and</u> <u>ridership patterns.</u>
- The greatest opportunity to grow ridership is between midday & evening when many trips are short distance, THEREFORE service levels should be under the NextGen Bus Plan have been improved for off-peak periods, especially midday, evenings weekday and weekends, with more improvements planned, especially for evenings. New overnight Owl services have been added or are planned.
- Need to integrate Metro's Equity Framework into the planning process, THEREFORE
 <u>the NextGen Bus Plan</u> service improvements should be prioritized for prioritize equity <u>focused</u> focus areas where the need for high-quality transit service is greatest.

These lessons learned to "reconnect" routes and schedules with where and when people travel today were incorporated into the Plan's Service Design Guidelines outlined in Section 3 to develop the NextGen "reconnect" routes and schedules with where and when people travel today as the NextGen Bus Plan Reconnect service plan.scenario implemented across the December 2020, June 2021, and September/December 2021 service change cycles. Reconnect iswas estimated to increase ridership by 5% with no additional increase in revenue service hours. It will also help Metro recover from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on ridership.

Step 2: Transit First Scenario: Once the Building upon the Reconnect scenario of NextGen Bus Plan that provides a bus network is reestablished to reflect that better reflects the travel patterns of today, the next step in building a World Class Bus System is to: 1) invest in speed and reliability infrastructure, 2) create safe and comfortable waiting environments, 3) improve the boarding and riding experience, and 4) establish facilities to optimize layovers. These capital improvements create a more competitive and attractive bus network while saving resources to be reinvested into more frequent service.

Speed and Reliability Improvements – As bus system speeds continued to decline over the last decade, Metro musthas had to allocate an additional \$10 million cumulatively every yearon an annual basis to provide the same amount of service. Not only does this reduce the opportunity to increase service, it degrades ourthe competitiveness and attractiveness of bus service and is not sustainable. Therefore, investing to improve the speed and reliability of the bus system is critical to the success

of NextGen. Some improvements can be implemented within METRO's Metro's control, such as optimizing stop spacing, implementing all—door boarding, and piloting headway-based service management. However, other improvements can only be implemented through collaboration with local jurisdictions, includingsuch as transit priorities, signal priority system upgrades and expansion, new bus bulb—outs, and bus—only or bus priority lanes. Under the NextGen Transit First scenario, \$750 million ina major 5-year program of capital improvements are proposedwas approved to support speed and reliability improvements for the regional bus network. This investment is anticipated to save 25% 34% in system speed if fully implemented, and to allow for more frequent service to be delivered without adding additional operating costs. New bus lanes have already been rolled out in 2020 and 2021 on 5th and 6th Sts, Grand Av, Olive St, and Aliso St in downtown LA, and on Alvarado St between 7th St and the 101 freeway. These are just the beginning of a program to add over 80 miles of dedicated bus lanes through partnerships with City of LA and other municipalities.

- Customer Wait Environment Through the significant public outreach conducted in Phase 1 of the NextGen Bus Study, as well as other Metro effortsinitiatives such as the How Women Travel Study², we learned that an uncomfortable and unsecured wait environment is a significant barrier for customers in using the bus network. This is particularly concerning for women who account for over half of our customers and often travel with young children. Metro completed the TransferMetro Transfers Design GuidelineGuide in March 2018⁸. Under the Transit First scenario, we planthe NextGen Bus Plan is intended to begin implementing the recommendations from this policy document at our busiest wait and transfer locations. This investment is anticipated to cost \$150 million and address several of the safety and comfort issues identified inthrough the NextGen outreach and the How Women Travel Study. Implementation will be completed in partnership with local authorities responsible for the provision of bus stop amenities throughout the Metro transit network.
- Boarding and Riding Experience Metro has implemented All Door Boarding on several lines, including all-door boarding on the G Line (Orange), J Line (Silver), Lineand Rapid Lines 720 (Wilshire), and Line-754 (Vermont). Experience on the J Line (Silver) showed that dwell times were reduced by up to 15% on average, on-time performance improved, and cash payment declined with more TAP penetration, and significant customer and operator satisfaction. Surveys confirmed that both customers and operators were significantly satisfied with the implementation of all-door boarding. In early 2022, the Metro Board approved the purchase of rear door validators and other equipment to allow for implementation of all-door boarding across the higher frequency Core and Convenience (Tiers 1 and 2) local bus lines. Other strategies to improve the boarding and on boardriding experience include level boarding at key stops and have focused on improved on boardreal-time information. These improvements are estimated at \$100 million systemwide, accuracy.

⁷ libraryarchives.metro.net/DB_Attachments/2019-

^{0294/}UnderstandingHowWomenTravel_FullReport_FINAL.pdf

⁸ dropbox.com/s/iv6ruaxdw5g945b/Metro_Transfers_Design_Guide_2018-0312.pdf?dl=0

Layover Optimization – Due to limited curb space, many routes are extended purely to access a <u>suitable</u> layover location. These <u>unnecessary</u> route extensions <u>are not required for riders and cost several million dollars in operating <u>eostcosts</u> per year <u>with little to no benefit to the customers</u>. By investing in off-street layover terminals to optimize layover locations, <u>weMetro</u> can reallocate wasted resources <u>and reallocate it</u> to more productive <u>useuses</u>. In addition, these locations <u>wouldcan</u> provide facilities for better regional mobility coordination, <u>a</u>-better wait and rest <u>environmentenvironments</u> for customers and operators, <u>improveimproved</u> bus service reliability, and opportunities for new en-route Zero <u>Emissions Emission</u> Bus (ZEB) charging infrastructure.</u>

This <u>estimated</u> \$1 billion capital program, <u>planned for implementation over a five-year period</u>, is expected to achieve resource savings by generating more revenue service miles/trips <u>withinwith</u> the same <u>number of</u> revenue service hours. These savings would be reinvested into Transit First service improvements, including:

- Ensure Ensuring that all bus lines operate seven days per week;
- Ensure no wider than 30 minute headways on any line between 6:00 am and 7:00 pm;
- Expand owl (overnight) service on an additional eight lines;
- Increase Increased weekday midday and evening service levels;
- Increase weekday evening Increased weekend service levels- and;
- Expanded owl (overnight) service.

Investing "one time" capital dollars into transit supportive infrastructure wouldwill increase the attractiveness and competitiveness of the bus network, while freeing resources to reinvest into service enhancements. Under the Transit First scenario, these benefits are expected to generate a 15-20% increase in ridership (10-15% over and above what Reconnect can achieve) without additional increases in revenue service hours.

Step 3: Future Funding Scenario: Should future funding be secured through efforts such as decongestion pricing, additional resources can be added to the NextGen Transit First network. However, without disincentives for driving, there will be diminishing returns on benefits since most customers would already have been served within the Transit First Scenario. Therefore, a 34% increase in revenue service hours to provide even more frequent service, as planned under a Future Funding Scenario, would only be expected to yield a 10% increase in ridership over Transit First.

SECTION 3: SERVICE DESIGN GUIDELINES

Key Principles of Network Design

Three key elements <u>arewere</u> taken into consideration during the <u>Network Development</u> <u>ProcessNextGen Bus Study and NextGen Bus Plan</u> to identify when and where transit can be competitive and successful.

- Transit Propensity Areas where the propensity to use transit is the greatest embody three main characteristics. First: first, there is a significantly large population of transit market segments, including people who rely on transit (especially those identified in Metro's Equity Focus Communities) for most of their travel, such as commuters and, students who use transit for work and school trips, and discretionary customers who choose transit for some or all their trips. Second, The second characteristic is the intensity of travel demand to and from areas based on population and employment densities, retail and entertainment, colleges and universities, and other trip generators. AThird, a pedestrian oriented street environment is also critical, including that includes safe and well lighted pathways, sidewalks and curb-cuts, grid street network, and level topography is critical.
- Existing Service Performance It is important to identify the most productive segments
 of the existing bus network which articulatesarticulate current transit demand. These
 corridors and routes should behave been optimized through the network development
 process NextGen Bus Plan, and lessons learned shouldwill be applied to other areas with
 similar demand and service characteristics.
- Service Environment A transit-oriented service environment is also critical to the success of transit, includingnot just to facilitate fast, frequent, and reliable transit operations, but also to support to the ability of transit to thrive as a viable option. The importance of environmental elements such as pedestrian orientation of the streets and land use, barriers to other modes such as limited and costly parking supply, and transit supportive infrastructure includingsuch as bus—only lanes and other transit priorities, prioritization design are critical. The NextGen Bus Speed and Reliability program is working to address this key element.

Once these key elements are taken into consideration in the Network Development

ProcessNextGen Bus Plan's focus on fast, frequent, and reliable service, this transit
orientation can then be translated into design considerations, including elements explained in
the following sub-sections.

3.1 Service Design Concepts

Service design concepts, were developed as part of the NextGen Bus Study, are guidelinesestablished and incorporated into the NextGen Bus Plan based on the feedback received through the study's stakeholder and public outreach sessions and established as guidelines. Network characteristics most important to the public include:

Faster service

- More reliable service
- Frequent service throughout the day
- Better network connectivity

- Accessibility to key destinations
- Improved security

Based on these service—themes, the following service design concepts will guidewere incorporated into the design of the NextGen Bus Plan implemented to deliver an improved Metro bus network:

Hybrid Local/Rapid Stop Spacing — Currently—Past practice was that stop spacing iswas determined by route classification. For example, local_Local lines arewere planned with ¼ mile stop spacing while Rapid lines havehad ¾ to 1 mile stop spacing. As a result, customers travelling on local_Local lines go slower between communitiestravelled more slowly but havehad closer access to origins and destinations. Conversely, Rapid customers traveltravelled faster along a corridor, but may behave been picked up or dropped off much further from their origin or destination. In addition, resources arewere split between the local_Local and Rapid lines resulting in wider headways-less frequency for each service. Therefore, Thus overall end_to-end travel time including walking/rolling to-the stop/from stops, waiting for the bus_ and finally the in-vehicle run time may result in longer overall travel times on the Rapid, especially for shorter distance trips.

Consolidating local_Local and Rapid resources along a corridor will provide much better headways, 18 major transit corridors was implemented in 2020/2021 as part of the initial roll out of the NextGen Bus Plan. The single hybrid service retained on these key corridors provides more frequent service at all stops and customizing, when matched with optimized ¼ mile average stop spacing along the corridor based on changing land use densities along a corridoradopted as part of NextGen Bus Plan and new bus lanes, results in shorter wait times, faster on—board travel times compared to the local-previous Local service, and shorter walk/roll compared to Rapid service. In addition, this standardizes the service frequency along the entire corridor, vs as compared to providing inconsistent frequencies between local Local and Rapid services that have different speeds. Stop spacing can be adjusted to reflect local conditions with the needs of key destinations such as schools, medical centers, and senior centers being taken into account while balancing the impact each stop has not just for those that use the stop, but for those on board that are delayed by buses stopping.

Shorter Route Lengths and Subarea Transit Hubs – The-Location-based cell phone location based data indicates that almost half of all traveltrips made in Los Angeles County are within 1 to 5 miles. In addition, the origin-destination travel patterns indicate that many people travel locally and not necessarily regionally across the region. Creating shorter, core route lengths with maximized service frequency and bus speed improvements such as new bus lanes will improve schedule reliability. Being able to tie the lines to subarea transit hubs will improve network efficiencies and provide a-safer and more convenient locationlocations for transfers.

Municipal Operator Coordination – Metro serves as LA County's regional coordinator of transit services. Improved coordination between all operators and modes is vital to establishing an integrated regional transit network. Metro operates within a hierarchy of services, in which Metrolink provides the region's commuter rail to serve high volume, longer distance trips. Metro Rail, Metro BRTLiner [G Line (Orange) and J Line (Silver)], and Metro Bus servesserve

as the backbone of the urban transit network, which is within much of LA County, and are augmented by municipal operators. Municipal and local return operators complement the system with community and shuttle buses that serve specific neighborhood needs.

Roughly It is imperative that Metro bus service be closely coordinated with municipal transit service as roughly one—third of transit service in LA County is provided by municipal bus operators and Metrolink. Their coverage is especially strong in Santa Monica, <u>Culver City</u>, South Bay, Gateway Cities, and eastern San Gabriel Valley. Therefore, it is imperative that Metro bus service is closely coordinated with municipal transit service, as well as Santa Clarita and the Antelope Valleys. Given that several of the municipal operators are currently undergoing their own system redesigns, there is an opportunity are opportunities to work together to develop service change ideas between Metro and municipal services to improve overall coordination for customers. The NextGen Bus Plan included four transfers of Metro bus service to municipal operators, two of which were implemented in 2021 in cases where the line was more appropriate as part of the municipal operator's network.

