Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza 3rd Floor Board Room Los Angeles, CA



Board Report

File #: 2023-0346, File Type: Program

Agenda Number: 20.

FINANCE, BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE JUNE 14, 2023

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATION

CONSIDER:

- A. APPROVING \$3.3 billion in FY 2023-24 (FY24) Transit Fund Allocations for Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro operations, as shown in Attachment A. These allocations comply with federal, state, and local regulations and Metro Board approved policies and guidelines;
- B. APPROVING an adjustment to Tier 2 Operator funding for the Cities of Burbank, Glendale, Los Angeles, and Pasadena from a capped amount of \$6 million to \$8.2 million for FY24 that will be adjusted annually by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) in subsequent years;
- C. APPROVING fund exchanges in the estimated amount of \$4,471,049 of Metro's Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 4 allocation with Municipal Operators' shares of the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program. Funding will be adjusted based on LCTOP actual allocations;
- D. APPROVING fund exchanges in the estimated amount of \$984,952 of Metro's Proposition (Prop) C 40% allocation with Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita, Burbank, and Glendale's shares of the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program. Funding will be adjusted based on LCTOP actual allocations;
- E. APPROVING Two-year lag funding in the amount of \$273,680 for the transfer of the eastern segment of Metro line 130 to Long Beach Transit. The transfer will consist of 132,959 Revenue Miles;
- F. APPROVING fund exchange in the amount of \$320,133 of Metro's TDA Article 4 allocations with La Mirada Transit's share of FY18 and FY19 Federal Section 5307;
- G. APPROVING fund exchange of Federal Section 5307 discretionary fund awarded to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit in the amount of \$360,000 with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation;

File #: 2023-0346, File Type: Program

- H. APPROVING fund exchanges in the amount totaling \$16.2 million of Metro's Federal Section 5307 share with Municipal Operators' shares of Federal Sections 5337 and 5339;
- I. APPROVING fund exchange in the amount of \$5 million of Metro's Prop C 40% allocations with the Local Transit Operators' share of federal Section 5307 funds to implement the Local Transit Systems Subcommittee's (LTSS) Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) Call for Projects;
- J. APPROVING project selection and programming of \$13,937,073 for the LTSS ZEV Call for Projects as shown in Attachment B;
- K. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to adjust FY24 Federal Section 5307 (Urbanized Formula), Section 5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities), and Section 5337 (State of Good Repair) allocations upon receipt of final apportionments from the Federal Transit Administration and amend the FY24 budget as necessary to reflect the adjustments;
- L. ADOPTING a resolution designating Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance (STA) fund allocations are in compliance with the terms and conditions of the allocations (Attachment C); and
- M. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements and FY24 budget amendments to implement the above funding programs.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Each year, transit operating and capital funds consisting of federal, state, and local revenues are allocated to Metro operations, transit operators, and Los Angeles County local jurisdictions for programs, projects, and services according to federal guidelines, state laws, and established funding policies and procedures. The Board of Directors must approve allocations for FY24 prior to fund disbursement. As in prior years, the proposed transit allocations include fund exchanges of Metro funding for municipal and local transit operator shares of federal and State grant programs to enable them to draw down funding quickly with less requirements. In addition, this year's fund allocations include two new actions: a recommendation to adjust the Tier 2 Operator funding pool by CPI and approval for the LTSS ZEV fund program.

BACKGROUND

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro), as the Regional Transportation Commission for Los Angeles County, is responsible for planning, programming, and allocating transportation funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations. The Metro Board approval will allow the continued funding of transportation projects, programs, and services in Los Angeles County.

The recommended FY24 Transit Fund Allocations are developed according to federal, state, and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by the Metro Board. Details of significant information, methodologies, and assumptions are described in Attachment D.

Staff has reviewed the recommended allocations, related methodologies, and assumptions with Metro operations, transit operators, Los Angeles County local jurisdictions, Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS), and the Local Transit Systems Subcommittee (LTSS). The TAC, BOS, and LTSS have all formally adopted the recommended FY24 Transit Fund Allocations.

DISCUSSION

In consultation with the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS) members on April 18, 2023, Metro staff recommended using FY22 vehicle service miles statistics and fare revenue data to allocate State, Local, and federal funds. To mitigate the impact of COVID-19, Metro staff recommended, and BOS members approved, the use of FY19 fare revenue data for the operators that would be disproportionately impacted by using the standard formula calculation. The four operators that were allowed to use FY19 fare revenue data include Arcadia Transit, La Mirada Transit, Redondo Beach, and Santa Clarita.

In addition, Metro was asked to accommodate fund exchanges with the municipal and local transit operators to assist them in accessing funding more quickly with less administrative requirements as follows:

- The Municipal operators are requesting fund exchanges of their Federal Sections 5339 and 5337 allocations with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 allocation to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with these funding programs.
- The Municipal operators, Burbank, and Glendale are requesting fund exchanges of their LCTOP allocations with Metro's TDA Article 4 and Prop C 40% fund allocations to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with these funding programs.
- La Mirada is requesting a fund exchange of their shares of FY18 and FY19 Federal Section 5307 allocations with Metro's TDA Article 4 to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with the federal grant program.
- Long Beach Transit is requesting a fund exchange of their share of Section 5307 15% Discretionary funds with Metro's TDA Article 4 funds for the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC). In April 2022, BOS awarded \$360,000 a year for three years for the regional training program through an award to Long Beach Transit.
- To expedite grant approval and fund disbursement by the Federal Transit Administration, Metro will exchange the \$5 million allocated to the Local Transit Operators under Section 5307 grants with its Prop C 40% funds to implement the LTSS ZEV program.

Tier 2 Operator Funding

On May 2, 2023, the City of Glendale submitted a request to increase the Tier 2 funding pool, which

has been capped at \$6 million since 2010. The other transit funding programs are allowed to grow annually based on their respective adopted program rules. In response, Metro staff recommended, and the BOS members approved, an adjustment of the funding from a \$6 million annual cap to \$8.2 million for FY24, with the subsequent annual allocations to be adjusted based on the CPI. The FY24 amount was derived by applying the actual annual CPI rates to the annual \$6 million capped allocation between the first year of the Tier 2 Operator program in 2010 through to 2024.

Reallocation of Federal Section 5307 Capital Revenues for LTSS ZEV Call for Projects

In June 2022, the Board approved a reallocation of greater than anticipated Federal Section 5307 Capital revenues made available by the Federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), to fund a zero-emission vehicle capital call for projects available to local transit operators and administered by the LTSS. Staff, working with members of the BOS, and Los Angeles County Municipal Operators Association (LACMOA), agreed to collectively set aside the Section 5307 funding as follows: \$10 million in FY22, \$5 million in FY24 and \$5 million in FY26, for the purpose of addressing the capital needs of local operators, particularly the mandated conversion to electric or other zero emission vehicles. This will total \$20 million for the life of the IIJA. Metro is then exchanging local funds with Section 5307 funds to help expedite project delivery by reducing administrative requirements for the local operators. The Metro Board approved a fund exchange in June 2022 for the first \$10 million allocation, and staff is requesting approval of a fund exchange this year for the second \$5 million allocation in FY24 Section 5307 funds.

LTSS released the call for projects announcement in November 2022, applications were received in January 2023, and evaluated and scored in April 2023. A total of seven applications were received totaling \$13.9 million in requests. All applications received a score above 70, qualifying them for funding. The LTSS recommended funding all applications and utilizing the \$10 million in FY22 funds and \$3.9 million of FY24 funds to fully fund the requests. The selected projects and recommended grant awards are contained in Attachment B.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

Adoption of this item will provide funding for increased safety efforts.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The FY24 Transit Fund Allocations are included in the FY24 Budget in multiple cost centers and multiple projects. Approval of these recommendations authorizes Metro to disburse these funds to the Los Angeles County jurisdictions and transit operators.

EQUITY PLATFORM

Under Board-adopted guidelines, this item enables the programming of funds to recipients to support the implementation of various transportation projects and improvements throughout the region. The FY24 Transit Fund Allocations referenced in Attachment A are expected to provide benefits to people walking, biking, and taking transit, including those with disabilities. Through the process of public input and engagement, local decision making, and project implementation, cities and unincorporated areas of the county, and transit operators have control to appropriately and equitably address the needs of their communities.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

The recommendation supports Metro's Strategic Plan Goals #1 and #2 by improving mobility, ease of travel, and safety. The local jurisdictions' and transit operator's improvement projects to be funded by their apportionments presented in Attachment A will assist in achieving those goals.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The Board may choose not to approve the FY24 Transit Fund Allocations and instruct staff to use an alternative methodology for allocation. This alternative is not recommended as federal, state, and local requirements, as well as prior Metro Board policies and guidelines require an annual allocation of funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations for programs, projects, and services. Allocation methodologies and assumptions comply with federal, state, and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by the Metro Board and have been agreed upon by affected operators and jurisdictions.

NEXT STEPS

Upon Board approval of the recommended allocations and adoption of the resolution, we will work with Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), and Metro Operations to ensure the proper disbursement of funds.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - FY24 Transit Fund Allocations Attachment B - LTSS ZEV Call for Projects Selection and Awards Attachment C - TDA and STA Resolution Attachment D - Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies, and Assumptions

Prepared by: Manijeh Ahmadi, Manager, Transportation Planning, (213) 922-3083 Cosette Stark, Deputy Executive Officer, Finance, (213) 922-2822 Michelle Navarro, Senior Executive Officer, Finance, (213) 922-3056

Reviewed by: Nalini Ahuja, Chief Financial Officer, (213) 922-3088

Executive Office

С

ATTACHMENT A



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Fiscal Year 2024 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS **PROPOSED**

July 1, 2023 - June 30, 2024

June 14, 2023

FY 2024 Proposed Transit Fund Allocations

Table of Contents

I. BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES

State and Local Funds:

Preliminary Revenue Estimates	1-2
State and Local Funds Summary	3
Bus Transit Funding % Shares	4
Included and Eligible Operators Estimated Funding Levels	5
Proposition C 5% Transit Security Funding Allocation	6
Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs	7
Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP),	
Zero-Fare Compensation for Commerce, Foothill Transit Mitigation,	
Transit Service Expansion, Discretionary Base Restructuring,	
BSIP, Overcrowding Relief	
Measure R 20% Bus Operation Allocations	8
Measure M 20% Transit Operations	9
Senate Bill 1 STA and SGR Funding Allocations	10
Low Carbon Transit Operations Program Fund Exchange	11
Tier 2 Operators Estimated Funding Levels	12

II. LOCAL SUBSIDIES

Incentive Programs	13-15
Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8	16-18

III. FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

Revenue Estimates	19
Summary	20
Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program	21
Federal Section 5337 State of Good Repair	22
Federal Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities	23
Capital Allocation Procedure - % Share Calculation	24-25

