



## Board Report

---

File #: 2022-0486, File Type: Informational Report

Agenda Number: 39.

---

### OPERATIONS, SAFETY, AND CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE AUGUST 18, 2022

**SUBJECT: MONTHLY UPDATE ON PUBLIC SAFETY**

**ACTION: RECEIVE AND FILE**

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

RECEIVE AND FILE Public Safety Report.

#### **ISSUE**

Metro's main priority is to provide a safe experience for riders and a safe work environment for employees. As noted in the 2021 Public Safety Survey, safety is a top concern for riders - about four-in-ten respondents who have reduced their Metro ridership cited their safety (not related to COVID) as a reason. As a result, the Chief Safety Office is researching, listening, and reassessing current safety programs, and launching new safety initiatives. This report provides a status update on these public safety initiatives.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Metro's mission is to provide high-quality, courteous security across all Metro services and facilities so that every rider feels and is safe while using the Metro system. Metro has implemented several initiatives aimed at educating people of all ages on how to safely ride public transportation, as well as providing riders with the tools to report crime and foster an environment where they are empowered to look out for themselves and each other. Additionally, the Chief Safety Office has partnered with contracted law enforcement agencies to ensure there are community outreach events across all law enforcement jurisdictions. This is so that the riding public may familiarize themselves with the individuals that keep them safe. Finally, the Chief Safety Office continues to incorporate information from surveys, customer complaints, and physical security assessments, amongst others, to analyze a wide array of safety-related issues. Using this information, the Chief Safety Office formulates solutions to problems, anticipates future issues, and develops programs and initiatives for areas needing improvement.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Chief Safety Office is responsible for the strategic and cohesive deployment of Transit Security, private security, and law enforcement personnel. Through their collaboration, they focus on increasing their presence in the system, protecting Metro riders, employees, and infrastructure, and

conducting fare and code enforcement. Furthermore, the Chief Safety Office oversees safety programs and tools such as the *Respect the Ride* campaign, the Transit Watch app, the Public Safety Advisory Committee, and other efforts that are responsive to the security needs of riders and employees. The following initiatives outline the status of existing programs and the research efforts for new initiatives.

**METRO TRANSIT SECURITY (MTS)**

*Quality Service Audits*

For May, MTS completed three (3) Quality Service Audits. MTS Supervisors randomly contacted two (2) external partners and one (1) patron to gain feedback on the performance of our officers. The following are the questions and the ratings from those surveyed.

Performance Category	Greatly exceeded expectations	Exceeded expectations	Met expectations	Needs improvement	Unacceptable
How would you describe the quality of service provided by the Metro Transit Security Officer?	1	-	-	2	-
How would you describe the friendliness/politeness of the Transit Security Officer?	-	1	2	-	-
How knowledgeable did the Transit Security Officer appear in his/her job?	-	1	2	-	-

For June, MTS completed seven (7) Quality Service Audits. MTS Supervisors randomly contacted two (2) internal partners and five (5) patrons to gain feedback on the performance of our officers. The following are the questions and the ratings from those surveyed. (Note that one QSA was submitted without ratings from the patron.)

Performance Category	Greatly exceeded expectations	Exceeded expectations	Met expectations	Needs improvement	Unacceptable
How would you describe the quality of service provided by the Metro Transit Security Officer?	1	5	-	-	-
How would you describe the friendliness/politeness of the Transit Security Officer?	1	5	-	-	-
How knowledgeable did the Transit Security Officer appear in his/her job?	1	5	-	-	-

*Calls for Service*

For May, Transit Security received 593 calls for service, of which 496 (84%) Transit Security

responded to. For June, Transit Security received 491 calls for service, of which 361 (74%) Transit Security responded to. Note, calls that Transit Security didn't respond to were assigned to law enforcement, contract security, or other entities such as maintenance, Rail Operations Control, Bus Operations Control, local fire department, or elevator tech. The following is a breakdown of the call categories and response times.

Service Type	June 2022		May 2022		April 2022	
	MTS Calls Responded	Average Response Time	MTS Calls Responded	Average Response Time	MTS Calls Responded	Average Response Time
Routine	250	14 minutes	437	12 minutes	293	7 minutes
Priority	110	12 minutes	59	6 minutes	218	9 minutes
High Priority	1	3 minutes	0	n/a	0	n/a

## LAW ENFORCEMENT

Our law enforcement partners, LAPD, LBPD, and LASD, work in tandem with Transit Security. Their primary duties are to support Transit Security in situations where it rises to a level of a crime. Furthermore, they lead special task forces to combat repeated crimes such as copper theft and station damage.

### *Law Enforcement Contract Compliance*

SSLE continues to verify that all field Officers/Deputies on duty are tapping their Metro-issued badges at all TAP machines when patrolling Metro buses, trains, and rail stations/corridors. This ensures high visibility for riders utilizing Metro's services while establishing a method of accountability for our contracted law enforcement personnel.

The Compliance Unit reviewed TAP reports provided by Metro's TAP Department against law enforcement deployment documents to verify that Officers/Deputies are tapping at turnstiles and/or readers. After performing a TAP data quality assurance report from late April to late May 2022, it was determined that all contracted law enforcement partners were tapping their Metro-issued badges and securing their assignments across the system.

### *Law Enforcement Highlights*

Below are some highlights of our law enforcement partners' hard work in July on the Metro system.

On July 18, 2022, while conducting train rides at the L Line Duarte Station, LASD's Community Enhancement Team Deputies arrested a suspect wanted for grand theft person and attempted robbery. The suspect committed the crimes onboard the L Line trains on June 25, 2022, and July 8, 2022. Both crimes were captured on CCTV footage, and lookout bulletins depicting the suspect were issued to Transit Services Bureau (TSB) deputies. As a result, the Deputies detained the suspect and positively identified him as the suspect who committed both crimes.

On July 24, 2022, LAPD officers assigned to a fixed post at the Westlake/ MacArthur Park Station observed a male unconscious and not breathing. The officers requested a rescue ambulance and began administering CPR. The partner officer administered NARCAN, which was effective. The

---

subject started breathing independently and was treated at the scene by LAFD and later transported to the Good Samaritan Hospital.

## PRIVATE SECURITY

Private security guards are a great asset to Metro's public ecosystem as they can provide a presence where and when Transit Security officers are limited. Their primary focus is to protect secured areas at stations and private property at Divisions.

Currently, they are aiding the *Respect the Ride* campaign by roving station platforms in efforts to mitigate loitering, observing, and reporting.

## OPERATIONS SAFETY PROGRAMS

### *Respect the Ride Campaign*

Since the program's launch in April, Metro continues to seek ways to help improve riders' transit experience. For example, in May, the program's Street Teams roamed around the stations and distributed 18,500 masks to assist riders in protecting themselves from COVID-19. Efforts like the one mentioned above help improve riders' perception of safety and create an opportunity for our patrons to engage with our staff. On July 11<sup>th</sup>, the campaign expanded to Universal City while maintaining a presence at Union Station, 7<sup>th</sup> & Metro, and North Hollywood.

As mentioned in last month's report, Metro conducted a *Respect the Ride* survey to collect campaign feedback and gain insight into the effectiveness of the newly launched efforts. The following are highlights of the survey results:

- About 52% of the respondents saw Metro personnel helping riders purchase or use TAP cards.
- Over 50% of survey respondents agree that Metro security and/or law enforcement staff is helpful and courteous.
- Over 50% of the respondents were aware of the *Respect the Ride* efforts.
- More than 50% of survey respondents agree that clean stations make them feel safer.
- About 25% of the survey respondents strongly disagree when asked if they feel secure at Metro train stations.

Staff is reviewing the survey results and modifying the program to ensure it continues to meet riders' needs.

### *Fare Enforcement Mystery Rider Program*

Metro's Office of Civil Rights established the Fare Enforcement Mystery Rider Program in December 2021. The Mystery Rider Program verifies that Metro employees are conducting fare enforcement activity in a non-discriminatory manner and that Metro's law enforcement partners are not conducting fare enforcement. Mystery Riders are diverse in gender and racial/ethnic background. To date, Mystery Riders have made over 11,735 observations, with no patterns of discriminatory enforcement being noted. Moving forward, SSLE will incorporate OCR's Mystery Rider data into the monthly

public safety update to the Board.

### *Bus/Rail Operator Assaults and Bus Boardings*

In May, there were a total of nine (9) assaults on bus/rail operators, with seven (7) assaults occurring in LAPD's jurisdiction and two (2) assaults occurring in LASD's jurisdiction. Furthermore, there were 14,684 bus boardings by LAPD officers and 4,398 bus boardings by LASD deputies on various routes throughout the system.

In June, there were a total of fourteen (14) assaults on bus/rail operators, with twelve (12) assaults occurring in LAPD's jurisdiction and two (2) assaults occurring in LASD's jurisdiction. Furthermore, there were 11,194 bus boardings by LAPD officers and 4,284 bus boardings by LASD deputies on various routes throughout the system.

### *LASD's Special Assignment Unit (SAU): Bus Saturation Operation*

A pilot SAU Bus Saturation Operation was launched to decrease operator assaults and increase safety perception. It began on April 25, 2022, and it involves deploying deputies on the bus lines with the highest instances of violence committed against operators.

### *Bus Operator Focus Group Feedback*

In partnership with Operations, SSLE attended Bus Operator Focus Group sessions to hear first-hand safety concerns and operator feedback. Bus Operators across all Divisions made the following concerns/comments regarding safety/security:

- Operators want a greater presence of law enforcement/security on the bus system, particularly after 3 pm into the late-night owl service when they feel the least safe.
- Operators want officers to have more interaction with them, walk through the bus, and exit through the rear door.
- Operators consistently feel unsafe from passenger confrontation. Operators would like personal safety training so they can defend themselves from attack.
- Operators want law enforcement to ride the bus for a few stops. Previously, LASD had a Deputy riding the bus for a few stops while another Deputy followed in a patrol vehicle.
- Metro needs to establish a zero-tolerance policy for abusive behavior against Operators and there needs to be a "Respect the Operator" type campaign that lets people know there are serious consequences for verbally or physically assaulting an Operator.
- Operators want a fully enclosed barrier that doesn't allow for any contact from riders.

In response to this feedback and aligning with the CEO's goal of increasing operator safety, the Chief Safety Office will deploy 50% of its officers during night service on the Metro system. Our staff is currently developing a deployment model to realign resources and a date for implementation.

## **EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT**

**Emergency Operations Center Activation**

On July 19, 2022, in support of the Major League Baseball (MLB) All-Star Game, the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) was activated at a Level 2 (partial activation) to support Operations, Transit Security, and local and federal law enforcement during the evening event. The EOC conducted regular briefings with internal and external stakeholders on rail ridership, bus shuttling activities, and law enforcement/security deployments to ensure a safe traveling experience for visiting fans and our daily patrons. In addition, the EOC provided situational awareness updates to all engaged Metro departments and external agencies via periodic dissemination of Situation Report(s). There were no significant safety/security issues to report.

**COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

**Public Safety Advisory Committee**

From June through July 2022, PSAC held four (4) general meetings, four (4) ad-hoc subcommittee meetings, and two (2) working sessions. In these meetings, the following recommendations were developed: PSAC’s Vision of Metro’s Public Safety Ecosystem (Attachment E), Place-Based Implementation Strategy (Attachment F), Future Recruitment & Outreach (Attachment G), and Metro’s Community Engagement on Public Safety Topics (Attachment H).

**EQUITY PLATFORM**

The random Quality Service Audits (QSA) provide a key assessment tool to help measure and enhance customers’ perception of safety, security, customer service, and public sentiment toward Metro Transit Security. This comes in the form of a survey that asks to rate the service provided by Transit Security Officers. Participants range from external and internal personnel and patrons who ride the system. Spanish language forms are utilized to increase rider feedback. Transit Security is researching other strategies to ensure QSA surveys are inclusive to all riders, regardless of preferred language or ability.

YTD QSA Demographics (Jan - Jun)	YTD Avg. Rating	2019 System Demographics (Onboard Survey)
13.16% African American	15.5	15% African American
31.58% Latino	19	58% Latino
34.21% White	18	12% White
7.89% Asian/Pac. Isl	17	9% Asian/Pac. Isl
0% Native American	NA	1% Native American
0% Other	NA	5% Other
13.16% No Information	13.2	

As mentioned earlier, Metro's Customer Experience department conducted a *Respect the Ride* survey. To make the survey more accessible, it was available in English and Spanish, printed and

---

online via QR code. The survey results indicate some riders do not feel safe at our stations. Metro understands that safety looks different for everyone. Therefore, we are working on addressing safety deficiencies, exploring, and testing new strategies, such as deploying 50% of our security officers at night, to learn if this approach makes our facilities feel safer for all riders.

### **NEXT STEPS**

Staff will continue to monitor our law enforcement partners, private security, and Transit Security performance, monitor crime stats, and adjust deployment as necessary.


### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A - June 1, 2022, PSAC Meeting Minutes  
Attachment B - June 15, 2022, PSAC Meeting Minutes  
Attachment C - July 6, 2022, PSAC Meeting Minutes  
Attachment D - July 20, 2022, PSAC Meeting Minutes  
Attachment E - Recommendations on Metro's Public Safety Ecosystem Memo  
Attachment F - Place-Based Implementation Strategy Recommendations Memo  
Attachment G - Recommendation Future Recruitment & Outreach  
Attachment H - Recommendation for Metro's Community Engagement on Public Safety Topics  
Attachment I - Systemwide Law Enforcement Overview May & June 2022  
Attachment J - MTA Supporting Data May & June 2022  
Attachment K - Transit Police Summary May & June 2022  
Attachment L - Monthly, Bi-Annual, Annual Comparison May & June 2022  
Attachment M - Violent, Prop, and Part 1 Crimes May & June 2022  
Attachment N - Demographics Data May & June 2022  
Attachment O - Bus & Rail Operator Assaults May & June 2022  
Attachment P - Sexual Harassment Crimes May & June 2022

Prepared by: Andrew Black, Deputy Chief Officer, System Security, and Law Enforcement

Imelda Hernandez, Manager, System Security, and Law Enforcement, (213) 922-4848

Reviewed by: Gina Osborn, Chief Safety Officer, Chief Safety Office, (213) 922-3055



Stephanie N. Wiggins  
Chief Executive Officer

# Metro Public Safety Advisory Committee

## General Committee Meeting #28

### Meeting Summary

Wednesday, June 1st, 2022

5:00 – 7:00 p.m.

#### I. Call to Order

##### a. **Zoom Meeting Protocols**

- i. Facilitator Richard France called the meeting to order. Facilitator Dryjanski announced that Spanish and American Sign Language interpretation services would be available during the meeting.

##### b. **Agenda**

- i. Facilitator France reviewed the agenda for the meeting.

##### c. **Roll Call**

**Present:** Andrea Urmanita, Ashley Ajayi, Darryl Goodus, Glenda Murrell, James Wen, Jose Raigoza, Maricela de Rivera, Chauncey Smith, Mohammad Tajsar, Esteban Gallardo, Ma'ayan Dembo, Sabrina Howard, Scarlett de Leon, Clarence Davis, Charles Hammerstein, Glenda Murrell, Constance Strickland

**Absent:** Raul Gomez, Jessica Kellogg, Florence Annang

##### d. **Approval of Meeting Minutes for 05/18/22**

- i. Committee members voted to approve the meeting minutes for the May 18<sup>th</sup>, 2022, meeting.
- ii. Meeting minutes were approved unanimously.

#### II. General Public Comment

The facilitators opened public comments. No public comments were provided.

#### III. Discussion

##### **Envisioning the future public safety ecosystem on Metro**

Committee members discussed strategies and programming that would make up the committee's long-term vision for a public safety approach that will be presented to Metro staff for their consideration.

##### a. **Topic summaries**

- i. Facilitator France described six topic areas related to public safety that the committee has discussed over the past year: station programming, station & infrastructure improvements, public education & messaging, supportive services, staff stewardship & training, and oversight & accountability. These six topics will comprise the initial



framework of the public safety ecosystem recommendations.

- ii. Committee members shared questions and additional categories to be added to the framework.

- iii. **Programming**

1. Member Ajayi highlighted the importance of distributing programming information to ensure riders are aware of upcoming events or new programs being launched.

- iv. **Station and infrastructure improvements**

1. Member Davis indicated that Metro should utilize public art to illustrate historic local moments, as a way for Metro to support local artists and create community-specific spaces.
  - a. Member Smith uplifted Member Davis' comment and other past priorities that emphasize the need for increased community art and green spaces at Metro stations.
  - b. Metro staff shared a [link](#) to information and resources on Metro's public art program.
2. Member Dembo indicated that Metro should prioritize adding shade and shelter from heat/rain as a key infrastructure improvement.
3. Member Raigoza suggested installing plexiglass at bus shelters, rather than glass, to avoid injuries to riders and their pets when there is shelter damage.
4. Member Davis suggested adding continuously accessible surveys at stations and stops to get data on rider experiences. He suggested using some kind of physical infrastructure rather than human surveyors.
5. Member Raigoza called out the MacArthur Park station as an example of the safety and programming benefits of having designated areas for vendors.
  - a. Member Ajayi suggested allowing street vending underground on connections between lines.
  - b. She also called out the importance of having improved wayfinding signage in stations.

- v. **Public education and messaging**

1. Member Wen requested bystander and upstander training be included in this sub-category. He defined an upstander is someone who actively tries to intervene in a crisis.
  - a. Members Strickland and Ajayi supported the request.