MicroTransit and Other On-Demand Services – Some areas of the County are difficult to serve with fixed-route transit due to terrain, narrow streets, and dispersed lower density destinations. In addition, and relatively low travel activity in some areas are low during certain times of day or days of week. To address this, Metro is currently piloting Mobility on Demand and will be implementingconducting a three-year microtransit pilot program for MicroTransit. These-, and on-demand, van-based rideshare service modes may be more appropriate for areas branded a Metro Micro. The service launched in December 2020 and the final eighth zone wa implemented in December 2021. The zones are: Watts/Compton, LAX/Inglewood, North Highland Park/Glendale/Eagle Hollywood/Burbank, El Monte, Pasadena/Altadena/Sierra Madre, Northwest San Fernando Valley, and times Westwood/UCLA. The service is designed to provide short trips within a zone where fixed rout cannot be competitive each rider would have to wait no more than 15 minutes from the time reservation is made to when they are picked up at a designated pickup location. Reservation can be made the same day and will be considered for up to a week in advance. Riders cal reserve rides by calling Metro's Call Center, through an online reservation system, or via the service's dedicated smart phone application-in lieu of. All pickup and drop-off locations ar located within the zone and must be ADA accessible, but are not limited to bus stops. The pilo program will operate for three years, after which Metro will determine whether to make th service permanent or not. A number of lower ridership fixed-route services have been discontinued within the new Metro Micro zones as part of the NextGen Bus Plai implementation, to determine if microtransit can be an effective and efficient replacement fo Metro fixed route if warranted bus service in these hard-to serve areas.

 Table 3.1
 Minimum Rail and NextGen Bus Plan Frequency by Service Type

Service Type	<u>Peak</u>	Midday Weekday	Weekend	Evening
Heavy Rail	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Light Rail</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>12-15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>

Core Network (Tier 1) Metro Liner and Metro Rapid	<u>5-10</u>	<u>5-10</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7.5</u>
Convenience Network (Tier 2)	<u>12-15</u>	<u>12-15</u>	30	10
Connectivity Network (Tier 3)	<u>20-30</u>	<u>20-30</u>	60	<u>15</u>
Community Network (Tier 4)	<u>40-60</u>	40-60	<u>60</u>	<u>30</u>
Commuter Network (Tier 5)	varies	varies	varies	varies

Standardize Frequencies by Service Tiers — CurrentlyPrior to the implementation of the NextGen Bus Plan, schedules arewere written based on the Board-adopted load standard for frequent services (15 min or better) and based—on policy service levels for in-frequentlow frequency services (widerless than 15 min). To ensure the core network has consistent frequencies and span of service, corridors will bethe NextGen Bus Plan categorized transit lines into tiers based on transit propensity, current ridership, the nature of the service, and overall travel demand. Each tier will behas been assigned a frequency designation (e.g. 10 min peak/12 min base) range for each time period to ensure that all services within the tier provide consistent service levels for ease of transfer alongacross the network. If a, with minimal adjustment from year to year. These frequency levels are defined in Table 3.1. A line requires better frequencies than the tier designation, it will be set based on the may see frequency improved at a selected time of day in response to high demand, consistent with the Board-adopted load standard-being met on all trips operating on the line.

Routing to Reflect Current Travel Patterns and Transit Propensity – Currently corridors are currently being evaluated by segments. Based based on the origin—destination travel patterns identified using the cell phone location—based data as well as and regional TAP data, the. The segments will be connected together to create lines. Better aligning that better align the routing with travel patterns. This is expected to reduce the number of transfers required to make a trip, and to increase the distance travelable and access to opportunities along the network within 15 min, 30 min, etca given time frame. While resources will be focused in areas with high transit propensity, there will be a concerted effort to maintain service in areas of low demand but with the greatest mobility needs.

Transit Supportive Infrastructure – Service design will identify transit supportive infrastructure that either improves overall travel time and reliability, or reduces inefficiencies in the network. Speed and reliability improvements include bus-only lanes, queue jumpers, bus bulb-outs, traffic signal retiming, transit signal priority, all door-boarding, fare payment technology, and other technologies and infrastructure that improve the attractiveness and competitiveness of transit while reducing revenue hours so that they can be reapplied to provide more frequent service. Infrastructure that optimizes terminals and layover locations, reduces out of direction movements, and improves transfer movements will reduce non-revenue miles and hours that can also be reallocated to more frequent service.

 Table 3.12
 Service Design Concepts

	Faster service	Frequent service throughout the day	More reliable service	Better network connectivity	Access to key destinations	Improved security
Routing to reflect current travel patterns and transit propensity				x	x	x
Standardize Frequencies frequency by Service Tiers service tier	x	x				
Subarea transit hubs				Х		Х
Shorter route lengths			Х			
Optimize stop spacing	х		х			
Municipal operator coordination				х	х	
MicroTransit and other on-demand		х			х	
Transit-supportive infrastructure	х		Х			x

Transit Supportive Infrastructure

The service design will identify transit supportive infrastructure that either improves overall travel time and reliability or reduces inefficiencies in the network. Speed and reliability improvements include bus only lanes, queue jumpers, bus bulb outs, signal retiming, All Door Boarding, fare payment technology, etc. improves the attractiveness and competitiveness of transit while reducing revenue hours that can be reapplied to better use. Infrastructure that optimizes terminals and layover locations, reduce out of direction movements, and improves transfer movements will reduce non revenue miles and hours that can be reallocated to revenue service.

Table 3.12 illustrates how each service concept will address the various themes expressed by the public and stakeholders.

3.2 Service Standards

Service—Board-adopted service standards are established to ensure that service levels are maintained based to meet a minimum standard of rider experience. These focus on board adopted standards.such items as maximum average loads on trips and on time performance and are discussed below.

Headways

The headway standard provides for the maximum scheduled gap (in minutes) between trips in the peak direction of travel at the maximum load point of a line by time of day, and; it should not be exceeded for at least 90% of all hourly periods as summarized in Table 3.23. The

frequencies below are the minimum service levels versus the target frequencies established under NextGen Bus Plan shown in Table 3.1 above.

Table 3.2 Maximum Minimum Headway by Service Type

Service Type	Peak (Weekday)	Off-Peak (Weekday-Weekend)
Heavy Rail	10	20
Light Rail	12	20
Liner	<u>12</u>	<u>30</u>
Rapid	<u>20</u>	<u>30</u>
Core Network (Tier 1)	7.5 <u>10</u>	7.5 10-15
Convenience Network (Tier 2)	10 15	10 15-30
Connectivity Network (Tier 3)	15 <u>30</u>	15 <u>30-60</u>
Community Network (Tier 4)	30 <u>60</u>	30 <u>60</u>
Commuter Network (Tier 5)	varies Varies	varies Varies
Micro Transit	varies	varies


Passenger Loads

Passenger loadingload standards have been developed to ensure there is sufficient—service capacity on Metro Bus and Rail service. The loading standard for bus is based on the maximum average ratio of customer scustomers to available seating per vehicle size (i.e. 40-foot, 45-foot, and 60-foot buses). The loading standard for rail is based on the maximum average ratio of customer scustomers per seat by service type (i.e. Heavy Rail and Light Rail). Current loading standards are shown in Table 3.34.

- Bus Passenger Loading Standard expresses the maximum average ratio of customer scustomers to vehicle size and frequency by direction for a one-hour period that should not be exceeded for at least 95% of all hourly periods. This TSP sets the current loading standard for Metro bus to 1.3 as recommended by the 2016 APTA Peer Review Committee. Vehicles used for MicroTransit or Mobility on Demand will have a load standard of 1.0.
- Rail Passenger Loading Standard expresses the maximum average ratio of customer scustomers to seats by service type and by direction for one-hour period by time of day and should not be exceeded for at least 95% of all hourly periods.

 Table 3.34
 Passenger Loading Standards by Vehicle Type

Service Type	Seats per Vehicle	Peak Passengers per Seat	Off-Peak Passengers per Seat	Maximum Passengers Onboard
Heavy Rail	54 <u>52</u>	2.30	124 2.30	120
Light rail	<u>60-</u> 76	1.75	1.75	<u>105-</u> 133
Bus – 40 foot	38	1.30	1.30	49
Bus – 45 foot	46	1.30	1.30	60
Bus – 60 foot	57	1.30	1.30	74



Van - MicroTransit	10	<u>1.0</u>	1.0	<u>10</u>

Wheelchair Boardings and Pass ups.

Ideally, in a floating 6-month period, regular operating bus service will average of no more than 6% in pass-ups of customers who use wheelchairs or other mobility devices. Should the average increase to over the 6% threshold of 6%. Service Planning will adjust service to better serve the ridership patterns of the route in such a way so as to minimize pass-ups.

Network Route Spacing

Network Route Spacing refers to the average distance between two or more parallel bus and/or rail lines. It is generally accepted that customers are willing to walk up to 0.25 mile to a bus stop. Generally, bus routes operating parallel to each other in an urban area should be spaced 0.5 mile apart from one another, and bus routes operating parallel to rail should be spaced \$0.5 mile apart on either side of a rail route. Bus routes operating parallel in a suburban area should be spaced no more than one mile apart from each other, and bus routes operating in low density or underdeveloped areas should be operated where needed in a cost-effective manner. Where possible, alternate delivery methods should be considered.

Bus-Stop/Station Spacing

Stop/Station spacing refers to the average distance between consecutive stops/stations along an entire bus/rail route. The standard is expressed as the maximum average stop/station spacing in miles by type of service and is not to be exceeded by at least 90% of all routes operated. Stop/Station spacing is established based on the goals and guidelines each service type is designed to achieve as discussed below. Metro's maximum average stop/station spacing by mode is summarized in Table 3.4.3.

- Heavy/Light Rail Line station spacing is greater than bus stop/station spacing to achieve
 the highesta higher operating speed, recognizing that riders are willing to access such
 service from a greater distance and to ensure this mode is competitive for longer distance
 travel, while ensuring stations serve key activity nodes and transit connection points. Rall
 station location is determined during the design phase. Ideal average rail station spacing
 should be no greater than 1.50 miles.
- BRTMetro Liner and CommuterRapid Bus Routes achieve the highest bus speeds through even greater stop spacing than Rapid, Local Core, (Tier 1), Convenience, (Tier 2), Connectivity, and (Tier 3), Community routes (Tier 4), and Commuter (Tier 5) lines. To ensure these services provide access to major activity centers and transfer points, average stop/station spacing should be no greater than 1.25 miles, thoughthough there may be exceptions due to geography or existing facility design, such as freeway HOT or HOV lanes. See Table 3.45 for further details.
- Core, Convenience, Connectivity, and Community Bus Routes primarily operate on city
 streets and secondary streets respectively. These route types are designed to provide
 service closer to a customer 'scustomer's destination and reduce walking times.
 Therefore, average stop spacing should be no greater than 0.25 mile for convenient walk
 access.

Decisions regarding bus stop spacing and location call for analysis of ridership density, customer service requirements, the such as balancing access to key destinations and impact to on board riders, rider and operational safety of customers, operators, equipment size, the service type provided, interaction of stopped buses with general traffic flow, and coordination with other curbside space allocations such as parking and driveways. Stops should be closer together in major commercial districts and farther apart in outlying areas. In general, bus stop spacing should not exceed 0.3 miles for local bus service except in areas where local conditions and/or lack of ridership generators may result in a wider gap between stops. Care should be taken to avoid low usage stops in areas where the buses are closest to the maximum load on board the bus. Special consideration may be given to stops near schools, senior centers, and medical centers where there is reasonable ridership (>= 15 boardings or alightings on average per weekday).

 Table 3.4
 Maximum Avg.5
 Target Average Stop/Station Spacing

Service Type	Average Stop/Station Spacing (miles)
Heavy Rail	1.50
Light Rail	1.50
BRT	1.25
Rapid	0.75
Commuter (Tier 5)	1.25
Core; (Tier1), Convenience; (Tier 2), Connectivity; (Tier 3), Community (Tier 4)	0. 30 25

On-Time Performance

A key element of high quality transit service, as confirmed in the NextGen Bus Study, is reliability. This element is measured firstly in terms of on time performance. Managing this metric is intended to provide a high standard of service reliability. On-time performance for buses is defined as a range from no more than one minute early to no more than five minutes late, which is measured at all timepoints along its route. For rail lines, on-time performance is measured based on end terminal arrival. This standard varies between heavy rail and light rail. The on-time performance standard is summarized in the Table 3.6.

