



**Metro**

*One Gateway Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90012,  
3rd Floor, Metro Board Room*

**Agenda - Final**

**Wednesday, September 18, 2024**

**11:00 AM**

Watch online: <https://boardagendas.metro.net>  
Listen by phone: Dial 202-735-3323 and enter Access Code:  
5647249# (English) or 7292892# (Español)

To give written or live public comment, please see the top of page 4

## **Planning and Programming Committee**

*Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chair*

*Hilda Solis, Vice Chair*

*Lindsey Horvath*

*Holly J. Mitchell*

*Ara J. Najarian*

*Gloria Roberts, non-voting member*

*Stephanie Wiggins, Chief Executive Officer*

**METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY BOARD AGENDA RULES**  
(ALSO APPLIES TO BOARD COMMITTEES)

**PUBLIC INPUT**

A member of the public may address the Board on agenda items, before or during the Board or Committee's consideration of the item for one (1) minute per item, or at the discretion of the Chair. A request to address the Board must be submitted electronically using the tablets available in the Board Room lobby. Individuals requesting to speak will be allowed to speak for a total of three (3) minutes per meeting on agenda items in one minute increments per item. For individuals requiring translation service, time allowed will be doubled. The Board shall reserve the right to limit redundant or repetitive comment.

The public may also address the Board on non-agenda items within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board during the general public comment period, which will be held at the beginning and /or end of each meeting. Each person will be allowed to speak for one (1) minute during this General Public Comment period or at the discretion of the Chair. Speakers will be called according to the order in which their requests are submitted. Elected officials, not their staff or deputies, may be called out of order and prior to the Board's consideration of the relevant item.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in accordance with the Brown Act, this agenda does not provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on any Consent Calendar agenda item that has already been considered by a Committee, composed exclusively of members of the Board, at a public meeting wherein all interested members of the public were afforded the opportunity to address the Committee on the item, before or during the Committee's consideration of the item, and which has not been substantially changed since the Committee heard the item.

In accordance with State Law (Brown Act), all matters to be acted on by the MTA Board must be posted at least 72 hours prior to the Board meeting. In case of emergency, or when a subject matter arises subsequent to the posting of the agenda, upon making certain findings, the Board may act on an item that is not on the posted agenda.

**CONDUCT IN THE BOARD ROOM** - The following rules pertain to conduct at Metropolitan Transportation Authority meetings:

**REMOVAL FROM THE BOARD ROOM** - The Chair shall order removed from the Board Room any person who commits the following acts with respect to any meeting of the MTA Board:

- a. Disorderly behavior toward the Board or any member of the staff thereof, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting.
- b. A breach of the peace, boisterous conduct or violent disturbance, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting.
- c. Disobedience of any lawful order of the Chair, which shall include an order to be seated or to refrain from addressing the Board; and
- d. Any other unlawful interference with the due and orderly course of said meeting.

**INFORMATION RELATING TO AGENDAS AND ACTIONS OF THE BOARD**

Agendas for the Regular MTA Board meetings are prepared by the Board Clerk and are available prior to the meeting in the MTA Records Management Department and on the Internet. Every meeting of the MTA Board of Directors is recorded and is available at <https://www.metro.net> or on CD's and as MP3's for a nominal charge.

## DISCLOSURE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

The State Political Reform Act (Government Code Section 84308) requires that a party to a proceeding before an agency involving a license, permit, or other entitlement for use, including all contracts (other than competitively bid, labor, or personal employment contracts), shall disclose on the record of the proceeding any contributions in an amount of more than \$250 made within the preceding 12 months by the party, or his or her agent, to any officer of the agency, additionally PUC Code Sec. 130051.20 requires that no member accept a contribution of over ten dollars (\$10) in value or amount from a construction company, engineering firm, consultant, legal firm, or any company, vendor, or business entity that has contracted with the authority in the preceding four years. Persons required to make this disclosure shall do so by filling out a "Disclosure of Contribution" form which is available at the LACMTA Board and Committee Meetings. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the assessment of civil or criminal penalties.

## ADA REQUIREMENTS

Upon request, sign language interpretation, materials in alternative formats and other accommodations are available to the public for MTA-sponsored meetings and events. All requests for reasonable accommodations must be made at least three working days (72 working hours) in advance of the scheduled meeting date. Please telephone (213) 364-2837 or (213) 922-4600 between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday. Our TDD line is (800) 252-9040.

Requests can also be sent to [boardclerk@metro.net](mailto:boardclerk@metro.net).

## LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

A Spanish language interpreter is available at all Committee and Board Meetings. All other languages must be requested 72 hours in advance of the meeting by calling (213) 364-2837 or (213) 922-4600. Live Public Comment Instructions can also be translated if requested 72 hours in advance.

Requests can also be sent to [boardclerk@metro.net](mailto:boardclerk@metro.net).



**323.466.3876**

x2 *Español (Spanish)*

x3 *中文 (Chinese)*

x4 *한국어 (Korean)*

x5 *Tiếng Việt (Vietnamese)*

x6 *日本語 (Japanese)*

x7 *русский (Russian)*

x8 *Հայերէն (Armenian)*

## HELPFUL PHONE NUMBERS AND EMAIL

Copies of Agendas/Record of Board Action/Recordings of Meetings - (213) 922-4880 (Records Management Department) - <https://records.metro.net>

General Information/Rules of the Board - (213) 922-4600

Internet Access to Agendas - <https://www.metro.net>

TDD line (800) 252-9040

Board Clerk Email - [boardclerk@metro.net](mailto:boardclerk@metro.net)

**NOTE: ACTION MAY BE TAKEN ON ANY ITEM IDENTIFIED ON THE AGENDA**

### **Live Public Comment Instructions:**

Live public comment can be given by telephone or in-person.

The Committee Meeting begins at 11:00 AM Pacific Time on September 18, 2024; you may join the call 5 minutes prior to the start of the meeting.

Dial-in: 202-735-3323 and enter  
English Access Code: 5647249#  
Spanish Access Code: 7292892#

***Public comment will be taken as the Board takes up each item. To give public comment on an item, enter #2 (pound-two) when prompted. Please note that the live video feed lags about 30 seconds behind the actual meeting. There is no lag on the public comment dial-in line.***

### **Instrucciones para comentarios publicos en vivo:**

Los comentarios publicos en vivo se pueden dar por telefono o en persona.

La Reunion de la Junta comienza a las 11:00 AM, hora del Pacifico, el 18 de Septiembre de 2024. Puedes unirte a la llamada 5 minutos antes del comienzo de la junta.

Marque: 202-735-3323 y ingrese el codigo  
Codigo de acceso en ingles: 5647249#  
Codigo de acceso en espanol: 7292892#

***Los comentarios del público se tomaran cuando se toma cada tema. Para dar un comentario público sobre una tema ingrese # 2 (Tecla de numero y dos) cuando se le solicite. Tenga en cuenta que la transmisión de video en vivo se retrasa unos 30 segundos con respecto a la reunión real. No hay retraso en la línea de acceso telefónico para comentarios públicos.***

### **Written Public Comment Instruction:**

Written public comments must be received by 5PM the day before the meeting.

Please include the Item # in your comment and your position of "FOR," "AGAINST," "GENERAL COMMENT," or "ITEM NEEDS MORE CONSIDERATION."

Email: BoardClerk@metro.net

Post Office Mail:

Board Administration

One Gateway Plaza

MS: 99-3-1

Los Angeles, CA 90012

**CALL TO ORDER**

**ROLL CALL**

5. **SUBJECT: MEASURE M MULTI-YEAR SUBREGIONAL PROGRAM  
AND MEASURE R TRANSIT INVESTMENTS PROGRAM  
UPDATE - SOUTH BAY SUBREGION**

[2024-0506](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

CONSIDER:

A. APPROVING:

1. Programming of an additional \$11,164,810 within the capacity of Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Program (MSP) - Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 50), as shown in Attachment A;
2. Programming of an additional \$11,586,591 within the capacity of Measure M MSP - South Bay Highway Operational Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 63), as shown in Attachment B;
3. Programming of an additional \$600,000 within the capacity of Measure M MSP - Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 66), as shown in Attachment C;
4. Programming of an additional \$16,300,000 within the capacity of Measure R South Bay Transit Investments Program, shown in Attachment D; and

B. AUTHORIZING the CEO or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements and/or amendments for approved projects.

**Attachments:**      [Attachment A - Transpo. System Mobility Imp. Prog. \(Exp. Line 50\) Proj. List](#)  
[Attachment B - South Bay Hwy Op. Imp. Prog. \(Exp. Line 63\) Proj. List](#)  
[Attachment C - Transpo. System Mobility Imp. Prog. \(Exp. Line 66\) Proj. List](#)  
[Attachment D - MR South Bay Transit Investments Program Project List Presentation](#)

**6. SUBJECT: NORTH SAN FERNANDO VALLEY TRANSIT CORRIDOR** [2024-0473](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

AUTHORIZE the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Modification No. 14 to Contract No. AE49337000 with Arcadis, A California Partnership (formerly IBI Group) in the amount of \$3,158,761 for the optional task for preliminary engineering and to advance the design of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands as part of the North San Fernando Valley (NSFV) Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Corridor Project, increasing the contract value from \$5,683,973 to \$8,842,734, and extend the period of performance from October 31, 2024 through December 31, 2026.

**Attachments:**      [Attachment A - Network Improvements Project Map](#)  
[Attachment B - Procurement Summary](#)  
[Attachment C - Contract Modification Change Order Log](#)  
[Attachment D - DEOD Summary](#)

**7. SUBJECT: PROGRAM FUNDS FOR ARROYO VERDUGO OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS** [2024-0779](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

CONSIDER:

- A. APPROVING \$5,000,000 in additional programming for two City of Glendale projects within the Arroyo Verdugo subregion as shown in Attachment A; and
- B. AUTHORIZING the CEO or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements for the Board-approved projects .

**Attachments:**      [Attachment A - Program Funds For Arroyo Verdugo Op. Imp. Presentation](#)

**8. SUBJECT: COUNTYWIDE CALL FOR PROJECTS** [2024-0510](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

CONSIDER:

- A. DEOBLIGATING \$5.94 million of previously approved Call for Projects (Call) funding, as shown in Attachment A, and hold in RESERVE;
- B. APPROVING changes to the scope of work for:
  - 1. City of Los Angeles - Last Mile Folding Bike Incentive Program (Call #F7707);

2. City of Los Angeles - Building Connectivity with Bicycle Friendly Business District (Call #F9803);
- C. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements/or amendments for previously awarded projects; and
- D. RECEIVING AND FILING time extensions for 49 projects shown in Attachment B.

**Attachments:**      [Attachment A - FY 2023-24 Countywide Call Deobligation](#)  
[Attachment B - FY 2023-24 Countywide Call Extensions](#)  
[Attachment C - Background Discussion of Each Recommendation](#)  
[Attachment D - Result of TAC Appeals Process](#)  
[Attachment E - Call and Equity-Focused Communities Map Presentation](#)

**9. SUBJECT:      NORTH HOLLYWOOD JOINT DEVELOPMENT**

[2024-0468](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

CONSIDER:

- A. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or designee to execute and enter into a Joint Development Agreement (JDA) with NOHO Development Associates, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Developer), an affiliate of Trammell Crow Company, and associated Ground Leases (Ground Leases) and other related documents with Developer or its affiliates or qualified transferees, for the construction and operation of a mixed-use project on up to 11.8 acres of Metro-owned property located at the North Hollywood Metro Station (District NoHo or Project) in accordance with the Joint Development Summary of Key Terms and Conditions (Attachment A) upon receipt of concurrence by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the California Transportation Commission (CTC);
- B. DETERMINING that the Board, acting as the governing body of the responsible agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), after consideration of the whole of the administrative record, adopts the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations setting forth the reasons and benefits with full knowledge that significant impacts may remain (Attachment B), and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment C) of the City of Los Angeles Environmental Impact Report No. ENV-2019-7241-EIR which was certified on August 22, 2023; and

- C. AUTHORIZING the CEO or designee to file a Notice of Determination (Attachment D) with the Los Angeles County Clerk and the State of California Clearinghouse.

**Attachments:**      [Attachment A - Joint Development Summary of Key Terms and Conditions](#)  
[Attachment B - CEQA Findings of Fact & Statement of Overriding Consideration](#)  
[Attachment C - Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program](#)  
[Attachment D - Notice of Determination](#)  
[Attachment E - Site Plan and Rendering](#)

**SUBJECT:      GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT**

[2024-0542](#)

RECEIVE General Public Comment

Consideration of items not on the posted agenda, including: items to be presented and (if requested) referred to staff; items to be placed on the agenda for action at a future meeting of the Committee or Board; and/or items requiring immediate action because of an emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Committee subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC ON ITEMS OF PUBLIC INTEREST WITHIN COMMITTEE'S SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

## **Adjournment**





**Board Report**

**File #:** 2024-0506, **File Type:** Program

**Agenda Number:** 5.

**PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE  
SEPTEMBER 18, 2024**

**SUBJECT: MEASURE M MULTI-YEAR SUBREGIONAL PROGRAM AND MEASURE R  
TRANSIT INVESTMENTS PROGRAM UPDATE - SOUTH BAY SUBREGION**

**ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATION**

**RECOMMENDATION**

CONSIDER:

A. APPROVING:

1. Programming of an additional \$11,164,810 within the capacity of Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Program (MSP) - Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 50), as shown in Attachment A;
2. Programming of an additional \$11,586,591 within the capacity of Measure M MSP - South Bay Highway Operational Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 63), as shown in Attachment B;
3. Programming of an additional \$600,000 within the capacity of Measure M MSP - Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 66), as shown in Attachment C;
4. Programming of an additional \$16,300,000 within the capacity of Measure R South Bay Transit Investments Program, shown in Attachment D; and

B. AUTHORIZING the CEO or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements and/or amendments for approved projects.

**ISSUE**

Measure M MSPs and Measure R South Bay Transit Investments Programs, whose funds are limited to capital uses, are included in the Measure M and/or Measure R Expenditure Plans. The annual update allows the South Bay subregion and implementing agencies to approve new eligible projects for funding and revise scopes of work, schedules, and project budgets for previously funded projects.

This update includes changes to projects that previously received Board approvals and funding allocations for new projects. Funds are programmed through Fiscal Year (FY) 2027-28. The Board's approval is required to program additional funds and acknowledge the updated project lists, which will serve as the basis for Metro to enter into funding agreements and/or amendments with the respective implementing agencies.

## **BACKGROUND**

In September 2019, the Metro Board of Directors approved South Bay Subregion's first MSP Five-Year Plan (Plan) and programmed funds in 1) Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (expenditure line 50); 2) South Bay Highway Operational Improvements (expenditure line 63); and 3) Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (expenditure line 66). Since the first Plan, staff provided annual updates to the Board in August 2020, September 2021, 2022, and 2023.

Based on the amount provided in the Measure M Expenditure Plan, \$465.9 million was forecasted for programming for Fiscal Years (FY) 2017-18 to FY 2027-28. In prior actions, the Board approved programming of \$295.1 million. Therefore, \$170.8 million of Measure M MSP funds is available to the Subregion for programming as part of this update.

In July 2021, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Measure R Ordinance Amendment that authorized the transfer of up to \$400 million from the Measure R Highway Capital Subfund to eligible Transit Capital projects. The South Bay Transit Investments Program was added to the Measure R Expenditure Plan, and the Measure R Transit Investments Program Guidelines were also approved. In September 2021, the Metro Board of Directors approved the project list and programmed funds for ten projects. Since the first Plan, staff provided annual updates to the Board in September 2022 and 2023. In prior actions, the Board approved programming of \$350.9 million. Therefore, \$49.1 million of Measure R is available to the Subregion for programming as part of this update.

## **DISCUSSION**

Metro staff worked closely with the SBCCOG and the implementing agencies on project eligibility reviews preparing for the annual update, including changes to the scope of work and/or funding requests. The jurisdictional requests are proposed by the cities and approved/forwarded by the subregion. In line with the Metro Board adopted guidelines and June 2022 Objectives for Multimodal Highway Investments, cities provide documentation demonstrating community support, project need, and multimodal transportation benefits that enhance safety, support traffic mobility, economic vitality, and enable a safer and well-maintained transportation system. Cities lead and prioritize all proposed transportation improvements, including procurement, the environmental process, outreach, final design, and construction. Each city and/or agency, independently and in coordination with the subregion undertakes their jurisdictionally determined community engagement process specific to the type of transportation improvement they seek to develop. These locally determined and prioritized projects represent the needs of cities.

During staff review, Metro required a detailed project scope of work to confirm project eligibility, reconfirm funding eligibility for those that request changes in the project scope of work, and establish

the program nexus during project reviews, i.e. project location information and limits, length, elements, phases, total estimated expenses and funding request, schedules, etc. Final approval of funds for the projects shall be contingent upon the implementing agency demonstrating the eligibility of each project, as required in the Measure M Master Guidelines and/or the Measure R Transit Investments Program Guidelines. Staff expect the collection of the project details in advance of Metro Board action to enable the timely execution of project Funding Agreements for approved projects. Additionally, all projects are subject to a close-out audit after completion, per the Guidelines.

The changes in this annual update include additional programming of Measure M MSP in the Transportation System & Mobility Improvement Program (Attachment A), South Bay Highway Operational Improvements Program (Attachment B), Transportation System & Mobility Improvement Program (Attachment C), and Measure R Transit Investments Program (Attachment D).

#### Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 50)

This update includes funding adjustments to two existing and two new projects as follows:

##### *Carson*

- Program \$4,512,915 in FYs 25 & 26 for MM4601.17 - South Bay Local Travel Network (LTN) in Carson. The project includes deploying 32.1 LTN route miles as a Class III shared lane (sharrow) network and branded wayfinding system on low-speed neighborhood streets to connect individuals with key destinations. The funds will be used for the project's Plans Specification and Estimates (PS&E) and construction phases.

##### *LA City*

- Reprogram previously approved \$10,207,400 as follows: \$2,670,000 in FY 25, \$3,544,000 in FY 26, and \$3,993,400 in FY 26 for MM4601.03 - Avalon Promenade and Gateway. The project will construct a dedicated pedestrian/cycling bridge over the active freight railroad tracks and approximately 12 acres of public open space adjacent to the pedestrian bridge. The funds will be used for the project's construction phase.

##### *Lomita*

- Program \$6,651,895 in FYs 25 & 26 for MM4601.18 - South Bay Local Travel Network (LTN) & Related Safety Enhancements in Lomita. The project includes deploying 5.35 LTN route miles as a Class III shared lane (sharrow) network and branded wayfinding system on low-speed neighborhood streets to connect individuals with key destinations. Additionally, it includes construction and installation of additional street calming facilities on Eshelman as LTN safety enhancements. The funds will be used for the project's PS&E and construction phases.

##### *Redondo Beach*

- Reprogram previously approved \$1,272,700 to FY 25 for MM4601.16 - South Bay Local Travel

---

Network in Redondo Beach. This project will implement a Class III shared lane (sharrow) network and branded wayfinding system on low-speed neighborhood streets to connect individuals with key destinations. The funds will be used for the project's PS&E and construction phases.

South Bay Highway Operational Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 63)

This update includes funding adjustments to five existing projects as follows:

*Hawthorne*

- Program additional \$986,591 in FY 25 for MM5507.01 - North East Hawthorne Mobility Improvement Project. The project includes the installation of flashing beacons for pedestrian safety, new traffic signal installation, and construction of new ADA ramps. The funds will be used for the project's PS&E, Right-of-Way (ROW), and construction phases.

*Inglewood*

- Program additional \$1,900,000 in FY 25 for MM5507.06 - Downtown ITS Project. The project is to connect corridors in the downtown area to the City's Traffic Management Center, which allows the City to monitor real-time traffic conditions and take proper action when congestion or problems occur. The funds will be used for the project's Project Approval/Environmental Document (PAED), PS&E, and construction phases.
- Program additional \$5,200,000 in FY 25 for MM5507.11 - Crenshaw Blvd. ITS Project. The project will upgrade the Crenshaw Boulevard traffic signal systems to be fully integrated and compatible with the City's Intelligent Transportation System network. This will allow the City to actively monitor the traffic conditions in real time and for the City to make real-time adjustments to improve traffic flow. The funds will be used for the project's construction phase.

*LA County*

- Program additional \$3,000,000 in FY 26 for MM5507.07 - Avalon Blvd. TSSP in the City of Carson Project. The project will construct the traffic signal synchronization improvements on Avalon Boulevard by upgrading the traffic signals, providing additional vehicle detection to enable operation as a full traffic-actuated signal, and installing the appropriate components to enable each signal to be capable of timed-based coordination. The funds will be used for the project's PAED, PS&E, and construction phases.

*Redondo Beach*

- Program additional \$500,000 in FY 25 for MM5507.22 - Traffic Signal Communications and Network System Phase 2 Project. The project will construct the advanced traffic signal network/communications system, to upgrade in-field traffic signal equipment to help monitor and modify traffic signal operations to streamline mobility and ultimately, enhance traffic safety

---

throughout the city. The funds will be used for the project's PAED and PS&E phases.

Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 66)

This update includes funding adjustments to one existing project as follows:

*Redondo Beach*

- Program additional 600,000 in FY 25 for MM4602.08 - North Redondo Beach Bikeway (NRBB) Extension - Felton Ln. to Inglewood Ave. This project extends the existing North Redondo Beach Bikeway from Felton Lane to Inglewood Avenue. The funds will be used for the project's PAED, PS&E, and construction phases.

Measure R Transit Investments Program

This update includes funding adjustments to two existing projects as follows:

*Gardena*

- Reprogram previously approved \$12,375,000 as follows: \$8,375,000 in FY 26, and \$4,000,000 in FY 27 for MR524.03 - GTrans: Purchase of Up to 15 Expansion Buses. The project enables the agency to purchase up to 15 expansion buses for use in the deployment of additional services within the GTrans service area, over 10 years. The funds will be used for the projects' construction capital phase.

*Inglewood*

- Program additional \$16,300,000 in FY 25 for MRINGITC - Inglewood Transit Connector Project. The project is to complete a critical first/last mile gap between the countywide Metro Rail system and the City of Inglewood's new housing and employment centers, and sports and entertainment venues. The funds will be used for the project's PAED, PS&E, ROW, and construction phases.

**DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT**

Programming of Measure M MSP and Measure R Transit Investments funds to the South Bay Subregion projects will not have any adverse safety impacts on Metro's employees or patrons.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

In FY 25, \$179.5 million is budgeted in Cost Center 0441 (subsidies budget - Planning) for South Bay Transit Investment Program (Project #465524), \$1.5 million is budgeted in Cost Center 0442 (Highway Subsidies) for the Transportation System Mobility Improvement Program (Project #475502), \$500,000 is budgeted in Cost Center 0442 (Highway Subsidies) for the South Bay Highway Operational Improvements Program (Project #475507), and \$4 million is budgeted in Cost Center 0442 (Highway Subsidies) for the Transportation System Mobility Improvement Program

---

(Project #475508). Upon approval of this action, staff will reallocate necessary funds to appropriate projects within Cost Centers 0441 and 0442. Since these are multi-year projects, Cost Centers 0441 and 0442 will be responsible for budgeting the cost in future years.

### Impact to Budget

The sources of funds for these projects are Measure M Highway Construction 17% and Measure R Transit Capital. These fund sources are not eligible for Metro bus and rail operations expenses.

### EQUITY PLATFORM

The South Bay subregion comprises 15 cities and the adjacent unincorporated area of Los Angeles County. Equity Focus Communities (EFCs) within the subregion are concentrated in Gardena, Hawthorne, Inglewood, the City of Los Angeles, and the unincorporated County of Los Angeles. Eighteen percent of census tracts are defined as EFC in the Subregion.

The SBCCOG projects have a range of potential equity benefits. The Hawthorne project, for example, will help the many pedestrians, cyclists, and people with disabilities traversing the corridor connect to destinations and opportunities more safely. The traffic calming features will increase safer, more equitable streets for non-drivers. As another example, the City of LA project, located in an EFC area in Wilmington, will construct a dedicated pedestrian/cycling bridge over active freight railroad tracks, and the future realigned Water Street. The bridge will include walking/cycling paths, benches, lighting, shade trees, bike racks, and other features to provide a safe path for non-drivers.

### IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

The recommendation supports the following goals of the Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan:

Goal 1: Provide high-quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling by alleviating the current operational deficiencies and improving mobility along the projects.

Goal 4: Transform LA County through regional collaboration by partnering with the Council of Governments and the local jurisdictions to identify the needed improvements and take the lead in development and implementation of their projects.

### ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The Board could elect not to approve the additional programming of funds for the Measure M MSP and Measure R Transit Investments Program projects for the South Bay Subregion. This is not recommended as the Subregion developed the proposed projects in accordance with the Measure M Ordinance, Guidelines, and Administrative Procedures, as well as the Measure R Transit Investments Program Guidelines.