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Bus Transit Subsidies

STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

Fiscal Year 2024 PRELIMINARY REVENUE ESTIMATES

STATE AND LOCAL		FY24 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY22 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY22 Actual	FY24 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY23 Total Funds
Transportation Development Act:							
Planning & Administration:							
1 Planning - Metro		\$ 6,000,000			\$ 6,000,000		\$ 5,159,000
2 Planning - SCAG		4,500,000			4,500,000		3,869,250
3 Administration - Metro		4,378,855			4,378,855		3,909,692
4 Sub-total		14,878,855			14,878,855		12,937,942
5 Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways	2.0000%	11,702,423	1,853,156	36,032	13,591,611		11,144,314
6 Article 4 Bus Transit	90.7918%	531,242,243	84,125,722	1,635,695	617,003,660		508,403,193
7 Article 8 Streets & Highways	7.2082%	42,176,480	6,678,925	129,861	48,985,266		37,668,206
8 Total		600,000,000	92,657,803	1,801,588	694,459,391		570,153,654
Proposition A:							
9 Administration	5.0000%	60,000,000	11,310,295		71,310,295		58,250,104
10 Local Return	25.0000%	285,000,000	n/a		285,000,000	а	245,052,500
11 Rail Development	35.0000%	399,000,000	75,213,460		474,213,460		387,363,192
Bus Transit:	40.0000%						
12 95% of 40% Capped at CPI 3.71%		279,341,351	n/a		279,341,351	b	269,348,521
13 95% of 40% Over CPI		153,858,649	n/a		153,858,649	с	103,131,279
14 Sub-total		433,200,000	-		433,200,000		372,479,800
15 5% of 40% Incentive		22,800,000	4,297,912		27,097,912		22,135,040
16 Total		1,200,000,000	90,821,666		1,290,821,666		1,085,280,636
Proposition C:							
17 Administration	1.5000%	18.000.000	3.393.045		21,393,045		17,475,155
18 Rail/Bus Security	5.0000%	59,100,000	11,140,498		70,240,498		57,376,760
19 Commuter Rail	10.0000%	118,200,000	22,280,996		140,480,996		114,753,520
20 Local Return	20.0000%	236,400,000	n/a		236,400,000	а	203,264,600
21 Freeways and Highways	25.0000%	295,500,000	55,702,489		351,202,489	-	286,883,800
22 Discretionary	40.0000%	472,800,000	89,123,983		561,923,983		459,014,080
23 Total		1,200,000,000	181,641,011		1,381,641,011		1,138,767,916
State Transit Assistance:						d	
24 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		45,109,292	34,638,409	154,481	79,902,182	ĭ	60,136,246
25 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		58,209,440	26,503,605	99,713	84,812,758		46,500,350
26 Total		103,318,732	61,142,014	254,194	164,714,940		106,636,596
SP 1 State Transit Assisters						4.5	
<u>SB 1 State Transit Assistance:</u> 27 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		26 056 070	20 744 700	407 740	GE 000 004	d,e f	E0 000 405
27 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share) 28 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		36,956,876 47,689,486	28,741,708 21,993,329	127,740 82,579	65,826,324 69,765,394	'	50,239,195 38,843,608
28 Kai (FOC 99313 Fopulation Shale) 29 Total		84,646,363	50,735,037	210,319	135,591,719		89,082,803
		. ,	. ,		. , -	İ	, ,
SB 1 State Of Good Repair		44 000 500		4 40 00-	40,407,007	e	00 000 0-0
30 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		11,636,592	1,624,468	146,937	13,407,997	f	22,636,276
31 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share) 32 Total		15,015,963 26,652,555	1,295,057 2,919,525	49,197 196.134	16,360,217 29,768,214		17,461,658 40,097,934
32 10(a)		20,032,555	2,919,525	190,134	29,700,214		40,097,934

STATE AND LOCAL		FY24 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY22 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY22 Actual	FY24 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY23 Total Funds
Measure R:							1 1
33 Administration	1.5000%	18,000,000	3,392,424	(374,239)	21,018,185		17,233,321
34 Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	413,700,000	77,969,202	3,037,464	494,706,666		401,121,258
35 Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	35,460,000	6,683,074	(448,272)	41,694,802		34,519,578
36 Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000%	23,640,000	4,455,383	(142,630)	27,952,753		23,126,692
37 Highway Capital	20.0000%	236,400,000	44,553,830	(1,482,240)	279,471,590		228,958,160
38 Operations "New Rail"	5.0000%	59,100,000	11,138,457	(1,079,162)	69,159,295		57,495,727
39 Operations Bus	20.0000%	236,400,000	44,553,830	(4,713,883)	276,239,947		230,272,491
40 Local Return	15.0000%	177,300,000	n/a	n/a	177,300,000	а	152,448,450
41 Total		1,200,000,000	192,746,199	(5,202,962)	1,387,543,237		1,145,175,678
Measure M: Local Return Supplemental & Administration: 42 Administration 43 Supplemental transfer to Local Return 44 Sub-total 45 Local Return Base	0.5000% 1.0000% 16.0000%	6,180,000 <u>11,820,000</u> 18,000,000 189,120,000	1,158,407 n/a 1,158,407 n/a	(50,332) n/a (50,332) n/a	7,288,075 <u>11,820,000</u> 19,108,075 189,120,000	a,g a,q	5,999,954 10,163,230 16,163,184 162,611,680
46 Metro Rail Operations	5.0000%	59,100,000	11,077,970	(1,074,801)	69,103,169	a,g	57,437,894
47 Transit Operations (Metro & Municipal Provid	20.0000%	236,400,000	44,311,878	(4,705,465)	276,006,413		229,911,476
48 ADA Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & S	2.0000%	23,640,000	4,431,188	93,938	28,165,126		22,871,140
49 Transit Construction	35.0000%	413,700,000	77,545,787	3,326,865	494,572,652		401,783,182
50 Metro State of Good Repairs	2.0000%	23,640,000	4,431,188	(172,125)	27,899,063		22,981,549
51 Highway Construction	17.0000%	200,940,000	37,665,096	(6,490,223)	232,114,873		195,049,184
52 Metro Active Transportation Program	2.0000%	23,640,000	4,431,188	(609,516)	27,461,672		22,974,153
53 Regional Rail	1.0000%	11,820,000	2,215,594	(84,424)	13,951,170		11,442,142
54 Total		1,200,000,000	187,268,295	(9,766,083)	1,377,502,212		1,143,225,584
55 Total Funds Available		\$ 5,614,617,649	\$ 859,931,550	\$ (12,506,810)	\$ 6,462,042,390	h	\$ 5,318,420,800
Total Planning & Admin Allocations: 56 (Lines 4, 9, 17, 33 and 42)		\$ 117,058,855	\$ 19,254,170	\$ (424,571)	\$ 135,888,454		\$ 111,896,476

Fiscal Year 2024 PRELIMINARY REVENUE ESTIMATES (continued)

Notes:

a) Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received.

b) Consumer price index (CPI) of 3.71% represents the average estimated growth rate based on various forecasting sources and historical trends applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to Included operators.

c) Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit growth over CPI estimate will be used to fund Eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carryover is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.

d) STA Revenue estimates (including SB1/STA) from the State Controller's office is reduced by 10% for the revenue base share and population-base share due to anticipated shortfall of FY24 revenue.

e) In order to be eligible for SB1-SGR funding, eligible agencies must comply with various reporting requirements. SGR revenue estimates from the State Controller's Office are reduced by 5% due to the anticipated shortfall of FY24 revenue.

f) STA and SGR portion of SB1 will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.

9) Measure M provides for a total of 17% net revenues for Local Return. Supplement of 1% to be funded by 1.5% Administration.

h) Per government accounting standards, reported interest is derived from the fair value of investments as of the end of FY22. Based on market conditions at that time, the amounts may be positive or negative.

STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

			Formula Allo	cation Procedure		Proposition C	Proposition C	Meas	sure R	Measure	Senate	Bill 1	
	Operators	TDA Article 4 + Interest	STA + Interest	Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary	Sub-Total FAP	5% Security	40% Discretionary	20% Bus Operations	Clean Fuel & Facilities	M	STA	State of Good Repair	Total
	Included Operators:												
1	Metro Bus Operations	\$ 452,127,185	\$ 59,217,703	\$ 206,753,872	\$ 718,098,760	\$ 51,827,296	\$ 11,970,998	\$ 190,352,258	\$ 6,247,544	\$ 190,191,334	\$ 45,359,803	\$ 9,205,703	\$ 1,223,253,696
	Municipal Operators:												
2	Arcadia	559,754	68,974	241,136	869,864	8,148	111,391	221,714	17,687	221,526	52,833	10,722	1,513,886
3	Claremont	194,663	24,211	84,644	303,518	1,649	30,506	77,826	2,173	77,760	18,545	3,764	515,742
4	Commerce	753,721	88,345	308,858	1,150,924	50,350	1,470,936	283,981	35,807	283,741	67,671	13,734	3,357,144
5	Culver City	8,890,979	1,117,976	3,908,491	13,917,445	462,957	1,867,490	3,593,676	136,701	3,590,638	856,352	173,795	24,599,055
6	Foothill Transit	42,086,364	5,301,909	18,535,694	65,923,967	1,378,518	9,000,816	17,042,713	954,290	17,028,305	4,061,177	824,210	116,213,996
7	Gardena	8,690,038	1,095,248	3,829,032	13,614,318	326,530	2,353,409	3,520,618		3,517,641	838,942	170,262	24,455,827
8	La Mirada	486,696	19,657	68,723	575,076	5,033	24,768	63,188		63,134	15,057	3,056	756,319
9	Long Beach	39,535,684	4,940,880	17,547,201	62,023,765	3,554,049	9,713,831	15,882,204		15,868,777	3,784,634	768,086	112,289,412
10	Montebello	13,454,796	1,698,456	5,937,874	21,091,126	538,699	3,663,972	5,459,600	192,374	5,454,985	1,300,990	264,034	37,965,779
11	Norwalk	5,162,141	648,408	2,266,860	8,077,409	161,161	880,177	2,084,273		2,082,511	496,670	100,798	13,953,554
12	Redondo Beach	1,336,051	166,665	582,669	2,085,386	60,296	214,483	535,738		535,285	127,663	25,909	3,616,742
13	Santa Monica	33,349,039	4,214,750	14,734,940	52,298,729	1,288,566	6,205,679	13,548,096	473,138	13,536,642	3,228,430	655,205	91,234,485
14	Torrance	10,376,549	1,299,000	4,541,358	16,216,906	429,922	3,630,319	4,175,568		4,172,038	995,013	201,936	29,953,455
15	Sub-Total	164,876,475	20,684,479	72,587,479	258,148,433	8,265,877	39,167,778	66,489,194		66,432,984	15,843,977	3,215,511	460,425,396
	Eligible Operators:												
				6 267 020	6 267 020	227.262	1 000 507	4 207 200	000 747	4 202 649	1 000 404	200 207	10 507 140
16	Antelope Valley LADOT	-	-	6,367,820 36,418,908	6,367,820 36,418,908	227,362 2,524,222	1,862,567	4,307,290 9,581,472		4,303,648	1,026,401 2,283,207	208,307 463,373	18,507,142 68,237,861
17	-	-	-	, ,	, ,		6,912,658	, ,	,	9,573,372	, ,	,	· · ·
18 19	Santa Clarita Foothill BSCP	-	-	5,451,954 7,320,107	5,451,954 7,320,107	371,692	1,431,704	3,583,881		3,580,851 1,924,223	854,017	173,322 93,137	15,653,841 12,325,566
	Sub-Total			55,558,790	55,558,790	3,123,275	603,328 10,810,257	1,925,851 19,398,494		1,924,223	458,919 4,622,545		114,724,409
20	Sub-Tolai	-	-	55,558,790	55,556,790	3,123,275	10,610,257	19,390,494	690,615	19,362,094	4,022,040	938,138	114,724,409
	Tier 2 Operators:												
21	LADOT Community Dash	-	-	6,588,062	6,588,062	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,588,062
22	Glendale	-	-	1,092,249	1,092,249	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,092,249
23	Pasadena	-	-	529,965	529,965	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	529,965
24	Burbank	-	-	192,263	192,263	-	-	-	-		-	-	192,263
25	Sub-Total	-	-	8,402,539	8,402,539	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,402,539
26 26	Lynwood Trolley LTSS ZEV CFP	-	-	-	-	-	242,307 15,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	242,307 15,000,000
27	Total Excluding Metro	164,876,475	20,684,479	136,548,808	322,109,762	11,389,153	65,220,342	85,887,688	3,752,456	85,815,079	20,466,522	4,153,649	598,794,651
28	County of Los Angeles											48,645	48,645
29	Grand Total	\$ 617,003,660	\$ 79,902,182	\$ 343,302,680	\$ 1,040,208,522	\$ 63,216,448	\$ 77,191,341	\$ 276,239,947	' \$ 10,000,000	\$ 276,006,413	\$ 65,826,324	\$ 13,407,997	\$ 1,822,096,992