As part of the NextGen Bus Plan speed and reliability improvements, a pilot of headway-based service management will be conducted. This involves the operation of high- frequency bus lines without intermediate timepoints along the line. The reliability of this type of service will be based on the intervals between buses remaining within a range. More information will be added and standards developed for this mode of operation once the pilot has been completed.

 Table 3.6
 Target Standard for On-Time Performance

Service Type	On-Time Performance

Heavy Rail	<u>95%</u>
<u>Light Rail</u>	90%
BRT	<u>85%</u>
<u>Rapid</u>	<u>85%</u>
Commuter (Tier 5)	<u>85%</u>
Core (Tier 1), Convenience (Tier 2), Connectivity (Tier 3), Community Bus (Tier 4)	<u>85%</u>

Service Cancellations:

In recent years, both pre-pandemic and during times of significant impacts from the COVID-19 pandemic on the Metro operator workforce, cancelled service due to lack of available operators has had a significant impact on service reliability. Metro should not enter into service level changes unless sufficient operators are available to provide the required extraboard operator as required (OAR) ratio of 1.2 for bus and 1.25 for rail at each operating division. Cancelled service should ideally be zero each day in support of the best customer experience. As of March 2022, a target of 2% or less cancelled service has been set as part of service restoration preconditions.

3.2 Bus/Rail Interface Planning

As the Metro Rail system expands, adjustments are made to the surrounding bus system towithin a half mile of each station is assessed for adjustments that would improve access to rail stations, take advantage of new transfer facilities, and reduce bus and rail service duplication. The following guidelines provide direction tofor routing and scheduling changes that will be necessary as the Metro Rail system is expanded:

Discontinuation of Parallel Limited and Express Service

Competing Community and Commuter (<u>Tiers 4 and 5</u>) bus services that parallel the rail corridor will be discontinued whenwhere duplication exists. Revenue services should be reinvested to improve service on lines that feed the new rail service where possible.

Bus Route Deviation

Bus routes that run parallel to a rail line may be diverted to a station when:

- Walk time from the nearest station is greater than 3 minutes;
- Diversion time in one direction is 5 minutes or less; and;
- Net travel time benefit for connecting customer s exceedscustomers is positive i.e. the transfer to rail does not result in overall increased travel for through traveltime.

Intersecting bus lines or ones that travel in a perpendicular direction to a rail line will be diverted to serve the closest rail station when:

- Diversion time in one direction is 5 minutes or less
- Net travel time benefit for connections and through travel

1

Extend Terminating Lines

Bus routes that end within one mile of a rail station will be extended to terminate at the station. Routes that terminate at distances greater than one mile may be extended if the rerouting will create a valuable link to the rail system consistent with area travel patterns or will result in a reduction in travel time for a significant number of customers.

New Bus Routes

New rail feeder service will be considered as part of the service change process if a need is demonstrated based on significant area travel patterns and if funding is available.

Scheduling Rail/Bus Interface

Bus arrival and departure times should be governed by the rail arrival and departure times when predominant movement is from bus to rail. Bus routes with frequencies of 20 minutes or greater ending at a rail station should be scheduled to arrive 5 minutes before the rail departure time. (plus walk time between the modes). When the predominant movement is from rail to bus, terminal buses should be scheduled to depart 5 minutes after the scheduled rail arrival time. (plus walk time between the modes).

3.3 Metro Bus Routing Guidelines

An easy-to-understand-and-use transit system relies on simple network and route design. Consolidating duplicative services on the same or parallel corridors within a quarter-mile to a half-mile distance provides an opportunity to simplify the network for ease of use-and, reduce unusedunderutilized capacity, and invest those resources into other areas of the network. This concept requires better coordination of schedules and transfer points and will result in an easier-to-use and more convenient system while reducing wait time and overall travel time.

Metro's directly—operated service primarily operates three types of buses: a standard 40-foot bus, a 45-foot bus, and a 60-foot "articulated" bus. To ensure that buses can adequately navigate route alignments and serve bus stops, Metro established the following standards:

Transit Centers /Bus Terminals

- Layover zones should be designed to accommodate various sizes of buses.
- Layover zones should utilize sawtooth bay configurations where possible to ensure curb space is more efficiently and reliably utilized, and accommodating 60' buses where needed.
- Re-striping of layover zones should be completed as needed based on the needs of the service and bus sizes scheduled.
- Routes should be scheduled so that the amount of layover space needed is available.
 Layover zones should be placed as close as possible to the route terminal. Where not
 accommodated by the design, the added operating cost to serve the location will be
 computed and made part of the decision-making process for bus/rail interface.

- Minimum turning radius clearance required for each type size bus movement
 - 50 feet for 40-foot buses (Figure 3.1)
 - 47.5 feet for 45-foot buses (Figure 3.3)
 - 44 feet for 60-foot articulated buses (Figure 3.2)




Figure 3.1 40-foot bus turning radius




Figure 3.2 45-foot bus turning radius




Figure 3.3 Articulated 60-foot bus turning radius

- Desired street lane widths for bus operations should be 12 feet or more.
- Optimal Bus Stop Curb Lengths and Zone <u>- 40-foot buses should at minimum:</u> 40-foot buses should at minimum:
 - Far-side 90 feet
 - Near-side 100 feet
 - Mid-block –150 feet

For two 40-foot buses servicing a stop simultaneously, add 50 feet. Additional bus stop curb length may be needed for 45-foot buses.

- 60-foot bus should at a minimum:

- Far-side and mid-block 120 feet
- Near-side 170 feet

For two 60-foot buses servicing a stop simultaneously, add 70 feet.




Figure 3.1 40-foot bus turning radius




Figure 3.2 45-foot bus turning radius




Figure 3.3 Articulated 60-foot bus turning radius

- Bus Layover Zone general space requirements based on frequency between scheduled trips:
 - One space 15 minutes 20 minute service or less frequent
 - Two spaces 12 minutes to 15 minute frequency
 - Three spaces 7.5 to 10 minute frequency
 - Four spaces 5 to 6 minutes minute frequency

3.4 Vehicle Assignment

Metro's goal is to ensure a consistent basis for assigning vehicles to facilities to meet operating needs at a minimal cost and improve provide equitable access to the newest vehicles across the Metro network to enhance quality of service. This policy ensures that operating needs are metata minimal cost and improve quality of service.

Metro's transit system consists of light rail, heavy rail, and bus operations. On any given As of October 2019 (pre-COVID), for an average weekday, Metro serves approximately 925,000 bus boardings and 297,000 rail boardings.

- Buses: Buses will be assigned to individual facilities based on vehicle size requirements
 for lines supported by each facility. The fleet is also distributed to ensure the average age
 of fleet is consistent across each division for each bus type, so that all areas may have
 some service delivered using the newest buses.
- Light Rail: Light Rail cars will be assigned to individual lines based on a variety of factors including facility compatibility—of vehicle controllers with each line's signal system, the deposition of the feet during mid-life modernization programs and age so that no single light rail line must solely rely on the oldest rail fleet. Ideally, the number of vehicle types/manufacturers will be kept to no more than two at any facility to minimize parts storage and maximize maintenance expertise/training for mechanics on the different fleets. There is also a weight restriction that precludes the P2550 light rail cars from being assigned to the C Line along the I-105 freeway.
- Heavy Rail: Assignment policy is not applicable to Heavy Rail. The Metro B Line (Red) and D Line (Purple) operate out of the same division and both are operated by, with the same vehicle type.

3.5 School Trippers

School trippers are extra service operated to protect against overcrowding on bus routeslines serving schools. Metro's policy on school trippers is based on FTA regulations (49 CFR Part

Source: lacmta.sharepoint.com/sites/MyMetro/Operations/Pages/Home.aspx

¹⁰ Figures taken from October 2019 data; selected for seasonal average and adjusted for BlueA Line (Blue) closure.

605). These regulations are directed at protecting the private sector against unfair competition and ensuring that FTA funding is focused on providing services that meet the needs of the general public. School tripper service may be operated if it meets the following criteria are met:

- There is sufficient demand to warrant the operation of a tripper that cannot on average be accommodated within the load factor applicable to the regular service available;
- There are sufficient resources to operate a tripper;
- The school tripper will not result in a significant increase in travel time (no more than 5 minutes extra) for regular customers if the service is to be deviated via a school; and
- The school tripper is operated as part of the regularly-scheduled public transportation service and is included in such schedules and available for any person to ride.

School tripper service must meet the following requirements:

- All school trippers must fully comply with established policies and procedures;
- All regularly scheduled school trippers must be published on public timetables;
- All locations where trippers board or alight customers, including the bus stops at deviated routes, must be marked with Metro signage including the bus line numbers servicing the stop;
- School tripper changes must be provided to the public by a service change notice or on the Metro website at www.metro.net; and
- Requests for new school trippers or modifications to existing school trippers (bell time changes, etc.) will be considered when a notice is given at least two weeks30-days in advance providing ample time to complete an appropriate analysis of the request and to allow appropriate notification of changes to the public.

School tripper services changes must comply with the following procedures

- Service Development Managers (SDM) in the Service Planning & Scheduling Department
 are responsible for certifyingensuring that all school trippers in their respective service
 area fully comply with Metro's School Tripper Policy as discussed herein. Each SDM will
 submit a report prior to each major service change program that details all existing and
 proposed school tripper service.
- School tripper "pink letters" require notification to the public through use of a service change notice or on Metro's webpage.
- Uniform standards for the documentation of <u>daily</u> school tripper <u>pink lettersarrangements</u> must be employed. This includes standardizing the <u>pink letter documentation</u> form and oversight of the <u>pink letterdocumented</u> information being input into the <u>SLS 2000scheduling</u> system to ensure accuracy. All requests for new school trippers and modifications to existing school trippers must be logged into the <u>SLS2000scheduling system</u> regardless <u>ifof whether</u> the requested new or modified school tripper is implemented.

- SDMs are responsible for working with school districts in their service area which use school tripper service. For example, a specific protocol has been established with LAUSD in which their monthly Operations Coordinators' Meeting has a standing agenda item, "Metro Coordination,", where special events and bell-time changes are disseminated to Metro through communication with district staff and the meeting's minutes.
- The information fed to transit apps and trip planners, such as Transit App and Google Transit, is made available via a General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS) compatible feed which is updated weekly to reflect school tripper service changes captured in the transit service scheduling software calendar utilized by Metro.

3.5 Charter Service

As a grantee of Federal funds, Metro is prohibited from using its federally-funded equipment and facilities to provide charter service except on an incidental basis and when one or more of the applicable exceptions below apply:

- Charter service shall be incidental to the mass transportation service and shall be provided only during times of the day when vehicles are not needed for regularly scheduled service.
- Charter service will only be considered when one of the following exceptions apply:
 - There are no willing or able private charter operators;
 - For special events the private operators are not capable of providing the service;
 - When there is a formal agreement regarding the provision of charter services between the recipient and all private charter operators who have been identified to be willing and able; and
 - For government or certain non-profit organizations, if the trip involves a significant number of handicapped persons, or if the organization is a qualified social service agency, or if it receives public welfare assistance funds whose implementation may require transportation services.
- All requests for Charter Servicecharter service must be approved by the Chief Executive
 Officer and may require a waiver from the Federal Transit AdministrationFTA. Petitions
 for a waiver should be requested in writing 90 days in advance of the event whenever
 possible.
- The rates for charter service shall equal or exceed the annual fully allocated cost, including depreciation, of providing charter bus operations, and Metro shall deduct the mileage and hours from the useful life of the buses.
- The operation of charter service also must comply with relevant state laws, including Section 30630.5 of the California Public Utilities Code.

Charter service is the use of buses, vans or facilities (rail system) to provide a group of persons under a single contract, at a fixed charge, with the exclusive use of the vehicle or service to travel

together under an itinerary either specified in advance or modified after having left the place of origin. Generally, for service not to be considered charter, it must meet the following tests:

- Be available to the public;
- Operate within the system's normal scope (existing routings, fit within normal hours of operation and established fare structure);
- Provide a published timetable; and
- Customers must pay their own fare.

3.6 Special Event Service

Special event services are bus routes designed to take <u>customer scustomers</u> to a specific venue and are not part of regularly scheduled operations. Metro will provide service under contract to other entities only if the provision of these services does not interfere with Metro's ability to meet regularly scheduled service obligations and fits within the scope of the agency's regular operation in terms of route structure, fares, and span of service. Special event services will be provided on a full cost recovery basis and in conformance with the agency's charter bus policy which is consistent with FTA Charter Bus regulations.