### NEXT STEPS

Metro staff will continue to work with the Subregion to identify and deliver projects. Funding


Agreements will be executed with those who have funds programmed in FY 2024-25.  
Program/Project updates will be provided to the Board annually.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment A - Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (expenditure line 50)  
Project List
- Attachment B - South Bay Highway Operational Improvements Program (expenditure line 63) Project  
List
- Attachment C - Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (expenditure line 66)  
Project List
- Attachment D - Measure R South Bay Transit Investments Program Project List

Prepared by: Fanny Pan, Executive Officer, Countywide Planning & Development, (213) 418-3433  
Laurie Lombardi, Senior Executive Officer, Countywide Planning & Development, (213)  
418-3251

Reviewed by: Ray Sosa, Chief Planning Officer, (213) 547-4274



Stephanie N. Wiggins  
Chief Executive Officer

South Bay Subregion  
 Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Transportation System & Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 50)

|    | Agency     | Project ID No. | Project/Location  | Funding Phases           | Note  | Pror Alloc   | Alloc Change | Current Alloc | Prior Year Prog | FY 2023-24   | FY 2024-25   | FY 2025-26   | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 |
|----|------------|----------------|---|--------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| 1  | CARSON     | MM4601.09      | BIKE LANE INSTALLATION - CARSON ST., FIGUEROA ST., MAIN ST., VICTORIA ST.                     | CONSTRUCTION             |       | \$ 5,256,700 |              | \$ 5,256,700  | \$ -            | \$ 1,056,700 | \$ 3,000,000 | \$ 1,200,000 | \$ -       | \$ -       |
| 2  | CARSON     | MM4601.10      | BIKE LANE INSTALLATION - 223RD ST., AVALON BLVD., CENTRAL AVE., DEL AMO BLVD., UNIVERSITY DR. | CONSTRUCTION             |       | 5,384,400    |              | 5,384,400     | -               | 884,400      | 3,500,000    | 1,000,000    |            |            |
| 3  | CARSON     | MM4601.17      | SOUTH BAY LOCAL TRAVEL NETWORK IN CARSON  | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       | New   | -            | 4,512,915    | 4,512,915     |                 |              | 450,000      | 4,062,915    |            |            |
| 4  | EL SEGUNDO | MM4601.11      | SOUTH BAY LOCAL TRAVEL NETWORK IN EL SEGUNDO  | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       |       | 925,000      |              | 925,000       | -               | 925,000      |              |              |            |            |
| 5  | INGLEWOOD  | MM5502.02      | ITS (GAP) CLOSURE IMPROVEMENTS  | CONSTRUCTION             | Compl | 13,500,000   |              | 13,500,000    | 13,500,000      |              |              |              |            |            |
| 6  | INGLEWOOD  | MM5502.03      | INGLEWOOD INTERMODAL TRANSIT/PARK AND RIDE FACILITY   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 4,933,310    |              | 4,933,310     | 4,933,310       |              |              |              |            |            |
| 7  | INGLEWOOD  | MM5502.09      | PRAIRIE AVE. DYNAMIC LANE CONTROL SYSTEM  | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       |       | 13,120,000   |              | 13,120,000    | -               |              |              |              | 13,120,000 |            |
| 8  | LA CITY    | MM4601.01      | SAN PEDRO PEDESTRAIN IMPROVEMENTS   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 7,245,710    |              | 7,245,710     | 1,207,619       | 3,372,445    | 2,665,646    |              |            |            |
| 9  | LA CITY    | MM4601.02      | WILMINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD STREET IMPROVEMENTS   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 3,000,600    |              | 3,000,600     | 3,000,600       |              |              |              |            |            |
| 10 | LA CITY    | MM4601.03      | AVALON PROMENADE AND GATEWAY  | CONSTRUCTION             | Chg   | 10,207,400   |              | 10,207,400    | -               |              | 2,670,000    | 3,544,000    | 3,993,400  |            |
| 11 | LA COUNTY  | MM4601.04      | WESTMONT/WEST ATJENS PEDESTRIAN IMRROVEMENTS  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 6,682,000    |              | 6,682,000     | 1,248,400       | 831,809      | 3,660,000    | 941,791      |            |            |
| 12 | LA COUNTY  | MM4601.06      | EL CAMINO VILLAGE TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIAN SAFETY ENHANCEMENTS                                  | PAED, PS&E               |       | 1,038,000    |              | 1,038,000     | 114,000         | 264,000      | 264,000      | 396,000      |            |            |
| 13 | LA COUNTY  | MM4601.12      | LENNOX VISION ZERO TRAFFIC ENHANCEMENTS   | PAED, PS&E               |       | 1,206,000    |              | 1,206,000     | -               | 179,000      | 300,000      | 300,000      | 427,000    |            |
| 14 | LA COUNTY  | MM5502.04      | 182ND ST/ ALBERTONI ST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYNCH PROGRAM  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 4,228,500    |              | 4,228,500     | 200,000         | 370,000      | 380,000      | 3,278,500    |            |            |
| 15 | LA COUNTY  | MM5502.06      | VAN NESS TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYCH PROGRAM  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 1,702,000    |              | 1,702,000     | 80,000          | 135,000      | 320,000      | 1,167,000    |            |            |
| 16 | LA COUNTY  | MM5502.07      | DEL AMO BLVD. (EAST) TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYCH PROGRAM  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 1,324,500    |              | 1,324,500     | 70,000          | 110,000      | 280,000      | 864,500      |            |            |
| 17 | LOMITA     | MM4601.18      | SOUTH BAY LOCAL TRAVEL NETWORK & RELATED SAFETY ENHANCEMENTS IN LOMITA                        | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       | New   | -            | 6,651,895    | 6,651,895     |                 |              | 709,390      | 5,942,505    |            |            |



|                                 | Agency                | Project ID No. | Project/Location  | Funding Phases                | Note | Pror Alloc            | Alloc Change         | Current Alloc         | Prior Year Prog     | FY 2023-24          | FY 2024-25          | FY 2025-26          | FY 2026-27          | FY 2027-28  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------|------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 18                              | MANHATTAN BEACH       | MM4601.13      | HIGHLAND AVE CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS                                  | PAED, PS&E                    |      | 500,000               |                      | 500,000               | -                   | 50,000              | 450,000             |                     |                     |             |
| 19                              | REDONDO BEACH         | MM4601.14      | PEDESTRIAN ENHANCEMENTS ON AVIATION BLVD.                           | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION            |      | 1,500,000             |                      | 1,500,000             | -                   |                     | 125,000             | 687,500             | 687,500             |             |
| 20                              | REDONDO BEACH         | MM4601.15      | RIVIERA VILLAGE PEDESTRIAN AND MULTI-MODAL ENHANCEMENTS             | PAED, PS&E                    |      | 4,000,000             |                      | 4,000,000             | -                   |                     | 1,500,000           | 2,000,000           | 500,000             |             |
| 21                              | REDONDO BEACH         | MM4601.16      | SOUTH BAY LOCAL TRAVEL NETWORK IN REDONDO BEACH                     | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION            | Chg  | 1,272,700             |                      | 1,272,700             | -                   |                     | 1,272,700           |                     |                     |             |
| 22                              | ROLLING HILLS ESTATES | MM5502.08      | PALOS VERDES DRIVE NORTH AT DAPPLEYGRAY SCHOOL                      | PAED, PS&E, ROW, CONSTRUCTION |      | 2,880,252             |                      | 2,880,252             | 1,696,102           | 1,184,150           |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 23                              | SBCCOG                | MM5502.01      | PLANNING ACTIVITIES FOR MEASURE M MULTI-YEAR SUBREGIONAL PROGRAMS ^ | PLANNING DEVELOPMENT          |      | 92,095                |                      | 92,095                | 92,095              |                     |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 24                              | SBCCOG                | MM5502.05      | SOUTH BAY FIBER NETWORK   | CONSTRUCTION                  |      | 6,889,365             |                      | 6,889,365             | 6,889,365           |                     |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 25                              | SBCCOG                | MM5502.10      | PLANNING ACTIVITIES FOR THE SOUTH BAY LOCAL TRAVEL NETWORK ^        | PLANNING DEVELOPMENT          |      | 171,991               |                      | 171,991               | 81,843              | 90,148              |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 26                              | TORRANCE              | MM4601.05      | TORRANCE SCHOOLS SAFETY AND ACCESSIBILITY PROGRAM                   | PS&E CONSTRUCTION             |      | 7,185,000             |                      | 7,185,000             | 232,045             | 4,704,200           | 2,248,755           |                     |                     |             |
| 27                              | TORRANCE              | MM4601.07      | TRANSPORTATION OPEN SPACE CORRIDOR MULTI-USE TRAIL                  | PAED, PS&E                    |      | 650,000               |                      | 650,000               | 650,000             |                     |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 28                              | TORRANCE              | MM4601.08      | TORRANCE SCHOOL SAFETY AND ACCESSIBILITY PROGRAM - PHASE II         | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION            |      | 10,372,609            |                      | 10,372,609            | 768,600             | 9,604,009           |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 29                              | TORRANCE              | MM5502.11      | TORRANCE FIBER NETWORK AND TRAFFIC SIGNAL OPTIMIZATION              | PS&E                          |      | 1,050,000             |                      | 1,050,000             | 70,000              | 980,000             |                     |                     |                     |             |
| <b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING AMOUNT</b> |                       |                |   |                               |      | <b>\$ 116,318,132</b> | <b>\$ 11,164,810</b> | <b>\$ 127,482,942</b> | <b>\$34,833,979</b> | <b>\$24,740,861</b> | <b>\$23,795,491</b> | <b>\$25,384,711</b> | <b>\$18,727,900</b> | <b>\$ -</b> |

^ Subregion Planning Activities (0.5%) for MM MSPs.

South Bay Subregion  
 Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - South Bay Highway Operational Improvements (Expenditure Line 63)

|    | Agency          | Project ID | Project/Location  | Funding Phases           | Note | Pror Alloc | Alloc Change | Current Alloc | Prior Year Prog | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 |
|----|-----------------|------------|---|--------------------------|------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 1  | CARSON          | MM5507.02  | CARSON STREET ITS PROJECT   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |      | \$ 700,000 | \$ -         | \$ 700,000    | \$ 700,000      | \$ -       | \$ -       | \$ -       | \$ -       | \$ -       |
| 2  | CARSON          | MM5507.03  | SEPULVEDA BLVD. WIDENING FROM ALAMEDA ST. TO ICTF                         | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       |      | 11,897,999 |              | 11,897,999    | 5,473,078       | 5,830,014  | 594,907    |            |            |            |
| 3  | CARSON          | MM5507.10  | TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPGRADE -- AVALON BLVD. AND GARDENA BLVD.                  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |      | 350,000    |              | 350,000       | 2,000           | 130,000    | 218,000    |            |            |            |
| 4  | GARDENA         | MM5507.04  | REDONDO BEACH BLVD. ARTERIAL IMPROVEMENTS                                 | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |      | 11,242,000 |              | 11,242,000    | 2,940,000       | 5,802,000  | 2,500,000  |            |            |            |
| 5  | HAWTHORNE       | MM5507.01  | NORTH EAST HAWTHORNE MOBILITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT                         | PS&E, ROW, CONSTRUCTION  | Chg  | 2,000,000  | 986,591      | 2,986,591     | 2,000,000       |            | 986,591    |            |            |            |
| 6  | HAWTHORNE       | MM5507.16  | JACK NORTHROP IMPROVEMENTS  | PAED, PS&E               |      | 200,000    |              | 200,000       | -               | 40,000     | 40,000     | 40,000     | 80,000     |            |
| 7  | HAWTHORNE       | MM5507.17  | VAN NESS IMPROVEMENTS   | PAED, PS&E               |      | 200,000    |              | 200,000       | -               | 40,000     | 40,000     | 40,000     | 80,000     |            |
| 8  | HAWTHORNE       | MM5507.18  | 135TH STREET IMPROVEMENTS   | PAED, PS&E               |      | 160,000    |              | 160,000       | -               | 40,000     | 40,000     | 40,000     | 40,000     |            |
| 9  | HAWTHORNE       | MM5507.19  | INGLEWOOD AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS   | PAED, PS&E               |      | 130,000    |              | 130,000       | -               | 40,000     | 40,000     | 40,000     | 10,000     |            |
| 10 | INGLEWOOD       | MM5507.05  | MANCHESTER BLVD./PRAIRIE AVE. ITS & TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS           | PAED, PS&E               |      | 1,500,000  |              | 1,500,000     | -               |            | 1,500,000  |            |            |            |
| 11 | INGLEWOOD       | MM5507.06  | DOWNTOWN ITS  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION | Chg  | 11,100,000 | 1,900,000    | 13,000,000    | 800,000         | 10,300,000 | 1,900,000  |            |            |            |
| 12 | INGLEWOOD       | MM5507.11  | CRENSHAW BLVD. ITS  | CONSTRUCTION             | Chg  | 8,800,000  | 5,200,000    | 14,000,000    | -               | 2,000,000  | 12,000,000 |            |            |            |
| 13 | LA COUNTY       | MM5507.07  | AVALON BLVD. TSSP IN THE CITY OF CARSON                                   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION | Chg  | 2,601,223  | 3,000,000    | 5,601,223     | 1,530,000       | 214,245    | 685,583    | 3,171,395  |            |            |
| 14 | LA COUNTY       | MM5507.20  | ADVANCED TRAFFIC CONTROL UPGRADES   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |      | 2,130,000  |              | 2,130,000     | -               | 1,278,000  | 852,000    |            |            |            |
| 15 | MANHATTAN BEACH | MM5507.12  | MANHATTAN BEACH BLVD. AT PACIFIC AVE. IMPROVEMENTS                        | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       |      | 1,200,000  |              | 1,200,000     | 160,000         | 720,000    | 320,000    |            |            |            |
| 16 | MANHATTAN BEACH | MM5507.13  | MANHATTAN BEACH BLVD. AT PECK AVE. TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS (MR312.87) | CONSTRUCTION             |      | 740,000    |              | 740,000       | 740,000         |            |            |            |            |            |

|                                 | Agency          | Project ID | Project/Location  | Funding Phases           | Note | Pror Alloc           | Alloc Change         | Current Alloc        | Prior Year Prog      | FY 2023-24           | FY 2024-25           | FY 2025-26          | FY 2026-27        | FY 2027-28  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------|---|--------------------------|------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 17                              | MANHATTAN BEACH | MM5507.14  | MAHATTAN BEACH BLVD. TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS           | PS&E                     |      | 400,000              |                      | 400,000              | 150,000              | 250,000              |                      |                     |                   |             |
| 18                              | METRO           | MM5507.08  | I-110 SOUTHBOUND OFF-RAMP TO PCH                                    | PAED, PS&E               |      | 5,781,000            |                      | 5,781,000            | 4,250,000            | 1,531,000            |                      |                     |                   |             |
| 19                              | METRO           | MM5507.09  | 405/110 SEPERATION  | PAED, PS&E               |      | 17,500,000           |                      | 17,500,000           | 12,500,000           | 5,000,000            |                      |                     |                   |             |
| 20                              | REDONDO BEACH   | MM5507.21  | ADVANCED TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM ON AVIATION BLVD.                    | PS&E                     |      | 160,000              |                      | 160,000              | -                    |                      | 80,000               | 80,000              |                   |             |
| 21                              | REDONDO BEACH   | MM5507.22  | TRAFFIC SIGNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORK SYSTEM PHASE 2            | PAED, PS&E               | Chg  | 2,130,000            | 500,000              | 2,630,000            | -                    | 1,278,000            | 1,352,000            |                     |                   |             |
| 21                              | SBCCOG          | MM5502.01  | PLANNING ACTIVITIES FOR MEASURE M MULTI-YEAR SUBREGIONAL PROGRAMS ^ | PLANNING DEVELOPMENT     |      | 131,564              |                      | 131,564              | 131,564              |                      |                      |                     |                   |             |
| 23                              | SBCCOG          | MM5502.10  | PLANNING ACTIVITIES FOR THE SOUTH BAY LOCAL TRAVEL NETWORK ^        | PLANNING DEVELOPMENT     |      | 245,703              |                      | 245,703              | 116,919              | 128,784              |                      |                     |                   |             |
| 22                              | TORRANCE        | MM5507.15  | RIGHT TURN LANE AT LOMITA BLVD./182ND ST.                           | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |      | 1,000,000            |                      | 1,000,000            | 75,000               | 200,000              | 480,000              | 245,000             |                   |             |
| <b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING AMOUNT</b> |                 |            |   |                          |      | <b>\$ 82,299,489</b> | <b>\$ 11,586,591</b> | <b>\$ 93,886,080</b> | <b>\$ 31,568,561</b> | <b>\$ 34,822,043</b> | <b>\$ 23,629,081</b> | <b>\$ 3,656,395</b> | <b>\$ 210,000</b> | <b>\$ -</b> |

^ Subregion Planning Activities (0.5%) for MM MSPs.

South Bay Subregion  
 Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Transportation System & Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 66)

|    | Agency                 | Project ID | Project/Location   | Funding Phases           | Note  | Pror Alloc   | Alloc Change | Current Alloc | Prior Year Prog | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 |
|----|------------------------|------------|--|--------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 1  | CITIES HEALTH DISTRICT | MM4602.01  | DIAMOND STREET BIKE PATH PROJECT   | PS&E CONSTRUCTION        | Compl | \$ 1,734,974 | \$ -         | \$ 1,734,974  | \$ 1,734,974    | \$ -       | \$ -       | \$ -       | \$ -       | \$ -       |
| 2  | EL SEGUNDO             | MM4602.02  | EL SEGUNDO BLVD  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 4,050,000    |              | 4,050,000     | 4,050,000       |            |            |            |            |            |
| 3  | HAWTHORNE              | MM4602.03  | HAWTHORNE MONETA GARDEN MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS  | PS&E, ROW, CONSTRUCTION  |       | 3,320,000    |              | 3,320,000     | 200,000         | 349,400    | 2,770,600  |            |            |            |
| 4  | HAWTHORNE              | MM5508.07  | ROSECRANS AVE MOBILITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, PHASE II FROM PRAIRIE AVE TO CRENSHAW BLVD | PAED, PS&E               |       | 260,000      |              | 260,000       | 80,000          | 180,000    |            |            |            |            |
| 5  | HAWTHORNE              | MM5508.08  | CRENSHAW BLVD SIGNAL IMPROVEMENT AND INTERSECTION                                      | PAED, PS&E               |       | 260,000      |              | 260,000       | 80,000          | 180,000    |            |            |            |            |
| 6  | HERMOSA BEACH          | MM5508.09  | MOBILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT  | PID, PAED                |       | 1,800,000    |              | 1,800,000     | 1,300,000       | 500,000    |            |            |            |            |
| 7  | INGLEWOOD              | MM4602.06  | FIRST/LAST MILE IMPROVEMENTS   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 6,500,000    |              | 6,500,000     | 500,000         | 6,000,000  |            |            |            |            |
| 8  | INGLEWOOD              | MM5508.10  | CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS   | PAED, PS&E               |       | 1,000,000    |              | 1,000,000     | -               |            | 100,000    | 900,000    |            |            |
| 9  | LA CITY                | MM4602.04  | CROSSING UPGRADES AND PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 3,260,625    |              | 3,260,625     | 1,462,979       | 1,797,646  |            |            |            |            |
| 10 | LA CITY                | MM5508.01  | SIGNAL OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS  | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 2,500,000    |              | 2,500,000     | 2,500,000       |            |            |            |            |            |
| 11 | LA CITY                | MM5508.02  | ATSAC COMMUNICATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT IN SAN PEDRO                                    | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       |       | 2,250,000    |              | 2,250,000     | -               | 2,250,000  |            |            |            |            |
| 12 | LA CITY                | MM5508.03  | ASTAC COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK INTEGRATION WITH LA COUNTY                                | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 1,750,000    |              | 1,750,000     | -               | 1,750,000  |            |            |            |            |
| 13 | LA CITY                | MM5508.14  | ALAMEDA ST (SOUTH) WIDENING FROM ANAHEIM ST TO HARRY BRIDGES BLVD (MR312.48)           | CONSTRUCTION             |       | 17,518,670   |              | 17,518,670    | 3,000,000       | 10,000,000 | 4,518,670  |            |            |            |
| 14 | LA COUNTY              | MM4602.05  | DOMINGUEZ CHANNEL GREENWAY   | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 3,600,000    |              | 3,600,000     | 408,000         | 259,500    | 1,492,500  | 1,440,000  |            |            |
| 15 | LA COUNTY              | MM4602.07  | WESTMONT/WEST ATHENS PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS, PHASE II                                 | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |       | 1,165,000    |              | 1,165,000     | 80,000          | 80,000     | 625,000    | 380,000    |            |            |
| 16 | LACMTA                 | MM5508.18  | RIITS NETWORK ENHANCEMENTS   | CONSTRUCTION             |       | 500,000      |              | 500,000       | -               | 500,000    |            |            |            |            |

|                                 | Agency                | Project ID | Project/Location   | Funding Phases           | Note | Pror Alloc           | Alloc Change      | Current Alloc        | Prior Year Prog      | FY 2023-24           | FY 2024-25           | FY 2025-26          | FY 2026-27  | FY 2027-28 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|--------------------------|------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| 17                              | MANHATTAN BEACH       | MM5508.04  | ADVANCED TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM   | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       |      | 17,713,000           |                   | 17,713,000           | 10,750,000           | 3,000,000            | 3,963,000            |                     |             |            |
| 18                              | MANHATTAN BEACH       | MM5508.15  | AVIATION BLVD. EAST BOUND LEFT-TURN IMPROVEMENTS                           | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |      | 1,200,000            |                   | 1,200,000            | 1,200,000            |                      |                      |                     |             |            |
| 19                              | PALOS VERDES ESTATES  | MM5508.11  | PALOS VERDES DRIVE WEST CORRIDOR EXPANSION PROJECT                         | PAED, PS&E               |      | 5,517,000            |                   | 5,517,000            | 3,677,000            | 1,840,000            |                      |                     |             |            |
| 20                              | RANCHO PALOS VERDES   | MM5508.12  | CONGESTION IMPROVEMENTS (25TH TO PV DR) **                                 | PSR, PAED                |      | 1,330,000            |                   | 1,330,000            | 330,000              | 1,000,000            |                      |                     |             |            |
| 21                              | REDONDO BEACH         | MM4602.08  | NORTH REDONDO BEACH BIKEWAY (NRBB) EXTENSION -- FELTON LN TO INGLEWOOD AVE | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION | Chg  | 1,000,000            | 600,000           | 1,600,000            | 1,000,000            |                      | 600,000              |                     |             |            |
| 22                              | REDONDO BEACH         | MM4602.09  | BIKEWAY (NRBB) EXTENSION -- INGLWOOD AVE.                                  | PAED, PS&E, ROW          |      | 1,735,000            |                   | 1,735,000            | 1,735,000            |                      |                      |                     |             |            |
| 23                              | REDONDO BEACH         | MM5508.05  | REDONDO BEACH TRANSIT CENTER AND PARK AND RIDE                             | ROW, CONSTRUCTION        |      | 7,750,000            |                   | 7,750,000            | 7,250,000            | 500,000              |                      |                     |             |            |
| 24                              | REDONDO BEACH         | MM5508.13  | TRAFFIC SIGNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORK SYSTEM                           | PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION |      | 5,000,000            |                   | 5,000,000            | 2,000,000            | 3,000,000            |                      |                     |             |            |
| 25                              | ROLLING HILLS ESTATES | MM4602.10  | ROLLING HILLS ROAD BIKE LANES  | PAED, PS&E               |      | 229,450              |                   | 229,450              | 212,950              | 16,500               |                      |                     |             |            |
| 26                              | SBCCOG                | MM5502.01  | PLANNING ACTIVITIES FOR MEASURE M MULTI-YEAR SUBREGIONAL PROGRAMS ^        | PLANNING DEVELOPMENT     |      | 514,854              |                   | 514,854              | 514,854              |                      |                      |                     |             |            |
| 27                              | SBCCOG                | MM5502.10  | PLANNING ACTIVITIES FOR THE SOUTH BAY LOCAL TRAVEL NETWORK ^               | PLANNING DEVELOPMENT     |      | 333,626              |                   | 333,626              | 158,758              | 174,868              |                      |                     |             |            |
| 28                              | TORRANCE              | MM5508.06  | TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS                              | PS&E, CONSTRUCTION       |      | 390,000              |                   | 390,000              | 390,000              |                      |                      |                     |             |            |
| 29                              | TORRANCE              | MM5508.16  | TORRANCE TRANSIT PARK AND RIDE REGIONAL TERMINAL (MR312.23)                | CONSTRUCTION             |      | 1,631,000            |                   | 1,631,000            | 1,631,000            |                      |                      |                     |             |            |
| 30                              | TORRANCE              | MM5508.17  | IMPROVMENTS FROM DEL AMO TO DOMINGUEZ ST (MR312.60)                        | CONSTRUCTION             |      | 609,000              |                   | 609,000              | 609,000              |                      |                      |                     |             |            |
| <b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING AMOUNT</b> |                       |            |  |                          |      | <b>\$ 96,422,199</b> | <b>\$ 600,000</b> | <b>\$ 97,022,199</b> | <b>\$ 46,854,515</b> | <b>\$ 33,377,914</b> | <b>\$ 14,069,770</b> | <b>\$ 2,720,000</b> | <b>\$ -</b> |            |

\*\* Metro may procure services for the project development phases.

\*\*\* Further design details are subject to Metro approval.

^ Subregion Planning Activities (0.5%) for MM MSPs.

South Bay Subregion  
Measure R South Bay Transit Investments Program

|                                 | Agency        | Project ID No. | Project/Location   | Funding Phases       | Note | Pror Alloc           | Alloc Change        | Current Alloc        | FY 2021-22          | FY 2022-23          | FY 2023-24           | FY 2024-25          | FY 2025-26           | FY 2026-27          | FY 2027-28          | FY 2028-29        |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--|----------------------|------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1                               | CARSON        | MR524.02       | CARSON CIRCUIT: FASHION OUTLET REGIONAL TRANSIT CENTER             | PAED, PS&E ROW, CON  |      | \$ 3,525,000         |                     | \$ 3,525,000         | \$ 1,380,000        | \$ 2,145,000        |                      |                     |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| 2                               | GARDENA       | MR524.03       | GTRANS: PURCHASE OF UP TO 15 EXPANSION BUSES                       | Construction Capital | Chg  | \$12,375,000         |                     | 12,375,000           |                     |                     |                      |                     | 8,375,000            | 4,000,000           |                     |                   |
| 3                               | GARDENA       | MR524.04       | GTRANS: SOLAR ENERGY GENERATION/BUS FUELING INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT | PS&E CON             |      | \$6,000,000          |                     | 6,000,000            |                     | 3,000,000           | 3,000,000            |                     |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| 4                               | INGLEWOOD     | MRINGITC       | INGLEWOOD TRANSIT CONNECTOR PROJECT                                | PAED, PS&E ROW, CON  | Chg  | 233,700,000          | 16,300,000          | 250,000,000          | 26,575,570          | 76,863,918          | 130,260,512          | 16,300,000          |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| 5                               | REDONDO BEACH | MR524.05       | BEACH CITIES TRANSIT: TRANSIT OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITY    | Env, PS&E CON        |      | \$32,090,555         |                     | 32,090,555           |                     |                     |                      |                     | 5,150,000            | 8,838,734           | 17,677,469          | 424,352           |
|                                 | SBCCOG        | MR524.01       | TRANSIT INVESTMENTS PROGRAMS ADMIN SUPPORT ^                       | PLANNING DEVELOPMENT |      | \$159,024            |                     | 159,024              | 40,000              | 20,000              | 23,608               | 24,400              | 25,132               | 25,884              |                     |                   |
| 6                               | TORRANCE      | MR524.06       | RETURN OF THE RED CAR URBAN CIRCULATOR TROLLEY                     | Construction Capital |      | \$4,500,000          |                     | 4,500,000            | 2,000,000           | 2,500,000           |                      |                     |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| 7                               | TORRANCE      | MR524.07       | TORRANCE TRANSIT: EXPANSION BUSES                                  | Construction Capital |      | \$20,000,000         |                     | 20,000,000           | 17,100,000          | 2,900,000           |                      |                     |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| 8                               | TORRANCE      | MR524.08       | TORRANCE TRANSIT: REGIONAL TRANSIT CENTER PARKING STRUCTURE        | Construction Capital |      | \$35,000,000         |                     | 35,000,000           | 35,000,000          |                     |                      |                     |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| 9                               | TORRANCE      | MR524.09       | MICROTRANSIT EXPANSION OF THE TORRANCE COMMUNITY TRANSIT           | Construction Capital |      | \$240,000            |                     | 240,000              | 60,000              | 180,000             |                      |                     |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| 10                              | TORRANCE      | MR524.10       | CONSTRUCTION OF HEAVY-DUTY ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION       | Construction Capital |      | \$3,500,000          |                     | 3,500,000            | 3,000,000           | 500,000             |                      |                     |                      |                     |                     |                   |
| <b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING AMOUNT</b> |               |                |  |                      |      | <b>\$351,089,579</b> | <b>\$16,300,000</b> | <b>\$367,389,579</b> | <b>\$85,155,570</b> | <b>\$88,108,918</b> | <b>\$133,284,120</b> | <b>\$16,324,400</b> | <b>\$ 13,550,132</b> | <b>\$12,864,618</b> | <b>\$17,677,469</b> | <b>\$ 424,352</b> |

^ Subregion Planning Activities (0.5%) for Measure R Transit Investments Program.

The background features a large, stylized graphic of the letters 'M' and 'R' in a light beige color, set against a dark green circular backdrop. This is overlaid on a larger red circular shape that is partially visible on the left and bottom edges of the frame. The overall design is modern and clean.