- vi. **Staff Stewardship and training**

1. Member Davis highlighted the opportunity to ensure cultural competency

training is part of the transit ambassadors' training curriculum.

vii. **Additional themes and subtopics**

1. Member Davis suggested developing a color-coding system that matches routes with the communities they serve.
  - a. Facilitator France suggested including the recommendation as part of a community wayfinding or design guidelines improvement.
2. Member Murrell recommends increasing the number of CCTV cameras to help operators accurately assess situations and make calls for help.
3. Member Smith suggested considering the inclusion of fareless transit for all low-income riders.
  - a. Facilitator France suggested including this topic under supportive services.
4. Member Dembo requested to add "more frequent and reliable transit service" as a public safety strategy.

b. **Mentimeter Results:** The results of the meeting's Mentimeter exercise (referenced in Sections 3.b.-3.f.) can be found [at this link](#).

c. **Mentimeter exercise:** Facilitator Dryjanski lead the committee through a short Mentimeter poll to identify the top three priority areas for discussion that evening.

- i. Member Wen suggested having cleanliness as its own category.
  1. Facilitator Dryjanski recommended having cleanliness framed as part of station & infrastructure improvements, but also have it under the staff stewardship & presence category when considering the increased deployment of the janitorial staff.
- ii. Committee members voted to discuss the following three categories during the meeting: supportive services, station & infrastructure improvements, and oversight & accountability.

d. **Supportive Services**

- i. Member de Rivera highlighted the importance of community input and recommended Metro continue to request input from a diversity of riders, community members, and advocacy organizations.
- ii. Member Goodus suggested expanding the Metro Micro program and having increased collaboration across service providers.
  1. Member Howard expressed support for this comment.

e. **Station infrastructure improvements**

- i. Member Garcia commented that it is important to make public transit as accessible

as possible through a variety of travel modes, something that may be achieved by adopting strong policies for station-adjacent design guidelines.

- ii. Member De Leon recommended Metro pursue building more bus-only lanes.
  - 1. Members de Rivera and Dembo supported this suggestion.
- iii. Member Raigoza suggested an audit of custodial needs to get to the root cause of continued unmet maintenance needs at certain stations.
- iv. Member Wen recommended an advertisement campaign explaining the various uniforms of Metro staff and the work/services they represent on the Metro system.

**f. Next steps and look ahead**

- i. The facilitation team will circulate a set of draft recommendations that will be voted on during the next committee meeting.
- ii. An additional meeting will be added on June 29<sup>th</sup> to consider the PSAC's position on recommendations for the LA Sheriff's Department contract and provide an update on Metro's budget progress.

#### IV. General Public Comment

The facilitation team took public comments from meeting participants.

- a. Commentor suggested including the Accessibility Advisory Committee as part of the organizations Metro consults with on a future public safety ecosystem.

#### V. Adjournment

- a. Meeting adjourned at 7:01 p.m.

#### VI. Next Steps

- a. The committee will reconvene on 06/15/22.

# Metro Public Safety Advisory Committee

## General Committee Meeting #29

### Meeting Summary

Wednesday, June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022

5:00 – 7:00 p.m.

#### I. Call to Order

##### a. **Zoom Meeting Protocols**

- i. Facilitator Richard France called the meeting to order. Facilitator Dryjanski announced that Spanish and American Sign Language interpretation services would be available during the meeting.

##### b. **Agenda**

- i. Facilitator France reviewed the agenda for the meeting.

##### c. **Roll Call**

**Present:** Ashley Ajayi, Darryl Goodus, Glenda Murrell, James Wen, Jose Raigoza, Maricela de Rivera, Chauncey Smith, Mohammad Tajsar, Esteban Gallardo, Ma'ayan Dembo, Sabrina Howard, Scarlett de Leon, Clarence Davis, Constance Strickland, Florence Annang

**Absent:** Andrea Urmanita, Charles Hammerstein, Raul Gomez, Jessica Kellogg, Glenda Murrell

##### d. **Approval of Meeting Minutes for 06/01/22**

- i. Committee members voted to approve the meeting minutes for the June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2022, meeting.
- ii. Meeting minutes were approved unanimously.

#### II. General Public Comment

The facilitators opened public comments. No public comments were provided.

#### III. Discussion Items

##### **Recommendations on PSAC's Vision for Metro's Public Safety Ecosystem**

Committee members discussed and voted to approve [recommendations on strategies and programming which constitute the committee's long-term vision for Metro's public safety ecosystem](#) on the transit system.

- a. **Context setting:** Facilitator France reviewed the process for drafting the recommendations, which included a group brainstorming session in the previous General Committee meeting and two working sessions to refine the draft document.

- b. Discussion:** committee members provided feedback on the recommendations and developed modifications for the final draft document.
- i. **Key Elements:** Member Davis reminded members of the importance of green space at stations and improved access for elderly riders/riders with disabilities. He also included a provision calling on Metro to utilize suggested donation fares if fareless transit is implemented.
  - ii. **Policing Contracts:** Member Tajsar asked why Relevant Documents 3.1 and 3.2 – both concerned with PSAC’s stance on Metro’s policing contracts – were not summarized as part of the recommendations.
    1. Facilitator France suggested a modification to explicitly state that the committee recommends Metro phase out the contracts and reallocate funding to non-law enforcement alternatives.
    2. Member Goodus indicated he does not support reducing funding or moving away from law enforcement at this time. He believes all services need to be funded adequately.
    3. Facilitator France suggested a modification that states “understanding that Metro has limited funding for public safety strategies, Metro may consider shifting what is currently allocated to law enforcement contracts, with the understanding that the recommended ecosystem does not exclude law enforcement.”
      - a. Member Tajsar agreed with the suggestion
      - b. Member Davis stated the importance of finding a balance between the various public safety strategies.
      - c. Member de Rivera shared that it is important for these recommendations to make a strong statement about the need for funding non-law enforcement alternatives.
      - d. Member Smith reminded members that PSAC has already approved recommendations on the law enforcement contracts and remains consistent with that directive.
  - iii. **Blue Light Infrastructure:** Member Davis emphasized the inclusion of a recommendation for the continued implementation of the Blue Light system.
  - iv. **Citations:** Member Ajayi suggested using the centralized information portal as a way for riders to check on the status of tickets they have received for fare evasion.
    1. Member Davis agreed with the difficulty of being able to find and track tickets with Metro’s current system.
    2. Facilitator France suggested adding language that the centralized communication portal should include a mechanism for riders to check on the status of any tickets.

- c. **Public Comment:** No public comments were provided.
- d. **Proposal:** Facilitator France put forward a proposal to approve the updated recommendations with the following amendments:
  - i. In the “A Call for Systemic Change” paragraph, add additional context about the use of non-law enforcement public safety measures and their funding via the phasing out of Metro’s law enforcement contracts.
  - ii. Under “Safety Infrastructure Improvements,” add a recommendation calling for the continued deployment of Blue Light and Call Point infrastructure.
  - iii. Under “Centralize Communication Portals for the Public,” add “resolve or contest citations” as one of the potential functions for this portal.
    - 1. In this same paragraph, add context about the importance of including call-in functionality and not limiting access solely to online portal options.
- e. **Voting Action**
  - i. Vote to approve Recommendations on PSAC’s Vision for Metro’s Public Safety Ecosystem:
    - 1. Yes: 9 No: 1 Abstain: 1
    - 2. The item was approved.

**Recommendations for Metro’s Place-based Implementation Strategy**

Committee members discussed and voted to approve recommendations on Metro’s Place-based Implementation Strategy.

- a. **Metro staff response to committee recommendations:** Metro staff shared that they support the recommendations and that they align with the agency’s goal to achieve place-based safety solutions.
- b. **Discussion:** Committee members provided feedback on the recommendations and developed modifications for the final draft.
  - i. **Criteria Weighting:** Member Ajayi asked if location criteria would be weighted evenly during decisions for deployment.
    - 1. Metro staff replied that they will work with their team to build out a weighted point system.
    - 2. Facilitator France asked for clarification from Metro staff as the Metro-drafted attachment is still missing details on the point system.
      - a. Metro staff confirmed that they still need to determine the evaluation criteria and scoring mechanism.
  - ii. **Complaints of Police Misconduct:** Member Smith recommended that complaints of police misconduct should be their separate criteria on the recommendations.

1. Members expressed support for this recommendation.
  2. Member Davis added that misconduct reports should not be summarized or condensed when Metro is reviewing these incidents.
- b. **Public Comment:** No public comments were provided.
- c. **Proposal:** Facilitator France put forward a proposal to approve the updated recommendations with the following amendments:
- i. Modify "Location Selection Criteria" #1 "Locations where a high number of assaults and/or police complaints" by:
    1. Changing the wording of "police complaints" to "complaints of misconduct against law enforcement officers".
    2. And separate "assaults" and "complaints of misconduct against law enforcement officers" into two separate criteria.
  - ii. Modify PSAC's recommendation to "Data on Harassment and Assaults" to indicate that Metro should utilize full, contextual reports on police misconduct, rather than abbreviated summary reports of any kind.
- d. **Voting action**
- i. Vote to approve PSAC's recommendations for Metro's Place-based Implementation System
    1. Yes: 11 No: 0 Abstain:0
    2. The item was approved.
- e. **Next steps:**
- i. Facilitation team will update both sets of recommendations and submit them to Metro staff and Metro CEO.

#### IV. General Public Comment

The facilitators opened public comments. No public comments were provided.

#### V. Adjournment

- a. Meeting adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

#### VI. Next Steps

- a. The committee will reconvene on 07/06/22.

# Metro Public Safety Advisory Committee

## General Committee Meeting #30

### Meeting Summary

Wednesday, July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2022

5:00 – 7:00 p.m.

#### I. Call to Order

##### a. **Zoom Meeting Protocols**

- i. Facilitator Thomson Dryjanski called the meeting to order. Facilitator Cuevas-Flores announced that Spanish and American Sign Language interpretation services would be available during the meeting.

##### b. **Agenda**

- i. Facilitator Dryjanski reviewed the agenda for the meeting.

##### c. **Roll Call**

**Present:** Ashley Ajayi, Darryl Goodus, Glenda Murrell, James Wen, Jose Raigoza, Maricela de Rivera, Chauncey Smith, Mohammad Tajsar, Esteban Gallardo, Ma'ayan Dembo, Sabrina Howard, Scarlett de Leon, Clarence Davis, Constance Strickland, Florence Annang

**Absent:** Andrea Urmanita, Charles Hammerstein, Raul Gomez, Jessica Kellogg, Glenda Murrell

##### d. **Approval of Meeting Minutes for 06/15/22**

- i. Committee members voted to approve the meeting minutes for the June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022, meeting.
- ii. Meeting minutes were approved unanimously.

#### II. General Public Comment

The facilitators opened public comments. There were no public comments from meeting participants.

#### III. Discussion Items

##### **PSAC Recruitment and Outreach**

Committee members discussed recruitment and outreach strategies Metro could employ for future PSAC terms. This feedback will help Metro staff further develop their report back to the Board that lays out PSAC's next steps in detail.

- a. **Context setting:** Facilitator Dryjanski shared the status of the outside evaluation of PSAC's progress. After the Board's initial review, they requested an increased level of detail as to how Metro staff will develop the next term of PSAC. One key area where PSAC can inform the report is providing feedback on how Metro recruits future committee members.



**b. First-Term PSAC Cohort Outreach Presentation:** Metro staff provided an overview of the outreach efforts conducted in Fall 2020 to assemble the current PSAC membership. Outreach methods included creating a centralized website and application portal, distributing physical flyers, advertising on social media, and conducting presentations at community meetings.

i. **Term Limits:** Member Davis asked if current PSAC members' terms will be limited to one year.

1. Metro staff responded that the committee charter states one year but the Board decided to extend the term until September to finalize the review of the external evaluation.
2. Member Smith shared that he feels it is unfortunate that members would be replaced after such a brief period. He indicated that it would be useful for future PSAC committees to retain some of the experience that has been built up over the past year.

a. Metro staff responded that the Board has not made a final decision on term limits for members.

**c. Mentimeter Exercise:** Facilitator Dryjanski led the committee through a Mentimeter polling exercise to inform outreach and recruitment for future PSAC terms. The results from the meeting's Mentimeter exercise can be found [at this link](#).

i. **Key Constituencies for Recruitment**

1. **Unhoused Representatives:** Member Smith suggested including committee members who are currently or formerly unhoused to have representation from the unhoused community. He also suggests including representatives from service organizations serving the unhoused community.
2. **Youth Outreach:** Member Davis shared that it is important that Metro's public safety education efforts reach children and teenagers in the community.
3. **Selection Criteria:** Member De Rivera shared concerns about outing members' personal details as part of the process of ensuring a diverse committee. She cautioned Metro to not require potential applicants to reveal personal details as part of the application process.
  - a. Member de Leon echoed Member De Rivera's comment and added that throughout the PSAC process, it feels like members must constantly prove their credentials to sit on the committee.
  - b. Member Garcia shared an opposing opinion, stating that it is important to explicitly state diverse identities – when members feel comfortable – to demonstrate that different groups are being

represented.

- c. Member Smith added that the current composition of PSAC members covers most of the committee's suggestions for key constituencies.
4. **Bios:** Member Annang suggested including pictures and bios of PSAC members to share members' stories and qualifications online.
5. **Intersectionality:** Member Howard recommended recruiting members who are already involved with other issues that intersect with transit, such as housing and public health.

## ii. Outreach Methods

1. **Events:** Member Davis suggested Metro perform outreach during public events such as fairs, sporting events, and holidays. He emphasized these events as important opportunities to connect with low-income Metro riders.
2. **Previous Committee Involvement:** Member de Leon suggested reaching out to previous PSAC applicants who were not accepted and the committee's mailing list.
  - a. Member Dembo also suggested reaching out to meeting participants who gave public comments throughout the process.

## iii. Barriers to Participation

1. **Emotional Labor:** Member De Rivera highlighted the emotional labor that goes into sharing one's experience in public meetings.
  - a. She also added that Metro should set clear expectations regarding the level of outside work required for members early in the application process.
2. **Increased Public Engagement:** Member de Leon suggested using surveys and focus groups to engage the public who are interested in participating but cannot be committee members.
3. **Institutional Knowledge:** Member Goodus shared that there should be some continuity between PSAC terms to ensure the current committee's experience and knowledge can aid new members.
4. **Metro Documents:** Member Davis stated that there should be more accessibility to Metro Board documents. He cited the accessibility of document language and ease of digital access as barriers.

## iv. Application and Interview Process

1. **TAP Card System:** Member Davis recommended increasing outreach by sharing committee opportunities through the TAP Card system.

2. **Healing Framework:** Member Ajayi encouraged Metro to consider PSAC a source of income and trauma support for Metro riders who have experienced harm in the system.
3. **Students:** Member Davis suggested having student-aged PSAC members.

**b. Next Steps**

- i. The facilitation team will draft a document that synthesizes the comments and recommendations for Metro staff review.

#### IV. General Public Comment

The facilitation team took public comments from meeting participants.

- a. Commentor – who has a vision disability – shared the difficulties they have had following along with visual-heavy meetings and accessing materials on Google documents. He suggested linking any Google docs in the agenda and providing meeting materials ahead of time.

#### V. Adjournment

- a. Meeting adjourned at 6:31 p.m.

#### VI. Next Steps

- a. The committee will reconvene on 07/20/22.

# Metro Public Safety Advisory Committee General Committee Meeting #31

## Meeting Summary

Wednesday, July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2022

5:00 – 7:00 p.m.

### I. Call to Order

#### a. Zoom Meeting Protocols

- i. Facilitator Thomson Dryjanski called the meeting to order. Facilitator Cuevas-Flores announced that Spanish and American Sign Language interpretation services would be available during the meeting.

#### b. Agenda

- i. Facilitator Dryjanski reviewed the agenda for the meeting.

#### c. Roll Call

**Present:** Andrea Urmanita, Ashley Ajayi, Darryl Goodus, Glenda Murrell, Maricela de Rivera, Chauncey Smith, Esteban Gallardo, Scarlett de Leon, Clarence Davis, Constance Strickland, Florence Annang, Glenda Murrell

**Absent:** Raul Gomez, Jessica Kellogg, Mohammad Tajsar, Jose Raigoza, Sabrina Howard, Charles Hammerstein, Ma'ayan Dembo

#### d. Approval of Meeting Minutes for 07/06/22

- i. Committee members voted to approve the meeting minutes for the July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2022, meeting.
- ii. The meeting minutes were approved unanimously.

### II. General Public Comment

The facilitators opened public comments.

- a. The commentator indicated they would like to see more bike lockers for riders. They also requested additional improvements to make it easier for cyclists to use Metro buses and trains.

### iii. Discussion Items

#### **Item 1: Advisory Recommendations for Metro's Community Engagement on Public Safety Topics**

Committee members discussed and voted to approve recommendations on how Metro should perform community engagement on public safety topics.