	Operators	Vehicle Service Miles (VSM) FY22 Data (1)	Passenger Revenue	Base Fare	Fare Units	Fare Units Prior to Fare Increase/ decrease	Fare Units Used in FAP ⁽²⁾	Sum 50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	Proposition A Base Share	DAR Cap Adjustment (3)	TDA/STA Share
	Included Operators										
1	Metro Bus Operations (4)	63,247,751	\$ 48,117,395	\$ 1.75	27,495,654	197,161,600	197,161,600	130,204,676	74.1127%	0.0000%	74.1127%
2	Arcadia DR	54,153	4,138	0.50	8,276	72,829	72,829	63,491	0.0361%	0.0000%	0.0361%
3	Arcadia MB ⁽⁵⁾	161,751	7,290	0.50	14,580	-	14,580	88,166	0.0502%	0.0000%	0.0502%
4	Claremont	24,629	10,742	2.50	4,297	81,840	81,840	53,235	0.0303%	0.0000%	0.0303%
5	Commerce	388,497	-	-	-	-	-	194,249	0.1106%	0.0000%	0.1106%
6	Culver City	1,243,082	1,065,143	1.00	1,065,143	3,673,208	3,673,208	2,458,145	1.3992%	0.0000%	1.3992%
7	Foothill Transit	9,094,100	6,110,456	1.75	3,491,689	14,221,000	14,221,000	11,657,550	6.6355%	0.0000%	6.6355%
8	Gardena	1,112,743	1,115,630	1.00	1,115,630	3,703,600	3,703,600	2,408,172	1.3707%	0.0000%	1.3707%
9	La Mirada ⁽⁵⁾	50,841	35,602	1.00	35,602		35,602	43,222	0.0246%	0.0000%	0.0246%
10	Long Beach	5,755,022	6,735,804	1.25	5,388,643	15,972,456	15,972,456	10,863,739	6.1837%	0.0000%	6.1837%
11	Montebello	1,613,391	1,856,014	1.10	1,687,285	5,855,556	5,855,556	3,734,474	2.1257%	0.0000%	2.1257%
12	Norwalk	757,299	156,775	1.25	125,420	2,094,068	2,094,068	1,425,684	0.8115%	0.0000%	0.8115%
13	Redondo Beach DR ⁽⁵⁾	41,978	12,084	1.00	12,084		12,084	27,031	0.0154%	0.0000%	0.0154%
	Redondo Beach MB (5)	377,761	301,087	1.00	301,087		301,087	339,424	0.1932%	0.0000%	0.1932%
15	Santa Monica	3,872,993	5,548,734	1.25	4,438,987	14,661,333	14,661,333	9,267,163	5.2749%	0.0000%	5.2749%
16	Torrance	1,202,341	337,534	1.00	337,534	4,510,000	4,510,000	2,856,171	1.6257%	0.0000%	1.6257%
17	Sub-Total	88,998,332	71,414,428		45,521,912	, ,	262,370,843	175,684,588	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%
	Eligible Operators										
18	Antelope Valley	2,779,424	1,785,985	1.50	1,190,657	3,543,241	3,543,241	3,161,333	1.6770%	0.0000%	1.6770%
	Santa Clarita ⁽⁵⁾	2,163,153	3,097,621	1.00	3,097,621	0,010,211	3,097,621	2,630,387	1.3954%	0.0000%	1.3954%
20	LADOT Local	2,597,911	38,110	0.50	76,220	6,727,520	6,727,520	4,662,716	2.4735%	0.0000%	2.4735%
21	LADOT Express	1,586,368	554,586	1.50	369,724	3,152,832	3,152,832	2,369,600	1.2570%	0.0000%	1.2570%
22	Foothill - BSCP	1,198,312	727,599	1.50	485,066	1,650,000	1,650,000	1,424,156	0.7498%	0.0000%	0.7498%
23	Sub-Total	10,325,168	6,203,901		5,219,288		18,171,214	14,248,191	7.5527%	0.0000%	7.5527%
24	Total	99,323,500	77,618,329		50,741,200		280,542,057	189,932,779			

BUS TRANSIT FUNDING PERCENTAGE SHARES

Notes:

(1) Operators' statistics exclude BSIP, TSE, Base Restructuring and MOSIP services that are funded from PC 40% Discretionary. Also excluded are services funded from other sources (CRD, federal, etc.)

(2) Fare units used are frozen to the level prior to fare change in accordance with the Funding Stability Policy, adopted by the Board in November 2007.

(3) TDA cap of 0.25% is applied for DAR operators - Arcadia, Claremont, La Mirada and Redondo Beach DR.

(4) MTA Statistics include contracted services with LADOT for Lines 422, 601 and 602 (Consent Decree Lines), Glendale and Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA).

(5) The fare unit used in FAP has been maintained at FY19 level.

	TDA Article 4 plus interest		STA	Prop A	Prop A		Total				
	Operators	TDA & STA	Allocated	Fund Exchange	Net	Rev Base Share	Discretionary %	Discretionary		Formula	Two Year Lag Funding
		% Shares	Allocated	(1)	Net	Plus Interest	Shares	Allocations (2)		Funds	(3)
	Included Operators										
1	Metro Bus Operations	74.1127%	\$ 457,278,367	\$ (5,151,182)	\$ 452,127,185	\$ 59,217,703	74.1127%	\$ 206,753,872	\$	718,098,760	\$ (273,680)
2	Arcadia DR	0.0361%	222,980		222,980	28,876	0.0361%	100,952		352,808	
	Arcadia MB	0.0502%	309,637	27,137	336,774	40,098	0.0502%	140,185		517,057	
	Claremont Commerce	0.0303% 0.1106%	186,959 682,200	7,704 71,521	194,663 753,721	24,211 88,345	0.0303% 0.1106%	84,644 308,858		303,518 1,150,924	
	Culver City	1.3992%	8,632,997	257,982	8,890,979	1,117,976	1.3992%	3,908,491		13,917,445	
	Foothill Transit	6.6355%	40,941,275	1,145,089	42,086,364	5,301,909	6.6355%	18,535,694		65,923,967	
	Gardena	1.3707%	8,457,490	232,548	8,690,038	1,095,248	1.3707%	3,829,032		13,614,318	
	La Mirada ⁽⁴⁾	0.0246%	151,794	334,902	486,696	19,657	0.0246%	68,723		575,076	
	Long Beach ⁽⁵⁾	6.1837%	38,153,414	1,382,270	39,535,684	4,940,880	6.1837%	17,547,201		62,023,765	273,680
	Montebello	2.1257%	13,115,458 5,006,995	339,338	13,454,796	1,698,456	2.1257%	5,937,874		21,091,126 8,077,409	
	Norwalk Redondo Beach DR	0.8115% 0.0154%	5,006,995 94,933	155,146	5,162,141 94,933	648,408 12,294	0.8115% 0.0154%	2,266,860 42,980		8,077,409	
	Redondo Beach MB	0.1932%	1,192,056	49,062	1,241,118	154,372	0.1932%	539,690		1,935,179	
	Santa Monica	5.2749%	32,546,244	802,795	33,349,039	4,214,750	5.2749%	14,734,940		52,298,729	
16	Torrance	1.6257%	10,030,861	345,688	10,376,549	1,299,000	1.6257%	4,541,358		16,216,906	
17	Sub-Total	100.0000%	617,003,660	-	617,003,660	79,902,182	100.0000%	279,341,351		976,247,193	
	Eligible Operators		For	mula Equivalent Fi	unded from Propo	sition A 95% of 40%	% Growth over CPI	(6)			
18	Antelope Valley (7)	1.6770%	-	343,224	343,224	1,339,978	1.6770%	4,684,618	\$	6,367,820	
19	Santa Clarita (7)	1.3954%	-	439,189	439,189	1,114,929	1.3954%	3,897,837		5,451,954	
20	LADOT Local	2.4735%	15,261,437		15,261,437	1,976,361	2.4735%	6,909,441		24,147,240	
21	LADOT Express	1.2570%	7,755,889		7,755,889	1,004,390	1.2570%	3,511,390		12,271,669	
22	Foothill - BSCP	0.7498%	4,626,423		4,626,423	599,123	0.7498%	2,094,560		7,320,107	
23	Sub-Total	7.5527%	27,643,749	782,413	28,426,162	6,034,781	7.5527%	21,097,846		55,558,790	
24	Total FAP		\$ 617,003,660		\$ 617,003,660	\$ 79,902,182	107.5527%	\$ 279,341,351	\$	1,031,805,983	\$-
	Proposition A Discretionary (95% c	of 40%) Growtl	n Over CPI:								
25	Revenue								\$	153,858,649	
	Uses of Fund:										
26										55,558,790	
27 28	Tier 2 Operators ⁽⁸⁾ Total Uses of Funds								<u> </u>	8,402,539 63,961,329	
								89,897,320			
30	Backfill from (Transfer to) PC40% Discretionary									(89,897,320)	
31	Total	-							\$	-	

INCLUDED & ELIGIBLE OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

Notes:

(1) Included Operators' share of LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation.

(2) Prop A Discretionary funds (95% of 40%) allocated to Included Operators have been capped at 3.71% CPI for FAP allocation.

(3) The Two-Year Lag Column is for information only. THESE AMOUNTS ARE ALREADY INCLUDED IN PROP A DISCRETIONARY Allocations.

(4) The City of La Mirada has requested to exchange its FY18 5307 funds, totaling \$161,686, and its FY19 funds totaling \$158,447, with Metro's TDA 4 funds.

(5) Funds allocated to the SCRTTC through Long Beach Transit will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share.

(6) Formula Equivalent funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators based on PUC 99207.5. Fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI.

(7) Antelope Valley and Santa Clarita's LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's Prop C 40% Discretionary transfer to Proposition A Discretionary GOI.

(8) The Board has approved an adjustment in the funding for Tier II operators for FY24, increasing the annual cap from \$6 million to \$8.2 million, with subsequent annual adjustments based on the CPI.

	Operators	FY22 Unlinked Passengers	Percent of Total Unlinked Passengers	Total ⁽¹⁾
1	Antelope Valley	1,113,754	0.3597%	\$ 227,362
2	Arcadia	39,916	0.0129%	8,148
3	Claremont	8,078	0.0026%	1,649
4	Commerce	246,643	0.0796%	50,350
5	Culver City	2,267,843	0.7323%	462,957
6	Foothill Transit	6,752,806	2.1806%	1,378,518
7	Gardena	1,599,539	0.5165%	326,530
8	LADOT Local/Express	12,365,151	3.9930%	2,524,222
9	La Mirada	24,653	0.0080%	5,033
10	Long Beach	17,409,861	5.6220%	3,554,049
11	Montebello	2,638,870	0.8521%	538,699
12	Norwalk	789,462	0.2549%	161,161
13	Redondo Beach DR/MB	295,365	0.0954%	60,296
14	Santa Clarita	1,820,768	0.5880%	371,692
15	Santa Monica	6,312,168	2.0383%	1,288,566
16	Torrance	2,106,014	0.6801%	429,922
17	Sub-Total	55,790,891	18.0161%	11,389,153
18	Metro Bus/Rail Operations ⁽²⁾	253,881,136	81.9839%	51,827,296
19	Total	309,672,027	100.0000%	\$ 63,216,448
	Notes:			

PROPOSITION C 5% TRANSIT SECURITY FUNDING ALLOCATION

(1) Total funding is 90% of Prop C 5% Transit Security:

Estimated Revenue: \$

90% Thereof: \$ 63,216,448

70,240,498

(2) Metro operations data includes unlinked passengers for bus and rail.

		MOSIP			Zero-fare	Foothill	Transit	Discretionary	BSIP		
	Operators	Prop A			Compensation	Transit	Service	Base	Overcrowding		Total
		%Share	%Share	\$ Allocation	(1)	Mitigation	Expansion	Restructuring	Relief		
	INCLUDED OPERATORS										
1	Metro Bus Operations (2)			\$ -		\$ 13,995,592	\$-	\$-	\$ 12,975,406	\$	26,970,998
2	Metro exchange (3)					(10,000,000)			(5,000,000)		(15,000,000)
3	Metro Sub-total					3,995,592			7,975,406		11,970,998
4	Arcadia	0.0863%	0.2581%	69,458		17,449	-	-	24,484		111,391
5	Claremont	0.0303%	0.0906%	24,381		6,125	-	-	-		30,506
6	Commerce	0.1106%	0.3306%	88,965	1,079,403	22,349	-	280,219	-		1,470,936
7	Culver City	1.3992%	4.1842%	1,125,822		282,819	270,101	-	188,748		1,867,490
8	Foothill Transit	6.6355%	19.8430%	5,339,119		-	373,843	2,243,392	1,044,461		9,000,816
9	Gardena	1.3707%	4.0991%	1,102,935		277,069	776,368	-	197,037		2,353,409
10	La Mirada	0.0246%	0.0736%	19,795		4,973	-	-	-		24,768
11	Long Beach	6.1837%	18.4918%	4,975,556		1,249,915	2,563,169	-	925,191		9,713,831
12	Montebello	2.1257%	6.3567%	1,710,376		429,665	-	1,279,709	244,222		3,663,972
13	Norwalk	0.8115%	2.4267%	652,958		164,030	-	-	63,189		880,177
14	Redondo Beach DR/MB	0.2086%	0.6238%	167,835		42,162	-	-	4,486		214,483
15	Santa Monica	5.2749%	15.7742%	4,244,330		1,066,222	-	-	895,126		6,205,679
16	Torrance	1.6257%	4.8617%	1,308,117		328,613	909,043	814,279	270,267		3,630,319
17	Sub-Total	25.8873%	77.4141%	20,829,649	1,079,403	3,891,392	4,892,525	4,617,599	3,857,211		39,167,778
	ELIGIBLE OPERATORS										
18	Antelope Valley	1.6770%	5.0150%	1,349,382		36,150	423,309	-	53,726		1,862,567
19	Santa Clarita	1.3954%	4.1728%	1,122,754		30,078	221,403	-	57,469		1,431,704
20	LADOT Local/Express	3.7305%	11.1558%	3,001,671		701,372	3,041,162	-	168,453		6,912,658
21	Foothill - BSCP	0.7498%	2.2423%	603,328		-	-	-	-		603,328
22	Sub-Total	7.5527%	22.5859%	6,077,135		767,600	3,685,874	-	279,649		10,810,257
23	City of Lynwood Trolley						242,307	-	-		242,307
24	Total Municipal Operators	33.4400%	100.0000%	26,906,784	1,079,403	4,658,991	8,820,706	4,617,599	4,136,860		50,220,342
25	LTSS ZEV CFP (3)										15,000,000
26	Total	33.4400%	100.0000%	\$ 26,906,784	\$ 1,079,403	\$ 8,654,583	\$ 8,820,706	\$ 4,617,599	\$ 12,112,266	\$	77,191,341
		1 +)/	г	\$ 26,123,091		 	\$ 8,505,164	\$ 4,452,414	\$ 16,500,112	I	
		Last Year		\$ 26,123,091			φ 0,000,104	φ 4,402,414	φ 10,000,112		

PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS

Note:

(1) Allocated as part of FAP to Commerce as compensation for having zero passenger revenues.