**Measure M Multi-year Subregional Program  
Measure R Transit Investments Program  
South Bay Subregion**

**Planning and Programming Committee  
September 18, 2024**

# South Bay Subregion

- Three Multi-Year Subregional Programs (MSP)
  - Transportation System & Mobility Improvements (expenditure line 50)
  - Highway Operational Improvements (expenditure line 63)
  - Transportation System & Mobility Improvements (expenditure line 66)
- Measure R Transit Investments
- Limited to Capital projects
  - Environmental Phase and forward

Los Angeles County Transportation Expenditure Plan  
(2015 \$ in thousands)

**ATTACHMENT A**  
Groundbreaking Sequence  
(Exceptions Noted)

| For Reference Only | Project<br>(Final Project to be Defined by the Environmental Process) | Notes | Schedule of Funds Available    |  | Subregion* | 2016 - 2027<br>Local, State,<br>Federal,<br>Other<br>Funding<br>2015\$ | Measure M<br>Funding<br>2015\$ | Most Recent<br>Cost<br>Estimate<br>2015\$** | Modal Code |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------------------------------|--|------------|--|--------------------------------|---|------------|
|                    |   |       | Ground-breaking<br>Start Date† | Expected<br>Opening Date<br>(3 year range) |            |  |                                |   |            |
|                    | <b>Multi-Year Subregional Programs</b>                                |       | 1 <sup>st</sup> yr of Range    |  |            |  |                                |   |            |
| 47                 | Metro Active Transport, Transit 1st/Last Mile Program                 | p     | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$857,500                      | \$857,500                                   | H          |
| 48                 | Visionary Project Seed Funding  | p     | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$20,000                       | \$20,000                                    | T          |
| 49                 | Street Car and Circulator Projects                                    | k,p   | FY 2018                        | FY 2022                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$35,000                       | \$35,000                                    | T          |
| 50                 | Transportation System and Mobility Improve. Program                   |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2032                                    | sb         | \$0  | \$293,500                      | \$293,500                                   | H          |
| 51                 | Active Transportation 1st/Last Mile Connections Prog.                 |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | w          | \$0  | \$361,000                      | \$361,000                                   | H          |
| 52                 | Active Transportation Program   |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | nc         | \$0  | \$264,000                      | \$264,000                                   | H          |
| 53                 | Active Transportation Program   |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | gc         | \$0  | TBD                            | TBD   | H          |
| 54                 | Active Transportation Program (Including Greenway Proj.)              |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | sg         | \$0  | \$231,000                      | \$231,000                                   | H          |
| 55                 | Active Transportation, 1st/Last Mile, & Mobility Hubs                 |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | cc         | \$0  | \$215,000                      | \$215,000                                   | H          |
| 56                 | Active Transportation, Transit, and Tech. Program                     |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2032                                    | lvn        | \$0  | \$32,000                       | \$32,000                                    | T          |
| 57                 | Highway Efficiency Program  |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2032                                    | lvn        | \$0  | \$133,000                      | \$133,000                                   | H          |
| 58                 | Bus System Improvement Program  |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | sg         | \$0  | \$55,000                       | \$55,000                                    | T          |
| 59                 | First/Last Mile and Complete Streets                                  |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | sg         | \$0  | \$198,000                      | \$198,000                                   | H          |
| 60                 | Highway Demand Based Prog. (HOV Ext. & Connect.)                      |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | sg         | \$0  | \$231,000                      | \$231,000                                   | H          |
| 61                 | I-605 Corridor "Hot Spot" Interchange Improvements @                  |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | gc         | \$240,000  | \$1,000,000                    | \$1,240,000                                 | H          |
| 62                 | Modal Connectivity and Complete Streets Projects                      |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | av         | \$0  | \$202,000                      | \$202,000                                   | H          |
| 63                 | South Bay Highway Operational Improvements                            |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | av         | \$600,000  | \$500,000                      | \$1,100,000                                 | H          |
| 64                 | Transit Programs  |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | nc         | \$500,000  | \$88,000                       | \$588,000                                   | T          |
| 65                 | Transit Projects  |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | av         | \$0  | \$257,100                      | \$257,100                                   | T          |
| 66                 | Transportation System and Mobility Improve. Program                   |       | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    | sb         | \$0  | \$350,000                      | \$350,000                                   | H          |
| 67                 | North San Fernando Valley Bus Rapid Transit Improvements              | p,s   | FY 2019                        | FY 2023                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$180,000                      | \$180,000                                   | T          |
| 68                 | Subregional Equity Program  | p,s   | FY 2018                        | FY 2057                                    |            | TBD  | TBD                            | \$1,196,000                                 | T/H        |
| 69                 | Countywide BRT Projects Ph 1 (All Subregions)                         | l,p   | FY 2020                        | FY 2022                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$50,000                       | \$50,000                                    | T          |
| 70                 | Countywide BRT Projects Ph 2 (All Subregions)                         | l,p   | FY 2030                        | FY 2032                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$50,000                       | \$50,000                                    | T          |
| 71                 | Active Transportation Projects  |       | FY 2033                        | FY 2057                                    | av         | \$0  | \$136,500                      | \$136,500                                   | H          |
| 72                 | Los Angeles Safe Routes to School Initiative                          |       | FY 2033                        | FY 2057                                    | cc         | \$0  | \$250,000                      | \$250,000                                   | H          |
| 73                 | Multimodal Connectivity Program                                       |       | FY 2033                        | FY 2057                                    | nc         | \$0  | \$239,000                      | \$239,000                                   | H          |
| 74                 | Countywide BRT Projects Ph 3 (All Subregions)                         | l,p   | FY 2040                        | FY 2042                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$50,000                       | \$50,000                                    | T          |
| 75                 | Arterial Program  |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | nc         | \$0  | \$726,130                      | \$726,130                                   | H          |
| 76                 | BRT and 1st/Last Mile Solutions e.g. DASH                             |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | cc         | \$0  | \$250,000                      | \$250,000                                   | T          |
| 77                 | Freeway Interchange and Operational Improvements                      |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | cc         | \$0  | \$195,000                      | \$195,000                                   | H          |
| 78                 | Goods Movement (Improvements & RR Xing Elim.)                         |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | sg         | \$0  | \$33,000                       | \$33,000                                    | T          |
| 79                 | Goods Movement Program  |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | nc         | \$0  | \$104,000                      | \$104,000                                   | T          |
| 80                 | Goods Movement Projects   |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | av         | \$0  | \$81,700                       | \$81,700                                    | T          |
| 81                 | Highway Efficiency Program  |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | nc         | \$0  | \$128,870                      | \$128,870                                   | H          |
| 82                 | Highway Efficiency Program  |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | sg         | \$0  | \$534,000                      | \$534,000                                   | H          |
| 83                 | Highway Efficiency, Noise Mitig. and Arterial Projects                |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | av         | \$0  | \$602,800                      | \$602,800                                   | H          |
| 84                 | ITS/Technology Program (Advanced Signal Tech.)                        |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | sg         | \$0  | \$66,000                       | \$66,000                                    | H          |
| 85                 | LA Streetscape Enhance. & Great Streets Program                       |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | cc         | \$0  | \$450,000                      | \$450,000                                   | H          |
| 86                 | Modal Connectivity Program  |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | lvn        | \$0  | \$68,000                       | \$68,000                                    | H          |
| 87                 | Public Transit State of Good Repair Program                           |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | cc         | \$0  | \$402,000                      | \$402,000                                   | T          |
| 88                 | Traffic Congestion Relief and Improvement Program                     |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | lvn        | \$0  | \$63,000                       | \$63,000                                    | H          |
| 89                 | Traffic Congestion Relief/Signal Synchronization                      |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | cc         | \$0  | \$50,000                       | \$50,000                                    | H          |
| 90                 | Arroyo Verdugo Projects to be Determined                              |       | FY 2048                        | FY 2057                                    | av         | \$0  | \$110,600                      | \$110,600                                   | H          |
| 91                 | Countywide BRT Projects Ph 4 (All Subregions)                         | p     | FY 2050                        | FY 2052                                    | sc         | \$90,000   | \$10,000                       | \$100,000                                   | T          |
| 92                 | Countywide BRT Projects Ph 5 (All Subregions)                         | p     | FY 2060                        | FY 2062                                    | sc         | \$0  | \$100,000                      | \$100,000                                   | T          |
| 93                 | Multi-Year Subregional Programs Subtotal                              |       |                                |  |            | \$1,430,000  | \$10,253,700                   | \$12,879,700                                |            |
| 94                 | <b>GRAND TOTAL</b>  |       |                                |  |            | <b>\$21,011,027</b>  | <b>\$31,243,641</b>            | <b>\$53,450,669</b>                         |            |



# September 2024 Recommendation

## CONSIDER:

### A. APPROVING:

1. Programming of an additional \$11,164,810 within the capacity of Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Program (MSP) – Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 50), as shown in Attachment A;
2. Programming of an additional \$11,586,591 within the capacity of Measure M MSP – South Bay Highway Operational Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 63), as shown in Attachment B;
3. Programming of an additional \$600,000 within the capacity of Measure M MSP – Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 66), as shown in Attachment C;
4. Programming of an additional \$16,300,000 within the capacity of Measure R South Bay Transit Investments Program, shown in Attachment D; and

- B. AUTHORIZING the CEO or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements and/or amendments for approved projects.

# Next Steps

- Execute Funding Agreements with the implementing agencies to initiate projects
- Continue working with the Subregion to identify and deliver projects
- Return to the Board annually for Program/Project updates



## Board Report

File #: 2024-0473, File Type: Contract

Agenda Number: 6.

**REVISED**  
**PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE**  
**SEPTEMBER 18, 2024**

**SUBJECT: NORTH SAN FERNANDO VALLEY TRANSIT CORRIDOR**

**ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATION**

### **RECOMMENDATION**

AUTHORIZE the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Modification No. 14 to Contract No. AE49337000 with Arcadis, A California Partnership (formerly IBI Group) in the amount of \$3,158,761 for the optional task for preliminary engineering and to advance the design of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands as part of the North San Fernando Valley (NSFV) Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Corridor Project, increasing the contract value from \$5,683,973 to \$8,842,734, and extend the period of performance from October 31, 2024 through December 31, 2026.

### **ISSUE**

The North San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor Project continues with the design and implementation of several Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) elements to improve existing transit lines in the San Fernando Valley. However, Board action is required for the existing contract's optional task for Preliminary Engineering (PE), design services, and to meet the Measure M schedule. Given the objective of Measure M to improve transportation, transit service, and ease traffic congestion in the region, the timely use of funds is Metro's fiscal responsibility to ensure that Measure M sales tax revenues are spent as quickly as possible according to the requirements of the Measure M Ordinance to realize the benefits of the Measure M Expenditure Plan promised to the people of Los Angeles County. Exercising the current contract's optional PE task will enable the existing contractor to begin the design process.

### **BACKGROUND**

In May 2018, the Board awarded Contract No. AE49337000 to IBI Group to complete the Planning/Environmental Study for the NSFV BRT Corridor. This contract developed the NSFV BRT project from concept through alternative analysis and environmental clearance following the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, with an optional task to complete the proposed project's advanced conceptual engineering or PE.

In December 2022, the Board approved the NSFV BRT Network Improvements as the proposed

---

project (Attachment A). This project provided an enhanced bus network to increase connectivity and provide high-quality bus service and transit infrastructure in NSFV communities. The primary corridors enhanced through the BRT Network Improvements include Roscoe Boulevard, Nordhoff Street, and Lankershim Boulevard, with additional improvements planned for Reseda Boulevard, Sherman Way, Vanowen Street, and Victory Boulevard.

### Project Description

The NSFV BRT Corridor Project aims to improve speed, reliability, pedestrian safety, and accessibility to riders in the NSFV by installing bus bulbs and boarding islands at Metro bus stops. These bulbs and boarding islands are one of several speed and reliability tools applied to various NSFV bus lines to help reduce travel times. These bus bulbs and boarding islands will also provide more space at bus stops, improving accessibility and allowing the installation of amenities such as shelters, lighting, and information displays. Bus bulbs and boarding islands also allow the bus to stop in the travel lane without pulling out of traffic, removing delays associated with re-entering the traffic lane as the transit driver waits for gaps in traffic. Lastly, bus bulbs shorten pedestrian crossing distances at intersections, improving safety for everyone walking. This shorter crossing distance can also improve the efficiency of the traffic signal and provide more opportunities for transit signal priority.

### DISCUSSION

Staff is requesting Board action to execute Contract Modification No. 14 for the optional task for preliminary engineering and to extend the period of performance to allow for the design of bus speed improvements in the form of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands (the "Project") at 82 locations in NSFV. Metro requires consultant support in developing design concepts and preparing design plans, such as schematic design concepts, detailed civil design plans, utility composite plans, worksite traffic control plans, and design implementation services.

### Planned Coordination Efforts

The consultant will conduct working sessions with Metro, the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Engineering, and the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Street Services (StreetsLA) to discuss concepts and determine the work scope for 82 locations. The project will be divided into phases so that "lessons learned" in previous phases can direct the design of future phases.

If required, the consultant will also be available at in-person outreach presentations in the NSFV. Outreach staff will prepare presentation materials, address public questions related to concepts, and plan drawings/renderings for project outreach.

### DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

The Project will positively impact safety, as patrons waiting for transit will have more space and be more comfortable waiting for service. Accessibility can be improved for passengers' boarding and alighting. For example, the Project will allow buses to reduce weaving out from and into traffic as they approach and leave the bus stop.

---

## **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The funds for this action are included in the FY25 budget under Project 496007 (North San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor Project-Curb Improvements), Cost Center 4741, and Task No. 01.MM64.002. There is \$6M budgeted for this project/task in FY25.

### **Impact to Budget**

The current source of funds for this action is Measure M Construction 35%. Since this is a multi-year project, the Senior Executive Officer, Service Development, will be responsible for budgeting in future years.

## **EQUITY PLATFORM**

This design task supports an improved passenger experience with faster, more reliable service and improved stop amenities in Equity Focus Communities (EFCs). Similar recent and in-progress projects by the City of Los Angeles and Metro have shown that these bus stop improvements can enhance speed, reliability, and accessibility to these corridors. The City of Los Angeles recently completed the Reseda Boulevard Complete Streets Project, which installed bus boarding islands on Reseda BI in the San Fernando Valley. The boarding islands on Reseda BI/Victory BI, Reseda BI/Saticoy St, and Reseda BI/Vanowen provide dedicated areas for pedestrians to wait for transit. They are enabling Metro transit to move faster through the Reseda BI corridor by eliminating the time lost when merging in and out of traffic to stop and board passengers.

The City of Los Angeles is constructing bus bulbs and bus boarding islands on 7<sup>th</sup> St in downtown LA as part of the 7<sup>th</sup> Street Streetscape Improvements Project. Lastly, Metro has been awarded funding through the I-405 Corridor Community Bus Service Improvement Program to construct up to 30 bus boarding islands on Venice BI between Inglewood BI and Fairfax Av. As considered in the NextGen Bus Plan Speed and Reliability program, this type of improvement will be considered for other corridors where appropriate, such as when bus lanes are not justified and supported by the jurisdiction and community, especially those in EFCs.

~~The consultant is meeting the Diversity and Economic Opportunity Department (DEOD) Small Business Enterprise (SBE) and Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise (DVBE) goals of commitment for this contract is 22.190% and 3.65%, respectively. The current level of participation is 19.82% SBE and 2.41% DVBE, which has been the result of the change of the nature of the project. The original Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) corridor project involving heavy road and station construction was unable to build community consensus on a BRT corridor. The project then evolved to a quick build type of project improving existing transit lines. This change was approved by the Metro Board in December 2022. The new project does not require the same range of planning and design services. Therefore, the services of the original range of companies in the DEOD summary are no longer required. However, with the proposed scope and fee for Contract Modification No. 14, the contractor anticipates that it will be able increase participation to 22.58% SBE and 4.12% DVBE based on services required for the new project scope.~~

## **IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS**

This Board action supports the following goals:

- Goal 1: Provide high-quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling
- Goal 2: Deliver outstanding trip experiences for all users of the transportation system
- Goal 3: Enhance communities and lives through mobility and access to opportunity

The program aligns with Metro Vision 2028, where providing high-quality mobility options, delivering outstanding trip experiences for all users, and enhancing mobility and access to opportunities are targeted goals within Metro.

### **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

The Board could elect to not approve this contract modification but Metro would have to seek alternative providers for these design services, which would require a new procurement, resulting in the company's disruption of work on conceptual design for bulbs and boarding islands for this project. In addition, delays to the completion of design work by a year or more would impact the benefits to Metro's riders and likely cost significantly more to restart the work with a different vendor. This approach is not recommended.

### **NEXT STEPS**

Upon Board approval, staff will execute Modification No. 14 to Contract No. AE49337000 with Arcadis, A California Partnership, for the optional task for preliminary engineering and to advance the design of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands and extend the performance period from October 31, 2024, through December 31, 2026.


### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A -Network Improvements Project Map  
Attachment B - Procurement Summary  
Attachment C - Contract Modification/Change Order Log  
Attachment D - DEOD Summary

Prepared by: Conrad Viana, Senior Manager, Speed & Reliability Tactical Transit  
Engineering, (213) 922-4814  
Fulgene Asuncion, Senior Manager, Countywide Planning &  
Development, (213) 922-3025  
Joe Forgiarini, Senior Executive Officer, Service Development,  
(213) 418-3400  
Carolina Coppolo, Deputy Chief Vendor/Contract Management Officer (Interim),  
(213) 922-4471


Reviewed by: Conan Cheung, Chief Operating Officer, (213) 418-3034

Ray Sosa, Chief Planning Officer, (213) 547-4274



Stephanie N. Wiggins  
Chief Executive Officer

# North San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor Bus Bulbs/Boarding Islands





## Attachment A: Bus Bulbs/Boarding Island Locations\*

| Phase | Intersection                              | Direction |    |    |    | Total |
|-------|---|-----------|----|----|----|-------|
|       |   | NB        | SB | EB | WB |       |
| 1     | Nordhoff St (E/W) & Canoga Bl (N/S)       |           |    | X  |    | 1     |
|       | Nordhoff St (E/W) & Reseda Bl (N/S)       | X         | X  | X  |    | 3     |
|       | Nordhoff St (E/W) & Lindley Av (N/S)      |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Nordhoff St (E/W) & Sepulveda Bl (N/S)    |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Nordhoff St (E/W) & Van Nuys Bl (N/S)     |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Osborne St (E/W) & Laurel Cyn Bl (N/S)    |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Devonshire St (E/W) & Reseda Bl (N/S)     | X         | X  |    |    | 2     |
|       | Roscoe Bl (E/W) & Reseda Bl (N/S)         | X         | X  |    |    | 2     |
| 2     | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Canoga Av (N/S)        |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Sherman Wy (E/W) & De Soto Av (N/S)       |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Reseda Bl (N/S)        | X         | X  | X  | X  | 4     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Reseda Bl (N/S)        | X         |    | X  | X  | 3     |
|       | Victory Bl (E/W) & Reseda Bl (N/S)        |           | X  | X  | X  | 3     |
|       | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Winnetka Ave (N/S)     |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Etiwanda Av (N/S)      |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
| 3     | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Sepulveda Bl (N/S)     |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Van Nuys Bl (N/S)      |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Amestoy Av (N/S)       |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Balboa Bl (N/S)        |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Woodley Ave (N/S)      |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Sepulveda Bl (N/S)     |           |    |    | X  | 1     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Van Nuys Bl (N/S)      |           |    |    | X  | 1     |
|       | Victory Bl (E/W) & Sepulveda Bl (N/S)     |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
| 4     | Victory Bl (E/W) & Van Nuys Bl (N/S)      |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Woodman Av (N/S)       |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Woodman Av (N/S)       |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Laurel Cyn Bl (N/S)    |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Lankershim Bl (N/S)    | X         | X  | X  | X  | 4     |
|       | Victory Bl (E/W) & Lankershim Bl (N/S)    | X         | X  | X  | X  | 4     |
|       | Vanowen St (E/W) & Coldwater Cyn Av (N/S) |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
| 5     | Osborne St (E/W) & San Fernando Rd (N/S)  |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Victory Bl (E/W) & Vineland Av (N/S)      |           |    | X  | X  | 2     |
|       | Saticoy St (E/W) & Lankershim Bl (N/S)    | X         | X  |    |    | 2     |
|       | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Lankershim Bl (N/S)    | X         | X  | X  | X  | 4     |
|       | Sherman Wy (E/W) & Vineland Av (N/S)      | X         |    |    | X  | 2     |
|       | Strathern St (E/W) & Lankershim Bl (N/S)  | X         | X  |    |    | 2     |
|       | Stagg St (E/W) & Lankershim Bl (N/S)      | X         | X  |    |    | 2     |
|       |   |           |    |    |    | 82    |

\*Locations subject to change based on physical constraints and/or cost to construct.

## PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

## NORTH SAN FERNANDO VALLEY BUS RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR /AE49337000

|    |   |            |   |
|----|---|------------|---|
| 1. | <b>Contract Number:</b> AE49337000  |            |   |
| 2. | <b>Contractor:</b> Arcadis, A California Partnership  |            |   |
| 3. | <b>Mod. Work Description:</b> Optional task for preliminary engineering and to advance the design of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands and period of performance extension from October 31, 2024 through December 31, 2026. |            |   |
| 4. | <b>Contract Work Description:</b> North San Fernando Valley Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Corridor Planning and Environmental Study.  |            |   |
| 5. | <b>The following data is current as of:</b> 08/02/2024  |            |   |
| 6. | <b>Contract Completion Status</b>   |            | <b>Financial Status</b>   |
|    | <b>Contract Awarded:</b>  | 05/24/2018 | <b>Contract Award Amount:</b> \$5,582,619                         |
|    | <b>Notice to Proceed (NTP):</b>   | N/A        | <b>Total of Modifications Approved:</b> \$101,354                 |
|    | <b>Original Complete Date:</b>  | 11/06/2021 | <b>Pending Modifications (including this action):</b> \$3,158,761 |
|    | <b>Current Est. Complete Date:</b>  | 12/31/2026 | <b>Current Contract Value (with this action):</b> \$8,842,734     |
| 7. | <b>Contract Administrator:</b><br>Samira Baghdikian   |            | <b>Telephone Number:</b><br>(213) 922-1033                        |
| 8. | <b>Project Manager:</b><br>Joe Forgiarini   |            | <b>Telephone Number:</b><br>(213) 418-3400                        |

**A. Procurement Background**

This Board Action is to approve Contract Modification No. 14 issued for the optional task for preliminary engineering and to advance the design of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands as part of the North San Fernando Valley Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Corridor Project. This Contract Modification also extends the period of performance from October 31, 2024 through December 31, 2026.

This Contract Modification was processed in accordance with Metro's Acquisition Policy and the contract type is a firm fixed price.

On May 24, 2018, the Board awarded firm fixed price Contract No. AE49337000 to IBI Group (now Arcadis, A California Partnership) for the North San Fernando Valley BRT Corridor Planning and Environmental Study with one of two optional tasks to advance the design through either i) Advanced Conceptual Engineering or ii) Preliminary Engineering.

A total of 13 modifications have been executed to date.

Refer to Attachment C - Contract Modification/Change Order Log.

**B. Cost Analysis**

The recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable based on the Independent Cost Estimate (ICE), cost analysis, technical analysis, and fact finding.

| <b>Proposal Amount</b> | <b>Metro ICE</b> | <b>Negotiated Amount</b> |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| \$3,158,761            | \$3,311,700      | \$3,158,761              |

## CONTRACT MODIFICATION/CHANGE ORDER LOG

## NORTH SAN FERNANDO VALLEY BUS RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR / AE49337000

| <b>Mod. No.</b> | <b>Description</b>   | <b>Status (approved or pending)</b> | <b>Date</b> | <b>\$ Amount</b> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 1               | Two additional alternatives for consideration for BRT.   | Approved                            | 06/05/2019  | \$54,807         |
| 2               | Reallocation of Task 3.4 (Value Capture Analysis) to Task 1.7 (Community Meetings, Scoping Meetings, and Public Hearings) and Task 3.1 (Facilitation and Attendance at Community Meetings).  | Approved                            | 12/11/2019  | \$0              |
| 3               | Additional services to support analysis of summer 2019 community outreach and October 2020 Board presentation to update ridership model to include NextGen transit network and period of performance (POP) extension through 06/30/21.   | Approved                            | 09/18/2020  | \$267,950        |
| 4               | No cost POP extension through 07/30/21.  | Approved                            | 06/22/2021  | \$0              |
| 5               | No cost POP extension through 09/30/21.  | Approved                            | 07/26/2021  | \$0              |
| 6               | No cost POP extension through 12/31/21.  | Approved                            | 09/24/2021  | \$0              |
| 7               | No cost POP extension through 03/31/22.  | Approved                            | 12/27/2021  | \$0              |
| 8               | Reallocation of Task 5 (Environmental Study & Statutory Exemption Documentation) to new Tasks 8.1 (Project Admin., 8.2 (Project Meetings), 8.3 (Support for Community Engagement), 8.4 (Traffic Analysis for Network Approach), 8.5 (Conceptual Engineering for Network Approach), 8.6 (Aerial Mapping for Network Approach), 8.7 (Ridership Modeling for Network Approach), 8.9 (Operational Assessment Support | Approved                            | 01/24/2022  | \$0              |

|    |   |                |                |                    |
|----|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
|    | for Network Approach), and 8.10 (Summary Technical Memo for Network Approach).  |                |                |                    |
| 9  | No cost POP extension through 03/31/23.   | Approved       | 12/12/2022     | \$0                |
| 10 | No cost POP extension through 05/31/23.   | Approved       | 03/23/2023     | \$0                |
| 11 | Reallocation of remaining funds from Task 1 (Administration & Project Management), Task 5 (Environmental Study & Statutory Exemption Documentation), and Task 6 (Project Commitments & Close-Out of CEQA Process) to Task 9 (Project Administration and Conceptual Engineering) for Roscoe Boulevard Peak-Period Transit-Only Lanes between Topanga Canyon Boulevard and Coldwater Canyon Avenue as part of the Proposed Project. POP extension through 10/30/23. | Approved       | 05/30/2023     | \$22,825           |
| 12 | No cost POP extension through 10/31/24.   | Approved       | 10/26/2023     | \$0                |
| 13 | Deductive change for Task 9.3.3 (Traffic Signal Plans) and Task 9.4 (Worksite Traffic Control Plans and Key Transfer Location Needs Assessment).  | Approved       | 08/15/2024     | (\$244,228)        |
| 14 | Optional task for preliminary engineering and to advance the design of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands and POP extension through 12/31/26.  | <b>Pending</b> | <b>Pending</b> | <b>\$3,158,761</b> |
|    | <b>Modification Total:</b>  |                |                | <b>\$3,260,115</b> |
|    | <b>Original Contract:</b>   |                | 05/24/2018     | <b>\$5,582,619</b> |
|    | <b>Total:</b>   |                |                | <b>\$8,842,734</b> |

## DEOD SUMMARY

## NORTH SAN FERNANDO VALLEY BUS RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR / AE49337000

**A. Small Business Participation**

Arcadis, a California Partnership (formerly IBI Group) (Arcadis) made a 22.19% Small Business Enterprise (SBE) and a 3.65% Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise (DVBE) commitment. The project is 86% complete and the current SBE/DVBE participation is 19.82% and 2.41%, representing shortfalls of 2.37% and 1.24%, respectively.