- a. **Context setting:** Members of the Community Engagement ad-hoc committee provided an overview of the recommendations to improve community outreach for public safety topics.
  - i. **Key Goals:** Member Goodus shared that a focus of the committee is for Metro's public engagement to reach as many people as possible, to make the process inclusive, and capture a broad spectrum of experiences. One of their key discussions was about trying to get more members of the public involved in Metro programs.
  - ii. **Facility Conditions:** Member de Rivera highlighted the need for improved cleanliness. She defined the stations as the point where Metro meets their riders and cleanliness is important to improve that relationship.
    - 1. Metro staff commented that funding for custodians has been substantially increased for the FY23 fiscal year.
  - iii. **Community Engagement:** Member Davis emphasized the need for Metro's community engagement to make riders aware of security service updates, new routes and stations, and ballot initiatives. He also reiterated his support for suggested fares on the system.
    - 1. Facilitator Dryjanski asked for clarification on Member Davis' comment on education and engagement in Metro systems.
      - a. Member Davis responded that the goal is to improve communication between riders and Metro to create a safer environment.
      - b. Facilitator Dryjanski restated the recommendations as:
        - i. Improve in-station engagement and customer experience;
        - ii. And to create a standing advisory committee focused on community engagement practices and outreach.
        - iii. Member Davis agreed with these summations.
- b. **Discussion:** Committee members provided feedback on the recommendations and developed modifications for the final draft document
  - i. **In-person Outreach:** Member De Leon uplifted the importance of having Metro workers on the system participating in outreach since online engagement is not always accessible.
  - ii. **Community Stewardship:** Member Strickland suggested Metro reimagine the way they offer access to space, programming, and funding to Metro riders and grant applicants.
    - 1. Facilitator Dryjanski clarified that the recommendation is that Metro improve community stewardship of transit spaces by allowing underutilized spaces to be used by community organizations.

- a. Member Strickland agreed and further emphasized the need for an accessible application process.
  - iii. **Diverse Experiences:** Member Garcia shared appreciation for the recommendations as a whole and emphasized the section that underscores that experiences of safety are different for different population groups.
  - iv. **Prevention system:** Member Davis reiterated his proposal for having a voluntary color-coded TAP Card system for riders with disabilities and children that helps identify them if they get lost.
    - 1. Facilitator Dryjanski summarized the recommendations as:
      - a. Create a regional liaison for local Metro community topics;
      - b. And create a support system for riders prone to getting lost on the system.
      - c. Member Davis agreed with these summations.
  - v. **Customer experience:** Metro staff Osborn shared that they are working with the Customer Experience team to develop a customer service program for transit security officers.
- c. **Public Comments:** No public comments were offered on this agenda item.
- d. **Proposal:** Facilitator Dryjanski put forward a proposal to approve the recommendations with the following additions:
  - i. Improved engagement for in-station customer service;
  - ii. Creating a standing community engagement advisory committee;
  - iii. Improving community stewardship of transit stations by easing access to programming, funding, and space utilization for community purposes;
  - iv. Creating a regional community outreach coordinator;
  - v. And supporting the needs of children and other riders prone to being lost.
- e. **Voting Action**
  - i. Vote tally to approve modified recommendations for Metro's community engagement on public safety:
    - 1. Yes: 9 No: 0 Abstain: 0
    - 2. The item was approved

## Item 2: Recommendations on Metro’s Public Safety Analytics & Bias-Free Policing Policy

Members discussed these recommendations from the Non-Law Enforcement Alternatives ad-hoc committee. When moved to a vote, the recommendations would not be approved as the committee did not reach a simple majority.

- a. **Context Setting:** Facilitator Dryjanski reviewed the committee’s previous recommendations on “Opposing Predictive Policing.” These previous recommendations inform the recommendations up for a vote because they call for Metro to:
  - i. Not use crime data to determine where to deploy law enforcement personnel;
  - ii. To adopt a robust ecosystem of non-law enforcement alternatives;
  - iii. And to use existing equity-driven data platforms.
- b. **Discussion:** Committee members provided feedback on the recommendations and developed modifications for the final draft document
  - i. **Outreach Methods:** Member Ajayi asked for more information on the outreach methods, specifically the call to prioritize in-person engagement and the prioritization of rider requests in the operations and maintenance status data set.
    - 1. Member Goodus responded that some people prefer in-person engagement, and the ad-hoc committee would like to be inclusive of those needs.
    - 2. Member Ajayi suggested adding vehicle operators, bus drivers, rail conductors, and elevator attendants to the datasets recommendation language.
    - 3. Facilitator Dryjanski shared that he will rephrase the recommendation to include frontline workers.
    - 4. Member Murrell made the distinction that service attendants maintain the vehicles, trains, and buses, while custodians maintain the facilities. They both have separate unions and tasks.
  - ii. **Outcomes:** Member Goodus highlighted the importance of the outcomes for the key performance indicators section in summarizing the purpose of the recommendations.
  - iii. **Use of Crime Data:** Metro staff Osborn asked for clarification as to why there are concerns regarding the use of crime data.
    - 1. Facilitator Dryjanski noted that the committee has made the distinction that they are opposed to using crime data specifically to deploy law enforcement resources. They indicate that crime data may be useful for the deployment of other resources.
    - 2. Member Davis responded that he is against the use of data to try to predict crime, as it has led to the over-policing of certain communities.
      - a. Metro staff replied that they do not expect data to be used this way and can see additional resources being provided to stations with high crime report rates, especially regarding vandalism incidents.

3. Member Smith shared that Metro staff is advocating for tools that are racially and economically equitable. He highlighted Metro's current issue with disproportionately citing and arresting riders of color, which leads to more disproportionate outcomes because of the biased data being utilized.
  - a. Members Strickland and Ajayi echoed Member Smith's comments.

**b. Public Comment:** No public comments were provided.

**c. Proposal:** Facilitator Dryjanski put forward a proposal to approve the recommendations with the following modifications:

- i. Add a recommendation on addressing the digital divide for outreach;
- ii. Reframe "Datasets 3.b.i. and 3.d.i." to include requests from Metro frontline staff as well;
- iii. And add transit ambassadors as a key constituent.

**d. Voting Action**

- i. Vote tally to approve recommendations for Metro's Public Safety Analytics and Bias-Free Policing policy:

1. The vote was (6) "yes" votes, (1) "abstain" vote, and (2) members "absent."

- a. Note: At the time that the vote was called, Member Annang had been marked "absent." Approximately two minutes after the vote was called, Member Annang indicated in the Zoom chat that she would "abstain from voting due to [a] bad WiFi [connection]" at her location. She has been marked absent in the summary above.

2. The committee was unable to reach a simple majority to support the approval of the recommendations.

**e. Next steps:** The facilitation team will provide an update as to whether this item will be brought back for consideration.

#### IV. General Public Comment

The facilitators opened public comments. There were no public comments from meeting participants.

#### V. Adjournment

- a. Meeting adjourned at 7:05 p.m.

#### VI. Next Steps

- a. The committee will reconvene on 08/17/22.



## Public Safety Advisory Committee

Prepared by the PSAC Facilitator Team

# MEMO

**Date:** June 22nd, 2022

**To:** Metro Office of the Chief Executive Officer

**From:** Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC)

**Re:** Outcomes from the June 15th, 2022 PSAC Meeting - Place-Based Implementation Strategy Recommendations

During the June 15th, 2021 Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC) meeting, the advisory body held a vote to approve the following:

- A draft of [recommendations on Metro's Place-Based Implementation Strategy](#)

Below is a summary of the committee action:

- PSAC voted to approve a [modified version of the recommendations on Metro's Place-Based Implementation Strategy](#). The vote was 11 “yes” votes and 3 absent members.
- The draft modifications made during the meeting are as follows (and highlighted in green in the document):
  1. Modify “Location Selection Criteria” #1 “Locations where a high number of assaults and/or police complaints” by:
    - Changing the wording of “police complaints” to “complaints of misconduct against law enforcement officers”;
    - And separate “assaults” and “complaints of misconduct against law enforcement officers” into two separate criteria.
  2. Modify PSAC’s recommendation to “Data on Harassment and Assaults” to indicate that Metro should utilize full, contextual reports on police misconduct, rather than abbreviated summary reports of any kind.

## Public Safety Advisory Committee

Prepared by the PSAC Facilitator Team

# MEMO

**Date:** June 22nd, 2022

**To:** Metro Office of the Chief Executive Officer

**From:** Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC)

**Re:** Outcomes from the June 15th, 2022 PSAC Meeting - Recommendations on Metro's Public Safety Ecosystem

During the June 15th, 2021 Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC) meeting, the advisory body held a vote to approve the following:

- A draft of [recommendations on PSAC's Vision of Metro's Public Safety Ecosystem](#)

Below is a summary of the committee action:

- PSAC voted to approve a [modified version of the recommendations on PSAC's Vision of Metro's Public Safety Ecosystem](#). The vote was 9 "yes" votes, 1 "no" vote, 1 "abstain" vote, and 3 absent members.
- The draft modifications made during the meeting are as follows (and highlighted in green in the document):
  1. In the "A Call for Systemic Change" paragraph, add additional context about the use of non-law enforcement public safety measures and their funding via the phasing out of Metro's law enforcement contracts.
  2. Under "Safety Infrastructure Improvements," add a recommendation calling for the continued deployment of Blue Light and Call Point infrastructure.
  3. Under "Centralize Communication Portals for the Public," add "resolve or contest citations" as one of the potential functions for this portal.
    - In this same paragraph, add context about the importance of including call-in functionality and not limiting access solely to online portal options.

# Recommendations on PSAC's Recruitment and Outreach for Future Committees

## About these Recommendations

This document lays out the Public Safety Advisory Committee's (PSAC, the committee) vision for recruiting future cohorts of the committee, as defined during an group discussion at the July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2022 General Committee meeting. Currently, Metro staff are working to produce a detailed workplan for the next term of the advisory committee and have requested committee members' input on recruitment and outreach processes. The following recommendations include identifying key constituencies from which Metro should recruit new members, outreach strategies to reach these groups, barriers to participation, and improvements to the application process. Implementing these recommendations are an opportunity for new advisory committee members to more accurately reflect the transit-dependent populations of Los Angeles County and to build upon the foundation the committee has laid over the past year.

## Key Constituencies

Consistent with the key constituencies identified in both the [Public Safety Ecosystem](#) and [Community Engagement of Public Safety Topics](#) recommendations, the following describes the communities and population groups Metro should engage to recruit future committee cohorts:

Metro should recruit new PSAC members that are transit-riders, particularly **low-income and unhoused transit-riders** who make up a majority of Metro's existing users. The agency should also aim to have the committee composition represent the demographics of the riders, paying special attention to **Black, Indigenous, People of Color (BIPOC) communities; women; youth; older adults; people with disabilities; LGBTQIA+ individuals; victims of crime; formerly incarcerated; immigrant; and neurodivergent** riders. It should work collaboratively with organizations representing these populations to recruit and support potential committee members throughout the application process. Equally important to the process, the inclusion of **frontline Metro employees** and participants with firsthand experience in **public safety and social services on Metro** will provide necessary insight into the on-the-ground workings of the system. Metro should also **reach out to members of the public who have attended PSAC meetings** and invite them to apply.

## Recommendations on Outreach

PSAC has articulated the following recommendations to improve outreach strategies for committee member recruitment:

- **Importance of including key constituencies:** PSAC members acknowledge the successful diversity of the first committee cohort, and through the lessons learned over the past year, have expanded the range of key constituencies to recruit from more underrepresented communities. Engaging and recruiting from the identified key constituencies to provide feedback on Metro's public safety topics is essential as transit riders from different groups will experience safety differently on the system. Metro's public safety strategies will benefit from this increased diversity

as more perspectives will shape their implementation and outcomes. However, the committee cautions against forcing members to out themselves as members of a specific committee in order to join the committee. Consultation with Metro's Office of Equity and Race can help address this sensitivity.

- **In-Person outreach:** PSAC highlighted the need for prioritizing in-person engagement as part of the recruitment for future committee cohorts, with particular focus on in-station engagement and at other locations where high numbers of Metro riders are present. This engagement strategy will be best actualized through the employment of CBO representatives, on-system advertising, and in-person events. CBOs have established relationships working with their constituencies and can identify strong champions with the necessary experience to participate in PSAC. Additionally, thus advertising on vehicles will expose riders to the opportunity to join the committee. Potential PSAC members must have regular interaction with the Metro system. Lastly, in-person outreach at transit stations and community events meets riders where they are at and advertises the opportunity to those riders with limited access to technology. Potential Metro representatives for this outreach can include current committee members as volunteers, transit ambassadors, and elevator attendants.
- **Social media:** PSAC recommends using social media to expand the reach of recruitment activities to a wider audience. Metro can host live-streaming sessions to discuss PSAC and share information about the recruitment process. Additionally, social media is a valuable tool to increase engagement with youth riders. Metro has experience of partnering with social media personalities to promote advisory committees and other Metro initiatives. Previous examples include the *Metro Manners* campaign starring Anna Akana or *Safetyville* campaign for rail safety. By making promotional content for PSAC and other advisory committees, the committee's work is exposed to a wider audience and it can facilitate an increase in applications to the committee. However, Metro should not eschew more traditional forms of advertisement. The committee also recommends utilizing advertisements on television, radio, and local newspapers to boost participation.

## Barriers to Participation

- **Overcoming technology barriers:** Due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, PSAC meetings have been conducted online via the Zoom platform. Several committee members shared challenges of lacking adequate broadband connectivity and necessary technology to fully participate in PSAC meetings. This will likely pose an issue for future PSAC participants as well, with many low-income communities in the Metro service area lacking access to reliable and affordable internet. PSAC recommends that Metro subsidize access to broadband services and provide the necessary equipment when required to bridge the digital divide for future members. Additionally, PSAC encourages the use of in-person meetings at accessible times to combat this difference in digital access, within COVID safety protocols.
- **Accommodating parents and working members:** Many PSAC members are working parents and have specific needs that offer a barrier to meeting committee commitments. Committee meetings have been scheduled for 5:00 p.m. on weekdays, when many members are just leaving work. While virtual meetings have alleviated the challenges of travel time, future in-person meetings will present difficulties. Access to childcare is unequal for different communities. The provision of childcare can help parents to more fully participate in committee meetings. PSAC recommends providing child care resources for both members and the attending members of the

public at in-person events. Additionally, holding meetings at times outside of working hours will help parents and working members be present and reduce stress from commuting. The committee recommends adjusting meeting times to include nights and weekends, which will increase access for working people to attend as committee members or audience participants.

- **Language accessibility:** PSAC calls for written, spoken, and recorded outreach materials to be written plainly and translated/interpreted into as many languages as possible. Plain language reduces barriers for committee members to understand complicated professional jargon. One of the key challenges for first-term PSAC members was learning how to read and access Metro-drafted materials, notably Board reports. Metro staff can draft written materials with this layperson audience in mind or can host learning opportunities with advisory committee members to build understanding of these materials. Additionally, proactive translation and interpretation services, meaning providing these services/materials without public request, should also be standard to improve the experience of English as a second language committee members and attending members of the public. There are more than 180 languages spoken in LA County and Metro should act proactively to ensure recruitment materials are ready to meet the needs of these various communities.
- **Building understanding of Metro's public safety ecosystem:** PSAC members cite getting up to speed on Metro's current public safety ecosystem and all associated programs as one of the key challenges to the early phases of PSAC. The committee recommends that more emphasis is placed on educating members in the early stages of their tenure. This will ensure higher levels of participation and a more robust understanding of the context that the agency is operating within. Special "bootcamp" sessions, one-on-one check-ins with members, or change in the agency's approach to presentations can help educate new members. The committee also recommends that there is a continuity of committee members between the first and second terms, to ensure that the institutional knowledge that has been built up remains.

## Application and Interview Process

- **Drawing on the experience of current members:** PSAC recommends having current and former members be involved in the application review and interview process as advisory members. This will help to provide insight for applicants and Metro staff to the requirements of being a committee member. During the interview process, members would be able to identify strengths in potential candidates that are best suited to work in the committee and provide recommendations as to gaps in the current recruitment selection regarding the communities represented.
- **Application Assistance:** PSAC's application and interview process can be confusing, especially for people who may not have experience applying for advisory committees or navigating municipal bureaucracy. Offering application and interview assistance would help low-income and disabled applicants be more competitive in the recruitment process. This support may include providing translations of the application, assistance with filling out forms, and interview preparation assistance.

# Advisory Recommendations for Metro's Community Engagement on Public Safety Topics

## About these Recommendations

These recommendations provide guidance on what community voices Metro should center in the process of community engagement, offer categorical recommendations on community outreach methods, provide an expanded definition of programs that should be considered as part of riders' experience of safety, and provide suggestions for improving survey creation.

**Expanding the Scope of Public Safety Topics:** Much like PSAC's recommendations to expand Metro's [Public Safety Ecosystem](#) to include a variety of new non-law enforcement alternatives, PSAC recommends that Metro expand its view of the topics and programs that contribute to public safety and engage the community accordingly. This scope of programs must expand beyond solely law enforcement, security personnel, and social service providers. Public safety outreach should also include topics like fareless transit and low-income fare subsidy programs, transportation services that assist vulnerable riders like ACCESS or Metro Micro, and station and vehicle conditions.