% Increase

Current Year

(2) Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita, Burbank, and Glendale's, LCTOP fund in the amount of \$984,952 will be exchanged with Metro's "Foothill Mitigation" Fund. Metro will allocate Prop A Discretionary (95% of 40%) GOI fund to Antellope Valley and Santa Clarita.

3.00%

\$ 26,906,784

3.71%

\$ 8,820,706 \$ 4,617,599 \$ 17,112,266

3.71%

3.71%

(3) Due to the Infrastructure Investment Jobs Act (IIJA) leading to greater-than-expected 5307 grants, the Board approved in June 2022 to allocate \$10 million in FY22, \$5 million in FY24, and \$5 million in FY26 from 5307 to the LTSS. Metro will exchange these amounts with its PC40 fund. In FY24, \$15 million will be deducted from Metro's PC40% fund (Foothill Mitigation & BSIP) to cover FY22 and FY24 5307 exchange.

		2	0% Bus Operatio	ns	Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (1)			
	Operators	Proposition A Base Share %	MR Percentage Share	Bus Operations Allocation	Federal Section 5307 Capital Allocation Formula Share (2)	\$ Allocation		
	Included Operators:							
1	Metro Bus Operations	74.1127%	68.9083%	\$ 190,352,258	62.4754%	\$ 6,247,544		
2	Arcadia	0.0863%	0.0803%	221,714	0.1769%	17,687		
2	Claremont	0.0303%	0.0282%	77,826	0.0217%	2,173		
4	Commerce	0.0303 %	0.1028%	283,981	0.3581%	35,807		
4 5	Culver City	1.3992%	1.3009%	3,593,676	1.3670%	136,701		
э 6	Foothill Transit (3)	6.6355%	6.1695%	17,042,713	9.5429%	954,290		
7	Gardena	1.3707%	1.2745%	3,520,618	1.1411%	114,107		
8	La Mirada	0.0246%	0.0229%	63,188	0.0701%	7,007		
-	Long Beach	6.1837%	5.7494%	15,882,204	6.9407%	694,066		
	Montebello	2.1257%	1.9764%	5,459,600	1.9237%	192,374		
-	Norwalk	0.8115%	0.7545%	2,084,273	0.7056%	70,555		
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0154%	0.0143%	39,518		,		
12	Redondo Beach MB	0.1932%	0.1796%	496,220	0.3198%	31,983		
14	Santa Monica	5.2749%	4.9045%	13,548,096	4.7314%	473,138		
14	Torrance	1.6257%	1.5116%	4,175,568	1.3175%	131,753		
15	Torrande	1.020170	1.011070	4,170,000	1.017070	101,700		
	Eligible Operators:							
16	Antelope Valley	1.6770%	1.5593%	4,307,290	2.0375%	203,747		
17	Santa Clarita	1.3954%	1.2974%	3,583,881	2.0642%	206,420		
	LADOT Local	2.4735%	2.2998%	6,352,912				
	LADOT Express	1.2570%	1.1688%	3,228,561	4.8065%	480,647		
	Foothill BSCP (3)	0.7498%	0.6972%	1,925,851	-	-		
20		0.140070	0.001270	1,020,001				
21	Total Municipal Operators	33.4400%	31.0917%	85,887,688	37.5246%	3,752,456		
		221110070	2.1001170	22,201,000	011021070	2,102,100		
					400 00000	A 10.000.000		
22	Total Funds Allocated	107.5527%	100.0000%	\$ 276,239,947	100.0000%	\$ 10,000,000		

MEASURE R 20% BUS OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL ALLOCATIONS

Notes:

(1) Clean Fuel Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Funds of \$10M will be allocated every even fiscal year.

(2) Allocated based on FY22 data.

(3) Foothill Transit Clean Fuel allocation includes the allocation for the Foothill BSCP.

	Operators	Measure M Percentage Share ⁽¹⁾	\$ Allocation
	Included Operators:		
1	Metro Bus Operations	68.9083%	\$ 190,191,334
2	Arcadia	0.0803%	221,526
3	Claremont	0.0282%	77,760
4	Commerce	0.1028%	283,741
5	Culver City	1.3009%	3,590,638
6	Foothill Transit	6.1695%	17,028,305
7	Gardena	1.2745%	3,517,641
8	La Mirada	0.0229%	63,134
9	Long Beach	5.7494%	15,868,777
10	Montebello	1.9764%	5,454,985
11	Norwalk	0.7545%	2,082,511
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0143%	39,484
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1796%	495,800
14	Santa Monica	4.9045%	13,536,642
15	Torrance	1.5116%	4,172,038
	Eligible Operators:		
16	Antelope Valley	1.5593%	4,303,648
17	Santa Clarita	1.2974%	3,580,851
18	LADOT Local	2.2998%	6,347,541
19	LADOT Express	1.1688%	3,225,831
20	Foothill BSCP	0.6972%	1,924,223
21	Total Municipal Operators	31.0917%	85,815,079
22	Total Funds Allocated	100.0000%	\$ 276,006,413
	Notes:		

MEASURE M 20% TRANSIT OPERATIONS

(Metro and Municipal Providers)

(1) Metro adheres to the Measure R allocation methodology for Measure M 20% fund allocations.

	Operators	Measure R	SB1 - STA		SB1 - SGR	Total
	-pointere	% Share ⁽¹⁾	Allocation	A	llocation ⁽²⁾	
	Included Operators:					
1	Metro Bus Operations	68.9083%	\$ 45,359,803	\$	9,205,703	\$ 54,565,506
2	Arcadia	0.0803%	52,833		10,722	63,555
3	Claremont	0.0282%	18,545		3,764	22,309
4	Commerce	0.1028%	67,671		13,734	81,405
5	Culver City	1.3009%	856,352		173,795	1,030,147
6	Foothill Transit	6.1695%	4,061,177		824,210	4,885,386
7	Gardena	1.2745%	838,942		170,262	1,009,204
8	La Mirada	0.0229%	15,057		3,056	18,113
9	Long Beach	5.7494%	3,784,634		768,086	4,552,720
10	Montebello	1.9764%	1,300,990		264,034	1,565,024
11	Norwalk	0.7545%	496,670		100,798	597,468
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0143%	9,417		1,911	11,328
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1796%	118,246		23,998	142,244
14	Santa Monica	4.9045%	3,228,430		655,205	3,883,635
15	Torrance	1.5116%	995,013		201,936	1,196,949
	Eligible Operators:					
16	Antelope Valley	1.5593%	1,026,401		208,307	1,234,708
17	Santa Clarita	1.2974%	854,017		173,322	1,027,339
18	LADOT Local	2.2998%	1,513,861		307,236	1,821,097
19	LADOT Express	1.1688%	769,347		156,138	925,484
20	Foothill BSCP	0.6972%	458,919		93,137	552,056
21	Total Municipal Operators	31.0917%	20,466,522		4,153,649	24,620,171
22	County of Los Angeles		-		48,645	48,645
	Total Funds Allocated	100.0000%	\$ 65,826,324	\$	13,407,997	\$ 79,234,322
	Notes:	•	· · · · ·		•	

Senate Bill 1 - Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017

(1) The STA and SGR portions of SB1 fund will be distributed based on Measure R allocation methodology.

(2) Preliminary estimates. Subject to the submittal of eligible projects.

	Operators	LCTOP Share ⁽¹⁾	TDA Fund Exchange ⁽²⁾	Prop A GOI / Prop C 40% Fund Exchange ⁽³⁾	Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾
1	Metro Bus Ops.		\$ 4,471,049	\$ 984,952	\$ 5,456,001
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Antelope Valley Arcadia Claremont Commerce Culver City Foothill Transit Gardena La Mirada Long Beach Montebello Norwalk Redondo Beach Santa Clarita Santa Monica Torrance	\$ 343,224 27,137 7,704 71,521 257,982 1,145,089 232,548 14,769 1,022,270 339,338 155,146 49,062 439,189 802,795 345,688	(27,137) (7,704) (71,521) (257,982) (1,145,089) (232,548) (14,769) (1,022,270) (339,338) (155,146) (49,062) (802,795) (345,688)	(343,224) (439,189)	
	Tier Two Operators				
	Burbank	63,655		(63,655)	-
-	Glendale Pasadena	138,884		(138,884) -	-
20	TOTAL	\$ 5,456,001	\$-	\$-	\$ 5,456,001

LOW CARBONTRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM Eligible Allocation Fiscal Year 2022 - 2023

Note:

(1) Estimated - To be adjusted based on actual allocations.

(2) Included Operators' share of LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation.

(3) LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's "Foothill Mitigation Fund" share. Metro will allocate Proposition A Discretionary (95% of 40%) GOI fund to these operators.

	Operators	Vehicle Service Miles FY22 data	Passenger Revenue	Base Fare	Fare Units (1),(2)	50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	% Share		
1	LADOT Community Dash	3,781,837	\$ 5,325	\$ 0.50	16,808,232	10,295,035	5.0778%		
2	Glendale	791,773	229,016	1.00	2,187,836	1,489,805	0.7348%		
3	Pasadena	739,633	687,525	0.75	916,700	828,167	0.4085%		
	Burbank	212,160	189,786	1.00	189,786	200,973	0.0991%		
	Sub-Total	5,525,403	1,111,652		20,102,554	12,813,979	6.3202%		
	Included and Eligible Opera	99,323,500	77,618,329		50,741,200	189,932,779	93.6798%		
-	Total	104,848,903	\$ 78,729,981		70,843,754	202,746,757	100.0000%		
			% Share	TDA Article 4 + Interest	STA Revenue Base Share + Interest	Proposition A Discretionary	Total		
ŀ	Funds Allocated to Included C	Operators		\$ 617,003,660	\$ 79,902,182	\$ 279,341,351	\$ 976,247,193		
þ	Formula Equivalent Calculation	on							
	LADOT Community Dash		5.0778%				\$ 49,571,686		
	Glendale		0.7348%	4,533,808	587,130	2,052,630	7,173,567		
	Pasadena		0.4085%	2,520,296	326,379	1,141,035	3,987,710		
	Burbank		0.0991%	611,606	79,203	276,897	967,706		
Ļ	Total		6.3202%	\$ 38,995,798	\$ 5,049,969	\$ 17,654,902	\$ 61,700,669		
ŀ								LCTOP fund	
	Funds Allocated to Tier 2 O	perators	13.29% (3)				MTA Allocations (4)	Exchange (5)	FY24 Total Funds Available
4	Actual Allocation LADOT Community Dash			\$ 4,163,759	\$ 539,208	\$ 1,885,094	\$ 6,588,062	¢	\$ 6,588,062
1	Glendale			\$ 4,163,759 602,542	\$ 539,208 78,029	\$ 1,885,094 272,794	\$ 6,588,062 953,365	ə - 138,884	\$ 6,588,062 1,092,249
	Pasadena			334,947	43,376	151,643	529,965	130,004	529,965
l	Burbank			81,282	10,526	36,800	128,608	63,655	192,263
ŀ	Total			\$ 5,182,530	\$ 671,139	\$ 2,346,331	\$ 8,200,000	\$ 202,539	\$ 8,402,539

TIER 2 OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

	Prop A Incentive Allocation ⁽⁶⁾	 fore Tier 2 I Allocation	(GOI Allocation Deduction	Net Prop A Incentive Allocation
19	LADOT Community Dash	\$ 2,414,739	\$	(320,918)	\$ 2,093,821
20	Glendale	498,481		(66,248)	432,233
21	Pasadena	432,721		(57,508)	375,212
22	Burbank	163,682		(21,753)	141,929
23	Total	\$ 3,509,623	\$	(466,428)	\$ 3,043,196

Notes:

(1) The fare unit has been maintained at FY19 level for Burbank and Pasadena Transit.