Arcadis contends that the shortfalls are due to Metro descopeing work committed to two (2) SBE subcontractors, Epic Land Solutions, Inc. and Eyestone-Jones Environmental, LLC, and have not been utilized, as confirmed by Metro's Project Manager. Arcadis further contends that execution of this modification includes budget for FPL and Associates and MA Engineering which will reflect an increase in both SBE and DVBE participation. Arcadis further anticipates exceeding the SBE and DVBE commitments through the end of the project.

|                                  |                                  |                                     |                                  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Small Business Commitment</b> | <b>22.19% SBE<br/>3.65% DVBE</b> | <b>Small Business Participation</b> | <b>19.82% SBE<br/>2.41% DVBE</b> |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|

|     | <b>SBE Subcontractors</b>         | <b>% Committed</b> | <b>Current Participation<sup>1</sup></b> |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1.  | BAE Urban Economics, Inc.         | 0.38%              | 0.10%                                    |
| 2.  | Connectics Transportation         | 1.29%              | 2.43%                                    |
| 3.  | Epic Land Solutions, Inc.         | 1.14%              | 0.00%                                    |
| 4.  | Eyestone-Jones Environmental, LLC | 1.72%              | 0.00%                                    |
| 5.  | FPL and Associates, Inc.          | 4.23%              | 4.16%                                    |
| 6.  | Galvin Preservation Associates    | 4.26%              | 0.11%                                    |
| 7.  | GCM Consulting, Inc.              | 0.00%              | 0.46%                                    |
| 8.  | Oschin Partners, Inc.             | 0.72%              | 1.61%                                    |
| 9.  | V.W. & Associates (Virtek Co.)    | 2.35%              | 4.07%                                    |
| 10. | Wagner Engineering & Survey, Inc. | 4.98%              | 1.75%                                    |
| 11. | Wiltec                            | 1.12%              | 1.85%                                    |
| 12. | Zephyr UAS, Inc.                  | Added              | 3.28%                                    |
|     | <b>Total</b>                      | <b>22.19%</b>      | <b>19.82%</b>                            |

|    | <b>DVBE Subcontractors</b> | <b>% Committed</b> | <b>Current Participation<sup>1</sup></b> |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1. | MA Engineering             | 3.65%              | 2.41%                                    |
|    | <b>Total</b>               | <b>3.65%</b>       | <b>2.41%</b>                             |

<sup>1</sup>Current Participation = Total Actual amount Paid-to-Date to DBE firms ÷ Total Actual Amount Paid-to-date to Prime.

**B. Living Wage and Service Contract Worker Retention Policy Applicability**

The Living Wage and Service Contract Worker Retention Policy is not applicable to this contract.

**C. Prevailing Wage Applicability**


Prevailing Wage requirements are applicable to this contract. DEOD will monitor contractors' compliance with the State of California Department of Industrial Relations (DIR), California Labor Code, and, if federally funded, the U S Department of Labor (DOL) Davis Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA).

**D. Project Labor Agreement/Construction Careers Policy**

Project Labor Agreement/Construction Careers Policy is not applicable to this Contract. PLA/CCP is applicable only to construction contracts that have a construction related value in excess of \$2.5 million.

Service Development

# North San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor



Planning and Programming Committee  
September 18, 2024




# RECOMMENDATION



AUTHORIZE the Chief Executive Officer to execute Modification No. 14 to Contract No. AE49337000 to Arcadis, a California Partnership (formerly IBI Group) in the amount of \$3,158,761 for the optional task for preliminary engineering and advance the design of curb extensions (bus bulbs) or boarding islands as part of the North San Fernando Valley (NSFV) Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Corridor Project and extend the period of performance from Q4 CY24 through Q4 CY26.

# ISSUE



## AWARDEE

Arcadis, a California Partnership

## DEOD COMMITMENT

22.190% SBE commitment

3.65% DVBE commitment



<https://nacto.org/publication/urban-street-design-guide/street-design-elements/curb-extensions/bus-bulbs/>

## ISSUE

- Buses must merge out of traffic lanes to access transit stops
- Buses must wait for a gap to weave into traffic as they leave the transit stop
- Transit stops have limited space to provide shelter, shade, and comfort for patrons waiting for transit service




# DISCUSSION



## DISCUSSION

- The NSFV BRT Project is one of the 28 by 2028 project initiatives. Bus bulbs are one of a number of improvements funded by \$175 million in Measure M being applied to NSFV corridors including bus lanes on Roscoe Bl, transit signal priority on 7 corridors, all door boarding throughout the San Fernando Valley, almost 400 new bus shelters, improved service frequency on two corridors, and 75 new battery electric buses and associated charging equipment for four corridors
- The bus bulbs/boarding islands will enable buses to avoid having to merge out of/into traffic lanes to access/leave transit stops
- The modification will have a positive impact on patrons waiting for transit by providing more space for amenities as they wait for service
- Accessibility can be improved for the boarding and alighting of passengers
- Bus bulbs shorten pedestrian crossing distances at intersections, improving safety for everyone walking

# DISCUSSION



## DISCUSSION

- To make the project manageable for the design consultant and City agency review, the project deliverables shall be completed in five (5) phases

| Phase | Design – Begin   | Design – End     |
|-------|------------------|------------------|
| 1     | 4th quarter CY24 | 2nd quarter CY25 |
| 2     | 2nd quarter CY25 | 4th quarter CY25 |
| 3     | 4th quarter CY25 | 2nd quarter CY26 |
| 4     | 2nd quarter CY26 | 3rd quarter CY26 |
| 5     | 3rd quarter CY26 | 4th quarter CY26 |



Board Report

File #: 2024-0779, File Type: Program

Agenda Number: 7.

**PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE  
SEPTEMBER 18, 2024**

**SUBJECT: PROGRAM FUNDS FOR ARROYO VERDUGO OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS**

**ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATION**

**RECOMMENDATION**

CONSIDER:

- A. APPROVING \$5,000,000 in additional programming for two City of Glendale projects within the Arroyo Verdugo subregion as shown in Attachment A; and
- B. AUTHORIZING the CEO or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements for the Board-approved projects.

**ISSUE**

At the July 2024 meeting, the Metro Board approved the updated project list and proposed changes related to schedules, scope, and funding allocations for existing projects as part of the bi-annual Measure R Multimodal Highway Subregional Program.

The purpose of this Board report is to identify the projects that will be added to the updated list and to program additional funds. Board approval of the projects is needed as the updated project list serves as the basis for Metro to enter into the necessary agreements with the City.

**BACKGROUND**

Per the Measure R Expenditure Plan, the Arroyo Verdugo Subregion (Line 31) allocated funds for multimodal highway operational improvement subfund programs. In coordination with local jurisdictions, Metro staff lead the implementation and development of multi-jurisdictional and regionally significant highway and arterial projects.

Local jurisdictions prioritize and develop projects that are within the eligibility for both Measure R and Measure M program criteria. Metro staff worked with the City of Glendale to review projects for eligibility and compliance with the Board-adopted policies outlined in Metro’s Complete Streets Policy, Active Transportation Strategic Plan, and First/Last Mile Strategic Plan. Projects are also further evaluated to ensure that projects aim to reduce congestion, resolve operational deficiencies, improve safety, and incorporate multimodal investments.

## **DISCUSSION**

Additional programming in the amount of \$5,000,000 is being requested for two projects in the Arroyo Verdugo subregion as described below and shown in Attachment A.

### **Arroyo Verdugo Operational Improvements**

To date, a total of \$134,181,200 has been programmed for projects in the subregion. This update includes funding adjustments for two existing projects.

#### **Glendale**

Program an additional \$3,000,000 for MR310.54 - Traffic Signal Modifications on La Crescenta Avenue and San Fernando Road in FY24-25 for a revised budget of \$4,650,000. The Project is in the PS&E phase and the additional funding will go towards the construction phase, as the City will readvertise their construction solicitation since they received bids costlier than their current available funding. The Project includes fiber installation, signal upgrades, vehicle and bike video detection installation, and upgrades to wheelchair ramps, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, and street pavement.

Program an additional \$2,000,000 for MR310.62 - Downtown Glendale Signal Mobility Improvements Project in FY24-25 for a revised budget of \$8,626,736. The Project is in the PS&E phase and funds are being programmed to fund the construction phase, as the City anticipates increased costs for the construction phase. The Project includes software and hardware modifications to coordinate traffic flow via signal synchronization, benefiting all modes of transportation regarding safety.

## **DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT**

The multimodal subregional programs support the development of a safer transportation system that will provide high-quality multimodal mobility options to enable people to spend less time traveling.

## **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The highway projects are funded from the Measure R 20% Highway Capital subfund earmarked for the subregions. FY25 funds are allocated for Arroyo Verdugo Project No.460310 (Subsidies to Others).

Since the Measure R Multimodal Highway Subregional Programs are multiyear programs that contain various projects, Countywide Planning and Development will be responsible for budgeting the costs in current and future years.

### **Impact to Budget**

This action will not impact the approved FY25 budget. Staff will rebalance the approved FY25 budget as necessary to fund the identified priorities and revisit the budgetary needs using the quarterly and mid-year adjustment processes subject to the availability of funds.

The source of funds for these projects is Measure R 20% Highway Funds. This fund source is not eligible for transit capital or operations expenses.

### **EQUITY PLATFORM**

This bi-annual update funds subsequent phases of Board-approved Highway Subsidy grants aligned with the Measure R Board-approved guidelines and the [Metro Objectives for Multimodal Highway Investments](https://boardagendas.metro.net/board-report/2022-0302/) <<https://boardagendas.metro.net/board-report/2022-0302/>>. The Highway Subsidy Grants do not have a direct equity impact; rather they offer equity opportunities via the development of transportation project improvements through city contracts that can reduce transportation disparities. Furthermore, Metro staff will work with the various subregions to provide equity guidance in the selection of individual projects to address disparities and create more equitable access to opportunity.

As with all subregions, the City of Glendale independently and in coordination with its subregion, undertakes its jurisdictionally determined community engagement process specific to the type of transportation improvement it seeks to develop. These locally determined and prioritized projects represent the needs of cities.

### **IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS**

The recommendation supports the strategic plan goal:

“Goal 1: Provide high-quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling.”

Goal 1.1. Approval of the multimodal highway subregional programs will expand the transportation system as responsibly and quickly as possible as approved in Measure R and M to strengthen and expand LA County’s transportation system.

“Goal 4: Transform LA County through regional collaboration”

Goal 4.1. Metro will work closely with municipalities, council of governments, Caltrans to implement holistic strategies for advancing mobility goals”

### **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

The Board may choose not to approve the funding allocation for the two projects. However, this option is not recommended as it will delay the development of the construction phases and will face significant cost implications by delaying the required amendments.

### **NEXT STEPS**


Staff will notify the City of the Board’s decision and continue working with Arroyo Verdugo and the other subregions for their consideration of multimodal investments within the Measure R Multimodal Highway Subregional Program.

**ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A - Program Funds for Arroyo Verdugo Operational Improvements

Prepared by: Roberto Machuca, Deputy Executive Officer, Complete Streets and Highways, (213) 418-3467  
Michelle Smith, Executive Officer, Complete Streets and Highways, (213) 547-4368  
Avital Barnea, Senior Executive Officer, Multimodal Integrated Planning, (213) 547-4317

Reviewed by: Ray Sosa, Chief Planning Officer, (213) 547-4274



Stephanie N. Wiggins  
Chief Executive Officer



| Agency  | Project ID No. | PROJECT/LOCATION   | Funding Phases     | Note       | Prior Alloc | Alloc Change | Current Alloc | Prior Yr Program | FY2024-25 | FY2025-26 | FY2026-27 | FY2027-28 |
|---|----------------|--|--------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|   |                | <b>Total Measure R Programmed to Date</b>                            |                    |            | 1,781,492   | 36,704       | 1,820,181     | 1,685,846        | 102,609   | 25,395    | 2,922     | 3,365     |
|   |                | <b>Arroyo Verdugo Operational Improvements (Expenditure Line 31)</b> |                    |            | 127,196.2   | 5,000.0      | 134,181.2     | 103,069.1        | 15,558.4  | 15,028.7  | 525.0     | 0.0       |
| Glendale  | MR310.54       | Signal Mod on La Crescenta Ave and San Fernando Rd.                  | PS&E, Construction | <b>CHG</b> | 1,650.0     | 3,000.0      | 4,650.0       | 1,650.0          | 3,000.0   |           |           |           |
| Glendale  | MR310.62       | Downtown Glendale Signal Mobility Improvements Project               | PS&E, Construction | <b>CHG</b> | 6,626.7     | 2,000.0      | 8,626.7       | 6,626.7          | 2,000.0   |           |           |           |
|   |                | <b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING GLENDALE</b>                                    |                    |            | 77,113.7    | 5,000.0      | 82,113.7      | 69,097.3         | 6,416.4   | 6,600.0   | 0.0       | 0.0       |
|   |                | <b>TOTAL ARROYO VERDUGO PROGRAMMING</b>                              |                    |            | 127,196.2   | 5,000.0      | 134,181.2     | 103,069.1        | 15,558.4  | 15,028.7  | 525.0     | 0.0       |
|   |                | <b>Total Measure R Programmed to Date</b>                            |                    |            | 1,781,492   | 36,704       | 1,820,181     | 1,685,846        | 102,609   | 25,395    | 2,922     | 3,365     |
| <b>Definitions:</b>   |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Lead Agency is the primary project manager for the administration of scope and use of funds   |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Funding Agreement (FA): references the agreement number on file with Metro  |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Project Location: Describes the general scope and parameters of the project   |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Project Phase identifies which lifecycle phase the project is in at the time of reporting noted as follows:   |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| <i>PI - Project Initiation / PE - Preliminary Engineering / EA - Environmental Analysis / FD - Final Design / ROW - Right of Way Acq / CON - Construction</i>     |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Notes: Provide a quick reference to reported change for the period such as:   |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| <i>Add - Addition of a new project / REP - Reprogram of funds / CHG - Change in funding / SCAD - Scope Addition / BAD - Budget Adjustment / DEL - Deletion</i>    |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Prior Allocation identifies the reported project allocation reported in the previous report   |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Alloc Change denotes the amount of change occurring in the current reporting period.  |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |
| Current Allocation identifies the total current allocation planned for a project. This includes the prior year Programming and the sum of the future fiscal years |                |  |                    |            |             |              |               |                  |           |           |           |           |



# Program Funds for Arroyo Verdugo Operational Improvements



SEPTEMBER 2024

# Staff Recommendation

## CONSIDER:

- A. APPROVING \$5,000,000 in additional programming for two City of Glendale projects shown in Attachment A.
- B. AUTHORIZING the CEO or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements for Board-approved projects.



# Equity Focus Communities

This update includes additional funding for the Equity Focus Communities and Metro Equity Need Index (MENI) designations of Moderate and High Need in Glendale.





Board Report

File #: 2024-0510, File Type: Program

Agenda Number: 8.

PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE  
SEPTEMBER 18, 2024

**SUBJECT: COUNTYWIDE CALL FOR PROJECTS**

**ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATION**

**RECOMMENDATION**

CONSIDER:

- A. DEOBLIGATING \$5.94 million of previously approved Call for Projects (Call) funding, as shown in Attachment A, and hold in RESERVE;
- B. APPROVING changes to the scope of work for:
  - 1. City of Los Angeles - Last Mile Folding Bike Incentive Program (Call #F7707);
  - 2. City of Los Angeles - Building Connectivity with Bicycle Friendly Business District (Call #F9803);
- C. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements/or amendments for previously awarded projects; and
- D. RECEIVING AND FILING time extensions for 49 projects shown in Attachment B.

**ISSUE**

Each year the Board must recertify funding for Prior Call projects in order to release the funds to project sponsors. The Board must also approve the deobligation of lapsing project funds after providing project sponsors the opportunity to appeal staff’s preliminary deobligation recommendations to Metro’s Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). The Board must also approve changes to the project scope of work. Staff has evaluated the proposed changes and found that they are consistent with the intent of the original scope of work. The Board must also receive and file the time extensions granted through previously delegated Board authority. The background and discussion of each of these recommendations can be found in Attachment C.

**BACKGROUND**

The Call, an existing competitive grant program dating back to the early 1990s, programs transportation funds to local jurisdictions for regionally significant projects that are often beyond the financial capabilities of local sponsors. The last Call cycle, including all funding commitments and project scopes of work, was approved by the Metro Board in September 2015.

The Call process implements Metro's multi-modal programming priorities and the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). Since the adoption of the Call funding commitments, the Metro Board has reinforced annual authorization and timely use of funds policies. Specifically, Board policy calls for the consideration of the deobligation of funding from project sponsors who have not met lapsing deadlines or have formally notified Metro that they no longer wish to proceed with the project (cancellation). All projects are subject to a close-out audit after completion. This report summarizes the 2024 annual review and recommendations.

## **DISCUSSION**

### Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Appeals

Projects with significant delays are then notified of the potential deobligation and the project sponsor's right to appeal at the Metro TAC. In May 2024, project sponsors who were required to appear at the Metro Call for Projects TAC appeals were notified. On June 5, 2024, TAC heard sponsor appeals on the proposed deobligation of funding from eight projects (Attachment D). TAC recommended a one-year extension for all eight projects and requested one LA County project return to TAC in six months for a status update. Staff concurs with these recommendations.

Close-out audits are performed once a project is complete. Once the project sponsor concurs with the audit findings, remaining funding, if any, is proposed for deobligation. All proposed deobligated funds included in Attachment A are due to project savings or cancellation requested by the project sponsors and would not be involuntarily deobligated by this proposed Board action, as further described in the attachment.

### Project Scope of Work Changes

1. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation - Last Mile Folding Bike Incentive Program (Call #F7707) was programmed through the 2013 Call. As approved, this project provides financial incentives to transit riders towards the purchase of 1,800 collapsible or electric bikes to use in conjunction with bus and rail systems.
2. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation - Building Connectivity with Bicycle Friendly Business Districts (Call #F9803) was programmed through the 2015 Call. As approved, this project creates Bicycle Friendly Business Districts that coordinate with business districts to offer Transportation Demand Management (TDM) incentives and provide applications and amenities that encourage short trips by bicycle.

The cost of an e-bike has risen significantly since the awards of the Call grants. The City has found that to benefit low-income residents, the subsidy amount must be approximately equal to the cost of

a good quality e-bike. The City is requesting to revise the scope of work by combining the two above projects with a single e-bike voucher program that will provide approximately 900 income-qualifying participants with at least \$1,500 toward the purchase of a pedal-assisted e-bike. The project area will either be South Los Angeles where the city is currently implementing the Universal Basic Mobility (UBM) pilot program or a UBM Priority Area as determined by the City’s Mobility Action Plan. Metro staff has evaluated the proposed change in scope and found that it is consistent with the intent of the original scope of work for both projects. Metro will maintain its funding commitment using the combined total of the original two projects of \$1,518,124 (\$694,570 + \$823,554), and the city will maintain its local match commitment of the two combined projects of \$398,267 (\$192,378 + \$205,889). In addition, the City is committed to covering any future project cost overruns, if they should occur.

Active Call for Projects as of June 30, 2024

Staff have been actively working with the local jurisdictions to prioritize and expedite project implementations, especially the earlier cycles of the Call, to meet the Call funding commitments. Annually since August 2020, Metro staff reported the completed assessments of the past and current recipient performance in project delivery (2007 to 2015 Call cycles). We updated the table as of June 30, 2024 (see below), which shows 123 active Call projects totaling \$294.3 million are yet to be fully implemented. Since July 2023, project sponsors have completed 26 projects which include bottleneck intersection, signal, bikeway, and pedestrian improvement projects, with total expenditures of \$52.4 million. Remaining projects across numerous jurisdictions throughout Los Angeles County focus on multimodal improvements and support for the region’s mobility needs and support of safe, sustainable, environmentally friendly improvements. The majority of the remaining projects are near the construction phase, and approximately 30 projects are near completion. Staff will continue working with the project sponsors to expedite those projects' delivery.

| Cycle     | # of Awarded Projects | Original Programming Years | Total Prog Amount (\$000') | # of Active Projects (June 2023) | # of Active Projects (June 2024) | Remaining Balance (\$000') |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2007 Call | 169                   | FY08 - FY13                | \$ 454,520                 | 22                               | 19                               | \$ 41,826                  |
| 2009 Call | 133                   | FY12 - FY15                | 337,551                    | 24                               | 19                               | 59,317                     |
| 2011 Call | 72                    | FY15 - FY17                | 123,516                    | 14                               | 13                               | 17,585                     |
| 2013 Call | 96                    | FY15 - FY19                | 199,390                    | 35                               | 28                               | 84,925                     |
| 2015 Call | 88                    | FY17 - FY21                | 201,923                    | 54                               | 44                               | 90,647                     |
|           | 558                   |                            | \$1,316,900                | 149                              | 123                              | \$294,300                  |

STBG/CMAQ Corrective Action Update

A portion of the funding for the Call is from the federal Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement Program funds. Federal CMAQ and Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) funds were previously programmed by Metro for the benefit of the Call recipients but in April 2021, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Association (FTA) issued a corrective action to Caltrans on the

administration of the STBG and CMAQ Improvement Programs. The findings require Caltrans to ensure that sub-recipients of STBG and CMAQ funds throughout the state are administering these programs in compliance with federal program guidance and regulations. Subsequently, in August 2022, FHWA and FTA jointly issued a corrective action to SCAG, requiring a review of Caltrans' CMAQ and STBG administrative policies and the development of a process that ensures compliance with federal program guidelines and regulations for the administration of the STBG and CMAQ programs. The program guidelines adopted by SCAG to comply with the federal Corrective Action require that any new project or new project phase funded with CMAQ and/or STBG funds are subject to a competitive project selection process administered by SCAG.

The Corrective Action took effect in the 2023 Federal Transportation Improvement Plan (FTIP) on July 1, 2023. Under this new federal action, any STBG/CMAQ funds that were programmed in the 2023 FTIP between FFY23 and FFY26 before July 1, 2023, are considered 'grandfathered.' In the upcoming 2025 FTIP, grandfathered funds are only permitted to be programmed in FFY25 and FFY26 and agencies must obligate the funds by September 30, 2026. Failure to obligate by the deadline will result in the permanent loss of funds.

Should agencies not be able to obligate by September 30, 2026, agencies are encouraged to apply in the next SCAG Nomination process as the STBG/CMAQ funds programmed from the Call will be lost permanently.

### **DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT**

The 2024 Call Recertification and Deobligation will not have any adverse safety impacts on Metro's employees or patrons.

### **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The amount of \$40.2 million is included in the FY 2024-25 Adopted Budget in Cost Centers 0441 (Subsidies to Others) and 0442 (Highway Subsidies) for the Countywide Call. Since these are multi-year projects, the cost center managers and Chief Planning Officer will be responsible for budgeting in future years.

#### **Impact to Budget**

The sources of funds for these activities are Proposition C 25%, State Repayment of Capital Project Loan Funds, CMAQ and RSTP. Proposition C 25% funds are not eligible for Metro bus and rail operations expenses.

CMAQ funds can be used for both transit operations and capital. Los Angeles County must strive to fully obligate its share of CMAQ funding by May 1 of each year, otherwise, it risks its redirection to other California Regional Transportation Planning Agencies by Caltrans. Staff recommends the use of long lead-time CMAQ funds as planned to ensure the utilization of Metro's federal funds.

RSTP funds in this action could be used for Metro's transit capital needs. Also, while these funds cannot be used directly for Metro's bus or rail operating needs, these funds could free up other such



eligible funds by exchanging the funds used for Metro's paratransit provider, Access Services Incorporated. Since these RSTP funds originate in the Highway portion (Title 23) of MAP-21, they are among the most flexible funds available to Metro and are very useful in meeting Call projects' requirements.

### **EQUITY PLATFORM**

Metro's Call program was a competitive process that distributed discretionary capital transportation funds to regionally significant projects that improve traffic flow, reduce congestions, provide access and mobility, connect bikeway networks, and promote walking, etc. The projects (and scopes) included in this action predate the Equity Platform (adopted in 2018). As such, Equity Platform criteria were not included in the evaluation of these projects. However, the third pillar of the Equity Platform, "Focus and Deliver" applies to these community-driven projects. Given that no equity analysis occurred during the initial grant process, staff are now working to evaluate the equity impacts from the existing grants. The Equity Focus Communities ("EFCs", adopted as part of the 2020 Long Range Transportation Plan, updated in 2022) are being applied to all current Call grants to support the first pillar of the Equity Platform "Define and Measure." Specifically, the EFCs are a mapping tool that has been added to the Call administration database since July 2021. The analysis of the EFC layer to the Call grants (within a 1-mile radius) provides information about the makeup of the communities being served by these projects. See Attachment E for a map of the remaining 123 projects and EFCs.

### **IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS**

The recommendation supports the following goals of the Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan:

Goal 1: Provide high-quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling by alleviating the current operational deficiencies and improving mobility along the projects.

Goal 4: Transform LA County through regional collaboration with the subregions and local jurisdictions in the implementation of the projects.

### **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

The Board could cancel all or some of the FY 2024-25 funding commitments rather than authorize their continued expenditures. This would be a change to the previous Board-approved Countywide Calls programming commitments and would disrupt ongoing projects, right-of-way acquisition particularly, that received multi-year funding.

With respect to deobligation, the Board could choose to deobligate funds from one or more project sponsors whose projects are beyond the lapse dates and are not moving forward consistent with the adopted Revised Lapsing Policy rather than extending the deadlines. A much stricter interpretation of the Revised Lapsing Policy might encourage project sponsors in general to deliver them in a timelier fashion. However, this would be disruptive to the process of delivering the specific projects currently underway, approximately 30 projects, are now very close to being delivered. On balance, the appeals process between the project sponsors and the Metro TAC is a significant reminder to project

---

sponsors that these funded projects should not be further delayed thus ensuring policy objectives are achieved in expending the funds as intended by the Call program.