3.7 Service Transfer Guideline

The regional public transit network in LA County consists of 17 "Included or Eligible" fixed route operators (including Metro). Included operators (and routes) are those that were operating within LA County in 1971 at the time of adoption of the TDA/STA statute. State of California Transit Development Act/State Transit Assistance statute. Eligible operators (and routes) are those added to the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) since that time.

Much of the funding for operation of "Included or Eligible" fixed route public transit service in LA County is distributed according to an adopted FAP. The FAP allocates sales tax receipts for public transit each fiscal year in support of public transit throughout the region. Many of the "Included and Eligible" systems operate under the guidelines of the "reserve service areas" established in 1971. Municipal operators have also grown, providing an expanded route network that has improved connections to Metro's regional lines. In addition, there are numerous Local Return fixed route transit providers who are not eligible for FAP funding, but instead are funded through Propositions A and C (1990 sales tax initiative), Measure R (2008 sales tax initiative), and Measure M (2016 sales tax initiative). These Operators are funded as "Local Return" operators (see Appendix B for a list of operators funded as Local Return and/or Included/Eligible Municipal operators).

Policy guidance states that the network should be well integrated, coordinated, reduce service duplication, and simplify service. Therefore, the evaluation of transit corridors for consideration to be operated in the future by another operator should include:

- Existing performance relative to the system average;
- Value to the customer through integration into an established nearby transit provider;

- Net cost to each operator and the region;
- Completion of another operator's route network;
- Provide improved connections to a Municipal Operator's established network;
- Impacts to exiting and projected ridership;
- Generation of a net cost savings saving to Metro based on Metro's calculation of the FAP impacts for all service realignment proposals.

Any transfer of directly operated Metro services to a municipal or contract operator must adhere to the terms and conditions governing such transfers as agreed to within the adopted collective bargaining and other superseding agreements between the affected labor unions and Metro.

If a proposed service change is adopted that results in a reduction of service, Metro should reinvest at least half of the net savings (operating cost less customer and FAP reduction) to improve service on Metro's core network of regionally significant lines in the service area from which the savings were drawn.

Any significant service modifications will be subject to review under the latest FTA procedures for adherence to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, the approval of the appropriate Metro Service Council(s), and the local transit provider's Board of Governance, and must be in compliance with local, regional, and labor legislation or agreements. Finally, the agency that assumes service will be required to maintain or improve the days, spreadspan, and frequency of the exitingexisting Metro service for at least a enetwo-year period (two-year lag) for which Metro will include such operation through the FAP. In addition, the assuming agency must be a participant in the regional TAP program to minimize fare change impacts.

3.8 Alternative Service Delivery Options

Alternative service delivery options generally refers to services not directly operated by Metro, such as contract services, Municipal and Local Return Operators, taxis, and other flexible destination operations. These alternatives can complement traditional transit service. In addition, Access Services provides mandatory ADA complementary paratransit services for functionally disabled individuals in Los Angeles County—as required by federal ADA law. Access Services transportation service is available for any ADA paratransit—eligible individual to any location within ¾ of a mile of any fixed route bus operated by the Los Angeles County public fixed route bus operators and within ¾ of a mile around Metro Rail stations during the hours that the systems are operational. Complementary paratransit service is not required to complement commuter rail and commuter bus services, since the federal ADA law does not require that these services provide complementary paratransit service. 11

¹¹ https://accessla.org/riding_access/overview.html accessla.org/about_us/overview.html

Metro has launched two pilot programs to leverage demand-responsive technology to improve mobility, customer experience, and system performance by providing additional first-mile and last-mile service options: Mobility on Demand and MicroTransit.

The Mobility on Demand pilot launched in January 2019 and will operateoperated for 12 months. Metro has partnered with Via, a provider of on-demand shared ridesride sourcing services, to develop on-demand technology to increase access to Metro's transit system by offering service to and from three of Metro's transit stations: North Hollywood, Artesia, and El Monte. This pilot program iswas funded in part by a \$1.35-million Mobility on Demand (MOD) Sandbox Demonstrations grant from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). The system was operated utilizing private cars. The Mobility on Demand pilot concluded in January 2021 and the three Mobility on Demand zones were transitioned to become part of the Metro Micro microtransit pilot program.

The MicroTransit Pilot ProjectMetro's microtransit program, Metro Micro, is anticipated a three year pilot of on demand ride-source service operated with passenger vans within eight designated zones, intended to launch in late 2019.test a range of use cases including areas where fixed route service has not been effective or is unable to access parts of a community. Metro is partnering with RideCo, NoMad/Via, and Transdev to develop on demand a third-party vendor for the technology to increase access to Metro's transit system. MicroTransitsupport this pilot program, while Metro staff operate and manage the service. The pilot zones were coordinated with the NextGen Bus Plan to replace some lower usage fixed route lines or route segments where Metro Micro service could better serve such areas, though this is only one of a range of use cases being tested by Metro Micro.

The first two zones were launched in December 2020 (LAX/Inglewood and Watts/Willowbrook). The three Mobility on Demand zones were added to the Metro Micro program in January 2021. Two additional Metro Micro zones launched in June 2021 (Highland Park/Eagle Rock/Glendale and Altadena/Pasadena/Sierra Madre). The Northwest San Fernando Valley zone was launched in September 2021, and the final pilot zone at UCLA/Westwood launched in December 2021, for a total of eight pilot zones.

Based on experience to date, Metro Micro generally serves short trips will beof approximately 20 minsminutes in vehicle time and run one to five miles in distance on average. These short trips may connect customers are intended to serve as connections to other transit options such as Metro-operated bus and rail services and to-municipal operators. The target maximum size for each zone was originally set at no greater than 20 square miles to ensure the goal of no more than an average 15-minute wait time for pick up could be consistently achieved. However, a number of zones were expanded to help better replace some low performing fixed route services during NextGen Bus Plan implementation, and the overlapping Artesia and Watts/Willowbrook zones were also combined into a 35 square mile mega zone (Watts/Compton) in December 2021.

SECTION 4: CUSTOMER INFORMATION AND AMENITIES

Customer information instructs both regular customers and infrequent customers on how to use transit as a viable mode of transportation to and from their destinations. Clear, accurate, and timely information is an important adjunct to service quality, particularly when bus and rail services are not operating as planned. Amenities aid in the comfort and security of customers.

4.1 Customer Information

Customers need to know how to use transit: where to go to access it, where to alight to access their destination, whether transfers are required, when transit services are scheduled to depart and arrive, and how planned and unplanned service changes or disruptions impact travel. Both regular and infrequent users require specific route information when they need to travel to a location they rarely visit or that is new to them. Information must be provided in accessible formats. Metro provides customer trip planning and help-information via telephone, through customer service representatives, on board announcements, mobile. Metro buses, railcars, and stations also include announcement systems for stops and stations as well as other general service information. Mobile device applications and text/SMS messaging, have expanded significantly as smart phones have become a common part of life for many people. Published schedules, maps, and other information are also available through Metro Customer Service Centers and by mail, Significant information is also provided online at the metro.net website, and byvia email alerts for customers who sign up to receive them. Information is also provided on signage at major stops and stations.

- Signage at transit infrastructures such as stations and shelters, signs directing motorists to Park & Ride lots, and bus stop signs that indicate the presence of service to people not currently using transit.
- Audible Announcements at bus stops, rail stations and on-board vehicles to assist customers with visual impairments and customers unfamiliar with the route or area.
- Online Information is available 24- hours to anyone with Internet access such as:
 - Nextrip's next bus arrival (detour notices should be posted on this service, Metro's website, as well as other Real-time information streamed to many transit information applications)
 - , including the Transit App, Metro's official smartphone app, as well as being displayed on Google, Apple, and Bing Maps and in use by their trip planners.
 - Metro's own website metro.net:
 - Route maps and timetables, fare information, and Trip Plannerdetour notices service change information, cancelled service alerts, special event detours, and other service-related information
 - Metro's blogs, "The Source" and "El Pasajero"
 - Specialized guides (Bikes, Riders with Disabilities, Safety & Security)
 - o Commuter program information (carpools, vanpools, employer programs, etc.)

- News and media information
- Latest information on Metro projects and programs
- Contact information
- Special event information
- Social Metro's social media accounts including Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram
- Bus and Train Real-Time Information: Accurate, timely, relevant, and readily available trip information is useful for reassuring customers when the next transit vehicle will arrive or how long the expected delay time is if there has been a service disruption. It should provide them with enough information to help them decide whether to continue to wait for the next transit vehicle, consider alternate routes, or take another mode of transportation to complete their trip. Real-time information is provided within selected transit shelters across the Metro network. Metro is testing e-paper real time information signs at a limited number of bus stops and plans to roll out this amenity in a larger pilot in FY23.
- Printed and Distributed Information, such as timetables, maps, service change notices, customer newsletters, etc., <u>preferablyare made</u> available at multiple locations <u>such as</u> Metro's own Customer Service Centers, regional libraries, and recreation and community centers.
- Posted Information, such as system maps, bus cubes posted at stops, stations, and on board transit vehicles.
- Route NumberingSignage Convention at stops and on transit vehicle head signs assist
 customers to quickly identify what stops to wait at and what transit vehicle to board related
 to printed and posted information. See Appendix Aas well as direction of travel and
 location the lines terminate at, as well as names of major corridors served.
- Wayfinding is the process of communicating information to support the ability to navigate
 using signage, system/route maps, kiosks, bus cubes, directions, etc. so that customers
 can easily determine where they are, where they want to go, and how to get there.
- Visual Displays to assist customers with hearing impairments and to supplement onboard announcements that may be muffled by other noise.
- Customer Information Panels (CIPs) are interactive touch screen panels that display vehicle arrivals, service alerts, system and local maps, Metro Arts programming, advertising, and Agency PSAspublic service announcements.

4.2 Customer Amenities

Customer amenities are those elements provided at a transit stops, transit centers, and station stopsstations to enhance comfort, convenience, and security. Amenities include items such as shelters, benches, vending machines, trash receptacles, lighting, restrooms, vending machines, and emergency telephones. In some instances, Metro coordinates with municipalities to provide appropriate amenities. Metro is provides a minimum set of customer amenities at all

rail stations and major Metro-owned off-street bus facilities that allow for boarding as summarized in Table 4.1.

- Benches provide comfortseating for waiting customers, help identify the stop or station, and provide an affordable alternative to shelters. Benches are provided by the local jurisdiction in coordination with Metro.
- Elevator/Escalators provide accessibility for those who otherwise cannot use stairs to elevated or lowered station stops.
- Lighting increases visibility, and security, and discourages misuse of bus stops when
 transit operations are not in service.
- Public Restrooms may be provided at major transit centers and maintained for public safety and convenience.
- Shelters provide comfort for waiting customers, with protection from climate conditions, and help identify the stop or station. Metro does not own or install benches and shelters but will coordinates with local jurisdictions on their placement where appropriate. The NextGen Bus Plan includes an initiative to fund additional shelters across the Metro bus network in partnership with local jurisdictions.
- **Telephones/Intercoms** provide access to transit information and emergency services.
- Trash receptacles provide a place to discard trash and contribute to keeping bus stops and surroundings clean. Trash receptacles are placed at bus stop locations and maintained by individual municipalities at bus stop locations.

 Table 4.1
 Customer Information and Amenities

Amenity	Service Type	Allocation
Shelters:	Heavy Rail:	n/a
	Light Rail:	At least 80 linear ft. per bay
	Bus Facilities:	At least 6 linear ft. per bay
Seating:	Heavy Rail:	At least 12 seats
	Light Rail:	At least 10 seats
	Bus Facilities:	At least 3 seats per bay
Information Information		
Displays:	Heavy Rail:	At least 12
	Light Rail:	At least 10
	Bus Facilities:	At least 3
LED Displays:	Heavy Rail:	At least 8 arrival/departure screens
	Light Rail:	n/a
	Bus Facilities:	n/a
TVMs:	Heavy Rail:	At least 2
	Light Rail:	At least 2
	Bus Facilities:	n/a

Elevators:	Heavy Rail: Light Rail: Bus Facilities:	At least 2 At least +2 for elevated/underground At least +2 for multi-level terminals
Escalators:	Heavy Rail: Light Rail: Bus Facilities:	At least 4 (2 Up/2 Down) n/a At least 2 for multi-level terminals n/a At least 2 for multi-level terminals
Trash receptacles:	Heavy Rail: Light Rail: Bus Facilities:	At least 6 At least 2 At least 1 per 3 bays/2 per facility

Metro provides a minimum set of customer amenities at all rail stations and major Metroowned, off-street bus facilities that allow for boarding as summarized in Table 4.1.