## Key Constituencies

As previously noted in the [Public Safety Ecosystem recommendations](#), the following describes the key constituencies Metro should regularly engage on topics of public safety on the transit system:

*PSAC advises Metro to center the following voices in discussions concerning public safety. Naturally, transit riders' voices should be at the forefront, with a particular emphasis on addressing the needs of **low-income riders** that make up the majority of Metro's existing users. The agency should also pay close attention to **Black, Indigenous, People of Color (BIPOC) communities; women; youth; older adults; people with disabilities - physical, intellectual, and developmental; and LGBTQIA+** rider needs. It should work collaboratively with organizations representing these populations to identify and meet those needs. Equally important, including Metro's **vehicle operators and frontline staff like custodians, station attendants, and others**, should play a large role in shaping public safety policy on the system. Metro should also consult with **community-based organizations** representing issue areas like **civil rights and racial equity, disability advocacy, faith communities, bicycle and pedestrian safety, and the local arts and design communities**.*

## Recommendations on Outreach

PSAC has articulated the following recommendations to improve and expand outreach on public safety topics:

- Defining public safety with transit riders:** PSAC feels it is essential to further define the concept of "public safety" with the wider transit-using public. Metro's previous surveys on Customer Experience and Public Safety have been useful steps towards reaching this goal but lacked long-term engagement with riders and these surveys are more appropriate as point-in-time snapshots. In previous meetings, PSAC was informed that surveys are ineffective tools at offering insights on open-ended concepts. Therefore, the committee recommends deploying focus groups or other in-depth engagement strategies with riders to develop a concrete definition of "public safety" that is in line with Metro's [Mission, Vision, and Values for Public Safety](#). Participants

should be drawn from the groups mentioned in the “Key Constituencies” section and compensated for their time and labor.

- **Outreach to women, persons with disabilities, BIPOC, and other key constituencies:** The experience of safety on Metro is not monolithic. Riders from these different groups will have divergent experiences of safety on the transit system. For instance, different PSAC members have noted that law enforcement officers are a source of perceived security for persons with disabilities, whereas many BIPOC riders may feel targeted and oppressed through officer’s presence. Woman-identified and non-binary riders experience safety very differently from their male-identified counterparts. It is essential that Metro engage representatives from these constituencies separately to develop priorities unique to those groups, and then together to develop a shared definition of public safety on the system.
- **Ensuring participation:** PSAC calls for Metro’s community engagement methods to eliminate as many barriers to participation as possible. Understanding that the majority of Metro’s ridership are low-income riders of color - a population group known to have barriers to civic participation - the agency should create the conditions to reach these groups. This can include paying community-based organizations with established community connections to do the outreach, doing extensive engagement on the transit system or other places where low-income riders congregate, holding meetings on nights and weekends, offering translation services, and providing food, entertainment, and childcare to boost participation in traditionally low-turnout groups like mothers and families.

PSAC also recommends that Metro endeavor to involve more members of the public in the agency’s advisory committee process. Participation is not limited to acting as a committee member. This can include increasing attendance and public comment at public advisory committee meetings, utilizing incentives like raffles and prizes to get new participants to attend meetings, or utilizing more frequent surveys and external focus groups to inform the recommendations of the advisory committee.

- **Expanding outreach methods:** While Metro outreach frequently taps into existing community events, Metro can consider hosting its own event or partnering with other municipal agencies to host a large-scale event. An event of this kind would be best hosted in an area where low-income Metro riders are concentrated. Events where Metro has representation are also an opportunity for volunteers to support Metro outreach. The agency should engage interested persons to represent the agency and provide support during events. **Another expanded method of connecting with community members could include designating staff to act as liaisons on community outreach topics for neighborhoods or regions. This position would offer a friendly point of contact who can build lasting relationships with local residents and stakeholders.**

Metro can also consider holding regularly-occurring meetings with target communities that are not tied to projects or improvements. This kind of “open door policy” will help build relations and knowledge sharing between the communities most in need of Metro services and Metro staff. Similar to Metro’s topical advisory committees, PSAC recommends that Metro form advisory committees based on locale and use this local knowledge to improve service and amenities in that region or neighborhood. One potential input from this process would be for local community members to provide in-depth feedback on their local stops and stations.

- **Bridging the digital divide:** PSAC calls for Metro to support people who have poor internet access, lack access to the necessary technology, or do not have the requisite skills to participate through a digital medium. This can include providing mobile hotspots in target areas, providing access to technologies like smartphones, laptops, or data plans, hosting technology skills training concurrent with other events, and hosting more in-person events within COVID protocols. Hosting in-person events can also be beneficial for members of the disability community who have communication barriers. Advertisements can also be placed outside of the digital space to reach

communities with low technological access. Locating Metro ads, meeting notices, and other announcements in local newspapers, television, and radio can aid in reaching these groups.

- **Language Accessibility:** PSAC calls for written, spoken, and recorded outreach materials to be written in the plain language and translated/interpreted into as many languages as possible. Plain language ensures that there are no barriers to understanding due to complicated jargon. Translation and interpretation are essential in LA County. The County has more than 180 languages spoken here, necessitating that Metro should act proactively to ensure translation or interpretation meet the needs of specific communities and does not have to occur as the result of a community member's request.<sup>1</sup> The agency should know their audience in a community when doing locational outreach.
- **Reaching unhoused riders:** As Metro is a key public good in Los Angeles County, it provides both transportation and shelter to many members of the unhoused community. PSAC recommends that Metro expand their engagement with the unhoused population on topics related to public safety, as well as the evaluation of Metro's homeless outreach programs. When reaching out to unhoused riders and soliciting feedback, it is essential to have compensation that can be meted out at the time of engagement. With many unhoused persons lacking a permanent address, sending compensation retroactively would prove difficult.
- **Artful, interactive, & community-focused:** PSAC recommends that outreach can also be creative and inspiring to community members. Artist activations or gamified programming can be a unique way of surveying. Metro should consider partnering with the LA County Arts Council and local artists to build out this programming.
- **Engagement on Customer Experience:** PSAC recommends that Metro allocate additional funding to engage more riders more frequently on Customer Experience topics, with a strong focus on the experience of customer service in stations and bus stops. As noted in many PSAC recommendations, the topics of service reliability, experience of stations and bus stops, personal security, homelessness, cleanliness, and other related topics hold a direct relation to transit riders' perceptions of public safety. The committee recommends that the agency prioritize an expanded outreach program on this topic to improve rider perceptions.
- **Creation of a Community Outreach advisory committee:** PSAC recommends that Metro create a standing advisory committee that advises on outreach methods and engagement outcomes for Metro projects and programs. This committee would be responsible for providing insight on connecting with hard-to-reach communities, overseeing the performance of Metro's various community engagement efforts, and ensuring that the agency is employing high-quality and equitable engagement strategies.
- **Improving community stewardship of underutilized Metro stations:** At this time, many Metro stations are spaces where riders pass through, rather than community destinations providing services and experiences outside of transit. In keeping with Metro's Transit-Oriented Community principles and the [Metro Board Motion 37 \(File #: 2020-0429\)](#) directive on improved community stewardship, the committee recommends that Metro allocate underutilized spaces at stations for community engagement purposes. This could include providing spaces for local vendors, offering space for community-based organizations to provide services and connect with residents, or offering space for artist installations. By allowing local experts to activate these spaces, it will create a greater feeling of connection between residents and their local stations, while improving safety outcomes via increased presence at the station.
- **Supporting riders prone to getting lost:** PSAC recommends that Metro implement staff liaisons and other programming supports to meet the needs of children, community elders, and other riders prone to getting lost on the system. This program could include creating a registry for these

---

<sup>1</sup> Barragan, Bianca. "More than Half of Los Angeles Speaks a Language Other than English at Home." *Curbed LA*, Curbed LA, 4 Nov. 2015, <https://la.curbed.com/2015/11/4/9904020/los-angeles-languages>.



riders, providing special TAP card passes identifying them as riders with special needs, assigning point of contact liaisons to these vulnerable riders, and providing training to Metro in-station staff on how to identify a rider in need and the proper protocols to take in that situation.

- **Additional Programs:** PSAC recommends that the following programs also have outreach conducted through a public safety lens:
  - Metro Micro
  - ACCESS Services
  - Low-Income Fare is Easy program & any fareless transit programs
  - UPass and Go Pass subsidized fare programs for college students
  - Building knowledge of and participation in Metro's advisory committees

## Reframing Surveys for Public Safety

PSAC has articulated the following strategies for improving Metro's surveying practices:

- Engage in more frequent in-person surveys;
- Surveyors should be of the surveyed community or culturally competent and equipped with appropriate language skills;
- Deploy mechanisms that allows surveying at stops/stations without Metro staff e.g. through TAP card machines or purpose built infrastructure;
  - *Any newly implemented surveying mechanisms should provide real-time feedback to Metro staff.*
- Ensure that all survey participants are compensated for their time in a timely and accessible manner;
- And include the following topics as part of future surveys related to public safety:
  - Cleanliness of local stations
  - Quality of the amenities at local stations
  - Community needs for desired amenities at local stations
  - Quality of transit service
  - Opinions on non-law enforcement alternatives


# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW

## MAY 2022


### Average Incident Response Times

These graphs show how long it takes (in minutes) for LAPD, LASD, and LBPD to respond to Emergency, Priority, and Routine calls


**LAPD**



**LASD**




**LBPD**




# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW

MAY 2022

### Percentage of Time Spent on the System






### Bus Operator Assaults



# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW

MAY 2022

## Ratio of Staffing Levels vs Vacant Assignments




# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW


MAY 2022

## Ratio of Proactive vs Dispatched Activity


### LAPD




### LASD



### LBDP



## Grade Crossing Operations



### Grade Crossing Operation Locations May:



1. Blue Line Stations (236)
2. Expo Line Stations (124)
3. Gold Line Stations (323)

# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW

## JUNE 2022

### Average Incident Response Times


These graphs show how long it takes (in minutes) for LAPD, LASD, and LBPD to respond to Emergency, Priority, and Routine calls




# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW

JUNE 2022

### Percentage of Time Spent on the System






### Bus Operator Assaults



# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW

JUNE 2022

## Ratio of Staffing Levels vs Vacant Assignments






# SYSTEM-WIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW


JUNE 2022

## Ratio of Proactive vs Dispatched Activity


**LAPD**




**LASD**



**LBDP**



## Grade Crossing Operations



Grade Crossing Operation Locations June:

1. Blue Line Stations (211)
2. Expo Line Stations (89)
3. Gold Line Stations (181)

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

REPORTED CRIME				
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	LBDP	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0	1
Rape	0	0	0	1
Robbery	1	2	0	47
Aggravated Assault	2	0	1	65
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0	0
Battery	4	0	1	86
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	0	1
Sex Offenses	2	0	0	12
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>213</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	LBDP	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	0	6
Larceny	1	3	0	45
Bike Theft	0	0	0	3
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0	3
Arson	0	0	0	3
Vandalism	3	5	1	38
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>98</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	LBDP	FYTD
Weapons	0	0	0	9
Narcotics	0	0	0	17
Trespassing	0	0	0	5
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>342</b>

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
7th St/Metro Ctr	1	1	0	9
Pico	5	3	0	17
Grand/LATTC	0	0	0	10
San Pedro St	2	0	0	9
Washington	1	0	0	29
Vernon	0	0	0	12
Slauson	1	1	0	15
Florence	1	2	0	16
Firestone	0	0	0	29
103rd St/Watts Towers	0	0	0	9
Willowbrook/Rosa Parks	0	1	0	65
Compton	0	1	0	21
Artesia	0	2	0	29
Del Amo	0	1	0	17
Wardlow	0	0	0	10
Willow St	1	0	0	7
PCH	0	0	0	6
Anaheim St	1	0	0	4
5th St	0	1	0	2
1st St	0	0	0	1
Downtown Long Beach	0	0	0	16
Pacific Av	0	0	0	8
Blue Line Rail Yard	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>342</b>

ARRESTS				
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBDP	FYTD
Felony	2	2	0	90
Misdemeanor	4	10	3	294
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>384</b>

CITATIONS				
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBDP	FYTD
Other Citations	0	8	0	172
Vehicle Code Citations	0	0	27	644
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>816</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE				
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBDP	FYTD
Routine	2	64	1	884
Priority	27	101	47	1,629
Emergency	1	17	16	313
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>2,826</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBDP
Dispatched	20%	5%	3%
Proactive	80%	95%	97%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Blue Line-LAPD	87%
Blue Line-LASD	82%
Blue Line-LBDP	80%

GRADE CROSSING OPERATIONS				
LOCATION	LAPD	LASD	LBDP	FYTD
Washington St	80	0	0	351
Flower St	38	0	0	131
103rd St	1	0	0	7
Wardlow Rd	0	0	3	63
Pacific Ave.	0	0	0	0
Willowbrook	0	34	0	635
Slauson	0	3	0	98
Firestone	0	10	0	123
Florence	0	13	0	220
Compton	0	26	0	524
Artesia	0	19	0	551
Del Amo	0	9	0	273
Long Beach Blvd	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2,976</b>

LEGEND
Los Angeles Police Department
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
Long Beach Police Department

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	1
Rape	0	1	3
Robbery	0	6	39
Aggravated Assault	0	1	16
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	1
Battery	1	0	23
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	1
Sex Offenses	0	0	7
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>91</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	1
Larceny	0	4	20
Bike Theft	0	0	0
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	1
Arson	0	0	0
Vandalism	1	0	33
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>55</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	1	6
Narcotics	0	1	5
Trespassing	0	0	3
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>160</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	0	3	43
Misdemeanor	0	4	49
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>92</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	0	7	99
Vehicle Code Citations	0	0	80
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>179</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	5	108	1,341
Priority	16	82	789
Emergency	0	11	94
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>2,224</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	16%	16%
Proactive	84%	84%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
Redondo Beach	0	1	1	13
Douglas	0	0	0	2
El Segundo	1	0	0	4
Mariposa	1	0	0	5
Aviation/LAX	0	1	0	7
Hawthorne/Lennox	1	1	0	14
Crenshaw	2	0	0	20
Vermont/Athens	1	2	0	10
Harbor Fwy	1	0	0	11
Avalon	0	0	0	10
Willowbrook/Rosa Parks	1	0	0	24
Long Beach Bl	0	0	0	15
Lakewood Bl	0	0	0	8
Norwalk	1	0	1	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>160</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Green Line-LAPD	90%
Green Line-LASD	92%

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

## MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1
Robbery	1	0	52
Aggravated Assault	2	0	42
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0
Battery	3	2	59
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	2
Sex Offenses	0	0	4
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>160</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	0
Larceny	6	1	73
Bike Theft	0	0	2
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	1
Vandalism	1	0	15
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>91</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0	4
Narcotics	0	0	0
Trespassing	0	0	3
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>258</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	2	0	31
Misdemeanor	0	0	17
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	1	0	26
Vehicle Code Citations	0	0	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	10	41	731
Priority	39	17	808
Emergency	5	3	89
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>1,628</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	16%	16%
Proactive	84%	84%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Expo Line-LAPD	89%
Expo Line-LASD	96%

GRADE CROSSING OPERATIONS			
LOCATION	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Exposition Blvd	78	0	412
Santa Monica	0	42	665
Culver City	N/A	4	94
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>1,171</b>

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
7th St/Metro Ctr	0	0	0	4
Pico	0	0	0	2
LATTC/Ortho Institute	0	0	0	8
Jefferson/USC	1	1	0	9
Expo Park/USC	0	0	0	9
Expo/Vermont	0	3	0	20
Expo/Western	1	2	0	42
Expo/Crenshaw	0	0	0	26
Farmdale	0	0	0	10
Expo/La Brea	1	0	0	26
La Cienega/Jefferson	1	0	0	13
Culver City	0	0	0	13
Palms	0	1	0	4
Westwood/Rancho Park	2	0	0	5
Expo/Sepulveda	0	0	0	13
Expo/Bundy	0	0	0	5
26th St/Bergamot	0	0	0	4
17th St/SMC	0	0	0	10
Downtown Santa Monica	2	1	0	35
Expo Line Rail Yard	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>258</b>

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

#### REPORTED CRIME

CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	FYTD
Homicide	0	1
Rape	0	5
Robbery	4	54
Aggravated Assault	9	111
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0
Battery	22	200
Battery Rail Operator	1	3
Sex Offenses	9	37
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>411</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0
Larceny	5	106
Bike Theft	0	6
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0
Arson	0	0
Vandalism	6	62
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>174</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0
Narcotics	0	0
Trespassing	6	42
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>627</b>

#### ARRESTS

AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Felony	6	74
Misdemeanor	8	73
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>147</b>

#### CITATIONS

AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Other Citations	8	56
Vehicle Code Citations	9	27
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>83</b>

#### CALLS FOR SERVICE

AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Routine	25	261
Priority	178	1,807
Emergency	8	160
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>2,228</b>

#### DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE

AGENCY	LAPD
Dispatched	20%
Proactive	80%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>

#### CRIMES PER STATION

STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
Union Station	12	0	1	67
Civic Center/Grand Park	5	0	2	24
Pershing Square	4	0	1	43
7th St/Metro Ctr	2	0	0	60
Westlake/MacArthur Park	1	0	1	73
Wilshire/Vermont	5	2	1	53
Wilshire/Normandie	2	0	0	6
Vermont/Beverly	2	2	0	37
Wilshire/Western	0	0	0	14
Vermont/Santa Monica	2	1	0	35
Vermont/Sunset	2	0	0	21
Hollywood/Western	2	0	0	27
Hollywood/Vine	0	0	0	28
Hollywood/Highland	0	2	0	40
Universal City/Studio City	1	0	0	31
North Hollywood	6	3	0	67
Red Line Rail Yard	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>626</b>

#### PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM

Red Line- LAPD	89%
----------------	-----

#### LEGEND

Los Angeles Police Department

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1
Robbery	1	1	14
Aggravated Assault	0	2	20
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0
Battery	2	4	30
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	1
Sex Offenses	0	0	8
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>74</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	1
Larceny	1	2	28
Bike Theft	0	0	7
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	3
Arson	0	0	1
Vandalism	0	0	40
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>80</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0	1
Narcotics	0	0	9
Trespassing	0	0	8
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>172</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	2	2	48
Misdemeanor	2	4	124
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>172</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	1	11	175
Vehicle Code Citations	0	0	31
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>206</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	2	151	1,797
Priority	26	123	1,271
Emergency	3	11	128
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>3,196</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	20%	11%
Proactive	80%	89%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
APU/Citrus College	0	0	0	12
Azusa Downtown	0	0	0	9
Irwindale	0	1	0	9
Duarte/City of Hope	0	0	0	8
Monrovia	0	0	0	9
Arcadia	0	0	0	12
Sierra Madre Villa	1	0	0	21
Allen	0	1	0	4
Lake	3	0	0	9
Memorial Park	1	0	0	8
Del Mar	0	0	0	3
Fillmore	0	0	0	5
South Pasadena	0	0	0	4
Highland Park	0	0	0	4
Southwest Museum	0	0	0	10
Heritage Square	0	1	0	4
Lincoln/Cypress	0	0	0	3
Chinatown	0	0	0	5
Union Station	3	0	0	9
Little Tokyo/Arts Dist	0	0	0	0
Pico/Aliso	0	0	0	4
Mariachi Plaza	0	0	0	4
Soto	0	0	0	5
Indiana (both LAPD & LASD)	0	0	0	2
Maravilla	0	0	0	2
East LA Civic Ctr	2	0	0	2
Atlantic	0	0	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>172</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Gold Line-LAPD	90%
Gold Line-LASD	89%

GRADE CROSSING OPERATIONS			
LOCATION	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Marmion Way	64	0	240
Arcadia Station	0	15	188
Irwindale	0	19	349
Monrovia	0	7	159
City of Pasadena	0	56	650
Magnolia Ave	0	0	8
Duarte Station	0	17	234
City Of Azusa	0	47	356
South Pasadena	0	8	91
City Of East LA	0	39	634
Figueroa St	51	0	142
<b>TOTAL GOAL= 10</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>3,051</b>

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

REPORTED CRIME		
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0
Rape	0	0
Robbery	2	7
Aggravated Assault	2	14
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0
Battery	0	15
Battery Bus Operator	0	3
Sex Offenses	1	1
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>40</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0
Larceny	0	3
Bike Theft	0	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0
Arson	0	0
Vandalism	0	2
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0
Narcotics	0	0
Trespassing	0	0
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>46</b>

ARRESTS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Felony	0	9
Misdemeanor	0	7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>

CITATIONS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Other Citations	46	344
Vehicle Code Citations	208	886
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>1,230</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Routine	0	11
Priority	14	75
Emergency	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>87</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE	
AGENCY	LAPD
Dispatched	15%
Proactive	85%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE BUS SYSTEM	
Orange Line- LAPD	90%

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
North Hollywood	0	0	0	9
Laurel Canyon	0	0	0	2
Valley College	0	0	0	0
Woodman	0	0	0	2
Van Nuys	1	0	0	7
Sepulveda	0	0	0	4
Woodley	1	0	0	1
Balboa	1	0	0	3
Reseda	1	0	0	5
Tampa	0	0	0	1
Pierce College	0	0	0	2
De Soto	1	0	0	2
Canoga	0	0	0	3
Warner Center	0	0	0	0
Sherman Way	0	0	0	2
Roscoe	0	0	0	0
Nordhoff	0	0	0	2
Chatsworth	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>

**MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022**

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1
Robbery	1	0	2
Aggravated Assault	0	0	4
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0
Battery	1	0	5
Battery Bus Operator	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	0	0	0
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	0
Larceny	1	0	6
Bike Theft	0	0	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0
Vandalism	0	0	0
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0	0
Narcotics	0	0	1
Trespassing	0	0	1
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	0	0	5
Misdemeanor	0	0	11
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	89	0	409
Vehicle Code Citations	295	0	1,139
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,548</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	1	5	39
Priority	5	1	39
Emergency	0	1	6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>84</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	16%	4%
Proactive	84%	96%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE BUS SYSTEM	
Silver Line- LAPD	91%
Silver Line- LASD	93%

**Los Angeles Police Department**  
**Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department**

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
El Monte	0	0	0	1
Cal State LA	0	0	0	0
LAC/USC Medical Ctr	0	0	0	0
Alameda	1	0	0	3
Downtown	0	0	0	1
37th St/USC	0	0	0	0
Slauson	0	0	0	3
Manchester	0	0	0	1
Harbor Fwy	1	1	0	6
Rosecrans	0	0	0	0
Harbor Gateway Transit Ctr	0	0	0	6
Carson	0	0	0	0
PCH	0	0	0	0
San Pedro/Beacon	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>



## MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	1
Rape	1	0	1
Robbery	6	1	54
Aggravated Assault	8	6	99
Aggravated Assault on Operator	2	1	35
Battery	15	2	252
Battery Bus Operator	4	1	98
Sex Offenses	1	0	19
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>559</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	3
Larceny	14	3	117
Bike Theft	0	1	17
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	1	7
Arson	0	0	0
Vandalism	6	3	85
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>229</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	2	10
Narcotics	0	8	52
Trespassing	0	0	6
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>856</b>

LASD's Crimes per Sector		
Sector		FYTD
Westside	3	41
San Fernando	0	13
San Gabriel Valley	5	57
Gateway Cities	13	97
South Bay	8	91
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>299</b>

LAPD's Crimes per Sector		
Sector		FYTD
<b>Valley Bureau</b>		
Van Nuys	2	19
West Valley	2	11
North Hollywood	2	25
Foothill	2	10
Devonshire	1	7
Mission	2	11
Topanga	2	9
<b>Central Bureau</b>		
Central	5	72
Rampart	5	43
Hollenbeck	5	17
Northeast	2	23
Newton	3	33
<b>West Bureau</b>		
Hollywood	2	23
Wilshire	6	36
West LA	1	13
Pacific	1	16
Olympic	6	55
<b>Southwest Bureau</b>		
Southwest	5	57
Harbor	0	3
77th Street	2	53
Southeast	1	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>557</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	2	9	96
Misdemeanor	4	34	421
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>517</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	3	53	608
Vehicle Code Citations	0	8	147
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>755</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	2	90	1,596
Priority	9	130	1,389
Emergency	0	15	147
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>3,132</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	15%	2%
Proactive	85%	98%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE BUS SYSTEM	
LAPD BUS	89%
LASD BUS	92%

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

# UNION STATION

ATTACHMENT J

## MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - MAY 2022

REPORTED CRIME		
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0
Rape	0	2
Robbery	4	23
Aggravated Assault	0	25
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0
Battery	13	130
Battery Rail Operator	0	0
Sex Offenses	1	12
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>192</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	FYTD
Burglary	0	5
Larceny	1	62
Bike Theft	2	8
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	2
Arson	0	0
Vandalism	1	25
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>102</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0
Narcotics	0	0
Trespassing	1	22
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>316</b>

ARRESTS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Felony	5	63
Misdemeanor	15	88
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>151</b>

CITATIONS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Other Citations	2	10
Vehicle Code Citations	0	14
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Routine	15	122
Priority	51	551
Emergency	8	31
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>704</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE	
AGENCY	LAPD
Dispatched	20%
Proactive	80%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT AT UNION STATION	
LOCATION	LAPD
Union Station	89%

LEGEND
Los Angeles Police Department

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022

REPORTED CRIME				
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0	1
Rape	0	0	0	1
Robbery	3	1	1	52
Aggravated Assault	0	5	0	70
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0	0
Battery	1	3	3	93
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	0	1
Sex Offenses	0	0	0	12
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>230</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	0	6
Larceny	2	2	3	52
Bike Theft	0	0	0	3
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0	3
Arson	0	0	0	3
Vandalism	0	1	0	39
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>106</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP	FYTD
Weapons	0	2	1	12
Narcotics	0	10	0	27
Trespassing	0	0	0	5
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>380</b>

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
7th St/Metro Ctr	0	0	0	9
Pico	2	0	0	19
Grand/LATTC	0	0	0	10
San Pedro St	1	1	0	11
Washington	1	1	0	31
Vernon	0	0	0	12
Slauson	1	0	5	21
Florence	0	1	1	18
Firestone	1	0	1	31
103rd St/Watts Towers	0	0	0	9
Willowbrook/Rosa Parks	4	1	3	73
Compton	0	0	0	21
Artesia	3	0	2	34
Del Amo	0	1	0	18
Wardlow	0	0	0	10
Willow St	1	1	0	9
PCH	0	0	0	6
Anaheim St	2	1	0	7
5th St	0	0	0	2
1st St	0	0	0	1
Downtown Long Beach	0	1	1	18
Pacific Av	1	0	0	9
Blue Line Rail Yard	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>380</b>

ARRESTS				
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP	FYTD
Felony	1	13	2	106
Misdemeanor	1	98	0	393
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>499</b>

CITATIONS				
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP	FYTD
Other Citations	0	45	0	217
Vehicle Code Citations	1	5	13	663
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>880</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE				
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP	FYTD
Routine	1	57	4	946
Priority	12	95	30	1,766
Emergency	1	15	13	342
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>3,054</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP
Dispatched	18%	6%	2%
Proactive	82%	94%	98%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Blue Line-LAPD	89%
Blue Line-LASD	85%
Blue Line-LBPDP	80%

GRADE CROSSING OPERATIONS				
LOCATION	LAPD	LASD	LBPDP	FYTD
Washington St	76	0	0	427
Flower St	34	0	0	165
103rd St	1	0	0	8
Wardlow Rd	0	0	2	65
Pacific Ave.	0	0	0	0
Willowbrook	0	21	0	656
Slauson	0	6	0	104
Firestone	0	13	0	136
Florence	0	23	0	243
Compton	0	9	0	533
Artesia	0	19	0	570
Del Amo	0	7	0	280
Long Beach Blvd	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3,187</b>

LEGEND
Los Angeles Police Department
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
Long Beach Police Department

## MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	1
Rape	1	0	4
Robbery	0	5	44
Aggravated Assault	0	1	17
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	1
Battery	1	0	24
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	1
Sex Offenses	0	0	7
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>99</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	1
Larceny	1	1	22
Bike Theft	0	1	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	1
Arson	0	0	0
Vandalism	0	4	37
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>62</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	1	7
Narcotics	0	4	9
Trespassing	0	1	4
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>181</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	0	10	53
Misdemeanor	0	17	66
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>119</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	0	45	144
Vehicle Code Citations	7	2	89
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>233</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	6	123	1,470
Priority	10	81	880
Emergency	1	17	112
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>2,462</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	17%	12%
Proactive	83%	88%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Green Line-LAPD	91%
Green Line-LASD	94%

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
Redondo Beach	1	1	0	15
Douglas	0	1	0	3
El Segundo	0	1	0	5
Mariposa	0	0	0	5
Aviation/LAX	0	0	0	7
Hawthorne/Lennox	1	0	1	16
Crenshaw	0	1	0	21
Vermont/Athens	2	0	2	14
Harbor Fwy	1	1	0	13
Avalon	1	0	0	11
Willowbrook/Rosa Parks	0	0	0	24
Long Beach BI	1	0	1	17
Lakewood BI	1	0	0	9
Norwalk	0	2	2	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>181</b>

**MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022**

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1
Robbery	6	0	58
Aggravated Assault	8	1	51
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0
Battery	3	1	63
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	2
Sex Offenses	1	0	5
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>180</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	0
Larceny	6	0	79
Bike Theft	0	0	2
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	1
Vandalism	0	0	15
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>97</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0	4
Narcotics	0	2	2
Trespassing	0	0	3
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>286</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	1	1	33
Misdemeanor	1	9	27
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>60</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	3	23	52
Vehicle Code Citations	0	0	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>54</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	9	45	785
Priority	53	32	893
Emergency	6	5	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1,778</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	17%	15%
Proactive	83%	85%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Expo Line-LAPD	89%
Expo Line-LASD	97%

GRADE CROSSING OPERATIONS			
LOCATION	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Exposition Blvd	82	0	494
Santa Monica	0	7	672
Culver City	N/A	0	94
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1,260</b>

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
7th St/Metro Ctr	1	0	0	5
Pico	1	0	0	3
LATTC/Ortho Institute	0	0	0	8
Jefferson/USC	1	1	0	11
Expo Park/USC	4	0	0	13
Expo/Vermont	0	0	0	20
Expo/Western	4	2	0	48
Expo/Crenshaw	2	2	0	30
Farmdale	3	0	0	13
Expo/La Brea	1	0	0	27
La Cienega/Jefferson	0	0	0	13
Culver City	1	0	0	14
Palms	0	0	0	4
Westwood/Rancho Park	1	1	0	7
Expo/Sepulveda	0	0	0	13
Expo/Bundy	0	0	0	5
26th St/Bergamot	1	0	0	5
17th St/SMC	0	0	0	10
Downtown Santa Monica	0	0	2	37
Expo Line Rail Yard	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>286</b>

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022

#### REPORTED CRIME

CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	FYTD
Homicide	0	1
Rape	0	5
Robbery	5	59
Aggravated Assault	12	123
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0
Battery	12	212
Battery Rail Operator	0	3
Sex Offenses	0	37
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>440</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0
Larceny	12	118
Bike Theft	0	6
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0
Arson	0	0
Vandalism	4	66
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>190</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0
Narcotics	0	0
Trespassing	1	43
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>673</b>

#### ARRESTS

AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Felony	3	77
Misdemeanor	5	78
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>155</b>

#### CITATIONS

AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Other Citations	4	60
Vehicle Code Citations	10	37
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>97</b>

#### CALLS FOR SERVICE

AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Routine	24	285
Priority	157	1,964
Emergency	14	174
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>2,423</b>

#### DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE

AGENCY	LAPD
Dispatched	20%
Proactive	80%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>

#### CRIMES PER STATION

STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
Union Station	2	0	0	69
Civic Center/Grand Park	2	2	0	28
Pershing Square	3	1	0	47
7th St/Metro Ctr	1	1	0	62
Westlake/MacArthur Park	7	3	1	84
Wilshire/Vermont	1	2	0	56
Wilshire/Normandie	0	0	0	6
Vermont/Beverly	2	1	0	40
Wilshire/Western	0	0	0	14
Vermont/Santa Monica	2	1	0	38
Vermont/Sunset	1	0	0	22
Hollywood/Western	3	1	0	31
Hollywood/Vine	2	1	0	31
Hollywood/Highland	2	0	0	42
Universal City/Studio City	1	2	0	34
North Hollywood	0	1	0	68
Red Line Rail Yard	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>672</b>

#### PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM

Red Line- LAPD	89%
----------------	-----

#### LEGEND

Los Angeles Police Department

### MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1
Robbery	1	2	17
Aggravated Assault	0	1	21
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0
Battery	2	1	33
Battery Rail Operator	0	0	1
Sex Offenses	0	1	9
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>82</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	1	2
Larceny	1	1	30
Bike Theft	0	1	8
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	3
Arson	0	0	1
Vandalism	0	0	40
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>84</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0	1
Narcotics	0	4	13
Trespassing	0	3	11
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>191</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	0	6	54
Misdemeanor	2	42	168
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>222</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	0	89	264
Vehicle Code Citations	5	0	36
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>300</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	8	147	1,952
Priority	19	77	1,367
Emergency	0	6	134
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>3,453</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	21%	6%
Proactive	79%	94%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
APU/Citrus College	0	1	1	14
Azusa Downtown	0	0	3	12
Irwindale	0	1	0	10
Duarte/City of Hope	1	0	0	9
Monrovia	1	0	1	11
Arcadia	1	0	0	13
Sierra Madre Villa	0	0	0	21
Allen	0	0	1	5
Lake	0	0	0	9
Memorial Park	2	0	0	10
Del Mar	0	0	0	3
Fillmore	0	0	1	6
South Pasadena	0	0	0	4
Highland Park	2	0	0	6
Southwest Museum	0	0	0	10
Heritage Square	0	0	0	4
Lincoln/Cypress	0	0	0	3
Chinatown	0	0	0	5
Union Station	0	1	0	10
Little Tokyo/Arts Dist	0	0	0	0
Pico/Aliso	0	0	0	4
Mariachi Plaza	0	0	0	4
Soto	1	0	0	6
Indiana (both LAPD & LASD)	0	0	0	2
Maravilla	0	0	0	2
East LA Civic Ctr	0	0	0	2
Atlantic	0	1	0	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>191</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE RAIL SYSTEM	
Gold Line-LAPD	90%
Gold Line-LASD	92%

GRADE CROSSING OPERATIONS			
LOCATION	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Marmion Way	69	0	309
Arcadia Station	0	2	190
Irwindale	0	14	363
Monrovia	0	3	162
City of Pasadena	0	17	667
Magnolia Ave	0	0	8
Duarte Station	0	3	237
City Of Azusa	0	11	367
South Pasadena	0	5	96
City Of East LA	0	2	636
Figueroa St	55	0	197
<b>TOTAL GOAL= 10</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3,232</b>

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

## MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022

REPORTED CRIME		
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0
Rape	0	0
Robbery	1	8
Aggravated Assault	0	14
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0
Battery	0	15
Battery Bus Operator	1	4
Sex Offenses	0	1
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>42</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0
Larceny	2	5
Bike Theft	0	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0
Arson	0	0
Vandalism	1	3
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0
Narcotics	0	0
Trespassing	0	0
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>51</b>