### **NEXT STEPS**


With Board approval of the 2024 Countywide Call Deobligation and Extension process, project sponsors will be notified. Amendments to existing Funding Agreements will be completed for those sponsors receiving time extensions. Metro staff will identify recommended uses for the reserve, including countywide needs and to address subregional cost increases, which are consistent with the prior recommended uses of the Call reserve. Project sponsors whose funds are being deobligated and those receiving date-certain time extension deadlines for executing their agreements will be formally notified of the Board's action.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment A - FY 2023-24 Countywide Call Deobligation
- Attachment B - FY 2023-24 Countywide Call Extensions
- Attachment C - Background/Discussion of Each Recommendation
- Attachment D - Result of TAC Appeals Process
- Attachment E - Call and Equity-Focused Communities Map

Prepared by: Fanny Pan, Executive Officer, Countywide Planning & Programming, (213) 418-3433  
Laurie Lombardi, Senior Executive Officer, Countywide Planning & Programming, (213)  
418-3251

Reviewed by: Ray Sosa, Chief Planning Officer, (213) 547-4274



Stephanie N. Wiggins  
Chief Executive Officer



LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
 FY 2023-24 CALL FOR PROJECTS DEOBLIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS  
 (\$000')

| PROJ # | AGENCY | PROJECT TITLE | FUNDING SOURCE                                      | MODE | DOLLARS PROGRAMMED AND FISCAL YEARS |       |       |       |       | \$ EXPD/ OBLG | TOTAL DEOB | REASON |               |
|--------|--------|---------------|---|------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------|
|        |        |               |   |      | Prior                               | FY 19 | FY 20 | FY 21 | FY 22 |               |            |        |               |
| 1      | F1166  | CULVER CITY   | SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD WIDENING PROJECT                | PC25 | RSTI                                | 3,982 |       |       |       |               | 3,725      | 257    | AUDIT SAVINGS |
| 2      | F7300  | DIAMOND BAR   | DIAMOND BAR ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM PROJECT | PC25 | SS                                  | 1,407 |       |       |       |               | 1,132      | 275    | AUDIT SAVINGS |
| 3      | F7118  | DOWNEY        | FLORENCE AVE. BRIDGE OVER SAN GABRIEL RIVER         | CMAQ | RSTI                                | 1,917 |       |       |       |               | -          | 1,917  | CANCELLED     |
| 4      | F1308  | LA CITY       | ATCS - WEST ADAMS                                   | PC25 | SIG                                 | 496   |       |       |       |               | 438        | 58     | AUDIT SAVINGS |
| 5      | F5412  | LA COUNTY     | ARROW HIGHWAY BUS STOP IMPROVEMENT PLAN             | LTF  | TC                                  | 302   |       |       |       |               | 111        | 191    | AUDIT SAVINGS |
| 6      | F3518  | LONG BEACH    | DAISY CORRIDOR AND 6TH STREET BIKE BOULEVARD        | LTF  | BIKE                                | 1,115 |       |       |       |               | 974        | 141    | AUDIT SAVINGS |
| 7      | F9502  | MONTEREY PARK | MONTEREY PASS ROAD COMPLETE STREETS BIKE PROJECT    | PC25 | BIKE                                | 132   | 1,395 | 467   |       |               | -          | 1,994  | CANCELLED     |
| 8      | F3849  | NORWALK       | PIONEER ARTERIAL TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENTS        | LTF  | TEA                                 | 806   |       |       |       |               | 765        | 41     | AUDIT SAVINGS |
| 9      | F9802  | PASADENA      | SHARED EV EMPLOYER DEMONSTRATION (SEED) PROGRAM     | LTF  | TDM                                 | 335   |       |       |       |               | 313        | 22     | AUDIT SAVINGS |



LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
 FY 2023-24 CALL FOR PROJECTS DEOBLIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS  
 (\$000')

| PROJ # | AGENCY | PROJECT TITLE | FUNDING SOURCE   | MODE | DOLLARS PROGRAMMED AND FISCAL YEARS |                  |                 |               |               | \$ EXPD/ OBLG | TOTAL DEOB       | REASON          |               |
|--------|--------|---------------|--|------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|
|        |        |               |  |      | Prior                               | FY 19            | FY 20           | FY 21         | FY 22         |               |                  |                 |               |
| 10     | F3300  | SANTA CLARITA | ITS PHASE IV INTERCONNECT GAP CLOSURE AND SIGNAL SYNCH | PC25 | SS                                  | \$ 3,032         |                 |               |               |               | \$ 2,903         | \$ 129          | AUDIT SAVINGS |
| 11     | F9533  | SANTA MONICA  | BEACH BIKE PATH RAMP CONNECTION TO SANTA MONICA PIER   | CMAQ | BIKE                                | 138              |                 |               | 912           |               | 138              | 912             | CANCELLED     |
|        |        |               |  |      | <b>TOTAL</b>                        | <b>\$ 13,662</b> | <b>\$ 1,395</b> | <b>\$ 467</b> | <b>\$ 912</b> | <b>\$ -</b>   | <b>\$ 10,499</b> | <b>\$ 5,937</b> |               |

| TOTAL DEOBLIGATION RECOMMENDATION BY MODE           |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS (RSTI) | \$ 2,174        |
| TRANSIT CAPITAL (TC)                                | 191             |
| TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES (TEA)         | 41              |
| SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION (SS)                         | 462             |
| BICYCLE IMPROVEMENTS (BIKE)                         | 3,047           |
| TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT                    | 22              |
| <b>TOTAL</b>  | <b>\$ 5,937</b> |

**Reason for Extensions:**  
 1. Project delay due to an unforeseen and extraordinary circumstance beyond the control of the project sponsor (federal or state delay, legal challenge, Act of God, etc.);  
 2. Project delay due to Metro action that results in a change in project scope, schedule, or sponsorship that is mutually agreed; and  
 3. Project is contractually obligated, however, a time extension is needed to complete construction that is already underway (capital projects only).



**LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
 2023-24 CALL FOR PROJECTS EXTENSION LIST  
 AS OF JUNE 30, 2024  
 (\$000')**

| PROJ #   | AGENCY       | PROJECT TITLE   | FUNDING SOURCE | LAPSING FUND YR(S) | TOTAL PROG \$ | TOTAL EXP/OBLIG \$ | AMT SUBJECT TO LAPSE | RECOM EXT MONTHS | REASON FOR EXT 1, 2 OR 3 | REVISED LAPSING DATE |
|----------|--------------|---|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 F9600  | AVALON       | CITY OF AVALON FIVE-CORNER COMPREHENSIVE PEDESTRIAN PROJECT                   | LTF            | 2019<br>2020       | 1,736         | 390                | 1,346                | 12               | 3                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 2 F7120  | BELL GARDENS | EASTERN AVENUE AND FLORENCE AVENUE RSTI PROJECT (SEE MR306.30 FOR FUND MATCH) | PC25           | 2017<br>2018       | \$2,200       | \$591              | 1,609                | 12               | 1                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 3 F1502  | BURBANK      | SAN FERNANDO BIKEWAY  | CMAQ           | 2019               | \$6,173       | \$532              | 5,641                | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 4 F7506  | BURBANK      | CHANDLER BIKEWAY EXTENSION  | CMAQ           | 2017<br>2018       | \$2,639       | \$456              | 2,183                | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 5 F9530  | COMPTON      | CENTRAL AVENUE REGIONAL COMMUTER BIKEWAY PROJECT                              | LTF<br>PC25    | 2018<br>2019       | 1,438         | -                  | 1,438                | 12               | 3                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 6 F9605  | CUDAHY       | CUDAHY CITY WIDE COMPLETE STREETS IMPROVEMENT PROJECT                         | PC25           | 2017<br>2020       | 2,135         | 73                 | 2,062                | 12               | 3                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 7 F3317  | CULVER CITY  | BUS SIGNAL PRIORITY IN CULVER CITY  | PC25           | 2018               | 2,200         | 1,929              | 271                  | 12               | 3                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 8 F7311  | DOWNEY       | DOWNEY CITYWIDE TRANSIT PRIORITY SYSTEM PROGRAM                               | PC25           | 2018<br>2019       | 1,292         | 223                | 1,069                | 12               | 3                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 9 F7709  | GLENDALE     | REGIONAL BIKE STATIONS (MATCH: MR310.34)                                      | LTF            | 2018               | 747           | -                  | 747                  | 12               | 2                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 10 F5100 | INDUSTRY     | SR57/60 CONFLUENCE, GRAND AVENUE AT GOLDEN SPRINGS DRIVE                      | PC25           | 2017               | 6,728         | 6,164              | 564                  | 12               | 3                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 11 F3514 | LA CITY      | EXPOSITION-WEST BIKEWAY-NORTHVALE PROJECT (LRTP PROGRAM)                      | CMAQ           | 2014<br>2015       | \$4,416       | \$1,732            | 2,684                | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 12 F3516 | LA CITY      | LOS ANGELES RIVER BIKE PATH PHASE IV - CONSTRUCTION                           | CMAQ           | 2019               | \$1,827       | \$0                | 1,827                | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 13 F3646 | LA CITY      | ARTS DISTRICT/LITTLE TOKYO GOLD LINE STATION LINKAGES                         | MR             | 2016               | 869           | 734                | 135                  | 12               | 3                        | 2/28/2025            |
| 14 F3647 | LA CITY      | MENLO AVE/MLK VERMONT EXPO STATION PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS                    | CMAQ           | 2021               | \$1,687       | \$337              | 1,350                | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 15 F3656 | LA CITY      | CENTRAL AVENUE HISTORIC CORRIDOR STREETScape                                  | CMAQ           | 2021               | \$1,697       | \$424              | 1,273                | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 16 F3726 | LA CITY      | FIRST AND LAST MILE TRANSIT CONNECTIVITY OPTIONS                              | CMAQ           | 2013<br>2014       | \$1,313       | \$105              | 1,208                | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 17 F5519 | LA CITY      | BICYCLE FRIENDLY STREETS (BFS)  | CMAQ           | 2015<br>2016       | \$586         | \$110              | 476                  | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |
| 18 F5525 | LA CITY      | BICYCLE CORRAL PROGRAM LAUNCH (PLUS F5709 TDM)                                | CMAQ           | 2016<br>2017       | \$972         | \$0                | 972                  | 12               | 1                        | 6/30/2025            |

**Reason for Extensions:**  
 1. Project delay due to an unforeseen and extraordinary circumstance beyond the control of the project sponsor (federal or state delay, legal challenge, Act of God, etc.);  
 2. Project delay due to Metro action that results in a change in project scope, schedule, or sponsorship that is mutually agreed; and  
 3. Project is contractually obligated, however, a time extension is needed to complete construction that is already underway (capital projects only).



**LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
 2023-24 CALL FOR PROJECTS EXTENSION LIST  
 AS OF JUNE 30, 2024  
 (\$000')**

| PROJ # | AGENCY | PROJECT TITLE | FUNDING SOURCE  | LAPSING FUND YR(S) | TOTAL PROG \$                | TOTAL EXP/OBLIG \$ | AMT SUBJECT TO LAPSE | RECOM EXT MONTHS | REASON FOR EXT 1, 2 OR 3 | REVISED LAPSING DATE |           |
|--------|--------|---------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| 19     | F5821  | LA CITY       | VALENCIA TRIANGLE LANDSCAPE BEAUTIFICATION PLAZA                                    | RSTP               | 2020                         | \$553              | \$111                | 442              | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 20     | F7123  | LA CITY       | MAGNOLIA BL WIDENING (NORTH SIDE) -CAHUENGA BL TO VINELAND                          | RSTP               | 2017<br>2018                 | \$5,461            | \$975                | 4,486            | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 21     | F7205  | LA CITY       | ALAMEDA ST. WIDENING FROM ANAHEIM ST. TO 300 FT SOUTH OF PCH                        | RSTP               | 2017<br>2018                 | \$5,874            | \$1,014              | 4,860            | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 22     | F7207  | LA CITY       | IMPROVE ANAHEIM ST. FROM FARRAGUT AVE. TO DOMINGUEZ CHANNEL (SEE MR312.51 IS MATCH) | RSTP               | 2017<br>2018                 | \$3,565            | \$0                  | 3,565            | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 23     | F7622  | LA CITY       | LANI - WEST BOULEVARD COMMUNITY LINKAGES PROJECT                                    | CMAQ               | 2021                         | \$1,060            | \$212                | 848              | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 24     | F7636  | LA CITY       | BROADWAY STREETScape IMPLEMENTATION (8TH-9TH)                                       | CMAQ               | 2019                         | \$2,384            | \$426                | 1,958            | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 25     | F7707  | LA CITY       | LAST MILE FOLDING BIKE INCENTIVE PROGRAM  | LTF                | 2016<br>2017<br>2018<br>2019 | 695                | -                    | 695              | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 26     | F9123  | LA CITY       | COMPLETE STREETS PROJECT FOR COLORADO BLVD. IN EAGLE ROCK                           | PC25               | 2019                         | 1,754              | 1,114                | 640              | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 27     | F9308  | LA CITY       | ATSAC ATCS/TPS/LRT/HRI/CMS SYSTEM RELIABILITY AND EFF.                              | PC25               | 2020                         | 2,160              | 1,457                | 703              | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 28     | F9803  | LA CITY       | BUILDING CONNECTIVITY WITH BICYCLE FRIENDLY BUSINESS DISTRICTS                      | LTF                | 2017<br>2018<br>2019         | 823                | -                    | 823              | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 29     | F7412  | LA COUNTY     | LOS ANGELES COUNTY/USC MEDICAL CENTER TRANSIT VEHICLE                               | CMAQ               | 2016                         | \$282              | \$0                  | 282              | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 30     | F7806  | LA COUNTY     | VERMONT AVENUE STREETScape IMPROVEMENT PROJECT                                      | LTF                | 2017<br>2018<br>2019         | 765                | -                    | 765              | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 31     | F9412  | LA COUNTY     | ATHENS SHUTTLE AND LENNOX SHUTTLE TRANSIT VEHICLES                                  | CMAQ               | 2019                         | 750                | -                    | 750              | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 32     | F9504  | LA COUNTY     | E. PASADENA & E. SAN GABRIEL VALLEY BIKEWAY ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS                     | CMAQ               | 2018                         | 1,802              | 408                  | 1,394            | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 33     | F9511  | LA COUNTY     | SOUTH WHITTIER COMMUNITY BIKEWAY ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS (MR315.64 MATCH)               | CMAQ               | 2020                         | 3,191              | 617                  | 2,574            | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 34     | F9101  | LAWNDALE      | REDONDO BEACH BOULEVARD IMPROVEMENTS  | PC25               | 2022                         | \$3,363            | \$1,508              | 1,855            | 20                       | 3                    | 2/28/2026 |
| 35     | F9314  | LONG BEACH    | MID-CITY SIGNAL COORDINATION IN LONG BEACH  | PC25               | 2019<br>2020                 | 2,606              | 58                   | 2,548            | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |

**Reason for Extensions:**  
 1. Project delay due to an unforeseen and extraordinary circumstance beyond the control of the project sponsor (federal or state delay, legal challenge, Act of God, etc.);  
 2. Project delay due to Metro action that results in a change in project scope, schedule, or sponsorship that is mutually agreed; and  
 3. Project is contractually obligated, however, a time extension is needed to complete construction that is already underway (capital projects only).



**LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
 2023-24 CALL FOR PROJECTS EXTENSION LIST  
 AS OF JUNE 30, 2024  
 (\$000')**

| PROJ # | AGENCY          | PROJECT TITLE      | FUNDING SOURCE   | LAPSING FUND YR(S) | TOTAL PROG \$                | TOTAL EXP/OBLIG \$ | AMT SUBJECT TO LAPSE | RECOM EXT MONTHS | REASON FOR EXT 1, 2 OR 3 | REVISED LAPSING DATE |           |
|--------|-----------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| 36     | F9628           | LONG BEACH         | 1ST STREET PEDESTRIAN GALLERY  | PC25               | 2019<br>2020                 | 2,717              | -                    | 2,717            | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 37     | F9402           | LONG BEACH TRANSIT | LBT PURCHASE OF ZERO EMISSION BUSES                                  | CMAQ               | 2020                         | 2,111              | -                    | 2,111            | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 38     | 8211            | MONROVIA           | HUNTINGTON DRIVE PHASE II PROJECT (OLD TOWN PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS) | RSTP               | 2017                         | \$1,242            | \$0                  | 1,242            | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 39     | F1300           | PALMDALE           | NORTH COUNTY TRAFFIC FORUM ITS EXPANSION                             | PC25               | 2016<br>2018<br>2019<br>2020 | 12,424             | 8,613                | 3,811            | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 40     | F7304           | PALMDALE           | NORTH COUNTY ITS - PALMDALE EXTENSION                                | CMAQ               | 2017<br>2018<br>2019         | \$3,000            | \$0                  | 3,000            | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 41     | F3302           | PASADENA           | INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (ITS) PHASE III                    | PC25               | 2015                         | 4,235              | 4,151                | 84               | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 42     | F7317           | PASADENA           | PASADENA AREA RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM - TRANSIT SIGNAL PRIORITY         | PC25               | 2018<br>2019                 | 1,158              | 265                  | 893              | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 43     | F7318           | PASADENA           | ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL NETWORK - PHASE II                          | PC25               | 2019                         | 1,658              | 1,476                | 182              | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 44     | F7204/<br>F9203 | PORT OF LONG BEACH | PIER B STREET FREIGHT CORRIDOR RECONSTRUCTION                        | RSTP<br>CMAQ       | 2018<br>2019<br>2020         | \$16,309           | \$0                  | 16,309           | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 45     | F5301           | REDONDO BEACH      | GRANT AVENUE SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS                                     | PC25               | 2017                         | 1,222              | 1,194                | 28               | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 46     | F9313           | SAN FERNANDO       | SAN FERNANDO CITYWIDE SIGNAL SYNCH AND BUS SPEED IMPRV.              | PC25               | 2018<br>2019<br>2020         | 775                | -                    | 775              | 12                       | 1                    | 2/28/2025 |
| 47     | F1804           | SAN GABRIEL        | LAS TUNAS DRIVE STREETScape ENHANCEMENT PROJECT                      | CMAQ               | 2019                         | \$641              | \$0                  | 641              | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 48     | F5516           | SOUTH EL MONTE     | CIVIC CENTER AND INTERJURISDICTIONAL BICYCLE LANES (+ MM4703.09)     | CMAQ               | 2016                         | \$485              | \$0                  | 485              | 12                       | 1                    | 6/30/2025 |
| 49     | F7309           | SOUTH GATE         | TWEEDY BOULEVARD AND SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION PROJECT                  | PC25               | 2018<br>2019                 | 1,317              | 366                  | 951              | 12                       | 3                    | 2/28/2025 |

\* All projects funded by CMAQ and STBG (RSTP) will be subject to SCAG's STBG/CMAQ Corrective Action.

## Background/Discussion of Each Recommendation

### A. Deobligate

Attachment A shows the \$5.94 million of previously approved Countywide Calls funding that is being recommended for deobligation. This represents canceled projects requested by the project sponsors, as well as project savings.

### B. Approve Project Scope Changes

1. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation - Last Mile Folding Bike Incentive Program (Call #F7707), was programmed through the 2013 Call. As approved, this project provides financial incentives to transit riders towards the purchase of 1,800 collapsible or electric bikes to use in conjunction with bus and rail systems.
2. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation - Building Connectivity with Bicycle Friendly Business Districts (Call #F9803), was programmed through the 2015 Call. As approved, this project creates Bicycle Friendly Business Districts that coordinate with business districts to offer TDM incentives and provide applications and amenities that encourage short trips by bicycle.

The cost of an e-bike has risen significantly since the awards of the Call grants. The city has found that to benefit low-income residents, the subsidy amount must be approximately equal to the cost of a good quality e-bike. The city is requesting to revise the scope of work by combining the two above projects with a single e-bike voucher program that will provide approximately 900 income-qualifying participants with at least \$1,500 toward the purchase of a pedal-assisted e-bike. The project area will either be South Los Angeles where the city is currently implementing the Universal Basic Mobility (UBM) pilot program or a UBM Priority Area as determined by the City's Mobility Action Plan. Metro staff has evaluated the proposed change in scope and found that it is consistent with the intent of the original scope of work for both projects. Metro will maintain its funding commitment using the combined total of the original two projects of \$1,518,124 (\$694,570 + \$823,554), and the city will maintain its local match commitment of the two combined projects of \$398,267 (\$192,378 + \$205,889). In addition, the city is committed to covering any future project cost overruns, if occur.

### C. Authorize

Projects receiving time extensions are required to execute Amendments with Metro. This recommendation will authorize the CEO or their designee to negotiate and execute any agreements and/or amendments with the project sponsors, based on the project sponsors showing that the projects have met the Project Readiness Criteria and timely use of funds policies.

### D. Receive and File

1. During the 2001 Countywide Call Recertification, Deobligation, and Extension, the Board authorized the administrative extension of projects based on the following reasons:



## ATTACHMENT C

- 1) Project delay due to an unforeseen and extraordinary circumstance beyond the control of the project sponsor (federal or state delay, legal challenge, Act of God);
- 2) Project delay due to Metro action that results in a change in project scope, schedule, or sponsorship that is mutually agreed upon; and
- 3) The project is contractually obligated, however, a time extension is needed to complete construction that is already underway (capital projects only).

Based on the above criteria, extensions for the 49 projects shown in Attachment B are being granted.




June 2024 Metro Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Appeals  
 Sorted by Agency  
 (\$000')

| PROJ # | AGENCY | PROJECT TITLE | FUND SOURCE  | PROG YR(S) | TOTAL METRO PROG \$          | LAPSING FUND YR(S) | TOTAL FUNDING        | PROG \$ SUBJECT TO LAPSE ('000') | TOTAL YRS EXT | REASON FOR APPEAL | TAC RECOMMENDATIONS         | METRO RESPONSE  |                                 |
|--------|--------|---------------|--|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| 1      | F7120  | BELL GARDEN   | EASTERN AVENUE AND FLORENCE AVENUE RSTI PROJECT (MR306.30 - MATCH)             | PC25       | 2017<br>2018                 | 2,200              | 2017<br>2018         | \$ 2,200                         | 1,609         | 3                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to February 28, 2025.  | Concur with TAC recommendation. |
| 2      | F7123  | LA CITY       | MAGNOLIA BL WIDENING (NORTH SIDE) - CAHUENGA BLVD. TO VINELAND                 | RSTP       | 2015<br>2016<br>2017<br>2018 | 5,461              | 2017<br>2018         | 5,461                            | 4,486         | 5                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to June 30, 2025.  | Concur with TAC recommendation. |
| 3      | F7205  | LA CITY       | ALAMEDA ST. WIDENING FROM ANAHEIM ST. TO 300 FT SOUTH OF PCH                   | RSTP       | 2017<br>2018                 | 5,874              | 2017<br>2018         | 5,874                            | 4,860         | 5                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to June 30, 2025.  | Concur with TAC recommendation. |
| 4      | F7207  | LA CITY       | IMPROVE ANAHEIM ST. FROM FARRAGUT AVE. TO DOMINGUEZ CHANNEL (MR312.51 - MATCH) | RSTP       | 2017<br>2018                 | \$ 3,141           | 2017<br>2018         | \$ 3,141                         | \$ 3,141      | 5                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to June 30, 2025.  | Concur with TAC recommendation. |
| 5      | F9504  | LA COUNTY     | E. PASADENA & E. SAN GABRIEL VALLEY BIKEWAY ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS                | CMAQ       | 2017<br>2020                 | 1,802              | 2020                 | 1,802                            | 1,394         | 2                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to February 28, 2025. Project Sponsor must provide a project status update at the December 2024 TAC meeting. | Concur with TAC recommendation. |
| 6      | F9314  | LONG BEACH    | MID-CITY SIGNAL COORDINATION IN LONG BEACH                                     | PC25       | 2018<br>2019<br>2020         | 2,606              | 2019<br>2020         | 2,606                            | 2,530         | 2                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to February 28, 2025.  | Concur with TAC recommendation. |
| 7      | F9628  | LONG BEACH    | 1ST STREET PEDESTRIAN GALLERY  | PC25       | 2019<br>2020                 | 2,717              | 2019<br>2020         | 2,717                            | 2,717         | 2                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to February 28, 2025.  | Concur with TAC recommendation. |
| 8      | F9313  | SAN FERNANDO  | SAN FERNANDO CITYWIDE SIGNAL SYNCH AND BUS SPEED IMPRV.                        | PC25       | 2018<br>2019<br>2020         | 775                | 2018<br>2019<br>2020 | 775                              | 775           | 2                 | Did not meet Lapsing Policy | One-year extension to February 28, 2025.  | Concur with TAC recommendation. |



# CALL FOR PROJECTS AND EQUITY FOCUS COMMUNITIES





# Countywide Call for Projects

Planning and Programming Committee  
September 18, 2024



**Metro**

File# 2024-0510

# September 2024 Recommendation

## CONSIDER:

- A. DEOBLIGATING \$5.94 million of previously approved Call funding, as shown in Attachment A, and hold in RESERVE;
- B. APPROVING changes to the scope of work for:
  - 1. City of Los Angeles - Last Mile Folding Bike Incentive Program (Call #F7707); and
  - 2. City of Los Angeles - Building Connectivity with Bicycle Friendly Business (Call #F9803); and
- C. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or their designee to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements/or amendments for previously awarded projects; and
- D. RECEIVING AND FILING time extensions for 49 projects shown in Attachment B.

# Next Steps

- Execute Funding Amendments with the project sponsors receiving time extensions.
- Continue working with the project sponsors to expedite project deliveries.
- Return to the Board annually for updates.



Board Report

File #: 2024-0468, File Type: Project

Agenda Number: 9.

PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE  
SEPTEMBER 18, 2024

SUBJECT: NORTH HOLLYWOOD JOINT DEVELOPMENT

ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION

CONSIDER:

- A. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or designee to execute and enter into a Joint Development Agreement (JDA) with NOHO Development Associates, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Developer), an affiliate of Trammell Crow Company, and associated Ground Leases (Ground Leases) and other related documents with Developer or its affiliates or qualified transferees, for the construction and operation of a mixed-use project on up to 11.8 acres of Metro-owned property located at the North Hollywood Metro Station (District NoHo or Project) in accordance with the Joint Development Summary of Key Terms and Conditions (Attachment A) upon receipt of concurrence by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the California Transportation Commission (CTC);
- B. DETERMINING that the Board, acting as the governing body of the responsible agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), after consideration of the whole of the administrative record, adopts the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations setting forth the reasons and benefits with full knowledge that significant impacts may remain (Attachment B), and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment C) of the City of Los Angeles Environmental Impact Report No. ENV-2019-7241-EIR which was certified on August 22, 2023; and
- C. AUTHORIZING the CEO or designee to file a Notice of Determination (Attachment D) with the Los Angeles County Clerk and the State of California Clearinghouse.

ISSUE

Since 2016, staff and the Developer have collaborated under a Board-authorized Exclusive Negotiation Agreement and Planning Document (ENA) to conduct community outreach, refine the Project design, negotiate key terms and conditions for a JDA and form of Ground Lease, and review CEQA studies associated with the Project. Staff recommends that the Board authorize the execution of a JDA and subsequent Ground Leases according to the negotiated terms and conditions

presented herein; and adopt environmental findings consistent with CEQA.

## **BACKGROUND**

In 2015, Metro conducted an extensive community outreach process, which revealed the desire for intensified urban uses, community open space, and public art, all of which were summarized into Development Guidelines and adopted by the Board in December 2015. Those Development Guidelines were the basis of a competitive solicitation for the joint development of Metro-owned property at the terminus of the Red and Orange Lines (Site). Through that competitive solicitation, Trammell Crow Company and Greenland USA were together selected as the joint development partners for the Site and entered into a Short-Term ENA with Metro in 2016. As milestones and requirements of the Short-Term ENA were met, the Board authorized the execution of the full ENA in 2017 (as amended and extended in May 2019, December 2019, June 2021, and May 2024). At the time of the initial execution of the full ENA, Greenland USA exited the partnership, leaving Trammell Crow Company as the sole party in the development entity. Over the ENA period, the Developer and Metro have worked closely and diligently to advance the Project through scoping, design, entitlements, CEQA clearance, and financial and transaction negotiations.

### **Community Outreach**

Throughout the ENA term, the Developer has led ongoing outreach with the community through public meetings at locations immediately proximate to the Site, one-on-one meetings with key stakeholders and business owners, and presentations for nearly 24 community organizations. In total, the team has presented the Project at nearly 100 meetings.

In the Spring of 2024, staff partnered with National CORE, the Developer's affordable housing partner, and Pacoima Beautiful, a local community-based organization, to conduct outreach around transit and active transportation improvements adjacent to the first affordable housing building. Community meetings held at Groundwork Coffee Co. and online surveys revealed preferences for additional seating, landscaping, and lighting, more real-time signage, and improved maintenance and security.