4.3 Rail Stations and Major Off-Street Bus-/Multi-Modal Transit Center-Facilities

When transit service is not providedavailable near one's trip origin, driving to a Park & Ride lot or utilizing another first-last mile option such as a bicycle or scooter to transit may be a viable alternatives alternative. Park & Ride lots, bicycle storage, and micro-mobility parking areas are important amenities for transit customers.

- Park & Ride/Station Parking Facilities provide parking for transit customers who use their cars to access a bus or train. Park & Ride facilities are usually provided at station stops rail stations or bus transit centers such as the Metro El Monte Station, and Harbor Gateway Transit Center, and at various rail stations. Park & Ride lots also can be found in suburbs to-serve as a staging area for commuter customers. Parking may be provided for transit riders at no cost or for a nominal fee, based on demand.
- Bicycle Storage may be provided at transit stations where demand exists and space allows, and on transit vehicles. Bicycle racks, lockers, and hubs may be provided at transit center and stations. On transit vehicles, bicycles may be transported on bus-mounted racks located in front of a bus or on board a rail car in designated spaces. Bike racks provide a simple, relatively low-cost approach and can hold many bicycles in a relatively small space, but bicycles are subject to potential damage and theft. Enclosed bicycle lockers and hubs provide added protection from theft and from weather but cost more to install and operate, and require more space.
- Micro Mobility Vehicle Parking is being tested at key Metro system locations as a pilot program. At their July 25, 2019 meeting, the Metro Board adopted a parking ordinance to regulate parking of micro mobility devices such as electric scooters and other similar devices. As part of the pilot, Metro has designated parking areas at selectselected stations and transit hubs for parking of micro mobility devices; the private firms seeking to park their vehicles at Metro sites must pay a fee for use of the parking facilities.¹²

¹² Planning and Programming Committee File #2019-0085; LACMTA Administrative Code Title 8: Metro Parking Ordinance

4.4 Bus Stop Amenities

There are no standards for bus stop amenities because apart from painting the curb red and erecting bus stop signage, Metro has no jurisdiction over street sitting fixtures or other appurtenances; those are installed by the municipality where the stop is located and often contracted to third parties who support installation and maintenance through advertising revenues.

Transit services are supported by bus stop, and transit center and stations facilities. These locations are often the first and last points of contact with the customer. These facilities are an essential component of transit infrastructure that direct customers to existing transit services, provide a safe and comfortable environment in which to wait for service, and facilitate safe and efficient transfers between services. Given their importance, which was confirmed in the NextGen Bus Study, it is vital that transit routes and schedules are developed in consideration of the quality, appropriateness, and availability of facilities.

Bus stops are locations along the route of a bus line where customers safely wait to board or alight from a bus in service. Bus stops consist of a pole with a sign that includes route line number, destination and service qualification signage, and curb markings or parking restriction signage. Select bus stops also include a bus information cube affixed to the pole. Tests are underway for new e-paper real time information signs for bus stops. Most bus stops are located along the curb of a street; others are located at offsite facilities such as transit centers or rail stations that are owned and maintained by Metro, or in some cases by the local municipality by Metro...

Metro has no jurisdiction over a bus stop beyond a bus stop sign post; amenities are installed by the municipality where the stop is located. This function is sometimes contracted to third parties who support installation and maintenance, usually funded by advertising revenues. The NextGen Bus Plan noted the importance of bus stop amenities such as seating and shelter, and Metro will work with municipalities to maximize the number of Metro bus stops with such amenities available.

Transit stations are stops along a fixed guideway and have features such as loading platforms, TVMs for fare pre-payment loading of TAP cards, shelters, benches, lighting, information displays, trash receptacles, bike racks and or lockers, public announcement systems, security cameras, and emergency call boxes. Many are located adjacent to Park & Ride lots and customer pick-up/drop off areas.

Transit centers are high—volume transfer points for multiple transit services and layover spaces for end-of-line bus storage and turn around. Features include customer loading and alighting areas, benches, shelters, lighting, information displays, bicycle racks and lockers, trash receptacles, and bus layover bays.

On-street bus layover zones are designated stopover points for buses at or near the end of the line. They may or may not allow for customer boarding and alighting. Bus layover terminals are

major offsite layover areas for multiple bus lines and may or may not allow for customer boarding and alighting.

Locating bus <u>layover</u> facilities (other than on-street stops) in heavily congested or urbanized areas increases the burden on the transit operator to find layover spaces for buses and operator restrooms. The extension of a line to a specific terminal may prove uneconomical and at the very least <u>addadds</u> costs to an already budget constrained operation. <u>Metro continues to include such facilities in joint development projects where feasible to maximize the efficiency of bus terminal operations.</u>

Cost and minimization of customer disruptions are significant concerns when locating facilities for bus operations. Metro Operations continues to evaluate routes and layovers to reduce costs and improve efficiency as well as maintain required access to restrooms for operators. As a key internal stakeholder in the environmental planning process, the Service Development Department should be involved early in the analysis of alternatives to and the development of mitigation measures to ensure adequate accommodations are incorporated to foster connectivity of future joint development or private projects.

Capital costs of new support facilities are an important determinant; but more significant is the added operating cost that may be incurred due to inadequate facilities-resulting in expanded line operations to reach suitable alternative layovers.

4.5 Bus Stop/Station Location, Design and Guidelines

Bus stops and station stops allow for boarding and alighting of customers; their locations should balance safe, convenient access with pedestrian safety, as well as other community curb space needs. Locations should support efficient transit operations, convenient rider transfers, minimize walking distances and unnecessary crosswalk movements, and preferablyshould be located at a signalized or signed crosswalk to prevent distincentive/minimize potential jaywalking. Bus stops are generally located adjacent to a bus/rail station or within a short walk to medical facilities, schools, shopping centers, office buildings, multi-unit apartments, or other major activity centers to provide access for uses that generally attract transit customers. Hospitals Medical centers, senior centers, and schools have high priority when considering new bus stop locations and/or when relocating existing bus stops.

BRT/Rail station locations are determined during the design phase of a fixed guideway/right-of-way. There are criteria associated with station location, but this including connectivity and centrality to catchments and major arterials, but also technical feasibility which is beyond the scope of this TSP. Generally, stations are located at major transfer points with bus or rail and provide access to major activity centers—and arterials. No standard type of stop can be recommended for all locations, as each intersection has its own unique characteristics. An inventory of land uses that serve as major trip producers and attractors within a 0.25-mile corridor of the road under consideration should be taken prior to establishment. The location of a transit stop requires concurrence of the municipality in which the stop is located in.

In general, far-side stops are preferable, particularly at signalized intersections; however, near side or mid-block stops may be justified in certain situations. A summary of advantages and disadvantages to each location are provided in Table 4.2.-TCRP Report 19 "Guidelines for the Location and Design of Bus Stops" (1996) provides a more detailed discussion.

Table 1.2 Comparative Analysis of Bus Stop Locations

Stop Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
Near- Side	* Minimizes interference when traffic is heavy on the far side of the intersection * customers access buses closest to crosswalk * Intersection available to assist in pulling away from curb * Buses can service customers while stopped at a red light * Provides driver with opportunity to look for oncoming traffic including other buses with potential customers	Conflicts with right turning vehicles are increased Stopped buses may obscure curbside traffic control devices and crossing pedestrians Sight distance is obscured for crossing vehicles stopped to the right of the buse. The through lane may be blocked during peak periods by queuing buses. Increases sight distance problems for crossing pedestrians.
Far Side	* Minimizes conflicts between right turning vehicles * Provides additional right turn capacity by making curb lane available for traffic * Minimizes sight distance problems on approaches to intersection * Encourages pedestrians to cross behind the bus * Requires shorter deceleration distances for buses * Gaps in traffic flow are created for buses re entering the flow of traffic at signalized intersections * Allows bus routes that operate signal priority to take advantage this technology at signalized intersections.	Thressections may be blocked during peak periods by queuing buses Sight distance may be obscured for crossing vehicles Increases sight distance problems for crossing pedestrians May increase number of rear end accidents since drivers do not expect buses to stop again after stopping at a red light
Mid Block	*_Minimizes sight distance problems for vehicles and pedestrians *_Passenger waiting areas experience less pedestrian congestion	*_Requires additional distance for no- parking restrictions *_Encourages customers to cross street at mid-block (jaywalking) *_Increases walking distance for customers crossing at intersections and for transferring customers

Source: FTA webpage (http://www.fta.dot.gov/12351_4361.html)

When two or more bus routes operate along the same corridor, stops should be consolidated to <u>facilitate ease of transfer</u>, a <u>single location for all transit activity</u>, avoid unnecessary crosswalk movements and minimize confusion as to which stop customers should wait to catch their bus wherever possible. However, <u>iffor</u> a group of bus lines operating along the same street, in the

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.02", Hanging: 0.19", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

same direction, serving the same intersection (such as in the downtown environment), it may be necessary to implement two stop locations (e.g. nearside and farside) to minimize congestion and negatively impact bus operations allow for required turn movements, under the following circumstances:

- Some bus lines will queue up to make a right turn while other lines continue through the intersection (unsafe right turn movements)
- Lack of space availability and no room to lengthen zone due to business owner objection, jurisdiction refusal to extend, a loading zone being located behind the current stop, etc.)

Bus Stop/Station Accessibility:

All stops and stations should be fully accessible in accordance with the 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act. This includes ensuring there are no obstructions preventing the boarding and alighting of customers who use a wheelchair or other assistive mobility devices, and that pathways to and from a stop or station are unobstructed. If obstructions do exist, every effort must be made to mitigate the issue(s) with the respective municipalities. In the case of bus stops, they can either be moved to a new location on a permanent basis or temporary basis depending on situations, such as during construction. A summary of advantages and disadvantages to each location are provided in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Comparative Analysis of Bus Stop Locations


rable 4.2	Comparative Analysis of Bus Stop Local	
Stop Type	<u>Advantages</u>	<u>Disadvantages</u>
Near-Side	Minimizes interference when traffic is heavy on the far side of the intersection Customers access buses closest to crosswalk Intersection available to assist in pulling away from curb Buses can service customers while stopped at a red light Provides driver with opportunity to look for oncoming traffic including other buses with potential customers	 Conflicts with right turning vehicles are increased Stopped buses may obscure curbside traffic control devices and crossing pedestrians Sight distance is obscured for crossing vehicles stopped to the right of the bus. The through lane may be blocked during peak periods by queuing buses Increases sight distance problems for crossing pedestrians
Far-Side	Minimizes conflicts between right turning vehicles Provides additional right turn capacity by making curb lane available for traffic Minimizes sight distance problems on approaches to intersection Encourages pedestrians to cross behind the bus Requires shorter deceleration distances for buses Gaps in traffic flow are created for buses re-entering the flow of traffic at signalized intersections	 Intersections may be blocked during peak periods by queuing buses Sight distance may be obscured for crossing vehicles Increases sight distance problems for crossing pedestrians May increase number of rear-end accidents since drivers do not expect buses to stop again after stopping at a red light

20202022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

	 Allows bus routes that operate with signal priority to reap benefits of the technology at signalized intersections. 	
Mid-Block	Minimizes sight distance problems for vehicles and pedestrians Passenger waiting areas experience less pedestrian congestion	Requires additional distance for no- parking restrictions Encourages customers to cross street at mid-block (jaywalking) Increases walking distance for customers crossing at intersections and for transferring customers

Source: FTA webpage (http://www.fta.dot.gov/12351_4361.html)

The following renderings (Figures 4.1—4.4) illustrate a typical bus stop/zone design and offers guideline for near-side, far-side, and mid-block locations. TCRPTransit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP) Report 19 "Guidelines for the Location and Design of Bus Stops" (1996) provides a more detailed discussion. Metro also adopted its own Transfers Design Guide in 2018 – see Section 2, page 15 for more information.






Figure 4.1 *General Standard Bus Stop/Zone Attributes*




Figure 4.2 Typical Near-Side Bus Stop

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards




Figure 4.3 Typical Far-Side Bus Stop




Figure 4.4 Typical Mid-Block Bus Stop

SECTION 5: SERVICE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The 2019This Metro TSP establishes a set of performance criteria and standards that balances optimization for efficiency and productivity with customer experience measures of success. Optimization of key performance indicators ensures that the services being provided generate the maximum benefit in terms of ridership at the lowest cost. Customer experience criterioncriteria measure how well the transit system can attract customers to use the system more often and for new trip purposes.