ARRESTS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Felony	2	11
Misdemeanor	0	7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>

CITATIONS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Other Citations	25	369
Vehicle Code Citations	121	1,007
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>1,376</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Routine	0	11
Priority	6	81
Emergency	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>93</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE	
AGENCY	LAPD
Dispatched	15%
Proactive	85%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE BUS SYSTEM	
Orange Line- LAPD	91%

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
North Hollywood	0	0	0	9
Laurel Canyon	0	0	0	2
Valley College	0	0	0	0
Woodman	0	0	0	2
Van Nuys	0	0	0	7
Sepulveda	0	0	0	4
Woodley	0	0	0	1
Balboa	0	0	0	3
Reseda	0	1	0	6
Tampa	0	0	0	1
Pierce College	0	0	0	2
De Soto	0	0	0	2
Canoga	0	1	0	4
Warner Center	0	0	0	0
Sherman Way	0	0	0	2
Roscoe	1	1	0	2
Nordhoff	0	0	0	2
Chatsworth	1	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>51</b>



**MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022**

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1
Robbery	0	0	2
Aggravated Assault	1	0	5
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0	0
Battery	0	0	5
Battery Bus Operator	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	0	0	0
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	0
Larceny	0	0	6
Bike Theft	0	0	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0
Vandalism	0	0	0
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0	0
Narcotics	0	0	1
Trespassing	0	0	1
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	0	0	5
Misdemeanor	1	3	15
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	36	0	445
Vehicle Code Citations	177	0	1,316
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,761</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	1	0	40
Priority	3	2	44
Emergency	0	0	6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>90</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	16%	1%
Proactive	84%	99%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

CRIMES PER STATION				
STATION	CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	FYTD
El Monte	0	0	0	1
Cal State LA	0	0	0	0
LAC/USC Medical Ctr	0	0	0	0
Alameda	0	0	0	3
Downtown	0	0	0	1
37th St/USC	0	0	0	0
Slauson	0	0	0	3
Manchester	1	0	0	2
Harbor Fwy	0	0	0	6
Rosecrans	0	0	0	0
Harbor Gateway Transit Ctr	0	0	0	6
Carson	0	0	0	0
PCH	0	0	0	0
San Pedro/Beacon	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE BUS SYSTEM	
Silver Line- LAPD	90%
Silver Line- LASD	98%

**Los Angeles Police Department**  
**Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department**

## MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022

REPORTED CRIME			
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0	1
Rape	0	0	1
Robbery	8	2	64
Aggravated Assault	8	1	108
Aggravated Assault on Operator	1	1	37
Battery	20	5	277
Battery Bus Operator	10	1	109
Sex Offenses	3	0	22
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>619</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Burglary	0	0	3
Larceny	10	1	128
Bike Theft	1	2	20
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	7
Arson	0	0	0
Vandalism	3	4	92
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>250</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Weapons	0	2	12
Narcotics	0	8	60
Trespassing	0	1	7
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>948</b>

LASD's Crimes per Sector		
Sector		FYTD
Westside	4	45
San Fernando	1	14
San Gabriel Valley	3	60
Gateway Cities	16	113
South Bay	4	95
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>327</b>

LAPD's Crimes per Sector		
Sector		FYTD
<b>Valley Bureau</b>		
Van Nuys	1	20
West Valley	0	11
North Hollywood	1	26
Foothill	1	11
Devonshire	0	7
Mission	1	12
Topanga	1	10
<b>Central Bureau</b>		
Central	8	80
Rampart	1	44
Hollenbeck	0	17
Northeast	4	27
Newton	3	36
<b>West Bureau</b>		
Hollywood	6	29
Wilshire	3	39
West LA	2	15
Pacific	1	17
Olympic	13	68
<b>Southwest Bureau</b>		
Southwest	13	70
Harbor	0	3
77th Street	4	57
Southeast	1	22
<b>Total</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>621</b>

ARRESTS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Felony	3	14	113
Misdemeanor	3	48	472
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>585</b>

CITATIONS			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Other Citations	1	116	725
Vehicle Code Citations	1	22	170
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>895</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE			
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD	FYTD
Routine	5	109	1,710
Priority	18	150	1,557
Emergency	1	16	164
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>3,431</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE		
AGENCY	LAPD	LASD
Dispatched	21%	2%
Proactive	79%	98%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT ON THE BUS SYSTEM	
LAPD BUS	89%
LASD BUS	92%

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	

# UNION STATION

ATTACHMENT J

## MONTHLY UPDATE ON TRANSIT POLICING PERFORMANCE - JUNE 2022

REPORTED CRIME		
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	LAPD	FYTD
Homicide	0	0
Rape	0	2
Robbery	0	23
Aggravated Assault	1	26
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	0
Battery	11	141
Battery Rail Operator	0	0
Sex Offenses	3	15
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>207</b>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	LAPD	FYTD
Burglary	0	5
Larceny	6	68
Bike Theft	1	9
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	2
Arson	0	0
Vandalism	2	27
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>111</b>
CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY	LAPD	FYTD
Weapons	0	0
Narcotics	0	0
Trespassing	1	23
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>341</b>

ARRESTS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Felony	7	70
Misdemeanor	8	96
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>166</b>

CITATIONS		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Other Citations	3	13
Vehicle Code Citations	1	15
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>28</b>

CALLS FOR SERVICE		
AGENCY	LAPD	FYTD
Routine	9	131
Priority	50	601
Emergency	5	36
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>768</b>

DISPATCHED VS. PROACTIVE	
AGENCY	LAPD
Dispatched	16%
Proactive	84%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>

PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT AT UNION STATION	
LOCATION	LAPD
Union Station	89%

LEGEND	
Los Angeles Police Department	

# Transit Police

## Monthly Crime Report



Attachment K

	2021	2022
	May	May
<b>CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS</b>		
Homicide	0	0
Rape	1	2
Robbery	17	30
Aggravated Assault	39	33
Aggravated Assault on Operator	1	3
Battery	50	70
Battery on Operator	7	6
Sex Offenses	5	14
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY</b>		
Burglary	2	0
Larceny	35	42
Bike Theft	5	3
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	1
Arson	3	0
Vandalism	23	27
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY</b>		
Weapons	5	3
Narcotics	19	9
Trespassing	6	7
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>250</b>
<b>ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS</b>		
Arrests	42	123
Citations	187	776
Calls for Service	1,367	1,487

# Transit Police

## Monthly Crime Report



Attachment K

	2021	2022
	June	June
<b>CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS</b>		
Homicide	1	0
Rape	0	1
Robbery	24	35
Aggravated Assault	42	39
Aggravated Assault on Operator	0	2
Battery	55	63
Battery on Operator	5	12
Sex Offenses	6	8
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY</b>		
Burglary	2	1
Larceny	31	48
Bike Theft	5	6
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0
Arson	1	0
Vandalism	23	19
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>CRIMES AGAINST SOCIETY</b>		
Weapons	4	6
Narcotics	19	28
Trespassing	12	7
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>275</b>
<b>ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS</b>		
Arrests	131	233
Citations	237	755
Calls for Service	1,339	1,443

## MONTHLY, BI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL COMPARISON

MAY 2022

**Crimes**

Monthly	System-Wide	May-21	May-22	% Change
	Crimes Against Persons	120	158	31.67%
	Crimes Against Property	69	73	5.80%
	Crimes Against Society	30	19	-36.67%
	Total	219	250	14.16%

Six Months	System-Wide	Dec-20-May-21	Dec-21-May-22	% Change
	Crimes Against Persons	658	1,003	52.43%
	Crimes Against Property	334	482	44.31%
	Crimes Against Society	156	109	-30.13%
	Total	1,148	1,594	38.85%

Annual	System-Wide	Jun-20-May-21	Jun-21-May-22	% Change
	Crimes Against Persons	1,317	1,885	43.13%
	Crimes Against Property	647	905	39.88%
	Crimes Against Society	252	239	-5.16%
	Total	2,216	3,029	36.69%

**Average Emergency Response Times**

Monthly	May-21	May-22	Change in Seconds	% Change
	3:54	5:53	119	50.85%

Six Months	Dec-20-May-21	Dec-21-May-22	Change in Seconds	% Change
	4:16	5:07	51	19.92%

Annual	Jun-20-May-21	Jun-21-May-22	Change in Seconds	% Change
	4:35	4:58	23	8.36%

**Bus Operator Assaults**

Monthly	May-21	May-22	% Change
	8	9	12.50%

Six Months	Dec-20-May-21	Dec-21-May-22	% Change
	41	82	100.00%

Annual	Jun-20-May-21	Jun-21-May-22	% Change
	81	149	83.95%

**Ridership**



Monthly	May-21	May-22	% Change
	17,890,474	21,548,571	20.45%

Six Months	Dec-20-May-21	Dec-21-May-22	% Change
	94,063,736	123,497,016	31.29%

Annual	Jun-20-May-21	Jun-21-May-22	% Change
	193,085,720	251,397,216	30.20%

# MONTHLY, BI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL COMPARISON

## MAY 2022



## MONTHLY, BI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL COMPARISON

JUNE 2022

**Crimes****Monthly**

<b>System-Wide</b>	<b>Jun-21</b>	<b>Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Crimes Against Persons	133	160	20.30%
Crimes Against Property	63	74	17.46%
Crimes Against Society	35	41	17.14%
<b>Total</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>19.05%</b>

**Six Months**

<b>System-Wide</b>	<b>Jan-21-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jan-22-Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Crimes Against Persons	702	994	41.60%
Crimes Against Property	343	503	46.65%
Crimes Against Society	175	135	-22.86%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,220</b>	<b>1,632</b>	<b>33.77%</b>

**Annual**

<b>System-Wide</b>	<b>Jul-20-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jul-21-Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Crimes Against Persons	1,340	1,912	42.69%
Crimes Against Property	649	916	41.14%
Crimes Against Society	278	245	-11.87%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,267</b>	<b>3,073</b>	<b>35.55%</b>

**Average Emergency Response Times****Monthly**

<b>Jun-21</b>	<b>Jun-22</b>	<b>Change in Seconds</b>	<b>% Change</b>
5:24	5:27	3	0.93%

**Six Months**

<b>Jan-21-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jan-22-Jun-22</b>	<b>Change in Seconds</b>	<b>% Change</b>
4:20	5:11	51	19.62%

**Annual**

<b>Jul-20-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jul-21-Jun-22</b>	<b>Change in Seconds</b>	<b>% Change</b>
4:35	4:58	23	8.36%

**Bus Operator Assaults****Monthly**

<b>Jun-21</b>	<b>Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
5	14	180.00%

**Six Months**

<b>Jan-21-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jan-22-Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
38	79	107.89%

**Annual**

<b>Jul-20-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jul-21-Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
76	158	107.89%

**Ridership****Monthly**

<b>Jun-21</b>	<b>Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
18,802,040	21,031,952	11.86%

**Six Months**

<b>Jan-21-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jan-22-Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
97,770,171	123,432,147	26.25%



**Annual**

<b>Jul-20-Jun-21</b>	<b>Jul-21-Jun-22</b>	<b>% Change</b>
197,550,854	253,627,128	28.39%



# MONTHLY, BI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL COMPARISON

JUNE 2022



**Violent and Property Crimes  
May 2022**

Attachment M

<b>VIOLENT CRIMES</b>	<b>5/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>4/01/2022 TO 4/30/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>4/01/2022 TO 4/30/2022</b>	<b>3/01/2022 TO 3/31/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2021 TO 5/31/2021</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2020 TO 5/31/2020</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Homicide	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	1	1	0.0%	1	0	N/A
Rape	2	3	-33.3%	3	1	200.0%	7	5	40.0%	7	5	40.0%
Robbery	30	23	30.4%	23	33	-30.3%	140	80	75.0%	140	94	48.9%
Agg Assault	33	42	-21.4%	42	51	-17.6%	186	128	45.3%	186	94	97.9%
Agg Assault on Operator	3	3	0.0%	3	4	-25.0%	14	7	100.0%	14	4	250.0%
<b>TOTAL VIOLENT</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>-4.2%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>-20.2%</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>76.6%</b>
<b>PROPERTY CRIMES</b>	<b>5/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>4/01/2022 TO 4/30/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>4/01/2022 TO 4/30/2022</b>	<b>3/01/2022 TO 3/31/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2021 TO 5/31/2021</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2020 TO 5/31/2020</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Burglary	0	2	-100.0%	2	1	100.0%	7	5	40.0%	7	3	133.3%
Larceny	42	58	-27.6%	58	56	3.6%	238	135	76.3%	238	200	19.0%
Bike Theft	3	5	-40.0%	5	7	-28.6%	21	14	50.0%	21	24	-12.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	1	0.0%	1	4	-75.0%	9	5	80.0%	9	9	0.0%
<b>TOTAL PROPERTY</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>-30.3%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>-2.9%</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>16.5%</b>
<b>TOTAL PART 1</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>-16.8%</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>-12.7%</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>43.9%</b>

This table summarizes Violent Crimes and Property Crimes, which make up Part 1 Crimes.

**Violent and Property Crimes  
June 2022**

Attachment M

<b>VIOLENT CRIMES</b>	<b>6/01/2022 TO 6/30/2022</b>	<b>5/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>5/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>4/01/2022 TO 4/30/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 6/30/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2021 TO 6/30/2021</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 6/30/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2020 TO 6/30/2020</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Homicide	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	1	2	-50.0%	1	0	N/A
Rape	1	2	-50.0%	2	3	-33.3%	8	5	60.0%	8	5	60.0%
Robbery	35	30	16.7%	30	23	30.4%	175	104	68.3%	175	117	49.6%
Agg Assault	39	33	18.2%	33	42	-21.4%	225	170	32.4%	225	108	108.3%
Agg Assault on Operator	2	3	-33.3%	3	3	0.0%	16	7	128.6%	16	7	128.6%
<b>TOTAL VIOLENT</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>-4.2%</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>79.3%</b>
<b>PROPERTY CRIMES</b>	<b>6/01/2022 TO 6/30/2022</b>	<b>5/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>5/01/2022 TO 5/31/2022</b>	<b>4/01/2022 TO 4/30/2022</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 6/30/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2021 TO 6/30/2021</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1/01/2022 TO 6/30/2022</b>	<b>1/01/2020 TO 6/30/2020</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Burglary	1	0	N/A	0	2	-100.0%	8	7	14.3%	8	3	166.7%
Larceny	48	42	14.3%	42	58	-27.6%	286	166	72.3%	286	236	21.2%
Bike Theft	6	3	100.0%	3	5	-40.0%	27	19	42.1%	27	27	0.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	1	-100.0%	1	1	0.0%	9	6	50.0%	9	9	0.0%
<b>TOTAL PROPERTY</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>-30.3%</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>TOTAL PART 1</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>-16.8%</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>47.5%</b>

This table summarizes Violent Crimes and Property Crimes, which make up Part 1 Crimes.