(2) Funding Stability Policy is applied on LADOT and Glendale Fare Units.

(3) This percentage is applied as a deduction from Tier 2 Operators' Incentive Program allocations.

(4) The Board has approved an adjustment in the funding for Tier II operators for FY24, increasing the annual cap from \$6 million to \$8.2 million, with subsequent annual adjustments based on the CPI.

(5) Burbank and Glendale's LCTOP fund will be exchanged with Metro's "Foothill Mitigation" Fund. Metro will allocate Prop A Discretionary (95% of 40%) GOI funds to these operators. (6) Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment.

LOCAL SUBSIDIES

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS

_			
1	RIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS Agoura Hills	l ota	al Allocation 66,450
2	Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled	Ψ	649,937
2	Culver City Community Transit and LA County		70,197
4	Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County		194,807
4 5	Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge		269,419
6	Inglewood Transit and LA County		216,411
7	LA County (Whittier et al)		209,817
8	LA County (Willowbrook)		43,386
9	Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride ⁽¹⁾		492,365
10	Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride		1,109,084
10	Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County		103,558
12	Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R.		42,394
13	Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit		458,012
14	Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County		478,805
15	Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About)		803,438
16	Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC)		74,883
17	Santa Clarita D.A.R.		1,008,737
18	West Hollywood (DAR)		259,246
19	Whittier (DAR)		291,382
20	TOTAL EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS	\$	6,842,327
	RIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION PROP A DISC. CANNOT FULLY FUND THESE SYSTEMS)		
21	City of L.A Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle	\$	-
22	Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route		-
23	Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route		-
24	Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project	^	-
25	(IF PROP A DISC. CANNOT FULLY FUND THESE SYSTEMS)	\$	-
26 P	RIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT	\$	-
27 D	RIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES	\$	_
21 F		ψ	

Pr	iority V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING			
	stimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)		Tier 2	
	(22 NTD Report Year	Estimate	Deduction ⁽³⁾	Total Allocation
28	City of Alhambra (MB and DR)	\$ 144,875		\$ 144,875
29	City of Artesia (DR)	2,249		2,249
30	City of Azusa (DR)	26,289		26,289
31	City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR)	112,798		112,798
32	City of Bell (MB/DR)	30,927		30,927
33	City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR)	66,331		66,331
34	City of Bellflower (MB and DR)	47,156	<i></i>	47,156
35	City of Burbank (MB)* (1)	134,566	(15,917)	118,649
36	City of Calabasas (MB and DR)	43,239		43,239
37	City of Carson (MB and DT)	35,683		35,683
38	City of Cerritos (MB and DR)	21,574		21,574
39	City of Compton (MB and DR)	105,585		105,585
40	City of Covina (DR)	24,920		24,920
41	City of Cudahy (MB and DR)	31,394		31,394
42	City of Downey (MB and DR)	82,147		82,147
43	City of Duarte (MB)	-		-
44	City of El Monte (MB and DR)	133,926		133,926
45	City of Glendora (MB and DR)	49,864		49,864
46	City of Glendale (MB)* (1)	437,920	(60,561)	377,358
47	City of Huntington Park (MB)	157,801		157,801
48	City of Los Angeles Community DASH* (MB) (1)	2,414,739	(234,818)	2,179,921
49	City of Los Angeles Department of Aging (DR) (1)	96,782		96,782
50	LA County Dept. of Public Works Avocado Heights (MB)	22,246		22,246
51	LA County Dept. of Public Works East Valinda (MB)	25,174		25,174
52	LA County Dept. of Public Works East LA (MB and DR)	147,526		147,526
53	LA County Dept. of Public Works Willowbrook (MB)	41,957		41,957
54	LA County Dept. of Public Works King Medical (MB)	21,279		21,279
55	LA County Dept. of Public Works Athens (MB)	20,877		20,877
56	LA County Dept. of Public Works Lennnox (MB)	17,179		17,179
57	LA County Dept. of Public Works South Whittier (MB)	111,431		111,431
58	LA County Dept. of Public Works Florance/Firestone (MB)	32,174		32,174
59	City of Lakewood (DR)	29,684		29,684
60	City of Lawndale (MB)	38,110		38,110
61	City of Lynwood (MB)	78,534		78,534
62	City of Malibu (DT)	3,336		3,336
63	City of Manhattan Beach (DR)	19,798		19,798
64	City of Maywood (MB and DR)	23,623		23,623
65	City of Monterey Park (MB and DR)	9,557		9,557
66	City of Pasadena (MB)*	390,641	(42,079)	348,562
67	City of Pico Rivera (DR)	8,028		8,028
68	City of Rosemead (MB and DR)	80,365		80,365
69	City of Santa fe Springs (DR)	7,146		7,146
70	City of South Gate (DT and MB)	131,714		131,714
71	City of South Pasadena (DR)	11,401		11,401
72	City of West Covina (MB and DR)	109,850		109,850
73	City of West Hollywood (MB)	65,065		65,065
74	TOTAL VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING	\$ 5,647,455	\$ (353,376)	\$ 5,294,080

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued) (In Order of Priority)

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)

(In Order of Priority)

PR	IORITY VI: SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS	Tot	al Allocation
75	Avalon Ferry Subsidy	\$	700,000
76	Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride)		300,000
77	Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service		1,057,000
78	TOTAL SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS	\$	2,057,000
79	Total funds	\$	14,193,407
80	Reserves for contingencies (2)		7,941,633
81	TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUE	\$	22,135,040
82	Surplus (Deficit)	\$	-

NOTES:

(1) Tier 2 Operators' share have been reduced by % of GOI Funding per Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.

(2) These funds are held in reserve for future contingency purposes such as deficit years, growth over inflation, approved new or existing expanded paratransit services, and new NTD reporters.

	Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M		TDA Arti	icle 8 (S & H)	
LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report 2022 data ⁽¹⁾	as % of	Local Return Estimate ⁽²⁾	Local Return Estimate ⁽²⁾	Local Return Estimate ⁽²⁾	Local Return Estimate	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike (A)		Article 8	Total
AGOURA HILLS	19,771	0.2005%	\$ 571,403	\$ 473,964	\$ 355,473	\$ 402,869	\$ 23,137		\$-	\$ 1,826,847
ALHAMBRA	81,834	0.8299%	2,365,091	1,961,781	1,471,335	1,667,513	95,745			7,561,465
ARCADIA	55,934	0.5672%	1,616,553	1,340,888	1,005,666	1,139,755	65,444			5,168,306
ARTESIA	16,226	0.1645%	468,949	388,981	291,736	330,634	18,990			1,499,289
AVALON	3,394	0.0344%	98,090	81,363	61,022	69,159	5,000	3,394	233,896	548,530
AZUSA	49,704	0.5040%	1,436,499	1,191,538	893,654	1,012,808	58,156			4,592,655
BALDWIN PARK	70,855	0.7185%	2,047,786	1,698,584	1,273,938	1,443,797	82,901			6,547,006
BELL	33,624	0.3410%	971,770	806,058	604,543	685,149	39,344			3,106,863
BELLFLOWER	77,359	0.7845%	2,235,758	1,854,503	1,390,877	1,576,327	90,510			7,147,97
BELL GARDENS	38,861	0.3941%	1,123,125	931,602	698,702	791,862	45,471			3,590,762
BEVERLY HILLS	32,265	0.3272%	932,493	773,479	580,109	657,457	37,754			2,981,29
BRADBURY	904	0.0092%	26,127	21,671	16,253	18,421	5,000			87,47
BURBANK	105,451	1.0694%	3,047,648	2,527,943	1,895,958	2,148,752	123,375			9,743,67
CALABASAS	22,926	0.2325%	662,586	549,598	412,198	467,158	26,828			2,118,36
CARSON	92,362	0.9366%	2,669,361	2,214,165	1,660,624	1,882,040	108,062			8,534,25
CERRITOS	48,634	0.4932%	1,405,575	1,165,887	874,416	991,004	56,904			4,493,78
CLAREMONT	37,072		1,071,421	888,715	666,536	755,408	43,378			3,425,45
COMMERCE	12,140	0.1231%	350,859	291,028	218,271	247,374	14,210			1,121,74
COMPTON	94,233	0.9556%	2,723,435	2,259,018	1,694,263	1,920,165	110,251			8,707,13
COVINA	50,449	0.5116%	1,458,030	1,209,398	907,048	1,027,988	59,027			4,661,49
CUDAHY	22,318	0.2263%	645,014	535,022	401,267	454,769	26,117			2,062,18
CULVER CITY	40,135	0.4070%	1,159,945	962,144	721,608	817,822	46,961			3,708,47
DIAMOND BAR	54,204	0.5497%	1,566,554	1,299,415	974,561	1,104,503	63,420			5,008,45
DOWNEY	112,584		3,253,799	2,698,941	2,024,205	2,294,099	131,719			10,402,76
DUARTE	21,258		614,379	509,611	382,208	433,170	24,877			1,964,24
EL MONTE	107,706	1.0922%	3,112,819	2,582,002	1,936,501	2,194,702	126,013			9,952,03
EL SEGUNDO	17,084		493,746	409,549	307,162	348,117	19,994			1,578,56
GARDENA	59,947	0.6079%	1,732,533	1,437,090	1,077,818	1,221,527	70,139			5,539,10
GLENDALE	193,116		5,581,261	4,629,509	3,472,132	3,935,082	225,934			17,843,91
GLENDORA	51,821	0.5255%	1,497,683	1,242,288	931,716	1,055,945	60,633			4,788,26
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	13,619	0.1381%	393,604	326,484	244,863	277,511	15,940			1,258,40
HAWTHORNE	86,841	0.8806%	2,509,798	2,081,812	1,561,359	1,769,540	101,603			8,024,11
HERMOSA BEACH	19,171	0.1944%	554,063	459,580	344,685	390,643	22,435			1,771,40
HIDDEN HILLS	1,738	0.0176%	50,230	41,665	31,248	35,415	5,000			163,55
HUNTINGTON PARK	53,942		1,558,982	1,293,134	969,851	1,099,164	63,114			4,984,24

	Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M		TDA Arti	cle 8 (S & H)	
LOCAL JURISDICTION	DOF Report	as % of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	TDA Article 3		Article 8	Total
	2022 data ⁽¹⁾	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate	Ped & Bike (A)	Population	Allocation	
36 INDUSTRY (B)	438	0.0044%	12,659	10,500	7,875	8,925	-			39,959
37 INGLEWOOD	106,481	1.0798%	3,077,416	2,552,635	1,914,476	2,169,740	124,580			9,838,847
38 IRWINDALE	1,490	0.0151%	43,063	35,719	26,789	30,361	5,000			140,933
39 LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE	20,081	0.2036%	580,363	481,395	361,047	409,186	23,500			1,855,491
40 LA HABRA HEIGHTS	5,594	0.0567%	161,673	134,103	100,577	113,988	6,551			516,892
41 LAKEWOOD	80,876	0.8201%	2,337,404	1,938,815	1,454,111	1,647,993	94,624			7,472,946
42 LA MIRADA	48,696	0.4938%	1,407,367	1,167,374	875,530	992,268	56,977			4,499,515
43 LANCASTER	175,164	1.7763%	5,062,428	4,199,151	3,149,363	3,569,278	204,932	175,164	12,071,326	28,256,479
44 LA PUENTE	37,587	0.3812%	1,086,305	901,061	675,796	765,902	43,980			3,473,044
45 LA VERNE	32,304	0.3276%	933,620	774,414	580,810	658,252	37,800			2,984,895
46 LAWNDALE	31,301	0.3174%	904,633	750,369	562,777	637,814	36,626			2,892,218
47 LOMITA	20,633	0.2092%	596,316	494,628	370,971	420,434	24,146			1,906,495
48 LONG BEACH	460,682	4.6717%	13,314,206	11,043,784	8,282,838	9,387,216	538,961			42,567,004
49 LOS ANGELES CITY	3,819,538	38.7329%	110,388,764	91,564,575	68,673,431	77,829,889	5,079,264			353,535,923
50 LYNWOOD	66,723	0.6766%	1,928,367	1,599,529	1,199,647	1,359,600	78,066			6,165,209
51 MALIBU	10,686	0.1084%	308,837	256,172	192,129	217,746	12,509			987,393
52 MANHATTAN BEACH	34,902	0.3539%	1,008,705	836,695	627,521	711,190	40,839			3,224,950
53 MAYWOOD	24,814	0.2516%	717,151	594,858	446,144	505,629	29,037			2,292,820
54 MONROVIA	37,563	0.3809%	1,085,611	900,486	675,364	765,413	43,952			3,470,827
55 MONTEBELLO	61,622	0.6249%	1,780,942	1,477,245	1,107,934	1,255,658	72,099			5,693,877
56 MONTEREY PARK	60,207	0.6105%	1,740,047	1,443,323	1,082,493	1,226,825	70,443			5,563,131
57 NORWALK	101,645	1.0308%	2,937,650	2,436,703	1,827,528	2,071,198	118,922			9,392,001
58 PALMDALE	167,398	1.6975%	4,837,983	4,012,979	3,009,734	3,411,032	195,847	167,398	11,536,136	27,003,711
59 PALOS VERDES ESTATES	12,980	0.1316%	375,136	311,165	233,374	264,491	15,192			1,199,358
60 PARAMOUNT	52,477	0.5322%	1,516,642	1,258,015	943,511	1,069,312	61,400			4,848,880
61 PASADENA	138,310	1.4026%	3,997,308	3,315,662	2,486,746	2,818,313	161,816			12,779,845
62 PICO RIVERA	61,442	0.6231%	1,775,740	1,472,930	1,104,697	1,251,990	71,888			5,677,245
63 POMONA	149,766	1.5187%	4,328,399	3,590,293	2,692,720	3,051,749	175,219			13,838,379
64 RANCHO PALOS VERDES	41,468	0.4205%	1,198,470	994,099	745,574	844,984	48,521			3,831,648
65 REDONDO BEACH	68,972	0.6994%	1,993,365	1,653,444	1,240,083	1,405,427	80,698			6,373,017
66 ROLLING HILLS	1,684	0.0171%	48,669	40,370	30,277	34,314	5,000			158,631
67 ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	8,289	0.0841%	239,561	198,710	149,032	168,903	9,704			765,910
68 ROSEMEAD	50,511	0.5122%	1,459,822	1,210,884	908,163	1,029,252	59,100			4,667,22
69 SAN DIMAS	34,352	0.3484%	992,810	823,510	617,632	699,983	40,196			3,174,130
70 SAN FERNANDO	23,519	0.2385%	679,724	563,814	422,860	479,242	27,522			2,173,162

PROPOSI	TION A, PR	OPOSITIC	ON C , MEAS	JRE R and M	EASURE M LO		RN, TDA ART	ICLE 3 &	8 (continue	d)
LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population DOF Report	Population as % of	Proposition A Local Return	Proposition C Local Return	Measure R Local Return	Measure M Local Return	TDA Article 3	TDA Arti	cle 8 (S & H) Article 8	Total
-	2022 data ⁽¹⁾	County	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate (2)	Estimate	Ped & Bike (A)	Population	Allocation	
71 SAN GABRIEL	38,845	0.3939%	1,122,662	931,219	698,414	791,536	45,452			3,589,283
72 SAN MARINO	12,257	0.1243%	354,241	293,833	220,375	249,758	14,347			1,132,553
73 SANTA CLARITA	228,835	2.3206%	6,613,578	5,485,789	4,114,342	4,662,921	267,722	228,835	15,770,031	36,914,383
74 SANTA FE SPRINGS	18,763	0.1903%	542,271	449,799	337,350	382,330	21,958			1,733,707
75 SANTA MONICA	92,408	0.9371%	2,670,691	2,215,268	1,661,451	1,882,978	108,115			8,538,502
76 SIERRA MADRE	10,865	0.1102%	314,010	260,463	195,347	221,394	12,718			1,003,933
77 SIGNAL HILL	11,597	0.1176%	335,166	278,011	208,508	236,310	13,574			1,071,569
78 SOUTH EL MONTE	19,668	0.1994%	568,426	471,495	353,621	400,771	23,017			1,817,329
79 SOUTH GATE	93,259	0.9457%	2,695,286	2,235,668	1,676,751	1,900,318	109,111			8,617,135
80 SOUTH PASADENA	26,580	0.2695%	768,191	637,194	477,895	541,615	31,103			2,455,998
81 TEMPLE CITY	36,262	0.3677%	1,048,011	869,297	651,973	738,903	42,430			3,350,614
82 TORRANCE	144,433	1.4647%	4,174,269	3,462,447	2,596,835	2,943,080	168,980			13,345,610
83 VERNON	208	0.0021%	6,011	4,986	3,740	4,238	5,000			23,976
84 WALNUT	28,094	0.2849%	811,947	673,489	505,116	572,465	32,874			2,595,891
85 WEST COVINA	108,243	1.0977%	3,128,339	2,594,875	1,946,156	2,205,644	126,641			10,001,656
86 WEST HOLLYWOOD	35,399	0.3590%	1,023,069	848,609	636,457	721,318	41,420			3,270,873
87 WESTLAKE VILLAGE	8,043	0.0816%	232,451	192,812	144,609	163,890	9,417			743,180
88 WHITTIER	87,931	0.8917%	2,541,301	2,107,942	1,580,957	1,791,751	102,878			8,124,828
89 UNINCORP LA COUNTY	1,009,857	10.2407%	29,185,956	24,208,982	18,156,737	20,577,635	2,606,562	136,022	9,373,877	104,109,748
90 TOTAL	9,861,224	100.0000%	\$ 285,000,000	\$ 236,400,000	\$ 177,300,000	\$ 200,940,000	\$13,591,611	710,813	\$ 48,985,266	\$ 962,216,877

NOTES:

(1) Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's (DOF) 2022 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA Article 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research.

(2) Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.

TDA Article 3 Allocation:

(A) 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.(B) City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.

Bus Transit Subsidies FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS REVENUE ESTIMATES (1),(2)

Section 5307 Urbanized Are Estimated Revenue	<u>a Formula Grants:</u>			\$	331,435,652
	Estimated Revenue Off the Top:	\$	331,435,652		
	1% Enhancement Allocation		(3,314,357)		
		\$	328,121,295		
	85% Formula Allocation	\$	278,903,101		
	Allocated to LTSS Allocated to Munis	\$ \$	5,000,000 273,903,101		
	15% Discretionary Allocation	\$	49,218,194 328,121,295		
Section 5339 Bus and Bus F Estimated Revenue Section 5337 State of Good	Facilities Formula Grants: Repair (LA County Share of LA UZA 2):			_\$	25,881,610
High Intensity Fixed Gui Directional Route Mile Vehicle Revenue Miles	s (DRM) Generated				
		\$	139,066,494		
High Intensity Motorbus Directional Route Mile Vehicle Revenue Mile	s (DRM) Generated	\$	8,931,044		
Section 5337 State of Go	ood Repair Total Estimated Revenue			\$	147,997,538

Los Angeles County Share of Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim UZA

Note:

(1) Funding based on assumption of full Congressional authorization of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA).
 (2) Fund allocations are based on FY22 TPM data.

		Urbanized Formula Program (Section 5307) Bus & Bus Facilities (Section 5339) State of Good Repair (Section 5337)									
	Operators	Allocation	Fund Exchanges	Adjusted Allocation	Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted Allocation	Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted Allocation	Total
	Included Operators:										
1	Metro Bus Operations	\$ 206,440,929	\$ (15,869,320)	\$ 190,571,610	\$ 16,694,895	\$ 9,186,715	\$ 25,881,610	\$ 140,954,933	\$ 7,042,605	\$ 147,997,538	\$ 364,450,758
	Municipal Operators:										
2	Arcadia	500,180	47,263	547,443	47,263	(47,263)	-	-	-	-	547,443
3	Claremont	61,454	5,807	67,261	5,807	(5,807)	-	-	-	-	67,261
4	Commerce	2,588,764	95,686	2,684,450	95,686	(95,686)	-	-	-	-	2,684,450
5	Culver City	3,865,922	365,298	4,231,220	365,298	(365,298)	-	-	-	-	4,231,220
6	Foothill Transit	35,365,884	7,412,526	42,778,409	2,550,085	(2,550,085)	-	4,862,441	(4,862,441)	-	42,778,409
7	Gardena	3,951,808	304,921	4,256,730	304,921	(304,921)	-	-	-	-	4,256,730
8	La Mirada	198,162	18,725	216,886	18,725	(18,725)	-	-	-	-	216,886
ç	Long Beach	24,188,208	1,736,049	25,924,258	1,854,706	(1,854,706)	-	241,344	(241,344)	-	25,924,258
10	Montebello	5,440,349	514,069	5,954,417	514,069	(514,069)	-	-	-	-	5,954,417
11	Norwalk	9,289,234	188,540	9,477,774	188,540	(188,540)	-	-	-	-	9,477,774
12	Redondo Beach	904,481	85,466	989,947	85,466	(85,466)	-	-	-	-	989,947
13	Santa Monica	16,486,987	1,366,255	17,853,242	1,264,336	(1,264,336)	-	101,919	(101,919)	-	17,853,242
14	Torrance	3,725,967	352,073	4,078,040	352,073	(352,073)	-	-	-	-	4,078,040
15	Sub-Total	106,567,401	12,492,677	119,060,078	7,646,974	(7,646,974)	-	5,205,703	(5,205,703)	-	119,060,078
	Eligible Operators:										
16	Antelope Valley	331,959	818,369	1,150,328	31,367	(31,367)	-	787,002	(787,002)	-	1,150,328
17	LADOT	15,725,088	2,334,302	18,059,390	1,284,402	(1,284,402)	-	1,049,900	(1,049,900)	-	18,059,390
18	Santa Clarita	2,370,276	223,972	2,594,248	223,972	(223,972)	-	-	-	-	2,594,248
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Sub-Total	18,427,322	3,376,642	21,803,965	1,539,741	(1,539,741)		1,836,901	(1,836,901)	-	21,803,965
26	LTSS ZEV CFP										
	Total Excluding Metro	124,994,723	15,869,320	140,864,043	9,186,715	(9,186,715)	-	7,042,605	(7,042,605)	-	140,864,043
22	Grand Total	\$ 331,435,652	\$ -	\$ 331,435,652	\$ 25,881,610	\$ -	\$ 25,881,610	\$ 147,997,538	\$ -	\$ 147,997,538	\$ 505,314,800

Fiscal Year 2024 FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS (Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment) (1)

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

(1)Allocations are based on FY22 statistics.

FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA	85% FORMULA	LTSS Fund Exchange	15% DISCRETIONARY ALLOCATION		1% ENHANCEMENT AL	TOTAL	TDA Fund Exchange	S5339/S5337 Fund Exchange	Total Funds Available	
	SHARE	ALLOCATION		Project Title	\$ Amount	Project Title	\$ Amount			(1)	
Antelope Valley	0.1212%	\$ 331,959						\$ 331,959		\$ 818,369	\$ 1,150,32
Arcadia	0.1826%	500,180						500,180		47,263	547,44
Claremont	0.0224%	61,454						61,454		5,807	67,26
Commerce	0.3697%	1,012,633		Two (2) Battery Electric Replacement Buses	1,456,131	WAYSINE DEPLOYMENT	120,000	2,588,764		95,686	2,684,450
Culver City	1.4114%	3,865,922						3,865,922		365,298	4,231,220
Foothill Transit	9.8529%	26,987,351		24 Zero-Emission Double Deck Buses	8,378,532			35,365,884		7,412,526	42,778,409
Gardena	1.1781%	3,226,959		Electric Charging Station Project- Expanded Electrification: Solar Generation Equipment and Energy Storage System	564,849	Bus Stop Amenities Project	160,000	3,951,808		304,921	4,256,730
LADOT	4.9626%	13,592,729		Downtown Yard Installation of Electric Bus Chargers	2,132,359			15,725,088		2,334,302	18,059,390
La Mirada	0.0723%	198,162						198,162		18,725	216,886
Long Beach Transit	7.1661%	19,628,208		Long Beach Transit Facilities Modernization Program SCRTTC/Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium	3,500,000 360,000	Bus Stop Improvements	700,000	24,188,208	(2) (360,000)	2,096,049	25,924,25
Montebello	1.9862%	5,440,349						5,440,349		514,069	5,954,41
Metro Bus Operations ⁽³⁾	64.5049%	176,680,799	5,000,000	Division 18 (Carson) Charging Infrastructure Project	24,760,130			206,440,929	(2) 360,000	(16,229,320)	190,571,610
Norwalk	0.7285%	1,995,300		Replacement of 14 CNG Buses	5,781,642	Bus Stop Equity Project	1,512,292	9,289,234		188,540	9,477,774
Redondo Beach	0.3302%	904,481						904,481		85,466	989,947
Santa Clarita	0.8654%	2,370,276						2,370,276		223,972	2,594,248
Santa Monica	4.8851%	13,380,371		Replacement of 40-foot Buses	2,946,616	Real-Time Arrival Signs	160,000	16,486,987		1,366,255	17,853,24
Torrance	1.3603%	3,725,967						3,725,967		352,073	4,078,04
TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 273,903,101	\$ 5,000,000		\$ 49,880,259		\$ 2,652,292	\$ 331,435,652	\$-	\$-	\$ 331,435,65

Notes: Total may not add due to rounding.

(1) Operators' share of Section 5337 and 5339 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

(2) Second year of fund allocations to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit. Funds to the SCRTTC will be exchanged with Metro's TDA share.

(3) Due to the Infrastructure Investment Jobs Act (IIJA) leading to greater-than-expected 5307 grants, the Board approved in June 2022 to allocate \$10 million in FY22, \$5 million in FY24, and \$5 million in FY26 from 5307 to the LTSS. Metro will exchange these amounts with its PC40 fund. In FY24, \$5 million will be included in Metro's 5307 fund to cover FY24 fund exchange.

FEDERAL SECTION 5337 - STATE OF GOOD REPAIR

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHARE (UZA 2)	Directional Route Miles (DRM) Allocation				evenue Miles Allocation	(VRM)	Total \$ Allocation	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾
(0282)	DRM	DRM%	DRM \$Allocation	VRM	VRM%	VRM \$Allocation			Available
High Intensity Fixed Guideway:									
1 Metro (Including Metrolink)	485.4	99.774%	\$ 50,771,591	27,684,200	98.806%	\$ 87,126,703	\$ 137,898,294	\$ 1,168,201	\$ 139,066,495
2 Long Beach Transit	0.5	0.103%	52,299	60,068	0.214%	189,044	241,344	(241,344)	-
3 Santa Monica	0.6	0.123%	62,758	12,443	0.044%	39,160	101,919	(101,919)	-
4 Foothill Transit	-	0.000%	-	262,121	0.936%	824,938	824,939	(824,939)	-
5 Sub-total	486.5	100.000%	50,886,648	28,018,832	100.000%	88,179,845	139,066,495	-	139,066,495
High Intensity Motorbus:									
6 Antelope Valley	23.6	13.825%	549,445	116,374	4.792%	237,556	787,002	(787,002)	-
7 Foothill Transit	39.4	23.081%	917,294	1,528,527	62.947%	3,120,208	4,037,503	(4,037,503)	-
8 LADOT	35.1	20.562%	817,183	114,003	4.695%	232,716	1,049,900	(1,049,900)	-
9 Metro Bus Operations	72.6	42.531%	1,690,243	669,370	27.566%	1,366,396	3,056,639	5,874,404	8,931,043
10 Sub-total	170.7	100.00%	3,974,166	2,428,274	100.000%	4,956,877	8,931,043	-	8,931,043
11 Total LA County Share - UZA 2	657.20		\$ 54,860,814	30,447,106	200.000%	\$ 93,136,722	\$ 147,997,538	\$ -	\$ 147,997,538

Note:

(1) Operators' share of Section 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE	Net Formula Share	Fund Exchange	Net Funds Available		
1 Antelope Valley	0.1212%	\$ 31,367	\$ (31,367)	\$-		
₂ Arcadia	0.1826%	47,263	(47,263)	-		
3 Claremont	0.0224%	5,807	(5,807)	-		
4 Commerce	0.3697%	95,686	(95,686)	-		
5 Culver City	1.4114%	365,298	(365,298)	-		
₆ Foothill Transit	9.8529%	2,550,085	(2,550,085)	-		
₇ Gardena	1.1781%	304,921	(304,921)	-		
8 LADOT	4.9626%	1,284,402	(1,284,402)	-		
₉ La Mirada	0.0723%	18,725	(18,725)	-		
₁₀ Long Beach	7.1661%	1,854,706	(1,854,706)	-		
11 Montebello	1.9862%	514,069	(514,069)	-		
12 Metro Bus Operations	64.5049%	16,694,895	9,186,715	25,881,610		
13 Norwalk	0.7285%	188,540	(188,540)	-		
14 Redondo Beach	0.3302%	85,466	(85,466)	-		
15 Santa Clarita	0.8654%	223,972	(223,972)	-		
₁₆ Santa Monica	4.8851%	1,264,336	(1,264,336)	-		
17 Torrance	1.3603%	352,073	(352,073)	-		
18 TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 25,881,610	\$-	\$ 25,881,610		

FEDERAL SECTION 5339 - BUS AND BUS CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

Note:

(1) Operators' share of Section 5339 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

		М	ILEAGE CALCULA	ACTIVE FLEET CALCULATION (FY22 data)								
	OPERATOR	Local Vehicle Miles [Input]	Express Vehicle Miles [Input]	Total Miles Weighted 60% Local/ 40% Express	1/3 Weight	Active Fleet (1) [Input]	Peak Bus Fixed Route (2) [Input]	Allowable Peak Bus (Peak+20%)	DAR Seats (3) [Input]	Bus Eqvt. (44 Seats per Bus)	Total Active Vehicle	1/3 Weight
1	Antelope Valley	2,628,918	832,701	1,910,431	0.9081%	62	52	62.0	0	0.0	62.0	0.6510%
2	Arcadia DR	71,270	-	42,762	0.0203%	0	0	0.0	86	2.0	2.0	0.0205%
3	Arcadia MB	191,716	-	115,030	0.0547%	8	6	7.2	0	0.0	7.2	0.0756%
4	Claremont	27,529	-	16,517	0.0079%	0	0	0.0	50	1.1	1.1	0.0119%
5	Commerce	452,573	-	271,544	0.1291%	22	13	15.6	48	1.1	16.7	0.1752%
6	Culver City	1,446,527	-	867,916	0.4125%	54	34	40.8	0	0.0	40.8	0.4284%
7	Foothill Transit	11,136,880	3,884,492	8,235,925	3.9147%	359	303	359.0	0	0.0	359.0	3.7693%
8	Gardena	1,178,632	-	707,179	0.3361%	52	24	28.8	55	1.3	30.1	0.3155%
9	LADOT	4,358,583	3,137,834	3,870,283	1.8396%	242	183	219.6	0	0.0	219.6	2.3057%
10	La Mirada	56,747	-	34,048	0.0162%	0	0	0.0	192	4.4	4.4	0.0458%
11	Long Beach	6,586,801	-	3,952,081	1.8785%	259	144	172.8	40	0.9	173.7	1.8239%
12	Montebello	1,835,718	30,753	1,113,732	0.5294%	71	47	56.4	40	0.9	57.3	0.6017%
13	Metro Bus Operations	69,539,185	3,517,924	43,130,681	20.5010%	2,360	1,558	1,869.6	0	0.0	1,869.6	19.6299%
14	Norwalk	1,036,738	-	622,043	0.2957%	34	24	28.8	0	0.0	28.8	0.3024%
15	Redondo Beach	473,836	-	284,302	0.1351%	14	14	14.0	75	1.7	15.7	0.1649%
16	Santa Clarita	1,834,350	735,839	1,394,946	0.6631%	83	66	79.2	0	0.0	79.2	0.8316%
17	Santa Monica	4,435,473	50,531	2,681,496	1.2746%	195	124	148.8	0	0.0	148.8	1.5623%
18	Torrance	1,162,536	448,103	876,763	0.4167%	63	48	57.6	54	1.2	58.8	0.6177%
19	TOTAL	108,454,012	12,638,177	70,127,678	33.3333%	3,878	2,640	3,160.2	640	14.5	3,174.7	33.3333%

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION

Notes:

Include only MTA Funded Programs:

(1) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode MB), Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet". LADOT's total active vehicles is reported separately.

(2) Source: NTD Report Form S-10 "Service Non-Rail (Mode MB), Vehicles Operated in Annual Maximum Service". LADOT's figure is from TPM excluding Community Dash.

(3) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode DR), Seating Capacity". Redondo Beach's Seating Capacity is apportioned between FAP and non-FAP vehicles.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2024 Transit Fund Allocations

			FARE UNITS	(FY22 data)		UNLINKED PASSE data)	``	o	Re-Allocate AVTA And	LA UZA 2 Net Formula Share	
	OPERATOR	Passenger Revenue [Input]	Base Fare \$ [Input]	Fare Units	1/2 of 1/3 Weight	Unlinked Passengers [Input]	1/2 of 1/3 Weight	Gross Formula Share	Santa Clarita's Non-LA2 UZA Share		
1	Antelope Valley	\$1,850,053	\$ 1.50	1,233,369	0.4038%	1,113,754	0.0747%	2.0375%	-1.9163%	0.1212%	
2	Arcadia DR	4,138	1.00	4,138	0.0014%	15,445	0.0010%	0.0432%	0.0014%	0.0446%	
3	Arcadia MB	2,620	0.50	5,240	0.0017%	24,471	0.0016%	0.1336%	0.0043%	0.1380%	
4	Claremont	10,742	2.50	4,297	0.0014%	8,078	0.0005%	0.0217%	0.0007%	0.0224%	
5	Commerce (1)	-	-	113,692	0.0372%	246,643	0.0165%	0.3581%	0.0116%	0.3697%	
6	Culver City	1,142,579	1.00	1,142,579	0.3740%	2,267,843	0.1521%	1.3670%	0.0444%	1.4114%	
7	Foothill Transit	7,480,849	1.75	4,274,771	1.3994%	6,852,419	0.4595%	9.5429%	0.3100%	9.8529%	
8	Gardena	1,167,450	1.00	1,167,450	0.3822%	1,599,539	0.1073%	1.1411%	0.0371%	1.1781%	
9	LADOT	911,373	1.50	607,582	0.1989%	6,893,870	0.4622%	4.8065%	0.1561%	4.9626%	
10	La Mirada	19,606	1.00	19,606	0.0064%	24,653	0.0017%	0.0701%	0.0023%	0.0723%	
11	Long Beach	6,958,816	1.10	6,326,196	2.0709%	17,409,861	1.1674%	6.9407%	0.2255%	7.1661%	
12	Montebello	2,068,909	1.10	1,880,826	0.6157%	2,638,870	0.1769%	1.9237%	0.0625%	1.9862%	
13	Metro Bus Operations	48,425,637	1.75	27,671,793	9.0585%	198,145,246	13.2860%	62.4754%	2.0294%	64.5049%	
14	Norwalk	208,328	1.25	166,662	0.0546%	789,462	0.0529%	0.7056%	0.0229%	0.7285%	
15	Redondo Beach	-	1.00	0	0.0000%	295,365	0.0198%	0.3198%	0.0104%	0.3302%	
16	Santa Clarita	1,367,016	1.00	1,367,016	0.4475%	1,820,768	0.1221%	2.0642%	-1.1988%	0.8654%	
17	Santa Monica	5,617,870	1.25	4,494,296	1.4712%	6,312,168	0.4232%	4.7314%	0.1537%	4.8851%	
18	Torrance	433,500	1.00	433,500	0.1419%	2,106,014	0.1412%	1.3175%	0.0428%	1.3603%	
19	TOTAL	\$77,669,486		50,913,013	16.6667%	248,564,469	16.6667%	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%	

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION (Continued)

(1) Commerce Fare Units are calculated as follows: ((Total Fare Units w/out MTA and Commerce) / (Total Unlinked Passengers w/out MTA and Commerce)) * Commerce Unlinked Passengers.