5.1 Route Performance Index

The Route Performance Index (RPI) is a conventional industry measure used to ensure Metrotransit services are effective and provide a reasonable return on investment. The Metro's RPI is designed to provide an objective measure of bus route performance relative to system performance. The index is based on system ridership and financial targets from the current fiscal year Metro Budget.

This measure is applied to all Metro bus lines that have been in operation for more than one year, allowing time for new lines to reach a level of maturity where riders have adapted to their availability. The RPI is used to identify under-performing lines. Specific corrective actions are taken during the service change process. Corrective actions may include marketing, service restructuring, implementing an alternative service, or discontinuation of service.

Defining RPI Variables

The RPI considers the following three variables in creating the index. No weight is given to an individual measure; rather the selected statistics represent all facets of the operation in terms of cost efficiency, service effectiveness, and customer use.

- Utilization of Resources: Passenger Boardings per Revenue Service Hour (RSH) isare
 used as a measure to determine how effectively resources are used on a given line. This
 measure is determined by dividing the total number of boardings by the RSHs operated.
 A route having a higher number of boardings per RSH represents a better utilization of
 resources such as buses, operators and fuelthe service provided.
- Utilization of Capacity: Passenger Miles per Seat Mile is the measure used to evaluate
 how the seating capacity of the system is being used. Passenger miles are calculated by
 multiplying the average distance traveled per customer by the number of customers using
 the service. Seat miles are calculated by determining the number of seats per vehicle by
 the number of service miles operated. A higher resulting number indicates greater
 utilization of systemservice capacity.
- Fiscal Responsibility: Subsidy per Passenger is the measure for fiscal responsibility.
 Subsidy refers to the amount of public funding required to cover the difference between the cost of operation and the customer <u>fare</u> revenues collected. Higher subsidy services require more public funding support per passenger boarding.

The formula for calculation of the RPI for each Metro Bus line is as follows:

RPI = ((Passengers/RSH/System Avg.) +(Passengers Miles per Seat Mile/System Avg.) +(Subsidy per Passenger / System Avg.))/3

Lines with an index of 1.0 perform at the system average, while lines with an index of less than 1.0 perform below the average. Lines with an RPI lower than 0.6 are defined as performing poorly and targeted for corrective action. Lines that have been subjected to corrective actions and do not meet the 0.60 productivity index after six additional months of operation may be discontinued, subject to the Title VI, Metro Service Council, and Board approval processes.

The RPI is calculated and reported quarterly by Metro's Service Planning & Scheduling Department. The performance measurement standardsstaff for eachuse in developing revised service plans to improve route are set annually relative to the percentage improvement of overall system performance relative to the previous year's performance. This percentage improvement will be based on the performance objectives outlined in the Metro Annual Operating Budget.performance.

5.2 Customer Experience

Providing high quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling on the transit network requires that we are service be available when and where our customers want to travel, we are that service be competitive enough to have them customers be willing to try ustransit over other options, and we are that service be attractive enough to ensure they return for the same trip and ideally for more trips. Therefore, our the recommended measures of success are aimed at evaluating the bus network implemented under the NextGen Bus Plan within these three stages of elements, referred to as Find, Try, and Rely. These customer—focused measures help to balance our the traditional metrics of productivity and efficiency (e.g. ridership, boardings per hour, subsidy per boarding). Several of these measures (italicized below) will be used to evaluate the network through the lens of equity.

<u>Find</u> - How well do people understand how effectively transit can serve their needs? Is the system easy to understand and use? Proposed measures include:

- Services and information is Readily Available are readily available
 - Percentage of trip ends within ¼ mile of transit stop
 - Trip planner, app, planning apps and website usage rates
 - Percent of public considering transit (survey-based)
- The-Bus Systemsystem is Easyeasy to Understandunderstand and Useuse
 - Percentage of out-of-direction travel
 - Percentage of route miles with all-day frequent service (<= 15 min headways)
 - Percent of public understandthat understands how to use system (survey-based)

<u>Try</u> - How can we encourage customers to try the regional transit system? (Metro and Municipal Bus Operators) Proposed measures include:

- Bus Goes Where/When Customers Want
- Bus goes where/when customers want
 - Percentage of trips compatible with transit by time of day and day of week
 - Number of jobs and activity centers accessible within a 15-minute and 30-minute transit ride
 - Number of unique transit users
- Bus system is Competitive Competitive
 - Door-to-door travel times
 - Competitiveness of transit time to drive time
 - System-wide boardings
- Coverage is Adequateadequate
 - Population within ¼-mile of transit stops by frequency of service
- Transit Journeys journeys are Simplesimple
 - Average number of transfers
 - Percent of trips that are one-seat rides

<u>Rely</u> - How can we provide services that customers can rely on for their travel needs? Proposed measures include:

- Bus Systemsystem is Effective effective and Productive productive
 - Competitive transit paths for short, evening, midday, and weekend trips
 - Number of frequent customers
 - Boardings by time of day and day of week
 - Boardings per revenue hours and miles
 - Cost per passenger mile
- Buses are Reliable reliable
 - Headway regularity on frequent routes
 - On-time performance
 - Real time arrival accuracy
- Customers are Satisfied satisfied
 - Rides per week for frequent and infrequent users
 - Percentage of customers satisfied with Metro services (survey-based)

5.3 Service Evaluation Process

Services are evaluated monthly, quarterly, and biannually based on the network, lines and segments (geographic, time of day, and day of week)—). Services that are inconsistent with demand or do not meet system standards are identified for restructuring, reduction, or discontinuation. Services that have potential for exceeding existing performance will be identified for possible enhancements as should markets that are currently not well served. The following priorities will be considered when restructuring the Metro system:

- Priority 1 Restructure services to increase system speed, on-time performance, <u>service</u> frequencies consistent with NextGen Bus Plan, productivity, and balance loads.
- Priority 2 Restructure services that are duplicative with Metro Rail, other Metro Bus routes lines, and Municipal and Local Return operator services. Such services will be identified for discontinuation, consolidation, reduction and/or reallocation to achieve greater productivity and cost efficiency.
- Priority 3 Restructure remaining services (constrained by existing budget) based on the service concept and to address major gaps and deficiencies. Prioritize these service adjustments.
- Priority 4 Develop new services (unconstrained) to address all gaps and deficiencies.
 Prioritize these new services.

Significant changes to municipal operator services are incorporated into the evaluation of existing and new services as possible enhancements to address identified gaps or deficiencies in service.


Service Change Performance Evaluation

Schedule adjustments to bus or rail should be evaluated shortly after implementation to determine if there are any obvious issues. This should include line rides and visits to the operating divisions to receive comments and recommendations from customers, operators and supervisors. Appropriate adjustments should be made as required. After three months of operations, the schedules should be evaluated in detail to begin the process of schedule adjustments for the next service change cycle.

Route modifications to bus service should also be evaluated shortly after implementation likebased on the schedule evaluation outlined above. The overall goals of the service changes such as reducing costs, improving connections, increasing bus speeds, and increasing ridership, among others, should have near term goals that are established prior to the service change process. At about 6 months after service implementation, the performance of the changes should be evaluated relative to the established goals. Remedial actions, if necessary, should be developed and considered for the next service change cycle.

SECTION 6: SERVICE CHANGE PROCESS

In 2003, Metro created five localized service areas (Figure 6.1), each to be overseen by a Governance Council. In 2011, Metro restructured and re-established a centralized centrally managed bus-controlled operation to include the service planning and scheduling functionfunctions, while maintaining the authority and responsibility of the five Regional Service Councils to help locally coordinate service changes. Metro restructured the roles and responsibilities of the Governance Councils, now referred to asthese five Regional Service Councils.






Figure 6.1 Metro Service Council Areas Regions

Metro Metro's five Regional Service Councils provide locally accessible public forums for community members, transit users, and local municipal operators to voice concerns, suggestions, and questions on how Metro can best serve customers. Through these forums, Service Council members can:

- better understand customer needs and make recommendations;
- evaluate opportunities and service coordination issues;
- advise and approve the planning and implementation of service changes within their areas.

As stated in the 2011 update to the Service Council bylaws, one of the Service Council's primary responsibilities is to render decisions on proposed bus route changes considering staff's recommendations and public comments. Metro Service Councils (MSC) will be responsible for approving all proposed permanent route-major service changes, excluding turnaround and out of service route modifications, which exceed a cumulative \$100,000 annual operating cost changes. All major service level changes that require public hearings will be brought to the MSCs who will conduct public hearings then vote to approve, modify, or deny the service change proposals. Any significant temporary service change should be brought to the Council for their information but not approval.

Each MSC will be Regional Service Council is responsible for holding public hearings that relate to major service changes (as defined in Title VI Section 6.3 below) to Metro bus and rail lines

that provide significant service within their Regionregion, consistent with State and Federal laws and with Metro policies pertaining to public hearings. Following receipt of public input, the Councils Council is responsible for approving all major service changes that are to be implemented that modify, add or delete Metro bus routes within the Service Council's jurisdiction in conformance with Metro service standards, collective bargaining agreements and Metro policies. When a major service change program requires three or more Councils to hold public hearings, an additional hearing will beis held at a central location, normally at the Metro headquarters building, on an appropriate Saturday.

 Table 6.1
 Major Service Change Timeline

Key Activities	Required Lead Time (Months Prior to Implementation)
Initiate Planning Process	12
Develop Preliminary Recommendations	7-8
Impact Analysis for Proposed Changes	6-7
Title VI Equity Analysis on Major Service Change and Fare Change Proposals	5-7
Service Council Review and Input	6-7
Confer with Labor Relation and Union Representatives	6-7
Public Review and Input	5
Finalize Service Change Program	4-5
Program Approval	3-4
Develop New Service Schedules	2-4
Print Public Timetables and Operator Assignments	1-2
Fabricate Decals for Bus Blades	1-2
Take Ones/Rider Alerts on Buses	<u>0.5-</u> 1

All route and major service changes that are approved by the MSCRegional Service Councils will be brought to the Metro Board of Directors as an information item. Should the Metro Board decide to move a Service Council approved service change to an Action Item, the Service Council will be notified of this change, prior to the next Service Council monthly meeting. Table 6.1 provides the established service change timeline.

6.1 Service Change Programs

Service change programs are developed based on input generated by a wide variety of sources including customerrider, community, and employee input, service restructuring studies, coordination with major Metro capital projects such as new rail alignments or joint developments, requests from other local operators, and performance monitoring results such

1

as load levels and on-time performance. The service change process includes public review of the proposals, a technical evaluation of ridership impact, and Title VI equity analysis.

In accordance with contractual agreements with the Sheet Metal Air, Rail and Transit Union (SMART)¹³, bi-annual service changes will be implemented <u>each year</u> in June and December. Metro service changes are conducted to modify service based on <u>customer demand, running ridership and load factors</u>, on-time <u>adjustments</u>, <u>performance</u>, <u>other</u> performance monitoring results, <u>rider and community input</u>, and budget considerations. A service change process workflow is provided in Figure 6.2.

Other factors considered are service performance, availability of alternatives, and mitigation strategies. As part of the evaluation process, resource impacts to in-service hours and required vehicles are also tracked to ensure compliance with budget parameters. In summary, the purpose of an evaluation on proposed service changes is to:


- Define and evaluate the impact on customers;
- Determine whether a proposed major service change or fare increase will have disparate adverse impact on minorities or a disproportionate burden on low-income individuals by performing a Title VI Equity Analysis;
- Consider alternatives if a disparate adverse impact to minorities or disproportionate burden on low-income individuals are identified;
- Develop appropriate mitigation measures if needed; and
- Determine whether a public hearing is required
- Conduct required public hearing for all major service changes (see definition in Section 6.3 Title VI Equity Analysis).


Changes to the rail system occur less frequently. They generally relate to the opening of a new line or adjustments to the frequency or hours of operation for existing service. Changes in rail and bus service follow the same planning and implementation process.

6.2 Title VI and Metro's Equity PlatformAnalysis

Metro's Equity Platform was adopted in February 2017. The framework for equity begins with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which protects minority and low income communities from disparate and disproportionate negative impacts as a result of major transit service changes. Executive Order 12898 - Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations provides further protection of low-income communities from disparate and disproportionate negative impacts.

¹³ The United Transportation Union (UTU) merged with the Sheet Metal Workers Union in 2014 to form SMART.