Los Angeles Police Department Transit Services Division

Attachment N

ARRESTEE DEMOGRAPHICS

RAIL / BUS STATION

&

ARREST TYPE

05/01/22 - 05/31/22

RAIL / BUS STATION	MALE				FEMALE			TOTAL
	B	H	W	TOTAL	B	H	TOTAL	
<b>RED LINE</b>	9	2	2	13	1	0	1	14
7TH & METRO CENTER	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CIVIC CENTER / GRAND PARK	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
HOLLYWOOD / HIGHLAND	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NORTH HOLLYWOOD	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VERMONT / SANTA MONICA	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
VERMONT / BEVERLY	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VERMONT / SUNSET	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
WESTLAKE / MACARTHUR PARK	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	4
WILSHIRE / VEREMONT	1	1	0	2	1	0	1	3
<b>UNION STATION</b>	6	0	0	6	2	0	2	8
<b>BRT</b>	5	1	0	6	1	0	1	7
BRT - SOUTH BUREAU	4	1	0	5	1	0	1	6
BRT - CENTRAL BUREAU	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>BLUE LINE</b>	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	3
GRAND / LATTC	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
PICO	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
WASHINGTON	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>ORANGE LINE</b>	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
RESEDA	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
ROSCOE	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>GREEN LINE</b>	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
HARBOR FRWY	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
<b>EXPO BUNDY</b>	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
BUNDY	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>GOLD LINE</b>	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
CHINATOWN	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>38</b>

## ARRESTEE DEMOGRAPHICS

## RAIL / BUS STATION

&amp;

## ARREST TYPE

05/01/22 - 05/31/22

ARREST TYPE	MALE				FEMALE			TOTAL
	B	H	W	TOTAL	B	H	TOTAL	
<b>FELONY</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>
RED LINE	7	0	2	9	0	0	0	9
BRT	4	1	0	5	1	0	1	6
UNION STATION	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
ORANGE LINE	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
GREEN LINE	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
BLUE LINE	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>MISDEMEANDOR</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>
RED LINE	2	2	0	4	1	0	1	5
UNION STATION	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	3
BLUE LINE	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2
BRT	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
GOLD LINE	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
GREEN LINE	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
EXPO BUNDY	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>38</b>



Los Angeles Sheriff's Department - Transit Services Bureau

Arrestee Information for the Month of May 2022

05/01/2022 - 05/31/2022

Premise	Female				Total Female	Male				Total Male	Total Arrest
	Black	Hispanic	Other	White		Black	Hispanic	Other	White		
L-Line - Sierra Madre Villa	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
L-Line - Arcadia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L-Line - Monrovia	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
L-Line - Duarte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L-Line - Irwindale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L-Line - Azusa Downtown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L-Line - APU/Citrus College	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J-Line - Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J-Line - El Monte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bus	3	2	0	1	6	6	24	1	6	37	43
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>68</b>

**Long Beach Police Department - Metro Transportation Detail**

**Arrestee Demographic Stats - May 2022**

6/14/22

Crimes Against Persons	Arr/Cite	Gender	Ethnicity	Age	Station	Unhoused
Battery	Arr	M	H	29	Willow Street Stn	No

Crimes Against Property	Arr/Cite	Gender	Ethnicity	Age	Station	Unhoused

Crimes Against Society	Arr/Cite	Gender	Ethnicity	Age	Station	Unhoused









# Los Angeles Police Department - Transit Services Division Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assault Recap Report



**MAY 2022**



DATE & TIME	BUS / RAIL# LOCATION	NARRATIVE	SUSP INFO	TRANSIENT AND / OR MENTALLY DISABLED	BARRIER UTILIZED
05/03/22 @ 0600 HRS	Orange Line North Hollywood Station	<b>SEX BATTERY</b> Victim observed suspect sleeping. Victim advised bus was at the end of the route and suspect was required to exit the bus. Victim proceeded to the front of the bus and suspect followed victim speaking Spanish. Suspect walked passed victim and squeezed victim's buttocks once with his hand. Suspect exited bus and fled location. <b>NO VISIBLE INJURIES</b> – Victim suffered anxiety. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/H 40 YOA	Unknown Unknown	No
05/07/22 @ 1054 HRS	Bus Line Unkn Bus # 5656 Fairfax & Olympic	<b>AGGRAVATED ASSAULT</b> Suspect entered bus and appeared agitated, NFI. Suspect asked victim to drive one block south. Victim did not response to suspect. Suspect called victim a B..... Victim stated to suspect she was going to call PD. Suspect exited bus and threw a rock at the bus window. The rock smashed the driver side window and skimmed victim's hand. <b>INJURIES</b> Suspect sustained a small ½ inch scratch on her right hand. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/B 16 YOA	Unknown Unknown	N/A
05/10/22 @ 0740 HRS	Bus Line # 76 Main & Griffin	<b>BATTERY</b> Suspect walked to victim and demanded he be allowed to exit bus. Victim advised suspect he could not stop until he next bus stop. Suspect began to yell at victim. Victim stopped bus. Suspect utilized the sliding window portion of the barrier and slapped victim's face. Suspect then proceeded to punch victim multiple times. Passengers intervene to separate victim and suspect. Suspect exited bus. When interviewed by PD, victim stated suspect did not pay bus fare. <b>INJURIES</b> None Visible. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/W 60 YOA	Yes Yes	Yes

Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assaults Recap Report

May 2022


Page 2

DATE & TIME	BUS / RAIL# LOCATION	NARRATIVE	SUSP INFO	TRANSIENT AND / OR MENTALLY DISABLED	BARRIER UTILIZED
05/10/22 & 0745 HRS	Bus Line # 460 9 <sup>th</sup> St & Flower	<b>BATTERY</b> Suspect demanded victim to stop bus. Victim advised it was an express bus and she was not going to stop. Suspect continued to demanded victim stop bus and began calling victim a stupid B.... Victim opened the bus doors and suspect threw a cup of hot coffee on victim's face. <b>INJURIES</b> Pain to face due to hot coffee. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/B 50 YOA	Yes Unknown	Unknown
05/11/22 @ 1325 HRS	Bus Line # 233 Nordoff & Van Nuys	<b>BATTERY</b> Victim observed suspect seated with his pants down exposing his penis. Victim stated he has observed suspect on many occasions with his pants down. Victim requested suspect to pull up his pants or he would call PD. Suspect refused, and victim began calling PD. Suspect walked towards victim screaming profanities. Suspect swung his skateboard towards victim hitting victim's genital area. Victim grabbed suspect by his clothes, attempted to push him out of the bus and a struggle ensued. Victim then blacked out and was unable to remember the event. Reporting officer was able to view a cell video captured by a bus patron. The video footage revealed suspect struck victim and victim began to punch and hit suspect multiple times as he pinned suspect to the ground. Both suspect and victim refused a PPA. <b>INJURIES</b> None Visible. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/B 36 YOA	Unknown Unknown	No
05/11/22 @ 1500 HRS	Red Line Union Station	<b>BATTERY</b> Victim advised suspect to eject from the train. Victim attempted to walk between train connectors to avoid contact with suspect. Suspect was able to come close up to victim and spat on victim's chest area. <b>INJURIES</b> Spit to Chest Area <b>PPA ARREST</b>	F/B 38 YOA	Yes Yes	N/A
05/21/22 @ 1530 HRS	Bus Line # 2 Figueroa MLK Jr. Blvd	<b>ROBBERY</b> Victim returned to bus from gas station store to re-enter bus. Prior to entry, suspects 1 – 2 approached victim and asked, "Where you from, do you represent Crips?" Suspects then demanded victim's property. Fearful, victim gave suspects his property. Fearful, victim gave suspect his property. Suspects fled location. Soon after, two unknown citizens returned victim's cell, work ID and bag. Victim discovered his cell was shattered. <b>INJURIES</b> None Visible. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/B 29 YOA	No No	N/A
05/25/22 @ 1202 HRS	Bus Line # 16 3 <sup>rd</sup> & La Brea	<b>AGGRAVATED ASSAULT</b> Suspect became irate due to victim's driving, NFI. Victim asked suspect to exit bus. Victim stopped bus to confront suspect. Victim utilized bus barrier to keep a distance from suspect. Suspect produced a cane and struck victim approx. 6 times. Victim attempted to protect his face from being struck. Victim managed to remove suspect from the bus. Victim threw suspect's cane out of the window to allow suspect to take possession of his cane. <b>INJURIES</b> Lacerations and abrasions to his forearm, and contusions to his finger and left hand. Victim transported to Cedar Sinai Hospital. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/B 30 YOA	Unknown Unknown	Yes
05/29/22 @ 1415 HRS	Bus Line # 240 Reseda & Devonshire	<b>BATTERY</b> Victim entering bus to relieve driver. Suspect approached victim and yelled obscenities. Suspect continued towards victim and chest bumped victim. <b>NO INJURIES. PPA ARREST</b>	M/B 30 YOA	No YES	No

3 Year YTD ending May 2022, Type of Assault & Crime Type Statistical Analysis


TYPE OF ASSAULT	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	CHG	TOTAL
PUNCH / HIT / KICK / PUSH	20	14	6	42.9%	14	17	-3	-17.6%	6
SPIT	12	9	3	33.3%	9	11	-2	-18.2%	1
THREW OBJ / FOOD / LIQUID	6	3	3	100.0%	3	4	-1	-25.0%	51
BRANDISH / GUN / KNIFE / WEAPON	1	5	-4	-80.0%	5	0	5	N.C*	1
SEX	1	2	-1	-50.0%	2	2	0	0.0%	5
PEPPER SPRAYED / UNKN SPRAY	0	1	-1	-100.0%	1	0	1	N.C*	32
ROBBERY	1	0	1	N.C*	0	0	0	N.C*	13
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>109</b>

CRIME TYPE	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	% CHG	TOTAL
BATTERY	34	22	12	54.5%	22	30	-8	-26.7%	86
AGG	5	11	-6	-54.5%	11	1	10	1000.0%	17
ROBB	1	0	1	N.C*	0	1	-1	-100.0%	2
SEX	1	1	0	0.0%	1	2	-1	-50.0%	4
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>109</b>



3 Year YTD ending May 2022, Bureau & Area Statistical Analysis

BUREAU	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	% CHG	TOTAL
CENTRAL	20	16	4	25.0%	16	12	4	33.3%	48
SOUTH	4	3	1	33.3%	3	12	-9	-75.0%	19
VALLEY	11	11	0	0.0%	11	5	6	120.0%	27
WEST	6	4	2	50.0%	4	5	-1	-20.0%	15
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>109</b>



AREA	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	% CHG	TOTAL	& of 3 - YEAR TOTAL
CENTRAL	6	10	-4	-40.0%	10	6	4	66.7%	22	20.2%
OLYMPIC	3	2	1	50.0%	2	4	-2	-50.0%	9	8.3%
77TH ST	1	2	-1	-50.0%	2	6	-4	-66.7%	9	8.3%
NORTHEAST	5	1	4	400.0%	1	2	-1	-50.0%	8	7.3%
NEWTON	6	0	6	N.C*	0	2	-2	-100.0%	8	7.3%
HOLLENBECK	2	3	-1	-33.3%	3	1	2	200.0%	6	5.5%
DEVONSHIRE	2	2	0	0.0%	2	1	1	100.0%	5	4.6%
N HWD	2	2	0	0.0%	2	1	1	100.0%	5	4.6%
RAMPART	1	2	-1	-50.0%	2	1	1	100.0%	4	3.7%
MISSION	3	0	3	N.C*	0	1	-1	-100.0%	4	3.7%
WILSHIRE	2	2	0	0.0%	2	0	2	N.C*	4	3.7%
SOUTHEAST	2	0	2	N.C*	0	2	-2	-100.0%	4	3.7%
VAN NUYS	1	3	-2	-66.7%	3	0	3	N.C*	4	3.7%
TOPANGA	1	2	-1	-50.0%	2	0	2	N.C*	3	2.8%
SOUTHWEST	1	0	1	N.C*	0	2	-2	-100.0%	3	2.8%
N. HOLLYWOOD	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0.0%	3	2.8%
WEST VALLEY	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0.0%	3	2.8%
HARBOR	0	1	-1	-100.0%	1	2	-1	-50.0%	3	2.8%
FOOTHILL	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0.0%	3	2.8%
HOLLYWOOD	1	0	1	N.C*	0	1	-1	-100.0%	2	1.8%
N HWD	1	1	0	0.0%	1	0	1	N.C*	1	0.9%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assault Recap Report

May 2022

Page 5

**3 Year to Date Victim Demographic - Statistical Analysis**

YEAR	MALE						FEMALE						TOTAL	% of 3-YEAR TOTAL
	H	B	O	W	A	TOTAL	B	H	W	O	X	TOTAL		
2022	15	7	1	1	1	25	9	6	0		1	16	41	37.6%
2021	12	2	3	1	0	18	8	7	1	0	0	16	34	31.2%
2020	10	5	3	1	1	20	8	5	0	1	0	14	34	31.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>% of 3-YEAR TOTAL</b>	<b>33.9%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>57.8%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>42.2%</b>	<b>100%</b>	

**3 Year to Date Suspect Demographics - Statistical Analysis**

YEAR	MALE							FEMALE					TOTAL	% of 3-YEAR TOTAL
	B	H	W	A	O	UNKN	TOTAL	B	H	A	UNKN	TOTAL		
2022	18	13	6	0	0	0	37	5	1	1	1	8	45	39.5%
2021	13	8	6	1	1	1	30	4	1	0	0	5	35	30.7%
2020	20	3	1	1	1	0	26	6	1	0	0	8	34	29.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>% of 3-YEAR TOTAL</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>81.6%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	

Note: Some incidents included multiple suspects.




# Monthly Bus/Rail Operator Assault Report



**May 2022**

## May Bus/Rail Operator Assaults

May 2020 - 2022 Assaults



May 2022 Method of Assault




In May, there was one non-aggravated assault with an arrest, and one aggravated assault.

Date	Time	Line	Bus #	Narrative	Barrier
5/13/2022	16:45	E Line	N/A	D/T Santa Monica 5/13 1645hrs Rail op sweeping train fought with patron	N/A (o)
5/21/2022	20:10	51	3883	L51 LA 5/21 2015hrs Sus MB outside of bus shot bus window	Yes

\*B (NU): Barrier installed, not used; N/A (o): Not applicable, assault occurred outside of barrier

# Year to Date Assaults



YTD Operator Assaults
YTD 2020 - 9
YTD 2021- 13
<b>YTD 2022 - 26</b>

## Solve Rate


Type	Unsolved	Solved	Total	% Solved
Aggravated Assault	6	4	10	40.0%
Non-Aggravated Assault	9	7	16	43.8%
Robbery			0	#DIV/0!
Sex Crime			0	#DIV/0!
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>42.3%</b>

42% of assaults have been solved. The most frequent method of assault has been using hands.

## Top Reasons for Assault


Reason	Count
Other	6
No Reason	5
Mentally ill	3
Disorderly	3
Out of service	2
Fare	2
Policy/drink	1
Other/Vehicle accident	1
Mask/Fare	1
Passenger Pass Up	1
Policy/Food	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>26</b>

## Year to Date: Method of Assault



# Year to Date Assaults CONTINUED

## Bus/Rail Operator Assaults - YTD





Prior to July 1st 2017, LASD patrolled the entire Metro system.

Barrier/No Barrier	Count
Not reported	0
No Barrier/Monitor	0
Operator assaulted outside barrier	9
Barrier (Not Used)	0
Barrier Used	17
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>26</b>

Of the 26 incidents reported this year, 9 occurred outside the barrier. In 17 incidents, the barrier was used.




# Map of 2022 Bus/Rail Operator Assaults



## Bus Sector and Line Statistics - YTD

Sector	Count
South Bus Gateway	10
South Bus Southbay	6
North Bus San Gabriel	3
South Bus Westside	2
North Rail Expo	1
South Rail Expo	1
South Rail Green	1
North Bus San Fernando	1
North Bus El Monte Terminal	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>26</b>

Line	Count
L258	2
L111	2
E Line	2
L18	2
L60	2
L204	2
L207	2
L-Unk	1
L117	1
L70	1
L120	1
L94	1
L266	1
L217	1
C Line	1
L260	1
L662	1
L287	1
L51	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>26</b>






# Los Angeles Police Department - Transit Services Division Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assault Recap Report




## JUNE 2022

Crimes Against Persons  
Month of June 2022, 2021 & 2020  
Comparison




Type of Assault  
Month of June 2022




ROBB - 1  
AGG - 1  
BATTERY - 11

LAPD Area  
Month of June 2022



OLYMPIC - 5  
WEST LA - 2  
77TH ST - 1  
SOUTHWEST - 3  
VAN NUYS - 1  
DEVONSHIRE - 1

LAPD Operation Bureau  
Month of June 2022



SOUTH  
VALLEY  
WEST

DATE & TIME	BUS / RAIL# LOCATION	NARRATIVE	SUSP INFO	TRANSIENT AND / OR MENTALLY DISABLED	BARRIER UTILIZED
06/02/22 @ 1900 HRS	Bus Line #207 Bus # 5943 36 <sup>th</sup> St. & Western	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Suspect argued and cause disturbance towards other bus patrons. Victim asked suspect to stop and then advised he was going to contact PD. Suspect then directed his attentions towards victim, spat on victim three times, touching victim's arm chest and the glass partition. Suspect observed bus camera recording her actions. Suspect forcefully yanked down on the camera causing it to become inoperable (<b>Vandalism</b>).</p> <p><b>INJURIES:</b> Spit on arm chest. <b>NO ARREST.</b> Victim advised suspect frequent rides bus.</p>	F/B NFI	Unkn Unkn	Yes
06/06/22 @ 0300 HRS	Bus Line # 901 Orange Line Chatsworth Station	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Victim arrived at the end of the route. Suspect remained on bus. Victim asked suspect to exit bus multiple times. Suspect became irate and spat on victim causing saliva to contact victim's front left shorts area.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Spit on front left shorts area. <b>ARREST</b></p>	M/H 21 YOA	Yes Unkn	No

Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assaults Recap Report

June 2022

Page 2

DATE & TIME	BUS / RAIL# LOCATION	NARRATIVE	SUSP INFO	TRANSIENT AND / OR MENTALLY DISABLED	BARRIER UTILIZED
06/09/22 @ 1435	Bus Line # 20 Bus # 1874 Veteran & Wilshire	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Suspect approached victim and stated, "What's the last stop B....? "Let me off!" Victim refused to answer suspect. Suspect snatched victim's glasses from her face and threw them down hitting the bus floor. Suspect then threw a can of beer at victim causing the beer to splash on victim's uniform pants and bus floor. Victim pulled bus over to the curb, opened the door and pushed the panic button. Suspect exited bus and fled location. Victim believes suspect fell asleep and missed his stop.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Victim had no visible injuries and refused medical treatment. <b>NO ARREST</b></p>	M/H 30 YOA	Unkn Unkn	Unkn
06/10/22 @ 1115 HRS	Bus Line # 81 Bus # 8596 47 <sup>th</sup> St & Figueroa	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Suspect and victim argued regarding the positioning of the suspect's baby stroller. Suspect punched victim's face, exited bus and fled location.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Victim complained of pain to her face. <b>NO ARREST</b></p>	F/B 20 YOA	Unkn Unkn	Unkn
06/12/22 @ 1425 HRS	Bus Line #761 Bus # 1958 Sunset & Church	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Suspect acted unruly. Victim asked suspect to sit down and behave. Suspect approached victim and stated, "F.... you B...., you don't know the rules B....!" Suspect produced an unused cigarette and flicked it at victim, striking victim's right cheek. Suspect exited bus and fled location.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Victim had no visible injuries and refused medical treatment. <b>NO ARREST</b></p>	M/W 48 YOA	Unkn Unkn	Unkn
06/12/22 @ 1651 HRS	Bus Line # 164 Bus # 8289 14800 Victory Bl	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Suspect entered bus, bypassed fare machine and walked to the rear of the bus. Victim proceeded to operate bus. Victim approached a stop and suspect walked cross the safety front yellow line. Victim advised suspect to get back and take a seat. Suspect became irate, clinched his fist and then stated, "South Gate Gang." Victim asked suspect to exit the bus. Suspect did not comply. Suspect continued to the front of the bus and spat towards victim's face. Suspect exited bus and again yelled, "South Gate Gang."</p> <p><b>NO INJURIES. NO ARREST</b></p>	M/H 33 YOA	Unkn Unkn	Yes

Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assaults Recap Report

June 2022

Page 3

DATE & TIME	BUS / RAIL# LOCATION	NARRATIVE	SUSP INFO	TRANSIENT AND / OR MENTALLY DISABLED	BARRIER UTILIZED
06/12/22 @ 1815 HRS	Bus Line # 40 Bus # 8647 MLK Jr. Bl. & Crenshaw	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Suspect curse at victim and demanded victim open bus doors to exit. Suspect then threw a plastic wine bottle at victim. The bottle did not hit victim, but the wine poured all over victim. Suspect then spat on victim's face, exited bus and fled location.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Spit on face. <b>NO ARREST</b></p>	M/B 25 YOA	Unkn Unkn	Unkn
06/13/22 @ 1320 HRS	Bus Line # 754 Bus # 9539 Pico & Vermont	<p><b>ROBBERY</b> Suspects 1 – 2 (riding in vehicle) accused victim off cutting them off while driving and a verbal altercation ensued. Suspect 2 threw a soda can at victim hitting bus windshield and the liquid entered inside bus. Victim laughed at suspect and said, "that's petty." Suspect 1 exited vehicle, walked up to victim's window and spat on victim's face. Victim exited bus and attempted to take a photo of suspect's license plate. Suspect 2 approached victim and spat on victim again leaving spit on victim's shirt. Suspect 1 then stepped out of the vehicle and grabbed victim's cell and a physical struggle ensued. Suspect 1 overpowered victim and took possession of victim's cell. Suspect 2 approached victim and pushed victim. Victim attempted to stop suspect 1 from fleeing and grabbed the windshield on the driver door. Suspect 2 approached victim and again pushed victim resulting in victim falling to the ground. Suspect 1 fled in vehicle. Suspect 2 fled on foot. DNA swab was collected from a spit stain on victim's right shoulder and the 12oz soda was recovered for possible DNA collection.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Spit on victim's face and clothing. <b>NO ARREST</b></p>	Susp 1 F/H, 30 YOA  Susp 2 M/H 30 YOA	Unkn Unkn	No
06/16/22 @ 2110 HRS	Bus Line # 33 Bus # 8522 Venice & Vermont	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Victim observed suspect and other party engaged in an altercation, NFI. Victim pulled bus over and asked the other party if she wanted him to call PD? Other party replied, "Yes." Suspect became upset and stated to victim, "Oh your trying to be a hero" and spat on victim's face. Suspect exited bus and walked away. Other party decided she did want to wait for PD, exited bus and walked away.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Spit on face. <b>NO ARREST</b></p>	M/B 50 YOA	Unkn Unkn	No

## Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assaults Recap Report

June 2022

Page 4

DATE & TIME	BUS / RAIL# LOCATION	NARRATIVE	SUSP INFO	TRANSIENT AND / OR MENTALLY DISABLED	BARRIER UTILIZED
06/23/22 @ 1210 HRS	Bus Line # 207 Bus Line # 5971 MLK Jr Bl & Western	<p><b>ADW</b> Victim stated suspect became irate for unknown reason and yelled at victim. Suspect approached victim and punched victim's arm twice. Suspect then grabbed a black metal steering wheel security lock and attempted to hit victim again. Unknown bus patron intervened and pushed suspect toward the bus exit and eventually pushed off the bus. Victim stated the glass partition prevented suspect from hitting him with the security wheel. Unknown passenger took a picture of suspect and provided it to responding officers. Officers showed the picture to victim, victim confirmed it was suspect. Moments later, officers received a radio call of a 415 male matching suspect's description. Officers responded and based upon the photo determined suspect to be their bus operator assault suspect.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Victim nervous and unable to continue. <b>ARREST</b></p>	M/H 61 YOA	Yes Yes	Yes
06/24/22 @ 0750 HRS	Bus Line # 18 Bus # 1711 6 <sup>th</sup> St. & Serrano	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Suspect approached victim (operating bus) and demanded victim turn off engine. Victim told suspect to have a seat. Suspect refused and attempted to turn off engine. Suspect then grabbed victim by his vest. Victim pushed suspect away. Additional bus patrons assisted and pulled suspect back and away from victim. Victim pulled over and waited for PD arrival. Officers offered victim a Private Persons Arrest but victim refused stating he only wanted a report.</p> <p><b>NO INJURIES. NO ARREST</b></p>	M/H 45 YOA	Unkn Unkn	Unkn
06/26/22 @ 0820 HRS	Bus Line # 207 Bus # 9514 3 <sup>rd</sup> St & Western	<p><b>BATTERY</b> Victim stopped at the designated bus stop and allowed patrons to enter bus. Suspect took additional time to enter and victim stated, "hurry up and get on." Suspect became agitated and aggressive towards victim. Suspect then spat on victim. Victim refused to allow suspect to board bus. Victim received authorization via BOC to deny suspect entrance onto bus. Suspect twisted bus windshield wiper and struck the bus glass door with his cane. Suspect admitted to committing the crime due to victim being disrespectful.</p> <p><b>INJURIES</b> Victim transported to Hollywood Presbyterian Hospital for an anxiety attack. <b>ARREST</b></p>	F/B 53 YOA	Yes Unkn	No

## Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assault Recap Report

June 2022


Page 5

DATE & TIME	BUS / RAIL# LOCATION	NARRATIVE	SUSP INFO	TRANSIENT AND / OR MENTALLY DISABLED	BARRIER UTILIZED
06/29/22 @ 1545 HRS	Bus Line # 207 Bus Line # 9542 Olympic & Western	<b>BATTERY</b> Victim observed suspect inhale unknown substance from a cannister and later slumped over on seat located in the rear. Victim walked up to victim and asked suspect to exit. Victim then stepped off bus and asked suspect to exit so victim could continue driving. Suspect became angry, yelled and began to push victim's chest with two hands causing victim to stumble backwards. Suspect continued to approach victim and in defense, victim pushed suspect. Suspect then punched victim's face and body multiple times. Again, in defense, victim punched suspect. Suspect fled location on foot.  <b>INJURIES</b> 1" wide laceration under left eye. RA treated victim at scene. <b>NO ARREST</b>	M/B 39 YOA	Yes Unkn	No

## 3 Year YTD ending June 2022, Type of Assault &amp; Crime Type Statistical Analysis

TYPE OF ASSAULT	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	% CHG	TOTAL
PUNCH / HIT / KICK / PUSH	24	17	7	41.2%	17	19	-2	-10.5%	60
SPIT	20	11	9	81.8%	11	13	-2	-15.4%	44
THREW OBJ / FOOD / LIQUID	8	3	5	166.7%	3	6	-3	-50.0%	17
BRANDISH / GUN / KNIFE / WEAPON	1	5	-4	-80.0%	5	2	3	150.0%	8
SEX	1	2	-1	-50.0%	2	2	0	0.0%	5
PEPPER SPRAYED / UNKN SPRAY	0	1	-1	-100.0%	1	0	1	N/C	1
KIDNAP	0	1	-1	-100.0%	1	0	1	N/C	1
ROBBERY	1	0	1	N/C	0	0	0	N/C	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>-2</b>	<b>-4.8%</b>	<b>137</b>

CRIME TYPE	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	% CHG	TOTAL
BATTERY	46	27	19	70.4%	27	34	-7	-20.6%	107
AGG	6	11	-5	-45.5%	11	5	6	120.0%	22
SEX	1	1	0	0.0%	1	2	-1	-50.0%	4
ROBB	2	0	2	N/C	0	1	-1	-100.0%	3
KID	0	1	-1	-100.0%	1	0	1	N/C	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>-2</b>	<b>-4.8%</b>	<b>137</b>




Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assault Recap Report  
June 2022  
Page 6

### 3 Year YTD ending June 2022, Bureau & Area Statistical Analysis

OPERATIONS BUREAU	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	0.0%	TOTAL
CENTRAL	20	18	2	11.1%	18	12	6	50.0%	50
SOUTH	9	5	4	80.0%	5	17	-12	-70.6%	31
VALLEY	13	12	1	8.3%	12	7	5	71.4%	32
WEST	13	5	8	160.0%	5	6	-1	-16.7%	24
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>-2</b>	<b>-4.8%</b>	<b>137</b>

AREA	2022	2021	DIFF	% CHG	2021	2020	DIFF	% CHG	TOTAL
CENTRAL	6	10	-4	-40.0%	10	6	4	66.7%	22
OLYMPIC	8	2	6	300.0%	2	5	-3	-60.0%	15
77TH ST	2	3	-1	-33.3%	3	6	-3	-50.0%	11
SOUTHWEST	4	0	4	N/C	0	5	-5	-100.0%	9
NORTHEAST	5	2	3	150.0%	2	2	0	0.0%	9
NEWTON	6	0	6	N/C	0	2	-2	-100.0%	8
VAN NUYS	2	3	-1	-33.3%	3	2	1	50.0%	7
HOLLENBECK	2	3	-1	-33.3%	3	1	2	200.0%	6
SOUTHEAST	2	0	2	N/C	0	4	-4	-100.0%	6
DEVONSHIRE	3	2	1	50.0%	2	1	1	100.0%	6
HARBOR	1	2	-1	-50.0%	2	2	0	0.0%	5
RAMPART	1	3	-2	-66.7%	3	1	2	200.0%	5
WILSHIRE	2	3	-1	-33.3%	3	0	3	N/C	5
NORTH HWD	2	3	-1	-33.3%	3	0	3	N/C	5
MISSION	3	0	3	N/C	0	1	-1	-100.0%	4
TOPANGA	1	2	-1	-50.0%	2	0	2	N/C	3
WEST VALLEY	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0.0%	3
FOOTHILL	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0.0%	3
WEST LA	2	0	2	N/C	0	0	0	N/C	2
HOLLYWOOD	1	0	1	N/C	0	1	-1	-100.0%	2
NORTH HOLLYWOOD	0	0	0	N/C	0	1	-1	-100.0%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>-2</b>	<b>-4.8%</b>	<b>137</b>

OPERATIONS  
BUREAU  
YTD 3 - YEARS



■ CENTRAL  
■ SOUTH  
■ VALLEY  
■ WEST



Monthly Bus / Rail Operator Assault Recap Report  
June 2022  
Page 8

### 3 Year to Date Victim & Suspect Demographic - Statistical Analysis

VICTIM														
YEAR	MALE						FEMALE						% of TOTAL	
	H	B	O	W	A	TOTAL	B	H	W	X	O	TOTAL		TOTAL
2022	21	9	2	2	1	35	12	8	0	1	0	21	55	40.1%
2021	14	3	3	1	0	21	10	8	1	0	0	19	40	29.2%
2020	12	8	3	2	1	26	9	6	0	0	1	16	42	30.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>% of TOTAL</b>	<b>34.3%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>40.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	

SUSPECT															
YEAR	MALE							FEMALE						TOTAL	% of TOTAL
	B	H	W	A	O	UNKN	TOTAL	B	H	A	W	UNKN	TOTAL		
2022	23	18	7	0	0	0	48	7	2	1	0	1	11	59	42.1%
2021	16	9	6	1	1	1	34	5	1	0	0	0	6	40	28.6%
2020	20	5	3	1	1	0	30	9	1	0	1	0	11	41	29.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>% of TOTAL</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	

Note: Some incidents included multiple suspects.




# Monthly Bus/Rail Operator Assault Report




**June 2022**

## June Bus/Rail Operator Assaults

June 2020 - 2022 Assaults



June 2022 Method of Assault




In June, there was one non-aggravated assault, and one aggravated assault with an arrest.

Date	Time	Line	Bus #	Narrative	Barrier
6/2/2022	15:40	260	4009	Bell 6/2 1540hrs Sus MH/20s punched bus op in face over not wearing mask	Yes
6/8/2022	8:17	74	1781	Glendale 6/8 0817hrs Sus transient MB/31yrs arrested for assaulting bus op trainee for no reason	N/A (o)

\*B (NU): Barrier installed, not used; N/A (o): Not applicable, assault occurred outside of barrier

# Year to Date Assaults

Year To Date by Month



**YTD Operator Assaults**

YTD 2020 - 16

YTD 2021- 18

**YTD 2022 - 28**

**Solve Rate**


Type	Unsolved	Solved	Total	% Solved
Aggravated Assault	6	5	11	45.5%
Non-Aggravated Assault	10	7	17	41.2%
Robbery			0	#DIV/0!
Sex Crime			0	#DIV/0!
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42.9%</b>

43% of assaults have been solved. The most frequent method of assault has been using hands.

**Top Reasons for Assault**


Reason	Count
Other	6
No Reason	6
Mentally ill	3
Disorderly	3
Fare	2
Out of service	2
Policy/drink	1
Passenger Pass Up	1
Other/Vehicle accident	1
Mask/Fare	1
Mask	1
Policy/Food	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>28</b>

Year to Date: Method of Assault



# Year to Date Assaults CONTINUED

## Bus/Rail Operator Assaults - YTD




Prior to July 1st 2017, LASD patrolled the entire Metro system.



Barrier/No Barrier	Count
Not reported	0
No Barrier/Monitor	0
Operator assaulted outside barrier	10
Barrier (Not Used)	0
Barrier Used	18
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>28</b>

Of the 28 incidents reported this year, 10 occurred outside the barrier. In 18 incidents, the barrier was used.

# Map of 2022 Bus/Rail Operator Assaults




**Bus Operator Assaults**

-  June
-  Jan—May

## Bus Sector and Line Statistics - YTD

Sector	Count
South Bus Gateway	11
South Bus Southbay	6
North Bus San Gabriel	3
South Bus Westside	2
North Bus San Fernando	2
South Rail Green	1
North Rail Expo	1
South Rail Expo	1
North Bus El Monte Terminal	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>28</b>

Line	Count
E Line	2
L60	2
L260	2
L18	2
L258	2
L204	2
L207	2
L111	2
L217	1
L287	1
L120	1
L74	1
L266	1
L117	1
C Line	1
L70	1
L662	1
L94	1
L-Unk	1
L51	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>28</b>



## Sexual Crime / Harassment Calls for Service May 2022

Calls related to sexual harassment are routed through Metro Transit Security Operations Center, which then transfers the caller to a free 24/7 hotline — Peace Over Violence, Center for the Pacific Asian Family Inc., and Sister Family Services — that can provide more directed counseling. Between May 1st and May 31<sup>st</sup>, Metro Transit Security, LAPD, LASD, and LBPD received thirteen (15) incidents and referred a total of thirteen (13) victims of sexual harassment to the above free hotlines. One of the victims left the scene before police arrived, and one victim did not provide her contact information

May 2022 Incident Type & Totals					
	LAPD	LASD	LBPD	MTS	SSLE
Sexual Harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Sexual Battery	12	0	0	0	12
Lewd Conduct	0	0	0	0	0
Indecent Exposure	2	0	0	0	2
Rape	0	1	0	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>

Counseling Information Provided	
	May 2022
YES	13
NO- If no, why?	2
Gone On Arrival	1
Did Not Have Info	1
Telephonic Report	0
Not Offered	0
Refused	0
Officer Witnessed Incident	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15</b>

May 2022: Dept. Average Incident Response Time Sex Crime / Harassment Measured in Minutes			
Agency	Time Tracking: Incident Rpt. To Call Created	Time Tracking: Call Generated To On Scene	Time Tracking: Incident Rept. To On Scene
LAPD	0	5	5
LASD	1	6	7
LBPD	N/A	N/A	N/A
MTS	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>DEPT AVERAGE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>

## Sexual Crime / Harassment Calls for Service June 2022

Calls related to sexual harassment are routed through Metro Transit Security Operations Center, which then transfers the caller to a free 24/7 hotline — Peace Over Violence, Center for the Pacific Asian Family Inc., and Sister Family Services — that can provide more directed counseling. Between June 1st and June 30<sup>th</sup>, Metro Transit Security, LAPD, LASD, and LBPB received ten (10) incidents and referred a total of ten (10) victims of sexual harassment to the above free hotlines.

June 2022 Incident Type & Totals					
	LAPD	LASD	LBPB	MTS	SSLE
Sexual Harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Sexual Battery	7	0	0	0	7
Lewd Conduct	0	0	0	0	0
Indecent Exposure	1	1	0	0	2
Rape	1	0	0	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>

Counseling Information Provided	
	June 2022
YES	10
NO- If no, why?	0
Gone On Arrival	0
Did Not Have Info	0
Telephonic Report	0
Not Offered	0
Refused	0
Officer Witnessed Incident	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10</b>

June 2022: Dept. Average Incident Response Time Sex Crime / Harassment Measured in Minutes			
Agency	Time Tracking: Incident Rpt. To Call Created	Time Tracking: Call Generated To On Scene	Time Tracking: Incident Rept. To On Scene
LAPD	0	5	5
LASD	N/A	N/A	N/A
LBPB	N/A	N/A	N/A
MTS	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>DEPT AVERAGE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>