## **DISCUSSION**

The District NoHo Project would be the largest joint development in Metro's history, including more affordable homes than any other joint development, more total units than any other joint development, and would provide nearly 15% of the homes in Metro's 10,000 home commitment. While two other major joint development efforts were attempted on the Site in 2001 and 2007, the current Project, including both the initial and secondary areas, is the only effort to have been environmentally cleared, entitled, and to have been negotiated to the point of seeking Board approval. If approved, the Project would integrate housing, office, and retail with a multi-modal transit



hub to create a model transit-oriented community (TOC) for the Southern California region.

The Metro Joint Development Policy, adopted in 2021, is aimed at building as much housing as possible, as quickly as possible, for those who need it most. Planning for the District NoHo Project predates the current Policy, but nevertheless is supportive of the spirit of the Joint Development Goals as the Project stands to house thousands of Angelenos, including hundreds of low-income individuals, when completed.

Staff, with the support of consultants, County Counsel, and outside counsel have negotiated several iterations of the proposed transaction to ensure alignment with the Vision 2028 Strategic Plan, the Equity Platform Framework, the TOC Policy, and the Joint Development Policy. Staff believe that the deal terms would expeditiously deliver new housing to a range of income levels and provide additional public benefits while protecting and enhancing Metro's ability to serve its customers. Key terms of the JDA and the form of Ground Leases are summarized in Attachment A.

### Site

The proposed Project would occupy two distinct subareas of the Site. Blocks 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8 of the Project would be constructed on approximately 8.8 acres of vacant and underutilized Metro-owned property located north of active transit and park and ride facilities (the Primary Development Area, as shown in Attachment E - Site Plan and Rendering). The Secondary Development Area (shown in Attachment E as Blocks 4, 5 and 6) is currently occupied by bus boarding and layover facilities, park and ride uses, and the station's primary plaza and portal which would need to be relocated before proceeding.

### Phasing and Transit Center

Originally, it was envisioned that the new Transit Center would be delivered together with the private development. However, the COVID-19 pandemic, rising interest rates, high construction costs, and Measure ULA (see further discussion under Financial Consideration) resulted in less funding available for the Transit Center. The Project has been bifurcated to allow the majority of the housing to be constructed on vacant and underutilized land in the Primary Development Area that is available today. If Metro, in its sole and absolute discretion, decides to move forward with the replacement Transit Center, this would make the Secondary Development Area available for additional housing, retail, and office uses on Blocks 4, 5, and 6.

The Project's bifurcated structure allows for the accelerated delivery of at least 880 units of housing, while preserving Metro's options to fund and construct the new Transit Center later. To-date staff have secured approximately \$24 million in grant funds toward the estimated \$59.5 million cost of the Transit Center. Together with upfront ground rent payments from the Primary Development Area, a total of \$38.4 million in funding will have been secured for the Transit Center. Future ground rent is adequate to repay the remaining cost of the Transit Center over the 99-year terms of the ground leases, however it is not available to pay for the Transit Center upfront. Staff will continue to identify near-term solutions to close the funding gap including City funds, State grants, low-interest

---

infrastructure loans that could be repaid with revenue from the Project, and other value capture tools. Once sufficient funds are in place to construct the Transit Center, Metro would notify the Developer that they may proceed with development of Blocks 4, 5, and 6 in the Secondary Development Area.

### Development Description

The Project includes a mix of high-rise and low-rise buildings, retail and potential office space, and a multi-modal shared street connecting the new blocks to the B Line portal, which would be completed in phases as follows:

#### *Primary Development Area*

- Block 1 (approximately 420 mixed-income homes and 10,000 sq ft of retail) and Block 7 (approximately 150 homes affordable to residents earning less than 60% of the Area Median Income (AMI)) and would be completed first.
- Block 2 (approximately 150 mixed-income homes) and Block 3 (approximately 160 homes affordable to residents earning less than 60% AMI) would be completed next.
- Block 8 (approximately 400,000 sq ft of office and 18,000 sq ft of retail) may be completed provided that both affordable housing buildings are completed, or an anchor tenant has been secured.

The Developer intends to construct Block 8 as a commercial office building; however, development rights for Block 8 and Blocks 4, 5, and 6 may be exchanged. If Block 8 is developed as residential, the development plan for Blocks 4, 5, and 6 will be reduced by a corresponding number of transferred units from the original Block 8 plan. The Developer may also pursue amendments to the existing entitlements package with the City of Los Angeles to permit the construction of additional units on Blocks 4, 5, and 6 if Block 8 is developed as residential.

#### *Secondary Development Area*

Metro, in its sole and absolute discretion, may provide for the development of Blocks 4, 5, and 6 by removing existing local bus and parking facilities from the Secondary Development Area. It is envisioned that the bus facilities would be relocated to the new Transit Center, but these could be relocated to another site of Metro's choosing. Blocks 4, 5, and 6 would frame a large lawn and plaza connecting the B line portal and includes:

- Approximately 600 housing units, at least 30 of which would be affordable to residents earning 80%-120% AMI
- Approximately 20,000 sq ft of retail space
- Two (2) acres of publicly accessible open space

#### *Affordable Housing*

The Developer's original RFP response included only 750 total units-of which 262 units were income-

---

restricted-and fewer public benefits. Through early negotiations, staff pushed the Developer to deliver more housing and benefits in alignment with the underlying redevelopment plan for the area. In addition, the Developer plan is consistent with the community's priorities, identified in the 2015 Development Guidelines, which called for a vibrant, transit-oriented community with a public gathering space and an intensity of uses.

The Project now includes at least 311 housing units reserved for individuals and families earning less than 60% of the AMI for Los Angeles County to be delivered in the first two phases of the development (Blocks 3 and 7). In response to community concerns about the amount and integration of affordable housing, staff worked with the Developer to integrate 55 additional income-restricted units for individuals and families earning less than 120% of the AMI into the first market-rate buildings (Blocks 1 and 2), resulting in a total of 366 income-restricted units to be delivered in the initial phases of the project. In addition, at least 5% of residential units in Blocks 4, 5, and 6 would also be restricted to moderate-income households. Affordable housing buildings would be provided with shared access to amenities in mixed-income buildings. In total, the development of the Project would significantly increase the number of income-restricted units in Metro's portfolio and make substantial progress toward meeting the Board's established goal of delivering 10,000 units by 2031.

#### *Additional Public Benefits*

The Project provides a large package of public investments and benefits. All phases of the Project will be subject to Project Labor Agreements that mandate 100% union labor for construction as well as Metro's Construction Careers Policy. Local retailers and eateries will also be given the opportunity for "first look" leasing. Additional amenities in the Primary Development Area include a refurbished East Portal canopy (estimated \$1 million value) and a two-way Class IV bicycle facility. The Secondary Development Area will feature two (2) acres of maintained and programmed community open space.

#### JDA/Ground Lease Terms

After the execution of the JDA, the Developer must secure the necessary permits, financing, and contractors to begin construction. Following the Developer's satisfaction of the conditions required to move forward on each phase, as set forth in the JDA, the Developer (or a qualified transferee) would be required to execute the ground lease for that phase (there would be one ground lease per block), beginning with the first affordable block.

#### *Term*

The JDA terminates 15 years after the full execution of the JDA (the Effective Date). However, the JDA may be extended by up to seven years beyond the original JDA term, solely as a result of unavoidable delays. The Developer must cover Metro costs during the JDA term.

The ground lease term for each block would be 99 years. The income restrictions for all residential blocks would remain in place for the 99-year term.

*Schedule of Performance*

The Developer shall execute Ground Leases on at least one affordable housing phase (Blocks 3 or 7) and one mixed-residential or commercial phase (Blocks 1, 2, or 8) on the Primary Development Area within two (2) years after execution of the JDA. Execution of a Ground Lease will require evidence of payment and performance bonds equal to 100 percent of the cost to complete the construction project and a Schedule of Performance that includes outside dates for commencement and completion of the construction. Failure to meet these deadlines will result in default. This initial Ground Lease deadline may be extended by up to four years, after which the Developer would be in default, and Metro would be able to offer the property to another developer.

Further, the Developer must execute Ground Leases for at least one affordable housing phase that was not part of the initial Ground Leases (Blocks 3 or 7) and at least one market residential or commercial phase that was also not part of the initial Ground Leases (Blocks 1, 2, 8 and, if the Secondary Development Area has become available for development, Blocks 1, 2, 8, 4, 5, or 6) within eight years after execution of the JDA. This subsequent Ground Lease deadline may be extended by up to four years, after which point Metro would be allowed to offer the Site to another developer.

Financial Consideration

Revenues generated would accrue to Metro in a combination of one-time payments and ongoing participation in project revenues. The total estimated present value of the revenue is approximately \$45.4 million using a 7.5% discount rate. Key components include:

| <b>Development Area</b>  | <b>Revenue Source</b>        | <b>Timing</b>                                      | <b>Estimated Annual Rent (at stabilization)</b> | <b>Estimated Present Value</b> |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Primary Development Area | Non-refundable deposit       | One-time   | N/A   | \$2,000,000                    |
|                          | Upfront capitalized payments | One-time on ground lease of each Blocks 1, 3 and 7 | N/A   | \$13,683,654                   |

|                                |                     |   |             |                     |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|---------------------|
|                                | Percentage Rent     | 1.15% average of ground lease revenue for years 1 through 65; 2.15% average for years 66 through 99 | \$1,082,939 | \$19,634,726        |
|                                | Signage Revenue     | 13% average of gross signage revenue for all years  | \$150,000   | \$2,497,918         |
| <b>Estimated Revenue</b>       |                     |   |             | <b>\$37,816,298</b> |
| Secondary Development Area     | Gross rent revenues | 0.75% of ground lease revenue for years 1 through 65; 1.75% for years 66 through 99                 | \$485,958   | \$6,937,725         |
|                                | Signage Revenue     | 15% of gross signage revenue for all years  | \$50,000    | \$634,523           |
| <b>Estimated Revenue</b>       |                     |   |             | <b>\$7,572,248</b>  |
| <b>Total Estimated Revenue</b> |                     |   |             | <b>\$45,388,546</b> |

*United to House LA Ballot Measure (Measure ULA)*

In November 2022, City of Los Angeles residents approved the United to House LA ballot measure (Measure ULA). Measure ULA created the ULA Tax, imposing a real property transfer tax of 4% on properties conveyed over \$5 million and 5.5% on properties conveyed over \$10 million. The ULA Tax is imposed on top of the City and County’s existing tax of 0.56% and went into effect on April 1, 2023. The increased transfer tax applies to the value of transactions at sale, which includes long-term ground leases. ULA does not exempt public land but does exempt stand-alone affordable housing projects constructed by non-profit developers.

The Project deal structure anticipates that each development block would transfer to a permanent owner/operator once fully leased and income stabilized. The original Project financial structure, which predated ULA, did not anticipate this level of transfer tax. The proposed deal terms include that if the transfer tax rate in effect at the time is less than what is in effect today, Metro would receive the difference. The amount paid to Metro could be as much as \$110 million over the entirety of the Project.

*Value Analysis*

The sum of the revenue package is estimated to have a net present value of approximately \$45.4

million. Because the revenues to Metro would accrue with the execution of each phase, and the Project includes many “non-market” elements such as open space, preservation of Metro right of way, new privately maintained public streets including District Way, etc., identifying a fair market value for the transaction is challenging. To assist, Metro retained three independent financial consultants to review the deal terms and assist with an assessment of value.

The consultants conducted a detailed analysis of the Developer’s proforma financial projections, including assumptions regarding rents, costs, phasing, and absorption. In addition, they prepared their own analysis based on the project profile to independently verify the residual land value. Finally, additional analysis was conducted to test the Project’s sensitivity to different deal structures, so that Metro would be able to receive the greatest value while preserving the Project’s feasibility. The financial consultants each concluded that the base package of revenues is reasonable after deducting additional costs for the public benefits provided in the Project. In addition, the participation in future transfers and the provision to recapture revenues in the event of reduced transfer taxes, preserves significant additional upside potential for Metro.

### Additional Considerations

#### *California Transportation Commission and Federal Transportation Administration*

As the Site was acquired in the early 1990s using funding from both the FTA and State bonds, Metro has submitted the terms of the JDA and form of Ground Leases to the FTA and the CTC for review and concurrence. If approved by the Board, the JDA and Ground Lease would be executed upon receipt of FTA and CTC concurrence.

#### *Surplus Land Act*

Execution of each ground lease under the JDA will be subject to the Surplus Land Act (SLA). However, staff have determined that the agency’s portfolio meets eligibility requirements for a programmatic exemption under Section 103 of the Updated SLA Guidelines, which would exempt the Site and future joint development projects from disposition requirements under the SLA. Over the coming months, staff will update the Joint Development Policy to ensure compliance with all SLA provisions. Staff plan to bring the updated Policy to the Metro Board in early 2025 with the recommendation that the Board execute a declaration of exempt surplus land on active and future JD sites (including this Site).

### CEQA Actions

Metro is a responsible agency under CEQA because it has discretionary approval power over the Project and the Transit Center, for which the City of Los Angeles has prepared an environmental impact report (EIR) via the District NoHo Specific Plan. Both the Project and the Transit Center were analyzed together in the EIR. The Developer held two virtual EIR scoping and feedback sessions—one in English and one in Spanish—for members of the surrounding community in July 2020. During these meetings, community members shared feedback on potential project impacts and mitigation measures, which the Developer incorporated into the final EIR submittal. The EIR No. ENV-2019-7241-EIR was approved and adopted by the City of Los Angeles on August 22, 2023.

---

Before entering into the JDA and Ground Leases, Metro must consider the environmental effects of the Project as shown in the EIR, make findings for each significant environmental effect, and make a statement of overriding considerations for significant effects that cannot be avoided or substantially lessened, which are included as Attachment B - CEQA Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations.

As evaluated in the EIR, implementation of the Project and Transit Center would result in significant direct and cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to operational regional air pollutant emissions, regional concurrent construction and operational air pollutant emissions, historic resources (Lankershim Depot), and on-site and off-site noise and vibration (human annoyance) during construction.

Notwithstanding the Project and the Transit Center's significant unavoidable impacts, the Project and Transit Center is being proposed to redevelop the area around Metro's North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development, which is transit- and pedestrian-oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood community. The Project and Transit Center support the goals, objectives, and policies of applicable larger-scale regional and local land use plans to improve mobility, accessibility, reliability, and travel safety for people and goods. In addition, the project supports the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by developing new residential, retail, restaurant, and office uses on a site that is well-served by public transit, including Metro's B Line subway, G Line busway, as well as Metro local bus lines, LADOT Commuter Express, Santa Clarita Transit, and the Burbank Bus.

Furthermore, the Project would provide a variety of open space areas, supporting the objective to encourage open space for recreational uses. Specifically, the Project would provide approximately 87,000 square feet of which would be publicly accessible, privately operated, and maintained.

If approved, Metro would be the agency charged with enforcing the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, included as Attachment C, for the Transit Center. The City of Los Angeles would be responsible for enforcing the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Project.

Based on the above, the Project and Transit Center are consistent with the overall vision of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), the City of Los Angeles, and Metro to locate supporting and compatible uses within one site to create sustainable communities near public transit and enhance the quality of life throughout the City and region. As such, the Project and Transit Center present several benefits that override the limited and temporary adverse environmental effects. Furthermore, no feasible alternative was identified that would eliminate all of the significant and unavoidable impacts. If authorized by the Board, Metro staff would file the Notice of Determination, included as Attachment D.

## **DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT**

At the cost of the Developer, Metro would oversee the construction of the Project adjacent to Metro infrastructure to ensure that it does not adversely impact the continued safety of staff, contractors, and the public. Project oversight will be conducted via existing Metro processes: the Developer will submit Construction Workplans, Track Allocation Requests, and all other required documentation for

review and approval by Metro staff. All safety measures and associated requirements to be met by the Developer and its construction contractor would be identified in the Ground Leases. All phases of the Project are anticipated to improve safety for patrons, Metro employees, and the public by activating underutilized Metro property with new homes and businesses that would provide for increased passive and active surveillance of the area.

## **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

Metro's financial compensation under the JDA and Ground Leases is fair and reasonable as determined by the third-party financial feasibility analysis. The estimated net present value of the JDA consideration for all phases in the Primary and Secondary Development Areas is \$45.4 million, using a discount rate of 7.5%. The near-term contributions of this consideration, estimated to be approximately \$15.7 million, would be immediately available to help fund the replacement Transit Center if Metro elects to relocate the Transit Center and allow development of the Secondary Development Area.

If the transfer tax effectuated by Measure ULA is eliminated or reduced prior to the Developer transferring completed mixed income or commercial blocks to a long-term owner/operator, Metro would receive additional payments totaling as much as \$110 million. This payment would be made as each block is transferred and would be based on the valuation of the completed building and the transfer tax rate at the time of transfer.

### *Impact to Budget*

Funding for activities related to the Project are included in the FY25 Budget under Project 401011 "North Hollywood Joint Development", Cost Center 2210, and Metro staff, legal, and consultant costs (excluding JD staff and in-house counsel time, which are covered by the program budgets) would be recovered from the Developer. No Metro funds are used to entitle and construct the project. The Transit Center, if pursued, would be evaluated and budgeted separately.

## **EQUITY PLATFORM**

Feedback from extensive community engagement with affordable housing developers and Community Based Organizations in Fall 2015 was captured in the Development Guidelines and Request for Proposals for the Project. Community members emphasized the importance of including subsidized housing units, retail space for local businesses, and accessible walkways and bikeways, which informed the design and program for the Project, in focus groups and workshops during this period. Additional outreach was conducted by the Developer throughout the approvals period from Spring 2019 to Summer 2020 via eblasts, in-person and online community meetings and open houses in English and Spanish, stakeholder meetings with small businesses and community organizations, and in-person outreach to transit riders at the Station in English and Spanish. The Developer and Metro held nearly all community meetings and outreach events at and around the North Hollywood Station to facilitate participation from transit riders and residents of the surrounding Equity Focus Community. Further, meetings and events were held at various times-including during morning and evening rush hours and afterschool hours-to accommodate diverse schedules throughout the outreach process. Community members expressed a need for affordable homes and



pedestrian- and cyclist-friendly amenities during outreach events and feedback sessions. The Project's 366 income-restricted homes and public open space aim to address some of these priorities. In accordance with the Metro Equity Platform commitment to listen and learn from community members, public input has been incorporated to further shape and refine the Project by including significant open space and additional income-restricted units.

The community surrounding the Site, which includes Equity Focus Community designated census tracts, as well as Metro's B and G Line riders, are disproportionately made up of low-income individuals and people of color. According to the American Community Survey's 2022 5-year estimate data, within a half-mile walking distance of the Station, the average median household income is approximately \$59,000 (approximately 71% of the Median Household Income for Los Angeles County). Research shows that individuals with lower incomes are more likely to ride transit than those with higher incomes: improvements to the Transit Center and bus service expansion would therefore positively impact the surrounding community by reducing disparities in access to high quality transit and opportunities in and outside the neighborhood.

The Project-which is located in a California Tax Credit Allocation Committee High Resource area, providing access to jobs, schools, and amenities-would include affordable housing units intended to benefit people with low incomes in the North Hollywood community.

Lastly, the Project would be constructed under a project labor agreement and would create over 15,000 one-time construction jobs and nearly 5,000 recurring jobs, as well as nearly \$2 billion in one-time economic impact and over \$1 billion in stabilized economic impact, according to a study by RCLCO Real Estate Consulting. The Project would also generate nearly \$300 million in tax revenues for the City and County over its first 30 years.

### **IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS**

The recommendations support strategic plan Goal 3 (Enhance communities and lives through mobility and access to opportunity), by bringing high-quality housing options to the doorstep of the Metro network and addressing the need for housing in the region.

### **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

The Board could choose not to approve the recommendations. Staff is not recommending this option because the proposed Project and Transit Center are the product of nine years of careful study, robust outreach, iterative design, and dedicated effort by many teams. The negotiated project would bring much-needed housing, open space, construction, and permanent employment to the region, tax revenue to the City, and ground lease revenue to Metro. Electing not to authorize the execution of the JDA and Ground Leases would block the construction of approximately 1,481 homes including up to 311 low-income homes and 55 moderate-income homes.

### **NEXT STEPS**

Upon approval of the recommended actions, and receipt of necessary approvals by FTA and CTC,

---

staff would complete and execute the JDA in substantial accordance with the terms and conditions outlined in Attachment A and file the Notice of Determination with the county clerk and State Office of Planning and Research.


Using funds received through a SCAG Regional Early Action Planning grant, staff will continue to advance the design and engineering for the Transit Center in coordination with LADWP and other City Departments. Staff will continue to explore funding options for the Transit Center while coordinating with Program Management to update the Transit Center cost estimate as the design advanced.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment A - Joint Development Summary of Key Terms and Conditions
- Attachment B - CEQA Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations
- Attachment C - Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
- Attachment D - Notice of Determination
- Attachment E - Site Plan and Rendering

Prepared by: Mica O'Brien, Senior Planner, Transit Oriented Communities, (213) 922-5667  
Wells Lawson, Deputy Executive Officer, Transit Oriented Communities, (213) 547-4204  
Nicholas Saponara, Executive Officer, Transit Oriented Communities, (213) 922-4313  
Holly Rockwell, Senior Executive Officer, Countywide Planning & Development, (213) 547-4325

Reviewed by: Ray Sosa, Chief Planning Officer, (213) 922-2920



Stephanie N. Wiggins  
Chief Executive Officer

**SUMMARY OF KEY TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF  
JOINT DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT AND GROUND LEASES  
FOR  
NORTH HOLLYWOOD SITE**

**DATED: SEPTEMBER 6, 2024**

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION**

---

**DEVELOPER:** NoHo Development Associates, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“**Developer**”); provided, however, if the ENA is assigned or transferred in accordance with the terms thereof, or if direct or indirect ownership interests in Developer are transferred or assigned in accordance with the terms of the ENA, such assignee or transferee, or reconstituted Developer, as applicable, shall be the “Developer” for all purposes under this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions.

**DEVELOPMENT SITE:** The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (“**LACMTA**”) is the fee owner of approximately 15.6 acres of real property located at or near the terminus stations of the Metro Red and Orange Lines, at and near Lankershim Boulevard and Chandler Boulevard in the City of Los Angeles and more particularly described on Attachment A (the “**LACMTA Property**”). An approximately 10.5 acre portion of the LACTMA Property to the east of Lankershim Boulevard and north of Chandler Boulevard is currently improved with the Red Line subway east portal, a surface parking lot, and a local bus plaza. To the south of Chandler Boulevard and west of Lankershim Boulevard, an approximately 1.8 acre portion of the LACMTA Property is developed with a surface parking lot. To the west of Lankershim Boulevard and north of Chandler Boulevard, an approximately 2.6 acre portion of the LACMTA Property is improved with light industrial buildings, the Orange Line bus plaza, the Red Line subway west portal, and the Lankershim Depot building. To the north of North Chandler Boulevard and east of Tujunga Avenue, approximately 0.66 acres of the LACMTA Property is undeveloped. The proposed development site is shown on the site plan attached hereto as Attachment B (the “**Site**”).

The Site is divided into two distinct areas, 1) the site that is currently available for development (the “**Primary Development Area**”) and 2) the portion of the Site that is currently occupied by existing bus pick-up, drop-off, and layover facilities plus existing park and ride spaces immediately south of the bus facilities (collectively, the “**Existing Transit Center**”) that can only be made available for

development if LACMTA elects in its sole discretion to relocate the Existing Transit Center (the “**Secondary Development Area**”).

**PROPOSED PROJECT:**

The proposed development project (the “**Project**”) will be constructed on certain portions of the Site by Ground Lessees (as hereinafter defined), at each such Ground Lessee’s sole cost and expense.

Developer intends to develop portions of the Primary Development Area with several buildings, including in the aggregate when completed, approximately: 570 mixed-income rate for-rent apartment units and 311 affordable units such number of affordable rate for-rent apartment units such that the Project maintains a minimum balance of 20% affordable units (and, from and after completion of all mixed-income buildings, at least 5% of the residential units contained in each mixed-income building must be Moderate Income Units (as hereinafter defined)); 40,000 square feet of retail space (the use of which shall be limited to exclude certain prohibited retail uses, which shall be mutually agreed to by LACMTA and Developer and set forth in the JDA and each applicable Ground Lease); and 400,000 square feet of office space; together with such parking for all such improvements as required by the City (“**Phase 1**”). Any changes to the foregoing Project components shall be subject to LACMTA approval, which approval shall be granted or withheld in accordance with, and subject to the requirements and limitations of, the Design Review Guidelines described herein.

Developer may only develop the Secondary Development Area if LACMTA’s Existing Transit Center is relocated. To accommodate the relocation of the Existing Transit Center, LACMTA may construct, in its sole and absolute discretion, a new transit center, the cost, budget, location, design, specifications and development of which shall be in the sole determination of LACMTA (the “**Replacement Transit Center**”). For the avoidance of doubt, LACMTA has no obligation to fund nor construct the Replacement Transit Center. It is contemplated that the Replacement Transit Center would be located on Block 0 of the Site; provided, however, in the course of design and construction of the Replacement Transit Center, LACMTA reserves the right to use other sites outside of the Site on a temporary or permanent basis for all or portions of the Replacement Transit Center. The Replacement Transit Center would not be subject to any Ground Lease, nor constitute part of the Project. If the Replacement Transit Center is constructed, LACMTA will have the responsibility for the ongoing operation, repair and maintenance of the Replacement Transit Center following its completion. If the Secondary Development Area becomes available as provided herein, then Developer may develop several buildings on the Secondary Development Area, including in the aggregate approximately 600 mixed-income units

and 20,000 square feet of retail space together with such parking for all such improvements as required by the City.

Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee shall propose a Schedule of Performance for each Phase (as hereinafter defined) of the Project, which will detail the milestones for each such Phase of the Project, each of which, when mutually agreed to by Developer (or such Ground Lessee, as applicable) and LACMTA, shall be attached as an exhibit to the applicable Ground Lease (which exhibit shall be updated as necessary from time to time to reflect the agreed upon Schedule of Performance for each Phase).

The most current site plan and rendering for the proposed Project (as defined in that certain Exclusive Negotiation Agreement and Planning Document (North Hollywood Joint Development) dated as of June 12, 2017 between Developer and LACMTA (as amended, the “**ENA**”)) are attached hereto as Attachment F. For purposes of clarification, Greenland US Commercial Holding, Inc. is no longer a party to the ENA and will not be involved in the Project.

**PHASED DEVELOPMENT:**

The Project will be constructed in multiple Phases. The first Phases will be located in the Primary Development Area, comprised of Blocks 1, 2, 3, 7 and 8 as further described below. If LACMTA elects, in its discretion, to relocate the Existing Transit Center, as provided herein, then subsequent Phases of the Project may be located in the Secondary Development Area, comprised of Blocks 4, 5 and 6.

Subject to the limitations below in this section, the JDA shall permit Developer, or, if applicable, one or more other Qualified Ground Lessees (as defined herein) to enter into separate Ground Leases, each covering a portion of the land comprising the Project. Each such portion of the land comprising the Project that will be the subject of a Ground Lease is depicted as a separate numbered block on Attachment B and is referred to herein as a “**Phase**”. A Ground Lease may cover one or more Phases such that there may be up to eight (8) separate Ground Leases entered into pursuant to the JDA.