Implement Approved Service Change

- Stops & Zones
- Timetables
- Public Information

Metro willmust ensure a Title VI Equity Analysis is performed on all major service change proposals and any fare change proposals to determine if these proposals will have a disparate adverse impact on minorities or disproportionate burden on low-income individuals prior to a public hearing. If it is determined that these proposed changes will have a disparate adverse impact on minorities or a disproportionate burden on low-income individuals, Metro will make a good-faith effort to mitigate or reduce the adverse impacts by looking for alternatives that can meet legitimate program goals with a lesser impact to protected groups.

The framework for equity begins with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which protects people from discrimination based on race, color, or national origin. Impacts on minority and low income communities must be analyzed to identify disparate and disproportionate negative impacts resulting from a fare change or major transit service changes.

In accordance with FTA's Title VI Circular 4702.1B "Title VI Requirements and Guidelines for Federal Transit Administration Recipients" (Effective October 1, 2012), Metro's Administrative Code was revised to incorporate FTA's requirements under Title VI. The Metro Board adopted the updated Administrative Code in January 2013. Based on this Circular, Metro is required to perform a Title VI Equity Analysis on all proposed major service changes or fare changes prior to implementation. The goal is to ensure there is no disparate adverse impact to minorities or disproportionate burden on low-income individuals created by a major service or fare change.

The following definitions and criteria were updated and adopted by the Board in September 2019. The FTA is considering developing an updated circular in 2022. The Administrative Code now contains a reference to these definitions so that it need not be amended every time there is a need to modify the definitions:

Disparate Impact Policy:

Disparate impact refers to a facially neutral policy or practice that disproportionately affects members of a group identified by race, color or national origin and the policy lacks a substantial legitimate justification, including one or more alternatives that would serve the same legitimate objectives but with less disproportionate effects on the basis of race, color or national origin. This policy defines the threshold Metro will utilize when analyzing the impacts to minority populations and/or minority customers.

a. For major service changes, a disparate impact will be deemed to have occurred if the absolute difference between the percentage of minority adversely affected and the overall percentage of minorities is at least five percent (5%). b. For any applicable fare changes, a disparate impact will be deemed to have occurred if the absolute difference between the percentage of minority adversely affected and the overall percentage of minorities is at least five percent (5%)

Disproportionate Burden Policy:

Disproportionate burden refers to a facially neutral policy or practice that disproportionately affects low-income populations more than those populations that are not low-income. A finding of disproportionate burden for major service and fare changes requires Metro to evaluate alternatives and mitigate burdens where practicable.

- 1. For major service changes, a disproportionate burden will be deemed to exist if an absolute difference between percentage of low-income adversely affected by the service change and the overall percentage of low-income persons is at least five percent (5%).
- 2. For fare changes, a disproportionate burden will be deemed to exist if an absolute difference between the percentage of low-income adversely affected and the overall percentage of low-income is at least five percent (5%)

Discretion of the Metro Board of Directors

A *major service change* or *fare increase* may be implemented even if the Title VI Equity Analysis determines a *disparate adverse impact* to minorities was created by the change. However, the Metro Board of Directors must first ensure these changes meet two tests:

- There is a substantial legitimate justification for adopting the proposed major service change or fare increase, meaning the selected service change or fare increase meets a goal that is integral to the mission of Metro; and
- The selected alternative would have a less severe adverse effect on Title VI protected populations than other alternatives that were studied.

Major Service Change

Major service changes are defined in Metro's Administrative Code in Chapter 2-50 Public Hearings Subsection 2-50-010 as any service change that meets at least one of the following criteria:

- A revision to an existing transit route that increases or decreases the route miles and/or the revenue miles operated by 25% or more at one time or cumulatively in any period within 36 consecutive months since the last major service change;
- 2. A revision to an existing transit service that increases or decreases the scheduled trips operated by at least 25% at one time or cumulatively in any period within 36 consecutive months since the last major service change;
- 3. An increase or decrease to the span of service of a transit line of at least 25% at any one time or cumulatively in any period within 36 consecutive months since the last major service change;
- 4. The implementation of a new transit route that provides at least 50% of its route miles without duplicating other routes;

- 5. Six months prior to the opening of any new fixed guideway project (e.g. BRT line or rail line) regardless of whether or not the amount of service being changed meets the requirements in the subsections 1-5 above to be inclusive of any bus/rail interface changes.
- 6. Experimental, demonstration or emergency service changes may be instituted for one year or less without a Title VI Equity Analysis being completed and considered by the Board of Directors. If the service is required to be operated beyond one year the Title VI Equity Analysis must be completed and considered by the Board of Directors before the end of the one year experimental, demonstration or emergency.
- 7. A Title VI Equity Analysis shall not be required if a Metro transit service is replaced by a different route, mode, or operator providing a service with the same headways, fare, transfer options, span of service and stops.

Fare Changes

Any fare change requires an equity evaluation consistent with the following guidance:

- 1. A Fare Equity Analysis shall be prepared for any fare change (increase or decrease). This includes but is not limited to permanent fare changes, temporary changes, promotional fare changes, and pilot fare programs. The analysis will evaluate the effects of fare changes on Title VI protected populations and low-income populations. The analysis will be done for fares not available to the general public such as special discount programs for students, groups or employers.
- 2. If fare changes are planned due to the opening of a new fixed guideway project, an equity analysis shall be completed six months prior to opening of the service.
- 3. Each Title VI Fare Equity Analysis shall be completed and presented for consideration of the Board of Directors in advance of the approval of the proposed fare or fare media change by the Board of Directors. The Equity Analysis will then be forwarded to the FTA with a record of action taken by the Board.
- 4. A Title VI analysis is not required when:
 - a) A change is instituted that provides free fares for all customers;
 - b) Temporary fare reductions are provided to mitigate for other actions taken by Metro;
 - c) Promotional fare reductions are less than six months in duration. An equity analysis must be conducted prior to making any temporary fare change into a permanent part of the fare system.

6.43 Metro's Equity Platform

Metro's Equity Platform builds upon The NextGen Bus Study aimed to go above and beyond Title VI in two distinct ways. First, it goes beyond ethnicity and requirements to analyze disparate impacts and disproportionate burden on minority and low-income populations to determine dentify communities with the greatest mobility needs. To do this, Metro's Equity Platform was integrated into the NextGen Bus Study planning and public engagement process.

The Platform provides a framework that guides how the agency works to address inequities and create more equitable access to opportunity.

The NextGen process started with analysis of Equity Focus Communities (EFCs) Metro's community designation that defines areas where transportation needs are greatest. EFCs consider where there are higher concentrations of resident and household demographics associated with mobility barriers (low-income households earning less than \$60,000 per year; Black, Indigenous, or People of Color (BIPOC) populations; and households that do not have a car). Additionally, the NextGen sought to capture other metrics in a Transit Equity Index to identify transit propensity to ensure investment in transit targeted area populations with the most need to use transit. Through market research, surveys, and public input, other groups determined to be most reliant on transit include non-English speaking new immigrants, youth and seniors, persons without access to an automobile either by choice or necessity, persons with disabilities, and women, who tend to make more transit trips than men. Data available for these groups was used in the calculation of the Transit Equity Index.

Second, NextGen Bus Study aims to go above and beyond Title VI, to not only protect against negative impacts, but to further improve service for communities with the greatest mobility needs. To do this, the The Four Pillars of the Equity Platform have beenwere integrated into the NextGen Bus Study planning and public engagement process.as follows

- I. Define and Measure Use Title VIEFCs as a baseline for identifying communities with the greatest needs, and supplement those with market research to identify the segments of population and trips with the highest propensity for transit use. Evaluate bus network changes based on the customer—focused performance metrics established within this reportTransit Service Policy document with particular focus on communities Equity Focus Communities with the greatest mobility needs as identified above.
- II. Listen & Learn –The technical work of the NextGen Bus Study identified important information about Metro's current and potential customers. This data was validated by thea robust countywide public engagement effort, including that included engaging customers onboardon board buses, at outreach sessions at community events, stakeholder briefings, interactive public workshops, digital engagement, and print advertising. Comments received will bewere incorporated into the systemwide service design as well as individual route changes.
- III. Focus & Deliver Service design concepts (discussed above) have been established within this Transit Service Policy document are intended to address the recurring themes identified from the public outreach and market research, including faster and more frequent service, better reliability and accessibility to key destinations, better connectivity particularly with the municipal operators, and improved perception of securitysafety on board buses and at bus stops. These concepts, described below, will be were used to redesign the routes and schedules for the NextGen Bus Plan.
 - In addition, a Transit Propensity Index score has beenwas developed and assigned to every Census Tract in Los Angeles County. This index score considers the various market segments likelihood to use transit, the transit orientation of the environment being

served, and the travel demand within the area. Areas with high scores should be prioritized for high quality transit service.

Lastly, other customer experience enhancements such as improved securitysafety, accurate real time arrival information, cleanliness, and improved first/last mile service are critical to attracting customers to use transit.

Train & Grow—The Board—adopted Transit Service Policy will be updated to reflect the Regional Service Concept as adopted by the Board, including the goals and objectives of the bus network, measures of success, route and network design concepts based on public input and data analysis, and the framework referenced for balancing tradeoffs in consideration of Metro's Equity Platform. In addition, an

IV. Train & Grow – Service Planning has adopted new tools to analyze the potential impacts of service changes on EFCs. An annual monitoring program will be established to track the progress of achievement towards the goals and objectives, and to inform on necessary adjustments.

6.4 Public Outreach

Prior to a public hearing, several public outreach efforts are made conducted so that the greatest number of customers may respond to the changes at either a public hearing or by submitting written comments at a hearing, or via email, mail, or fax. The distribution of information will include line number, line name, route change information, and/or fare change proposals. Other public outreach occurs at key transportation centers, bus stops, and bus and rail stations 30 days prior to the public hearing date. These efforts are made to reach and engage customers who may not have time to attend a public hearing and to inform them of alternative communication methods available to file public comments. Public participation in the public hearing process is an important step in assisting staff and Metro Service Councils in developing and approving final service change proposals. Table 6.2 provides a timeline for public notification activities.

 Table 6.2
 Timeline for Public Notification Activities

Activity	Months Prior to Service Change
Service Planning staff reviews preliminary proposals.	<u>7</u>
Metro Service Councils set dates of public meetings, publish hearing notices in local newspapers and send LEP and minority communities written notification to elected officials, other operators and key stakeholder groups. Confer with Labor Relations and Union representatives.	<u>5-6</u>
Service Planning staff provides information on proposed changes to the Metro Bus Operators Subcommittee and at quarterly meetings held with the region's municipal and local operators.	<u>3</u>
Communication Department posts information proposed changes on Metro's website.	<u>5</u>

Operations staff distributes meeting notices on board vehicles. Public outreach at key transportation centers, bus stops, and on-board customer interface occurs as well.	Minimum one month prior to public hearings
Metro Service Councils conduct public hearings.	<u>4</u>
Metro Service Councils approve final service change program.	<u>3</u>
Metro Board receives the Service Councils' approved service change program as a Receive and File item.	2 €
Communication Department prepares press releases on final program and program brochures are distributed on-board Metro vehicles and other outlets.	1

In These procedures are in accordance with Metro's Administrative Code in Chapter 2-50 Public Hearings Subsection 2-50-025:

- A. Any public hearing required by Section 2-20-020 shall be conducted as set forth in this section.
- B. Notice of the hearing shall be published in at least one English language and Spanish language newspaper of general circulation and at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of the hearing. Notice at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of the hearing shall also be published in the neighborhood and foreign language and ethnic newspapers as appropriate to provide notice to the members of the public most likely to be impacted by the proposed action.
- C. Notice of the public hearing shall also be announced by brochures in English, Spanish and other appropriate languages on transit vehicles serving the areas to be impacted and at customer service centers.
- D. To ensure that the views and comments expressed by the public are taken into consideration, MTA staff shall prepare a written response to the issues raised at the public hearing. That response should also include a general assessment of the social, economic and environmental impacts of the proposed change, including any impact on energy conservation.
- E. The public hearing related to a recommendation to increase transit fares charged the public shall be held before the Board of Directors and any action taken to increase the fares charged the general public must be approved by a two-thirds vote of the members of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors may delegate to another body or a hearing officer appointed by the Chief Executive Officer the authority to hold the public hearing related to a change in transit service.