FORM FFA10, SECTION 9 STATISTICS PASSENGER MILES IS USED TO CALCULATE AVTA AND SANTA CLARITA'S RE-ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL MONIES.

		ANTEL	OPE VALLEY (FY1	9 data)	SANTA CLARITA (FY19 data)				
		Passenger		Re-Allocated	Passenger		Re-Allocated		
		Miles	%	Share	Miles	%	Share		
20	Non-LA 2 UZA (AV 123 for AVTA, AV 176 for Santa Clarita)	28,383,366	94.0517%	1.9163%	11,404,989	58.0772%	1.1988%		
21	UZA number LA 2	1,795,116	5.9483%	0.1212%	8,232,648	41.9228%	0.8654%		
22	Total	30,178,482	100.0000%	2.0375%	19,637,637	100.0000%	2.0642%		

Note:

LTSS ZEV Call for Projects Selection & Awards

	Project Proposal	Sponsor	Number of Vehicles	Local Fund	Amount Requested	Total	Award Value
1	2 BEV	Avalon	2	\$ 49,400	\$ 274,000	\$ 323,400	\$ 274,000
2	2 BEV and charging equipment	Calabasas	2	124,000	496,000	620,000	496,000
3	2 30' BEV and charging equipment	El Monte	2	615,805	1,436,879	2,052,684	1,436,879
4	Parking Deck and bus price increase	Glendale	5	22,101,900	4,930,194	27,032,094	4,930,194
5	4 BEV and charging equipment	Glendora	4	1,735,535	1,000,000	2,735,535	1,000,000
6	8 FCEB and 7 BEV	Pasadena	15	5,832,904	5,000,000	10,832,904	5,000,000
7	5 BEV and charging equipment	West Hollywood	5	575,000	800,000	1,375,000	800,000
8	Total		35	\$ 31,034,544	\$ 13,937,073	\$ 44,971,617	\$ 13,937,073

BEV = battery electric vehicle FCEB = fuel cell electric bus

RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023-2024 FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND ALLOCATIONS

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is the designated Transportation Planning agency for the County of Los Angeles and is, therefore, responsible for the administration of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code Section 99200 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, under Chapter 2.5, Article 5, the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA) Section 6753, allocations to claimants shall be made and take effect by resolution and shall designate: 1) the fiscal year for which the allocation is made; 2) the amount allocated to the claimant for each of the purposes defined in Sections 6730 and 6731; and 3) any other terms and conditions of the allocation; and

WHEREAS, Section 6659 requires that allocation instructions be conveyed each year to the county auditor by a written memorandum of its executive director and accompanied by a certified copy of the authorizing resolution; and

WHEREAS, the resolution shall also specify conditions of payment and may call for a single payment, for payments as money become available, or for payment by installments monthly, quarterly, or otherwise; and

WHEREAS, the amount of a regional entity's allocation for a fiscal year that is not allocated to claimants for that fiscal year shall be available to the regional entity for allocation in the following fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, Section 6754 requires that the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- a.1 The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- a.2 The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of PUC Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant.
- a.3 The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.
- a.4 The sum of the claimant's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.

a.5 Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for the purposes specified in Section 6730 only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- b.1 The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244.
- b.2 A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle code, as required in PUC Section 99251. The certification shall have been completed within the last 13 month, prior to filing claims.
- b.3 The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator to exchange funds pursuant to PUC Section 99314.4(b) only if, in the resolution allocating the funds made available pursuant to PUC Section 99231, it find that the operator is eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds; and

WHEREAS, LACMTA staff in consultation with the Transit Operators and Cities has developed allocations in accordance with the Transportation Development Act as previously specified.

NOW THEREFORE,

- 1.0 The LACMTA Board of Directors approves the allocation of TDA and STA for the Fiscal Year 2023-24 to each claimant for each of the purposes as specified in Attachments A.
- 2.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that a claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan, the level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements; the claimant is making full use of federal funds

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2024 Transit Fund Allocations

available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964; the sum of the claimant's allocations from the State Transit Assistance fund and from the Local Transportation Fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year; and that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

- 3.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that, for the purposes specified in Section 6730, the operators eligible for funding have made reasonable efforts to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, has been remitted. The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7.
- 4.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators listed in Attachment A are eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds.
- 5.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators may receive payments upon meeting the requirements of the STA eligibility test and submittal of TDA and STA claims.

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

The undersigned, duly qualified and acting as the Board Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of the Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held on June 22, 2023.

COLLETTE LANGSTON Board Secretary

DATED: (SEAL)

Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies & Assumptions for Revenue Estimates

- Sales tax revenue estimates are projected to increase by 16% over FY 2022-23 (FY23) amended budget based upon a review of several economic forecasts.
- Assumed Consumer price index (CPI) growth of 3.71% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources.
- At their March meeting, Bus Operations Sub-Committee (BOS) members concurred with the use of FY22 Vehicle Service Miles statistics and Fare Revenue to allocate State, Local, and Federal funds.
- To mitigate the impact of COVID-19, Metro staff recommended, and BOS members approved to use of the FY19 fare revenue data for the Arcadia Transit, La Mirada Transit, Redondo Beach, and Santa Clarita.
- Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, allocates formula funds to transit agencies for two different programs: 1) State of Good Repair (SGR) and 2) State Transit Assistance. SGR is a program funded by the increase in Vehicle License Fees. To be eligible for SGR funding, eligible transit agencies must comply with various reporting requirements. The second program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel. Recipients are asked to provide supplemental reporting on the augmented State Transit Assistance funding received each fiscal vear to allow for transparency and accountability of all SB 1 expenditures. Recipients are asked to report on the general uses of STA expenditures. These funds are allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- Pursuant to section 130004, up to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues shall be allocated to Metro and up to ³/₄ percent shall be allocated to Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) for transportation planning and programming process. Beginning in FY20, Metro increased the TDA planning allocation to the full 1 percent of annual TDA revenues.
- Formula Equivalent funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators in lieu of Section 9, TDA, STA, and Prop A 40% Discretionary funds. The fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI.
- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339, and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments. Values included in the allocation of federal funding assume

Congressional action to fully fund formula allocations in the amount represented in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA).

 Federal Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS). Section 5337 is calculated based on the directional route miles and vehicle revenue miles formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Operators' shares of Sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

Bus Transit Subsidies (\$1,822.1M)

Formula Allocation Procedure (\$1,040.2M)

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County Included and Eligible Operators' Transit Performance Measures (TPM) data is used for the FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50% of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare).

In November 2008, the Board approved a Funding Stability Policy, where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

In FY 2008, the Board set aside \$18.0 million from GOI fund to provide operating assistance to Tier 2 Operators including LADOT Community Dash, Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated using the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing Included and Eligible Operators. This program was funded \$6.0 million each year for three years beginning FY 2011. With the Board's approval, an adjustment of the funding from a \$6 million annual cap to \$8.2 million has been made for FY24, with the subsequent annual allocations to be adjusted based on the CPI. The FY24 amount was derived by applying the actual annual CPI rates to the annual \$6 million capped allocation between the first year of the Tier 2 Operator program in 2010 through to 2024.

Measure R Allocations (\$286.2M)

• Measure R 20% Bus Operations (\$276.2M)

Measure R, approved by voters in November 2008, allocates 20% of the revenues for bus service operations, maintenance, and expansion. The 20% bus operations

share is allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

• Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (\$10.0M) The Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150.0 million over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County Municipal Operators at \$10 million every even year.

Measure M 20% Transit Operations (\$276.0M)

Measure M, was approved by voters of Los Angeles County in November 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion. As defined in Section 3 of the Measure M Ordinance, the 20% Transit Operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

Proposition C 5% Security (\$63.2M)

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs (\$77.2M)

The following programs are funded with Prop C 40% Discretionary funds:

- Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP). MOSIP was adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program is intended to provide bus service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by reducing overcrowding and expanding services. In the past, funding was increased by 3% from the previous year's funding level. All Municipal Operators participate in this program and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.
- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- Foothill Mitigation. This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an Included Operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data is frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the BOS in November 1995.

- Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE). Created in 1990 to increase ridership by providing funds for additional services to relieve congestion. The TSE Program continues for eight Municipal Operators including Culver City, Foothill Transit, Gardena, Long Beach, Torrance, Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita, and LADOT for expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested corridors. Metro Operations does not participate in this program.
- Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re). The Base Restructuring Program continues for four Municipal Operators who added service before 1990. These operators are Commerce, Foothill Transit, Montebello, and Torrance.
- Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP). Created in 1996 to provide additional buses on existing lines to relieve overcrowding. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators participate in this program, except for Claremont, Commerce, and La Mirada.

Senate Bill 1 (\$79.2M)

The following programs are funded with SB1:

- State Transit Assistance (\$65.8M)
- State of Good Repair (\$13.4M)

SB1 fund will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.

Local Subsidies (\$984.4M)

Proposition A Incentive Programs (\$22.1M)

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region.

Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland, will receive \$700,000 in subsidy funding.

At its May 16, 2017, meeting, the Local Transit System Subcommittee (LTSS) approved an additional \$50,000 to Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy increasing the funding level to \$300,000.

Local Returns (\$899.6M)

Proposition A 25% (\$285.0M) Proposition C 20% (\$236.4M) Measure R 15% (\$177.3M) Measure M 17% (\$200.9M)

Local Return estimates are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M ordinances.

TDA Article 3 funds (\$13.6M)

TDA Article 3 funds are for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities and are split into two parts:

- The 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards the maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively.
- The 85% of the funds are allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.

TDA Article 8 funds (\$49.0M)

TDA Article 8 funds are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. This includes allocations to Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.

Federal Funds (\$505.3M)

Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program (\$331.4 M)

The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (49 U.S.C. 5307) makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY24, \$331.4 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. The15% Capital Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with BOS review and concurrence.

At its April 19, 2021, meeting, the BOS allocated \$360,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCRTTC provides a training resource network comprised of Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, and Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. The funds will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities (\$25.9M)

Section 5339 is a grant program authorized by 49 United States Code (U.S.C) Section 5339 as specified under the Federal Reauthorization Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century or "MAP 21". The Program provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses, vans, and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY24, \$25.9 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the BOS. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize the administrative process.

Section 5337 State of Good Repair (\$148.0M)

Section 5337 provides grants for new and expanded rail, bus rapid transit, and ferry systems that reflect local priorities to improve transportation options in key corridors. This program defines a new category of eligible projects, known as core capacity projects, which expand capacity by at least 10% in existing fixed guideway transit corridors that are already at or above capacity today, or are expected to be at or above capacity within five years. The program also includes provisions for streamlining aspects of the New Starts process to increase efficiency and reduce the time required to meet critical milestones. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- **High Intensity Fixed Guideway** provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY24, \$139.1 million is allocated to Metro and Municipal operations.
- **High Intensity Motorbus** provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY24, \$8.9 million is allocated to Metro Operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

FY 2023-24 Transit Fund Allocations Finance, Budget & Audit Committee June 14, 2023

Item #20

Background



- Metro responsible for allocating transit funds to transit operators and jurisdictions in Los Angeles County
- Funding for local transportation projects & programs
- Programs funded through this action include:
 - Regional transit funding for transit operators
 - Local Return (Proposition A/C and Measure R/M)
 - Transportation Development Act Article 3 (bike & ped) & Article 8 (unmet transit needs)
- Allocations developed per federal, state, local requirements, and Board adopted policies & guidelines
- Approved and reviewed by:
 - Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS)
 - Local Transit Systems Subcommittee (LTSS)
 - Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Key Recommendations



- \$3.3 billion for FY24 transportation fund allocations for (Attachment A):
 - 89 LA County local jurisdictions
 - Transit Operators: Included, Eligible, Tier 2 and Local Transit systems
- Increasing Tier 2 funding from capped \$6M to \$8.2M in FY24 & adjusted by CPI annually
- LTSS ZEV Call for Projects Awards:
 - Funded by BOS' increased capacity from IIJA
 - \$13.9M for 7 projects to purchase 35 ZEV vehicles & infrastructure
- Exchanges of Metro funds for transit operator federal & state grants so funds can be drawn down quickly
- Administrative actions to enable flow of funds
 - Adopt Transportation Development Act resolution
 - Authorize CEO to execute agreements