**PRIMARY DEVELOPMENT  
AREA:**

As soon as reasonably possible following Developer or such Qualified Ground Lessee having provided the JDA Consideration Allocated Payment (as such term is hereinafter defined) for such Phase in accordance with the JDA, such Ground Lessee shall be permitted to enter into Ground Leases covering any Phase in the Primary Development Area, provided that all of the closing conditions for such Phase (to be mutually agreed to by Developer and LACMTA and set forth in the JDA) have been satisfied and the following additional conditions have been satisfied:

(a) At all times the number of residential units constructed for affordable housing (to be defined in the JDA) must be at least 20% of the total number of constructed residential units in the overall Project (and, from and after completion of all mixed-income buildings, at least 5% of the residential units contained in each mixed-income building must be Moderate Income Units).

(i) Developer may not apply for and obtain a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Permanent Certificate of Occupancy (collectively, "CO") for the mixed-income units in any mixed-income building (which may be on Block 1 or 2) until (x) a CO has been issued for the affordable housing units in at least one affordable housing building (which may be on Block 3 or 7) and (y) the affordable housing units in such affordable housing building(s) shall comprise at least 20% of the total constructed residential units in the overall Project upon issuance of a CO for such mixed-income units.

(ii) Thereafter, so long as at least 20% of the total constructed residential units in the overall Project are affordable housing residential units having a CO, Developer may develop more than one mixed-income building (i.e., Blocks 1 and 2).

(iii) Block 8 may be developed when either of the following two conditions are satisfied:

(x) Two affordable housing buildings have been completed and a CO issued for each and at least 20% of the total constructed residential units in the overall Project are affordable housing residential units having a CO; or

(y) Developer has secured an anchor tenant for the office building to be constructed on Block 8 pursuant to a debt and/or equity financing term sheet, as shall be more particularly described in the JDA.

The development rights for Block 8 and Blocks 4,5,6 may be exchanged between Phases provided that the total unit count, retail, and office square footage for all Phases in the Project is within the maximums allowed in the entitlements. For example, if Block 8 is developed with a mixed-income multifamily building, then the revised Blocks 4,5,6 development plan would need to be reduced by a corresponding number of transferred units, but could also include the transferred allocation of office uses from the original Block 8 entitlements.

Cosmetic refurbishment of the East Portal clamshell will take place as part of the construction on Block 1 at Developer's cost not to exceed \$1,000,000 (adjusted for CPI from the Block 1 closing date outlined in Attachment D to the date of Ground Lease closing for Block 1), provided however that the East Portal access must remain

open while construction prevents or compromises access to the existing West Portal.

Note that any Ground Lease of a parcel for commercial development to an owner-user will require that, to the extent any ground rent is payable under the JDA, such user be charged an imputed space rent for the commercial building to be constructed on such parcel at market rental rates for comparable space from time to time, which rates will be subject to reasonable approval by LACMTA, for purposes of calculating the Percentage Rent to be paid to LACMTA under the Ground Lease for such parcel.

LACMTA agrees that any tenant relocation or lease buyout costs to clear existing tenants from the Site shall be an LACMTA expense. LACMTA further acknowledges and agrees that it shall deliver each Phase to Ground Lessee free and clear of all tenants and occupants.

**SECONDARY DEVELOPMENT  
AREA:**

No demolition or construction on the Secondary Development Area can take place unless (a) LACMTA, in its sole and absolute discretion, notifies Developer of its desire to proceed and (b) the Existing Transit Center has been relocated to another location acceptable to LACMTA in its sole discretion and at its sole cost and expense (which may be the Replacement Transit Center on Block 0 or another site selected by LACMTA). If office development rights are transferred from Block 8 to Blocks 4, 5 and 6, the changes to the development program may necessitate that Blocks 4,5 and 6 are financed and developed as separate Phases rather than as a single Phase.

**LACMTA RELOCATION  
OF THE EXISTING  
TRANSIT CENTER:**

For the avoidance of doubt, LACMTA shall not be obligated to commence the relocation of the Existing Transit Center. If LACMTA elects in its sole and absolute discretion to relocate the Existing Transit Center, LACMTA shall notify Developer of its intent to relocate the uses within 18 months of the anticipated completion of relocation. Developer may only proceed with Ground Leases for Blocks 4, 5 or 6 if LACMTA relocates the Existing Transit Center.

If LACMTA does elect to relocate the Existing Transit Center, LACMTA shall use commercially reasonable efforts to complete the relocation of the Existing Transit Center pursuant to a schedule determined by LACMTA prior to commencement of such relocation or construction, if applicable.

If LACMTA relocates the Existing Transit Center prior to the date on which Developer is ready to proceed with one or more of the Phase of the Project in the Primary Development Area, then

Developer shall be permitted to proceed with Ground Leases for Blocks 4, 5 or 6 ahead of or in conjunction with any other Phase of the Project (provided that the conditions to such development hereunder are satisfied).

**MAINTENANCE OF PARKING  
DURING PHASED  
DEVELOPMENT:**

Developer shall be responsible for maintaining, at a Developer's cost, the functionality, access to and use of, as much existing surface parking for transit riders as possible during the construction of each Phase on each of the remaining Blocks that have not yet been ground leased pursuant to the JDA (which maintenance shall include, as applicable, re-striping such existing surface parking on such remaining non-ground leased Blocks). In connection with the foregoing obligation:

1. Developer and LACMTA will coordinate to maximize all existing parking on all remaining Blocks that have not yet been ground leased, throughout the construction of all Phases; and

2. LACMTA will grant Developer a temporary right of entry to permit Developer to so maintain the functionality, access to and use of, such surface parking on those Blocks that have not yet been ground leased.

For purposes of clarification, nothing in this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions shall require Developer to replace any parking spaces on a Block that are displaced during the construction of such Phase.

LACMTA may elect, at LACMTA's cost, to develop up to 750 striped passenger-vehicle parking spaces (or such lesser number of parking spaces as LACMTA may determine in its sole discretion) in one or more locations approved by LACMTA dedicated solely to Metro transit users, which replacement parking spaces would replace the existing surface parking spaces currently located on the Site, temporarily or permanently, as existing surface parking spaces are displaced during the construction of each Phase. In connection with the foregoing election, LACMTA may engage Developer Project Manager (as defined below) to develop such replacement parking spaces at LACMTA's sole cost and expense, in which event:

1. Developer Project Manager will agree to a schedule of performance for the completion of the work;

2. LACMTA will grant Developer Project Manager a temporary right of entry to the applicable replacement parking sites to permit Developer Project Manager to so develop such replacement parking spaces; and



3. In the event Developer Project Manager is engaged to develop such replacement parking, Developer Project Manager shall do so according to LACMTA specifications.

In the event LACMTA does not so engage Developer Project Manager for the development and construction of such replacement parking sites, LACMTA will have the sole responsibility for the construction of the same and, in any event, LACMTA will have the sole responsibility for the ongoing operation, repair and maintenance thereof following completion.

**INVESTOR/LENDER  
SECURITY:**

Prior to the execution of the JDA or during the term of the JDA, as applicable, Developer and LACMTA shall cooperate with one another in order to effectuate a transaction structure that will provide necessary and desirable certainty to Developer's investor(s) and/or lender(s) with respect to the JDA Consideration (as defined herein) that such investor(s)' and/or lender(s)' respective investments and contributions toward the JDA Consideration are adequately secured, whether pursuant to rights to develop pursuant to the JDA or otherwise, which transaction structure shall be mutually agreeable to Developer and LACMTA, and which cooperation may include, without limitation, entering into documents or instruments in addition to the JDA or amending the JDA, to effect such structure and/or provide such security, and which such documents, instruments and/or amendments, as applicable, shall in each case be mutually acceptable to Developer and LACMTA; provided that such documents or instruments shall not materially adversely affect the rights or obligations of either LACMTA or Developer as outlined in this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions.

**REA:**

Developer acknowledges that one of the most important considerations for LACMTA to enter into the JDA is the provision of public amenities throughout the Project which provide all public users and common areas with a welcoming and accessible set of features and improvements, all designed and constructed to provide an integrated and consistent experience to the public users throughout the Project. These public amenities are contemplated to include streets, curbs and gutters, street trees, sidewalks, pedestrian walkways, bicycle lanes and bicycle hubs, and street lighting. Each Ground Lease will require that the Ground Lessee construct, install and maintain the foregoing public amenities in the respective Phase of the Project using a design and materials that are consistent throughout the Project. Developer shall require that each Ground Lessee enter into a Reciprocal Easement and Covenant Agreement ("**REA**") in a form reasonably approved by LACMTA which will provide for reciprocal easements between the Phases of the Project for access, utilities, common areas and other cross-easements typical for multi-phase projects comparable to the

Project in quality and nature. The REA will further require that each Ground Lessee be responsible for the ongoing maintenance, repair and operation of the Project common areas and public amenities located in the respective Phase of the Project that such Ground Lessee ground leases. A maintenance association will be formed for the Project pursuant to the REA, the members of which shall be the Ground Lessees, which maintenance association shall be controlled by the Developer (or its successor in interest as the "Developer" of the Project) until Developer no longer owns an interest in the Project or this Agreement expires or earlier terminates, whichever first occurs, and which shall thereafter be controlled by the Ground Lessees as shall be more particularly set forth in the REA. Such maintenance association shall, among other things, have enforcement rights with respect to the maintenance, repair and operation of the Project common areas and public amenities throughout the Project. LACMTA shall be a third-party beneficiary of such REA with enforcement rights thereunder with respect to the maintenance, repair and operation of the public amenities throughout the Project.

## **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

---

### **DEDICATIONS:**

LACMTA will consider any dedications and grants of LACMTA real property rights to the City or other public or quasi-public entities as are reasonably necessary to support the development, construction, and operation of the Project, subject to acceptable compensation.

### **FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCE APPROVAL:**

Some or all of the parcels comprising the Site were acquired by LACMTA using Federal Transit Administration ("**FTA**") funds and some or all of the transit facilities at or adjacent to the Site were constructed using similar funding sources. Therefore, the construction and operation of the Project, and the Ground Leases and dedications and other development-related matters contemplated in this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions are subject to (a) applicable FTA and bond holder approval/concurrence, and (b) LACMTA confirmation that such actions will not violate any bond funding related requirements or restrictions imposed on LACMTA or the LACMTA Property (collectively, the "**Funding Approvals**"). Prior to any LACMTA Board Action regarding this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions, LACMTA shall have received the Funding Approvals, including, without limitation, approval of the terms and conditions set forth in this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions by the appropriate funding agency(ies) that participated in LACMTA's original acquisition of the Premises.

The parties hereto acknowledge and in connection with the execution of the JDA and/or the Ground Leases, the FTA may

require that certain covenants, conditions and restrictions be recorded against the Site prior to the effectiveness of any Ground Lease, which covenants, conditions and restrictions will set forth the on-going requirements that LACMTA must demonstrate to the FTA are being satisfied with respect to the Site, and which shall be mutually agreeable to LACMTA and Developer and shall not contain any restrictions inconsistent with the Retained Rights (as defined herein).

**DEVELOPMENT  
ENTITLEMENTS AND OTHER  
LEGAL REQUIREMENTS:**

Developer will have, at its sole cost and expense obtained all required entitlements for the Project, including adoption of CEQA findings, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the ENA, and any applicable period for the filing of an administrative appeal, judicial challenge, referendum petition, request for reconsideration or other protest of the approval of any such entitlement has expired without an appeal, challenge, petition, request or other protest being taken, or if any appeal, challenge, petition, request or other protest has been taken, or any challenge to the approval is made, the body ruling on the appeal or challenge shall have made a formal, final finding upholding approval of such entitlements in a form and content and subject to conditions of approval reasonably acceptable to Developer and all further appeal periods have expired without further appeal being taken. Developer and/or each Ground Lessee, as applicable, shall also comply with all applicable City zoning and planning requirements and other legal requirements related to the development and construction of each applicable Phase of the Project. Prior to entering into the JDA and any Ground Lease, the LACMTA Board will need to make the requisite findings as a responsible agency pursuant to CEQA requirements, as more particularly set forth in the ENA.

**AS-IS CONDITION:**

Each Ground Lessee shall acquire its leasehold interest under each Ground Lease with respect to the Site on an “as is, where is, with all faults” basis, and shall acknowledge that it has conducted its own due diligence and investigations with respect to the Site.

**PROVISION OF COVENANTED  
AFFORDABLE HOUSING:**

As part of the Project, (a) at least 20% of the total rental apartment units constructed on the Project shall be affordable rental apartment units provided as covenanted affordable housing, which covenant shall have a term of ninety-nine (99) years from the date of recordation thereof and which shall be recorded in the Official Records concurrently with the commencement of the term of each applicable Ground Lease for an Affordable Housing Phase and restricted to individuals or families with incomes of no greater than

60% of area median income as defined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“**AMI**”) and (b) at least 5% (but, in any event, not less than 55) of the remaining rental apartment units constructed on the Project (i.e., excluding the affordable rental apartment units) shall be restricted to individuals or families with incomes of no greater than 120% of AMI (such units, “**Moderate Income Units**”), which Moderate Income Units shall be distributed evenly throughout the Mixed-Income Rate Housing Phases. The unit types and sizes of the affordable units shall be in compliance with the applicable affordable housing guidelines.

**REPLACEMENT TRANSIT CENTER – GENERALLY:**

In consideration of the grant of Developer’s rights under the JDA, Developer and/or each applicable Ground Lessee shall pay to LACMTA the JDA Consideration, which may be used by LACMTA in its sole and absolute discretion to construct the Replacement Transit Center, or for any other purpose. At LACMTA’s cost, which may be offset by outside funding sources, LACMTA may, in its sole discretion, relocate its existing uses on Blocks 4,5,6 to the Replacement Transit Center. Developer’s obligations with respect to the Replacement Transit Center are (a) to provide to LACMTA all design and development work product produced by or on behalf of Developer with respect to the Replacement Transit Center and (b) construct the Replacement Transit Center if hired by LACMTA as Developer Project Manager.

**CONSTRUCTION OF REPLACEMENT TRANSIT CENTER:**

If LACMTA elects in its sole discretion to construct the Replacement Transit Center, it is contemplated that the construction of the Replacement Transit Center may be performed by LACMTA’s own contractors but LACMTA may elect to engage Developer’s affiliate, Trammell Crow Company, or an affiliate of Trammell Crow Company (Trammell Crow Company, or such affiliate, as project manager, is hereinafter referred to as “**Developer Project Manager**”), or any other third party selected by LACMTA as the project manager for the development and construction of the Replacement Transit Center and the East Lot Parking facility.

In the event LACMTA so elects to engage Developer Project Manager as project manager for the Replacement Transit Center and East Lot Parking facility, LACMTA and Developer Project Manager shall negotiate and execute a development agreement with respect to the development of the Replacement Transit Center and East Lot Parking facility that will set forth LACMTA’s and Developer Project Manager’s respective rights and obligations with respect to the construction thereof (such agreement, the “**Transit Center Development Agreement**”), which Transit Center Development Agreement, without limitation, shall provide for (a) execution of the work pursuant to a schedule of performance, (b) the payment to Developer Project Manager of a project

management fee in the amount of three percent (3%) of total project-managed hard and soft costs and (c) Developer Project Manager's right to access the applicable portion(s) of the LACMTA Property for the construction of the Replacement Transit Center (and East Lot Parking facility, if applicable), but which obligations of Developer Project Manager shall not include pre-development design and permitting.

In the event LACMTA does not so engage Developer Project Manager as the project manager for the development and construction of the Replacement Transit Center and the East Lot Parking Facility, LACMTA will have the sole responsibility for the construction of the same and, in any event, LACMTA will have the sole responsibility for the ongoing operation, repair and maintenance of the Replacement Transit Center following its completion.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and regardless of whether or not Developer Project Manager is so engaged as project manager for the development and construction of the Replacement Transit Center, Developer shall reasonably coordinate with LACMTA in connection with the development thereof and provide to LACMTA all design and development work product produced by or on behalf of Developer with respect thereto. The Replacement Transit Center, if so constructed, will not be subject to any ground lease, nor constitute part of the Project.

**OUTSIDE FUNDING SOURCES:** Developer shall cooperate with LACMTA to obtain outside funding sources for the Replacement Transit Center, including but not limited to local, state, or federal grants and Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District ("EIFD") bonds.

## **KEY JOINT DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ("JDA") TERMS:**

---

### **JDA - GENERALLY:**

After (i) any required approval of this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions by FTA and any other appropriate funding agency(ies) that participated in LACMTA's original acquisition of the Site and the construction of any Public Transit Facilities located thereon as determined by LACMTA to be required, (ii) Developer acceptance of this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions and LACMTA Board approval of the same, (iii) Developer has met all CEQA requirements (as further described below in the Closing Conditions), and (iv) the LACMTA Board has made the requisite findings as a responsible agency pursuant to CEQA requirements, then LACMTA and Developer will enter into a Joint Development Agreement ("JDA") containing terms and conditions that are substantially consistent with those set forth in this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions, subject to any modifications as are required by the LACMTA Board as a condition to such LACMTA Board approval and agreed to by Developer.

**JDA TERM:**

The JDA shall be effective upon execution by LACMTA and Developer (the “**JDA Effective Date**”), and will continue until satisfaction or waiver by the applicable party of certain to-be-determined conditions precedent to execution of each of the Ground Leases; the expiration date for such term shall be mutually agreed between the parties, provided that, except as otherwise expressly set forth herein, in no event shall the JDA term be greater than fifteen (15) years from the JDA Effective Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the fifteen (15) year outside date of the JDA term shall be subject to extension, up to an aggregate period of seven (7) years beyond the original JDA term, solely as a result of unavoidable delays for the duration of the actual delay attributable to the applicable event or events.

**JDA DEPOSIT AND  
JDA CONSIDERATION:**

**JDA DEPOSIT:** No later than 120 days after executing the JDA, Developer shall post a deposit in an amount equal to \$2,000,000 (the “**Deposit**”). The Deposit is non-refundable under any circumstances (other than LACMTA failure to ground lease all or any portion of the Site in breach of the JDA), such that LACMTA shall be entitled to retain the Deposit if Developer fails to proceed with the development of the Site or any portion thereof in accordance with the JDA.

**Determination and Payment of JDA Consideration:**

LACMTA shall be paid via wire transfer or Automated Clearing House electronic funds transfer, as directed by LACMTA in writing, an aggregate sum equal to the JDA Consideration (as hereinafter defined), in the manner set forth herein. The JDA Consideration shall be payable to LACMTA on a Phase-by-Phase basis, by payment by Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee of the JDA Consideration Allocated Payment set forth on Attachment D attached hereto with respect to such Phase, concurrently with the execution of a Ground Lease by LACMTA and Developer or such Ground Lessee with respect to a Phase.

JDA Consideration Payable per Phase:

In connection with the execution of a Ground Lease for each Phase, LACMTA shall be paid the JDA Consideration Allocated Payment set forth on Attachment D with respect to such Phase.

JDA Consideration Allocated Payment Adjustments:

If a Ground Lease has not been entered into for a particular Phase by the Anticipated Closing Date for that Phase set forth on

Attachment D, the JDA Consideration Allocated Payment set forth on Attachment D with respect to such Phase shall be escalated by CPI from such Anticipated Closing Date to the actual ground lease closing date for such Phase, as such date may be extended by Developer's extension rights.

**"JDA Consideration"** shall mean the aggregate amount of JDA Consideration Allocated Payments payable to LACMTA by Developer and/or each applicable Ground Lessee with respect to each Phase for which a Ground Lease is executed pursuant to the JDA, and each of which payment shall be due and payable by Developer and/or the applicable Ground Lessee concurrently with the mutual execution and delivery of the Ground Lease for such Phase by LACMTA and Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee.

**SPECIAL MEASURE ULA  
PROVISIONS:**

In the event that prior to the date on which the First Ground Lease Transfer (as hereinafter defined) occurs with respect to a Ground Lease, the aggregate transfer tax rate then in effect in the City and County of Los Angeles is less than the aggregate City and County of Los Angeles transfer tax rate in effect as of April 1, 2023 (i.e., less than 6.06% (0.56% for City and County of Los Angeles transfer tax plus 5.50% for Measure ULA) but is greater than 0.56%, then Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee shall pay to LACMTA, concurrently with and as a condition to such First Ground Lease Transfer with respect to such Ground Lease, an amount equal to (i) 6.06% of the applicable amount subject to transfer tax in connection with such First Ground Lease Transfer with respect to such Ground Lease, less (ii) the actual aggregate transfer tax payable in connection with such First Ground Lease Transfer with respect to such Ground Lease.

In the event that prior to the date on which the First Ground Lease Transfer occurs with respect to a Ground Lease, the aggregate transfer tax rate then in effect in the City and County of Los Angeles is more than the aggregate City and County Los Angeles transfer tax rate in effect as of April 1, 2023 (i.e., more than 6.06% (0.56% for City and County of Los Angeles transfer tax plus 5.50% for Measure ULA), then LACMTA and Developer, on behalf of each applicable Ground Lessee or, if the JDA has expired or otherwise terminated, LACMTA and each applicable Ground Lessee, shall promptly, following the request of either party, meet and confer to determine in good faith whether a potential adjustment to or modification of the consideration payable to LACMTA in connection with each such applicable Ground Lease is appropriate to address such increase in the aggregate transfer tax rate.

As used herein, **“First Ground Lease Transfer”** means a sale, conveyance, assignment or other transfer (excluding the encumbrance of the leasehold estate under a Ground Lease pursuant to a leasehold mortgage or deed of trust or the foreclosure or the mortgagee’s or its designee’s acceptance of an assignment in lieu thereof) of all of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under a Ground Lease to an unaffiliated third-party transferee for value (it being acknowledged and agreed that if a transfer of a portion of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under a Ground Lease occurs, the First Ground Lease Transfer with respect to such Ground Lease will not be deemed to have occurred until the occurrence of the first transfer of a portion of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under such Ground Lease that, together with each prior transfer of a portion of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under such Ground Lease, results in the transfer of one hundred percent (100%) of such interests in and rights under such Ground Lease (in each case, solely to the extent that each such partial transfer would trigger the payment of transfer tax in the City and/or County of Los Angeles if such partial transfer were treated as a recorded sale of one hundred percent (100%) of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under such Ground Lease). Upon the occurrence of such first subsequent transfer at which time the **“First Ground Lease Transfer”** is deemed to have occurred with respect to such Ground Lease pursuant to this paragraph (such first subsequent transfer, the **“Triggering Partial Transfer”**), (a) the **“applicable amount subject to transfer tax”** for purposes of clause (i) of the first paragraph of this section entitled **“Special Measure ULA Provisions”** shall be the aggregate amount that was subject to transfer tax in connection each prior transfer of a portion of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under such Ground Lease (or that would be subject to transfer tax if such partial transfer was treated as a recorded sale of one hundred percent (100%) of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under such Ground Lease) and the Triggering Partial Transfer and (b) the **“actual aggregate transfer tax payable”** for purposes of clause (ii) of the first paragraph of this section entitled **“Special Measure ULA Provisions”** shall be the sum of (i) the actual aggregate transfer tax payable in connection with each prior transfer of a portion of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under such Ground Lease (or that would be subject to transfer tax if such partial transfer was treated as a recorded sale of one hundred percent (100%) of the Ground Lessee’s interest in and rights under such Ground Lease) and (ii) the actual aggregate transfer tax payable in connection the Triggering Partial Transfer.

Annual ground rent payments to LACMTA under the Ground Leases will not be reduced due to Measure ULA or any other transfer tax applicability.



**INITIAL GROUND LEASE  
DEADLINE:**

Developer must execute Ground Leases on at least one Affordable Housing Phase (Blocks 3 or 7) and one mixed use Phase (residential or commercial) (Blocks 1, 2 or 8) (“**Initial Ground Leases**”) within two (2) years after execution of the JDA (“**Initial Ground Lease Deadline**”). If LACMTA elects to and does complete the relocation of the Existing Transit Center to a Replacement Transit Center so that Developer is able to proceed with development of Blocks 4,5,6 in the Secondary Development Area prior to the development of Blocks 1, 2 or 8, then Ground Leases for Blocks 4,5,6 may be the Initial Ground Leases for purposes of this provision (subject to the requirements set forth in the section above entitled “Replacement Transit Center Generally”).

The Initial Ground Lease Deadline may be extended by up to 4 years by Developer as follows:

A. **First Extension:** Developer shall have an option to extend the Initial Ground Lease Deadline by one (1) year upon giving LACMTA notice thereof no later than 5 business days prior to the Initial Ground Lease Deadline.

B. **Second Extension:** If the first extension option is timely exercised, then Developer shall have a second option to extend the Initial Ground Lease Deadline by an additional one (1) year beyond the end of the first extension period upon giving LACMTA notice thereof no later than 5 business days prior to the expiration of the First Extension Period; and

C. **Third Extension:** If both the First Extension and Second Extension have been timely exercised, then Developer shall have a third option to extend the Initial Ground Lease Deadline for a final two (2)-year period beyond the end of the Second Extension Period upon giving LACMTA notice thereof no later than 5 business days prior to the expiration of the Second Extension Period.

If Developer or a Qualified Ground Lessee fails to sign an Initial Ground Lease for at least one Affordable Housing Phase and one mixed use Phase by the Initial Ground Lease Deadline, as it may be extended above, then LACMTA shall no longer have an obligation to ground lease such Affordable Housing Phase or mixed use Phase of the Project Site to the Developer or to a Qualified Ground Lessee and may, instead, enter into a transaction with a third party for the development of such portions of the Project Site.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this section, in the event that Developer is unable to satisfy the Initial Ground Lease Deadline solely due to the inability of Developer or the applicable Qualified Ground Lessee to obtain financing for the construction of the applicable Affordable Housing Phase, then provided that

Developer has provided evidence reasonably satisfactory to LACMTA of Developer's or such Qualified Ground Lessee's, as applicable, diligent good faith efforts to obtain such financing by the Initial Ground Lease Deadline, then the Initial Ground Lease Deadline shall be tolled for up to one (1) year to permit Developer or such Qualified Ground Lessee, as applicable, to obtain such financing for the construction of the applicable Affordable Housing Phase. In such event, Developer shall be entitled to exercise the three (3) extension options above, at its option, following the expiration of such up to one (1) year tolling period.

#### **SUBSEQUENT GROUND LEASE**

##### **DEADLINE:**

Developer must execute Ground Leases for at least one Affordable Housing Phase that was not part of the Initial Ground Leases (Block 3 or 7) and at least one market Phase (residential or commercial) (Blocks 1, 2, 8 or, if the Secondary Development Area has become available for development hereunder, then Blocks 4,5,6) that was not part of the Initial Ground Leases ("**Subsequent Ground Leases**") within eight (8) years after execution of the JDA ("**Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline**").

The Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline may be extended by up to 4 years by Developer as follows:

A. **First Extension:** Developer shall have an option to extend the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline by one (1) year upon giving LACMTA notice thereof no later than 5 business days prior to the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline

B. **Second Extension:** If the first extension option is timely exercised, then Developer shall have a second option to extend the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline by an additional one (1) year beyond the end of the first extension period upon giving LACMTA notice thereof no later than 5 business days prior to the expiration of the First Extension Period; and

C. **Third Extension:** If both the First Extension and Second Extension have been timely exercised, then Developer shall have a third option to extend the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline for a final two (2)-year period beyond the end of the Second Extension Period upon giving LACMTA notice thereof no later than 5 business days prior to the expiration of the Second Extension Period.