Table 6.2 Timeline for Public Notification Activities

Tubic O.E	Time include to the above the attronomical territors	
	Activity	Months Prior to Service Change
	nning staff reviews preliminary proposals.	₽

Metro Service Councils set dates of public meetings, publish hearing notices in local newspapers and send LEP and minority communities written notification to elected officials, other operators and key stakeholder groups. Confer with Labor Relations and Union representatives.	5-6
Service Planning staff provides information on proposed changes to the Metro Bus Operators Subcommittee and at quarterly meetings held with the region's municipal and local operators.	3
Communication Department posts information proposed changes on Metro's website.	5
Operations staff distributes meeting notices on board vehicles. Public outreach at key transportation centers, bus stops, and on board customer interface occurs as well.	Minimum one month prior to public hearings
Metro Service Councils conduct public hearings.	4
Metro Service Councils approve final service change program.	3
Metro Board receives the Service Councils' approved service change program as a Receive and File item.	줃
Communication Department prepares press releases on final program and program brochures are distributed on-board Metro vehicles and other outlets.	÷

The distribution of information will include line number, line name, route change information and/or fare change proposals. Other public outreach occurs at key transportation centers, but stops, and bus and rail stations 30 days prior to the public hearing date. These efforts are made to reach and engage customers who may not have time to attend a public hearing and to inform them of alternative communication methods available to file public comments. Public participation in the public hearing process is an important step in assisting staff and Metro Service Councils in developing and approving final service change proposals. Table 6.2 provides a timeline for public notification activities.

6.5 Public Hearing Process

Once a Service Change Program has been developed by Metro Service Planning Staff, the Metro Service Councils are asked to set a date, time and place for their public hearings. During the period between publication of the hearing notices and public hearings, each Service Council is provided a detailed presentation on service change proposals and given an opportunity to discuss the changes that will be the subject of public comment. After each hearing, each Service Council will meet to consider and approve, modify, or deny all proposed service changes. These actions will then be summarized and presented in an informational report to the Metro Board of Directors.

Under Metro's Service Council by lawsbylaws, all service changes must be reviewed and approved by their respective Service Council(s). Public hearings are usually held at the same location where the Service Councils hold their meetings but may be held at other locations at their discretion. In order to be more accessible to those customers who would be affected by the proposed service changes. When a major service change program requires three or more requiring the associated Councils to hold public hearings affects three or more service regions,

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.56", Don't add space between paragraphs of the same style, No widow/orphan control

thus, an additional hearing will be held at a central location, normally at the Metro headquarters building, on an appropriate Saturday. In accordance with Metro's Administrative Code in Chapter 2-50 Public Hearings Subsection 2-50-020, Metro will hold a public hearing on all major service change or fare change proposals that are subject to a Title VI Equity Analysis. These proposals are subject to Metro Regional Service Council and Metro Board approval.

6.6 Implementing Minor Changes on an Interim Basis

Minor service changes are generally route modifications that can be accommodated without impacting the vehicle or operator requirements of the service. Minor service changes do not require a public hearing but are shared with the relevant Service Councils as a courtesy and can be implemented at the discretion of staff.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Metro Line Identification

The purpose of establishing transit service line identification standards is to create a simple way for customers to identify, locate, and reference Metro services, and thereby make the services easier for customers to use.

The line identification standards shall be adhered to when identifying Metro Bus and Metro Rail lines by name. The standards shall be implemented across all internal and external mediums including but not limited to, rail station signs, bus stop signs, bus station signs, vehicle head signs, vehicle destination signs, timetables, the Metro Transit Trip Planner, HASTUS and ATMS¹⁴. The descriptions and chart below help explain the standards, and how and when they should be implemented.

General Standards

- Transit-service lines will be identified using a combination of line number, destinations (both terminals) and the corridor(s) the line travels along. Metro Rail and Metro BRT service which previously used the established operational names (e.g., Metro Red Line, Metro Purple Line, Metro Orange Line) are being transitioned to names based on a letter-based designation. To ensure consistent usage of transitional naming for Rail and BRT lines, updates to customer information should be referred to the Communications Department.
- Acceptable destination names include a city, community, major landmark, transit center or rail station. Street intersections are no longer to be used as a destination, unless the intersection is required to identify short-line service.
- The destination points will be listed in a West to East or North to South order, consistent with how the line would be read on a map. Destinations on head signs, destination signs, timetables, and physical signage must always be consistent.
- Lines that have Downtown LA as one of the line's end points will list its first, as Downtown
 I A
- The name of the line will also list at least one major corridor on which it travels.
- Name abbreviations, street extensions and other topics will be dictated by the Metro Signage Guidelines.

Printed Materials and Electronic Customer Information

- The line will be presented using the full name, listing both the destinations and major corridor(s).
- Printed materials include, but are not limited to, timetables, service change announcements, brochures, system maps, and service reports.

¹⁴ HASTUS (Horaires et Assignments pour Systems de Transport Urban et Semi-Urban) refers to the software used to create schedules. ATMS (Advanced Transportation Management System)

- Electronic customer information includes the line information presented on metro.netmetro.net and underlying electronic databases such as HASTUS and ATMS.
- The Metro Transit Trip Planner Trip Planners and mobile applications providing real-time data to riders will present the line name similarly to what will be shown on the vehicle head sign and bus stop sign, so customers can easily locate the appropriate line at the stop.

Rail Station Signage

 The line will be presented using the line letter designation, and destination point that the vehicle is traveling to in each direction.

Bus Stop Signage

- The line will be presented using the line number, service brand, color and destination point that the vehicle is traveling to in each direction.
- The main corridor(s) will also be listed as well as special service qualifiers including, but not limited to, rush-hour service and weekday-only service.
- Short-line trip destinations will not be shown on bus stop signs.

Bus Route Numbering Convention

Bus line numbers are assigned to indicate the type of service provided and where the line travels.

Line Numbers	Type of Service
1-99	Travel into downtown Los Angeles, referencing general corridors
	consecutively in a counterclockwise rotation
<u>100s</u>	Operate from east to west and travel outside of downtown Los Angeles
<u>200s</u>	Operate from north to south and travel outside of downtown Los Angeles
300s	Metro Local buses with limited stop service
400s	Arterial express bus services to/from downtown Los Angeles
<u>500s</u>	Freeway express bus services outside of downtown Los Angeles
600s	Operate local shuttle bus service
700s	Metro Rapid bus service
800s	Bus bridges for the rail network
900s	Metro Liner bus service

Vehicle Head Signs

- Head signs will list the destination in which the vehicle is traveling towards in one frame.
- Head signs on Rail and BRT vehicles will list the line letter designation in one frame.
- For short-line trips, the line number and destination shown will be the destination of that trip and not of the entire line.
- When the line is not in service, the sign will read "Not in Service" and display the route number per Operations Notice #09-18.

 Name abbreviations, street extensions and other topics will be dictated by the Metro Signage Guidelines.

Automatic Voice Announcements

- External On-Board Announcements:
 - The line will be identified in automatic external voice announcements using the line number and destination point that the vehicle is traveling to in each direction.
 - For short-line trips, the destination noted will be the destination of that trip and not of the entire line.
- Internal On-Board Announcements:
 - When the automatic voice announcement system identifies a stop, the end destination of that line will follow.
 - The stops and stations announced onboard should be consistent with names used on maps, timetables and other printed materials.

Assigning Line Identifiers

It is expected that the standards will be easily applied to the majority of lines; however, it is also understood that exceptions will have to be made for some lines due to unfamiliar end points or corridors, or where temporary solutions are necessary due to construction, temporary service

changes, or pilot program deployment. In these limited cases, Service Planning staff and Communications must be in consensus regarding these changes before deciding to deviate from the standards. The Stop and Zones Department may also deploy temporary signage at bus and rail facilities as needed when emergency closures or other service changes impact scheduled service. For detailed guidance on using Metro signage standards, Metro Signage and Environmental Graphic Design Standards documents may be obtained from the Communications Department.

Prior Designation	Updated Designation	Updated Line Badge
Blue Line	A Line	A
Red Line	B Line	B
Green Line	C Line	C
Purple Line	D Line	D
Expo Line	E Line	E
Orange Line	G Line	G
Silver Line	J Line	J
Gold Line	L Line	L

Metro's Rail Line Identification, Naming, and Color Conventions

Rail and BRT lines previously denoted by a color will transitiontransitioned to a letter/color combination beginning in November 2019 when the Metro Blue Line reopens after an extended upgrade. Metro's BRT lines will also transition to this naming convention. The letters assigned to each rail line generally conform to the order in which each line went into operation. The current planned designations are depicted in the adjacent chart.

The current planned designations follow:

Prior Designation	Updated Designation	Updated Line Badge
Blue Line	A Line	A
Red Line	B Line	В
Green Line	C Line	C
Purple Line	D Line	D
Expo Line	E Line	E
Orange Line	G Line	G
Silver Line	J Line	J
Gold Line	L Line	L

The Gold Line has been assigned the letter L for clarity and consistency systemwide while. The service plans are being developed plan for the Regional Connector Project. When will result in the Regional Connector is completed, L designation being phased out and the appropriate relevant sections of the Gold Line will become the A Line to Azuza or the E Line.

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

to East LA. The Crenshaw Line will be known as the K Line with a pink color.

APPENDIX B: Los Angeles County Local Fixed and Demand Response Route Transit Operators

				Special Purpose		Local		Inserted Cells
Operator	Municipal		ra Ri ide	Dial a Ride	Municipal	Return	Dial a	Inserted Cells
	Baldv		A	X				Inserted Cells
			F <u></u> 6othill	Transit continued				Inserted Cells
e Valley Transit Authority (AVTA)	• Bradb							Inserted Cells
	• Clare							Inserted Cells
	Covin	a X ond Bar						Inserted cens
Cities and a second of the America								
of Unincorporated Los Angeles	• Duart	-						
	• Glend			X				
	• Indus							Inserted Cells
	• Irwin	dale ^	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<	
	• La Pu • La Ve	ente ^						Inserted Cells
	• La Ve	rne ^						
Fransit serves:								
Beach	• Pasac							
n Beach	• Pomo XSan D							
<u>Beach</u>								
0		El Monte						
<u>o</u>	• Temp	ıt X						
	• Waln							
		X			V	ν/		
	Gardena	.,			Х	X		
	Glendale	X				X		
	Glendora					X		<u> </u>
	Hawthor					X	<u> </u>	
	Hermosa	Beach				X		Inserted Cells
	Huntingt					X		Inserted Cells
	Inglewoo					X		Inserted Cells
		a Fliritridge				X		Inserted Cells
	La Habra	Heights				^	_	X X X
	La Mirad	X						X
	La Puent			X		Х	٨	Inserted Cells
	La Verne	X		_		^	<u></u>	Inserted Cells
	Lakewoo	X						
	Lawndale					Х		Inserted Cells
	Lomita	Х				^		Inserted Cells
it serves member cities of	Long Bea				Х	Х		Inserted Cells
	Los Ange	les X			X	X		Inserted Cells
		les County				X		Inserted Cells
	LU3 Alige	iica county				^	\longrightarrow	Inserted Cells

2022 Metro Transit Service Policies & Standards

		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$		
		,	Local	General	Special Purpose	'	Local		Inserted Cells	
	Operator	Municipal		Ojadra Kide		Municipal	Return	Dial a	Inserted Cells	
L			arita Walley	Transit (SCV	VT) serves		1		Inserted Cells	
Beach			a Clari X a			<u>X</u>	X	7	Inserted Cells	
		Portice	ons of Unir	icorporated '	Los Angeles		^	9	inscrete cens	
			1ty X			'				
		Santa Fe				<u> </u>	Χ	J	Inserted Cells	
		Sa x ita Mo				X	Х	Ţ	Inserted Cells	
ark		Sierra Ma		1		'	Х			
		Signal Hi	lill X			<u> </u>	X		X	
s Estate	s	South El				1		X		
		South Ga				'	Х	J	Inserted Cells	
		South Pa	ısader x a			'	X	Ţ	Inserted Cells	
		Temple C	Jity X			<u> </u>		X	<u>X</u>	
		Torrance	e X	1		X	Х	J	Inserted Cells	
ach		Walnut	Χ			† <u> </u>		7	Inserted Cells	
Estates	9	West Cov					Х	J	Inserted Cells	
		West Ho	lywo x d		_	T'	Х	$\overline{}$	Inserted Cells	
		Westlake	Village	X		7	Х		Inserted Cells	
do		Whittier				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Х	$\backslash \succeq$		
Gabriel		Total	X	X		12 13	62 69		Inserted Cells	
	1			+	X			1	Inserted Cells	
								7	Inserted Cells	

Many of the Local Return systems listed above do not provide fixed route service but instead provide Demand Response services: Hawthorne, Malibu, and Manhattan Beach are examples.