If Developer or a Qualified Ground Lessee fails to sign a Subsequent Ground Lease on at least one Affordable Housing Phase that was not part of the Initial Ground Leases and one mixed use Phase that was not part of the Initial Ground Leases by the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline, as it may be extended above, then LACMTA shall no longer have an obligation to ground lease such Affordable Housing Phase or mixed use Phase of the Project

Site to the Developer or to a Qualified Ground Lessee and may, instead, enter into a transaction with a third party for the development of such portions of the Project Site.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this section, in the event that Developer is unable to satisfy the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline solely due to the inability of Developer or the applicable Qualified Ground Lessee to obtain financing for the construction of the applicable Affordable Housing Phase, then provided that Developer has provided evidence reasonably satisfactory to LACMTA of Developer's or such Qualified Ground Lessee's, as applicable, diligent good faith efforts to obtain such financing by the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline, then the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline shall be tolled for up to one (1) year to permit Developer or such Qualified Ground Lessee, as applicable, to obtain such financing for the construction of the applicable Affordable Housing Phase. In such event, Developer shall be entitled to exercise the three (3) extension options above, at its option, following the expiration of such up to one (1) year tolling period. In the event that Developer is unable to satisfy the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline but is actively engaged in the process to obtain entitlements for Block 8 as a mixed-income residential phase from the City of Los Angeles, then provided that Developer has provided evidence reasonably satisfactory to LACMTA of Developer's or such Qualified Ground Lessee's, as applicable, diligent good faith efforts to obtain such entitlements by the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline, then the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline shall be tolled until such entitlements are received. In such event, Developer shall be entitled to exercise the extension option above, at its option, following the obtainment of such entitlements.

**OWNERSHIP OF  
ENTITLEMENTS:**

If Developer fails to satisfy the conditions required by either the Initial Ground Lease Deadline or the Subsequent Ground Lease Deadline, as the case may be, LACMTA may, at its option, give a notice of default to Developer under the JDA. If Developer fails to cure such default under the terms of the JDA, then without limiting the other remedies of LACMTA under the terms of the JDA on account of such uncured default, LACMTA may by written notice to Developer, elect to cause the entitlements with the City of Los Angeles (and any other applicable governmental authority) on the undeveloped portions of the Project Site that have not already been ground leased by Developer or a Qualified Ground Lessee to be vested in the name of LACMTA or in the name of a replacement third party developer for the Project Site or such portion thereof, selected by LACMTA. Upon receipt of such notice from LACMTA Developer shall assign to LACMTA all of Developer's right, title and interest in and to all of the entitlements, plans, specifications, reports and studies relating to the undeveloped portions of the Project Site that have that have not already been ground leased by

Developer or a Qualified Ground Lessee, to the extent assignable. Such assignment shall be made without any representations or warranties by Developer whatsoever and subject to LACMTA, at its sole cost and expense and in its discretion, obtaining consents to such assignments, if any, required from the third party consultants engaged by Developer to provide such plans, specifications, reports and studies to LACMTA. In such event, LACMTA shall be entitled, at its sole cost and expense and in its discretion, to seek reliance letters from any such third party consultants with respect to their reports and studies.

**ASSIGNMENTS OF OPTIONS  
TO GROUND LEASE:**

The JDA will permit Developer to assign its option to Ground Lease any one or more Phases to a Qualified Ground Lessee by delivering to LACMTA certain option assignment deliveries to be set forth in the JDA, including a form of Option to Ground Lease and the form of Ground Lease (each to be attached to the JDA). LACMTA will have a period of time, to be set forth in the JDA, to review and approve such option assignment deliveries. Following LACMTA's review and approval of the same pursuant to the JDA, such assignee may exercise the option to Ground Lease such Phase in accordance with the JDA.

**CONDITIONS TO CLOSING:**

The JDA will address matters occurring from the JDA Effective Date through the date that the Closing Conditions for each Phase have been satisfied or waived by the applicable party; at such time as the closing conditions are satisfied for an individual Phase, the applicable Ground Lessee and LACMTA will enter into a Ground Lease for such Phase (each such date is referred to as a "**Closing**").

The "**Closing Conditions**" will require, among other things to be mutually agreed upon by Developer and LACMTA, that (a) the applicable Ground Lessee has applied for and received all governmental approvals necessary (including LACMTA and City of Los Angeles approval) for the development and construction of the applicable Phase, and any applicable period for the filing of an administrative appeal, judicial challenge, referendum petition, request for reconsideration or other protest of the approval of any such entitlement has expired without an appeal, challenge, petition, request or other protest being taken; (b) CEQA Documents for the applicable Phase shall have been approved/certified by the applicable Governmental Authorities and the LACMTA Board shall have made the requisite findings as required by CEQA, and any applicable period for the filing of an administrative appeal, judicial challenge, referendum petition, request for reconsideration or other protest of the approval of any such entitlement has expired without an appeal, challenge, petition, request or other protest being taken; (c) LACMTA has received evidence reasonably satisfactory to LACMTA that grading and foundation permits for the applicable

Phase have been issued, or are ready to be issued subject only to the payment of the fees therefor; (d) Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee has provided LACMTA with a schedule showing the sources and uses of funds with respect to the development of the applicable Phase, with such level of detail as shall be reasonably required by LACMTA; (e) no uncured breach or default by Developer under the JDA shall then exist; (f) the representations and warranties of Developer under the JDA shall be true and correct in all material respects; (g) the applicable Ground Lessee shall have delivered to LACMTA all insurance certificates required by the Ground Lease with respect to insurance coverages and policies required to be in place as of the effective date of such Ground Lease; (h) LACMTA shall have confirmed that such Ground Lease is consistent with the terms of this Summary of Terms and Conditions as approved by FTA and any other appropriate funding agency(ies) that participated in LACMTA's original acquisition of the Site and the construction of any Public Transit Facilities located thereon as determined by LACMTA to be required; (i) the applicable Ground Lessee shall have executed and delivered to escrow all closing documents as contemplated by the parties (e.g., the Ground Lease, and other transaction documents as determined between parties with respect to such Phase); and (j) the final vesting tentative tract map for the Site shall have received all applicable approvals and the unit map for the Phase that is the subject of the applicable Ground Lease shall have been recorded against such Phase, or be ready to record against such Phase, prior to the Memorandum of Ground Lease with respect to the closing of the first Phase pursuant to the JDA.

**DESIGN REVIEW GUIDELINES:** The JDA will reference the most recent set of drawings for the Project, which shall have been approved by LACMTA, including any comments or qualifications with respect thereto from LACMTA.

Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee shall prepare and submit to LACMTA for LACMTA's further review and approval or disapproval in accordance with the JDA (a) Design Development Drawings and (b) Final Construction Documents for the improvements to be located on a particular Phase (as such terms are hereinafter defined). Such Design Development Drawings and Final Construction Documents shall describe the improvements to be constructed on such Phase in such detail and form as is customary for the applicable level of design development, and, to the extent necessary or appropriate for the applicable level of design development, include site plans, specifications, renderings, material samples and other information at a level of detail as is customary for such level of design development.

LACMTA's right to review and approve the Design Development Drawings and Final Construction Documents for the improvements to be located on a particular Phase, including, without limitation, any changes to such Design Development Drawings and/or Final

Construction Documents that have been previously approved by LACMTA shall be in accordance with the design review standards set forth in Section 11 of the ENA pursuant to which LACMTA was entitled to review and approve the 50% Schematic Design Drawings, subject to any revisions thereto that LACMTA and Developer may mutually agree to in the JDA; provided, however, notwithstanding the design review standards set forth in Section 11 of the ENA, for purposes of LACMTA's review and approval of Design Development Drawings and Final Construction Documents for the improvements to be located on a particular Phase, including, without limitation, any changes to such Design Development Drawings and/or Final Construction Documents that have been previously approved by LACMTA, the following shall apply:

(a) LACMTA may disapprove the Plans and Specifications for a Phase at any Level of Design Development solely with respect to any portions of the specific Project Improvements located above the Ground Plane of such Phase, solely on the grounds that (i) such Plans and Specifications are not in compliance with the Design Guidelines, excluding only any components thereof that are Governmental Changes, or (ii) such Plans and Specifications are inconsistent with (or would prevent the Ground Lessees, collectively, from complying with) the Scope of Development to be attached to the JDA; (provided, however, if any Governmental Change would result in such Plans and Specifications being inconsistent with, or would prevent the Ground Lessees, collectively, from complying with, such Scope of Development, LACMTA may not unreasonably withhold its approval of such Plans and Specifications as impacted by such Governmental Change);

(b) LACMTA may disapprove the Plans and Specifications for a Phase at any Level of Design Development solely with respect to any portions of the specific Project Improvements located within the Ground Plane, solely on the grounds that such Plans and Specifications are (x) not in compliance with the Design Guidelines, and/or (y) do not reflect a Logical Evolution from the previously approved Plans and Specifications for such Phase (e.g., the Ground Plane as depicted in the Design Development Drawings does not reflect a Logical Evolution from the 50% Schematic Design Drawings for such Phase), excluding only any components thereof that are Governmental Changes;

(c) LACMTA may disapprove the Plans and Specifications for a Phase at any Level of Design Development with respect to any portions of the specific Project Improvements, whether located within the Ground Plane or above the Ground Plane, with respect to any elements of such Plans and Specifications that contemplate (i) any improvements or modifications to any of the LACMTA Transit Property, (ii) any improvements or modifications to any Material Public Transit Facilities, (iii) any adverse impact on or improvements impeding access (as reasonably determined by

LACMTA) to or from the LACMTA Transit Property or Material Public Transit Facilities within a ten foot (10') radius around the same, as applicable, or (iv) any adverse impact (as reasonably determined by LACMTA) on any lateral and subjacent support to any of the LACMTA Transit Property or any Material Public Transit Facilities (the foregoing, collectively, the "LACMTA Material Transit Property and Facilities"), if, in the case of any of clauses (i) through (iv) hereof, LACMTA has identified an issue in such Plans and Specifications which adversely impacts (as reasonably determined by LACMTA) any of the following (collectively, the "**LACMTA Development-Related Concerns**"): (a) the operations of LACMTA, (b) LACMTA's exercise of its Retained Rights, (c) public health and safety, including the health and safety of LACMTA Parties, (d) the LACMTA Transit Property, the Material Public Transit Facilities and the access to or from the same, and (e) the lateral and subjacent support to the LACMTA Transit Property, the Material Public Transit Facilities and any area providing support necessary for LACMTA to exercise its Retained Rights; and

(d) LACMTA may only request changes to a specific Level of Design Development for a Phase under the following circumstances: (i) solely with respect to any portions of the specific Project Improvements located above the Ground Plane of such Phase, to address a component of the Plans and Specifications for such Phase at such Level of Design Development that is not in compliance with the Design Guidelines, excluding any components thereof that are Governmental Changes or that is inconsistent with the Scope of Development to be attached to the JDA, (ii) solely with respect to any portions of the specific Project Improvements located within the Ground Plane, to address a component of the Plans and Specifications for such Phase at such Level of Design Development that either is not in compliance with the Design Guidelines or fails to reflect a Logical Evolution from the previously approved Plans and Specifications for such Phase at the prior Level of Design Development, excluding any components thereof that are Governmental Changes, or (iii) solely with respect to any elements of such Plans and Specifications that contemplate any improvements to or adverse impacts (as reasonably determined by LACMTA) on any of the LACMTA Material Transit Property and Facilities, regardless of whether they are within or above the Ground Plane, when LACMTA has identified an issue in such Plans and Specifications which adversely impacts (as reasonably determined by LACMTA) any LACMTA Development-Related Concerns. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for purposes of clauses (i) and (ii) above, LACMTA may not request a change to the Plans and Specifications due to an issue that LACMTA has identified as not being in compliance with the Design Guidelines when (x) such issue identified by LACMTA existed in the Plans and Specifications at any Level of Design Development previously approved by LACMTA, or (y) such issue identified by LACMTA requires a change to a component of the design of the Project Improvements

to be located on such Phase that was previously approved by LACMTA at a prior Level of Design Development (i.e., LACMTA could have identified such issue as a condition to such prior approval but failed to); provided, however, for purposes of clause (iii) above, LACMTA shall have the right to request changes to the Plans and Specifications even if (a) such elements of the Plans and Specifications were clearly depicted, described or specified on the Plans and Specifications previously approved by LACMTA at the immediately preceding Level of Design Development; (b) such elements as depicted, described or specified on the current set of Plans and Specifications represent a Logical Evolution of such elements clearly depicted, described or specified in the previously approved Plans and Specifications; and (c) a disapproval or request for changes could have been made by LACMTA, but was not made, during LACMTA's prior review of such previously approved Plans and Specifications (individually and collectively, a "**Late Change**"), in each case subject to the provisions of subsection (e) below. LACMTA's design approval rights as set forth in this section are, in part, intended to ensure that the Project Improvements meet LACMTA's Satisfactory Continuing Control Requirement (as defined in the Retained Rights subsection of this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions).

(e) In the event LACMTA requests a Late Change to any Level of Design Development for a Phase in accordance with clause (c) of subsection (d) above, then notwithstanding any provisions of this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions to the contrary, (i) LACMTA shall reimburse Developer, or the applicable Ground Lessee, as applicable, for the actual cost in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) incurred by Developer or such Ground Lessee, as applicable, on an aggregated basis in making any such requested changes or revising such Plans and Specifications in response to any such issue identified by LACMTA; (ii) any reasonable additional time incurred by Developer or such Ground Lessee, as applicable, to make the requested change or revise such Plans and Specifications in response to such issue identified by LACMTA shall be deemed an Unavoidable Delay for all purposes under the JDA and Developer shall have the right to extend any impacted milestone dates set forth in the Schedule of Performance for such Phase by a period equal to the duration of such Unavoidable Delay; and (iii) LACMTA shall not have the right to require the requested change if such change could reasonably be expected to result in a breach by Developer or such Ground Lessee of a contract or agreement with an unaffiliated third party, unless LACMTA agrees in writing to indemnify Developer or such Ground Lessee against all losses resulting directly, solely and not consequentially from such breach. As a condition to the application of the provisions of this subsection (e), within thirty (30) days after written notice from LACMTA of a proposed Late Change, Developer (or the Ground Lessee) shall notify LACMTA in writing of (i) Developer's (or Ground Lessee's) reasonable estimate of any



actual cost of making such requested Late Change, (ii) whether such proposed Late Change is reasonably expected to result in a breach by Developer (or Ground Lessee) of a contract or agreement with an unaffiliated third party, and, if so, the specifics regarding such breach and the projected losses resulting directly from and as a consequence of such breach, and (iii) Developer's (or Ground Lessee's) reasonable estimate of the projected delay in the Project Schedule of Performance for such Phase resulting from such proposed Late Change. After receipt of the foregoing notice, LACMTA shall have twenty (20) Business Days to notify Developer (or Ground Lessee) in writing as to whether LACMTA agrees with or disputes one or more of the assertions in such notice, and if so, whether LACMTA withdraws or reaffirms its desire to proceed with a proposed Late Change. Notwithstanding the foregoing, such twenty (20) business day period shall not apply if LACMTA determines in its good faith discretion that a decision regarding whether LACMTA should proceed with or withdraw a proposed Late Change requires consideration and action by the LACMTA Board, in which case LACMTA shall use its good faith efforts to present promptly all such matters to the LACMTA Board as soon as possible in accordance with LACMTA policy and procedures and the LACMTA Board meeting schedule.

LACMTA's design approval rights as set forth herein are, in part, intended to ensure that the Project meets LACMTA's Satisfactory Continuing Control Requirement (as defined in the Retained Rights subsection of this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions).

The following terms shall have the following meanings:

**"Design Development Drawings"** means plans and specifications customarily associated with the "design development level" of the sequential iterative process by which the Developer, through its architects, engineers and design consultants, produces customary plans and specifications related to the improvements to be located on a particular Phase. Such plans and specifications shall contain sufficient details to allow LACMTA to conduct its review pursuant to the JDA, including, among other things, interface of such improvements with Material Public Transit Facilities (as hereinafter defined) and LACMTA's Retained Rights, structural dimensions, delineation of site features and elevations, building core, materials and colors, public art, landscaping and signage plan, a description of all primary design features and sizes, character and quality of the architectural and structural systems of such improvements, with key details provided in preliminary form.

**"Final Construction Documents"** means those final plans and specifications required by any Governmental Authority for the issuance of all building permits necessary for the construction of the improvements to be located on a particular Phase (excluding interior leasehold improvements for subtenants), and containing

details as would be reasonably necessary to allow LACMTA to assess all impacts of such improvements in accordance with LACMTA's rights under the applicable Ground Lease.

**"LACMTA Transit Equipment"** means all of the equipment, cable, conduit, fixtures, furnishings, and vehicles located or operating in or on the LACMTA Property and used or installed by LACMTA for transit purposes, including ticket vending machines, ticket validation systems and other equipment serving a comparable function, lighting, CCTV cameras, rail cars, vehicles, tracks, signaling devices, maintenance equipment, fire protection equipment, communication antennas, public address systems and all other LACMTA owned equipment and vehicles.

**"LACMTA Transit Property"** means all LACMTA Property not included in the Site.

**"Material Public Transit Facilities"** means the following Public Transit Facilities: any subway portals, ticket machines owned and operated by LACMTA, bicycle hubs owned and operated by LACMTA, subway vents and gates, and doors and gates to subway portals.

**"Plans and Specifications"** means, individually and collectively, depending on the context, the 50% Schematic Design Drawings, the Design Development Drawings, the Final Construction Documents, the Revised Construction Documents (as defined in the Ground Lease) and the Approved Construction Documents for all Project Improvements.

**"Public Transit Facilities"** means all public transit related improvements, structures, equipment, fixtures and furnishings now existing or hereafter located in, on under and/or adjacent to, or passing through the LACMTA Property, whether constructed by LACMTA, Developer, or a third party, including, without limitation, the LACMTA Transit Equipment, and all transit-related water lines, sanitary sewer lines, storm sewer improvements, electrical lines, antennas, elevators, shafts, vents and exits, existing or located from time to time in, on, under and/or adjacent to the LACMTA Property.

## **JDA/GROUND LEASE**

### **CLOSINGS:**

The JDA will contemplate that Developer or, at Developer's option, a Qualified Ground Lessee will have the right to exercise an option to enter into a Ground Lease with respect to each Phase and when such option has been exercised and the conditions precedent for "Closing" with respect to such Phase have been satisfied, LACMTA will ground lease the portion of the Site pertaining to such Phase to Developer or such Qualified Ground Lessee for the development, construction and operation of such Phase pursuant to the applicable Ground Lease, subject to the Retained Rights, in exchange for the payment of all amounts required to be paid by the

applicable Ground Lessee under the applicable Ground Lease. Documents related to each such Closing, including, without limitation, each Ground Lease and a memorandum of each such Ground Lease, will be executed by the applicable parties as is necessary to properly effectuate each such Closing.

**TRANSFERS, ASSIGNMENT  
AND SUBLETTING:**

Rights to Assign JDA to Ground Lessees:

The JDA shall permit Developer to assign its option to ground lease under the JDA with respect to one or more individual Phases to separate entities (each, a **“Ground Lessee”**), which Ground Lessees shall have the right to exercise such option to ground lease with respect to such Phase or Phases in accordance with the applicable terms and conditions of the JDA and, upon satisfaction of the conditions precedent for “Closing” with respect to such Phase or Phases, enter into the Ground Lease with respect to such Phase or Phases and, pursuant to such Ground Lease, construct such Phase or Phases. So long as such Ground Lessee (a) Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with Developer, or (b) is a Qualified Transferee (as defined herein) (any of the foregoing, a **“Qualified Ground Lessee”**), LACMTA shall not have the right to consent or approve of such assignment, but Developer shall provide LACMTA with sufficient information as to the identity of such Qualified Ground Lessee, including financial and other information on the Qualified Ground Lessee in form reasonably required by LACMTA, in order for LACMTA to confirm that the Qualified Ground Lessee satisfies the financial and experience requirements set forth in the following provisions of this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions.

The following terms shall have the following meanings:

(a) “Qualified Transferee” shall mean a Qualified Residential Transferee, a Qualified Affordable Residential Transferee, or a Qualified Commercial/Retail Transferee, as applicable.

(b) “Qualified Affordable Residential Transferee” shall mean either (x) the Caesar Chavez Foundation, or another entity which Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with Caesar Chavez Foundation, (y) National Community Renaissance, or another entity which Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with National Community Renaissance, or (z) another reputable affordable-housing developer that has, or is Controlled by an entity that has, developed at least 1,000 units of affordable housing nationally in the prior five-year period, at least 250 units of which are in Los Angeles County, and with a type of

construction and quality comparable to the affordable units contemplated for the Project using tax credits and other traditional sources of funding for affordable housing; provided that such reputable affordable-housing developer satisfies both of the following criteria: (a) is not directly or indirectly owned or Controlled by, or employs in management level capacity a person who is, any person or entity who has been or is currently an adverse party in any litigation, arbitration or administrative action with LACMTA; and (b) is not, and is not owned or Controlled by, directly or indirectly by, any person or entity listed on, included within or associated with any of the persons or entities referred to in Executive Order 13324 – Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions with Persons Who Commit, Threaten to Commit, or Support Terrorism, as the same may be amended by the United States Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control, or any successor or replacement agency and (c) complies with the requirements set forth in Attachment G attached hereto.

(D) “Qualified Commercial/Retail Transferee” shall mean an entity that satisfies each of the following criteria:

(a) has, or is Controlled by an entity that has, at least 5 years of experience developing, owning and operating commercial (office and/or retail, as applicable) or mixed-use properties in the United States, has completed at least 500,000 square feet of commercial/retail projects and has at least 250,000 square feet under current management, which projects have been constructed and are maintained at a standard comparable to the Comparable Commercial Projects (as defined herein) or will engage a development manager with the comparable experience in developing and managing commercial (office and/or retail, as applicable) or mixed-use properties in the United States to manage the development of the project to be developed on such Phase pursuant to the applicable ground lease through the completion thereof;

(b) is (i) a real estate investment trust, bank, saving and loan association, investment bank, insurance company, trust company, commercial credit corporation, pension plan, pension fund or pension advisory firm, mutual fund, government entity or plan, provided that any such Person referred to in this clause (i) satisfies the Eligibility Requirements; (ii) an investment company or qualified institutional buyer within the meaning of Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or an institutional accredited investor within the meaning of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, provided that any such Person referred to in this clause (ii) satisfies the Eligibility Requirements; (iii) an institution substantially similar to any of the foregoing entities described in the immediately preceding clauses (i) and (ii) that satisfies the Eligibility Requirements; (iv) any entity majority owned and Controlled by any of the entities described in the immediately foregoing clauses (i) through (iii); or (v) an investment fund, limited liability company, limited partnership or general partnership, in which any of the entities described in the immediately foregoing clauses (i) through (iv) has committed capital of at least two hundred fifty million (\$250,000,000), acts as the general partner, managing member or fund manager, and more than

fifty percent (50%) of the equity interests in such investment fund, limited liability company, limited partnership or general partnership are owned, directly or indirectly, by one or more of the following: (x) an entity meeting the requirements of any of the immediately foregoing clauses (i) through (iv), (y) an institutional “accredited investor” within the meaning of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and/or (z) a “qualified institutional buyer” within the meaning of Rule 144A promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended;

(c) is not directly or indirectly owned or Controlled by, or employs in management level capacity a person who is, any person or entity who has been or is currently an adverse party in any litigation, arbitration or administrative action with LACMTA;

(d) is not, and is not owned or Controlled by, directly or indirectly by, any person or entity listed on, included within or associated with any of the persons or entities referred to in Executive Order 13324 – Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions with Persons Who Commit, Threaten to Commit, or Support Terrorism, as the same may amended by the United States Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control, or any successor or replacement agency; and

(e) complies with the requirements set forth in Attachment G attached hereto.

(E) “Qualified Residential Transferee” shall mean an entity that satisfies each of the following criteria:

(a) has more, or is Controlled by an entity that has more, than 5 years of experience developing, owning and operating market rate multi-family or mixed-use properties in the United States, has completed at least 1,500 for-rent residential units nationally, at least 500 of which are in Los Angeles County, with such completed projects constructed and operated at a standard comparable to the Comparable Residential Projects (as defined herein) or will engage a development manager with comparable experience developing market-rate multi-family or mixed-use properties in the United States to manage the development of the project to be developed on such Phase pursuant to the applicable ground lease through the completion thereof;

(b) is (i) a real estate investment trust, bank, saving and loan association, investment bank, insurance company, trust company, commercial credit corporation, pension plan, pension fund or pension advisory firm, mutual fund, government entity or plan, provided that any such Person referred to in this clause (i) satisfies the Eligibility Requirements; (ii) an investment company or qualified institutional buyer within the meaning of Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or an institutional accredited investor within the meaning of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, provided that any such Person referred in this clause (ii) satisfies the Eligibility Requirements; (iii) an institution substantially similar to any of the foregoing

entities described in the immediately preceding clauses (i) and (ii) that satisfies the Eligibility Requirements; (iv) any entity majority owned and Controlled by any of the entities described in the immediately foregoing clauses (i) through (iii); or (v) an investment fund, limited liability company, limited partnership or general partnership, in which any of the entities described in the immediately foregoing clauses (i) through (iv) has committed capital of at least two hundred fifty million (\$250,000,000), acts as the general partner, managing member or fund manager, and more than fifty percent (50%) of the equity interests in such investment fund, limited liability company, limited partnership or general partnership are owned, directly or indirectly, by one or more of the following: (x) an entity meeting the requirements of any of the immediately foregoing clauses (i) through (iv), (y) an institutional “accredited investor” within the meaning of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and/or (z) a “qualified institutional buyer” within the meaning of Rule 144A promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended;

(c) is not directly or indirectly owned or Controlled by, or employs in management level capacity a person who is, any person or entity who has been or is currently an adverse party in any litigation, arbitration or administrative action with LACMTA;

(d) is not, and is not owned or Controlled by, directly or indirectly by, any person or entity listed on, included within or associated with any of the persons or entities referred to in Executive Order 13324 – Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions with Persons Who Commit, Threaten to Commit, or Support Terrorism, as the same may be amended by the United States Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control, or any successor or replacement agency; and

(e) complies with the requirements set forth in Attachment G attached hereto.

(F) “Eligibility Requirements” means, with respect to any person or entity, that such person or entity has total assets in excess of two hundred and fifty million dollars (\$250,000,000) and (except with respect to a pension advisory firm or similar fiduciary) capital/statutory surplus or shareholder’s equity in excess of one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000).

(G) “Comparable Commercial Projects” means (1) The Tower Burbank, 3900 W Alameda, Burbank, CA 91505, (2) Vine Street Tower, 1601 Vine St, Hollywood, CA, and (3) Columbia Square, 1575 N Gower Street, Los Angeles, CA; provided, however, from time to time during the term of the JDA, the Developer shall have the right to propose new or alternate projects for LACMTA’s reasonable approval as “Comparable Commercial Projects”.

(H) “Comparable Residential Projects” means (1) the Eastown, at 6201 Hollywood Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90028 (535 units), (2) The Huxley, at 1234 N La Brea Ave, West Hollywood, CA 90038 (187 units), (3) 1600 Vine

Street, Hollywood, CA 90028 (375 units), and (4) The Vermont, 3150 Wilshire Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90010 (464 units); provided, however, from time to time during the terms of the JDA, the Developer shall have the right to propose new or alternate projects for LACMTA's reasonable approval as "Comparable Residential Projects".

(l) For purposes of this section, "Control" means the possession of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of an entity, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise, subject only to reasonable and customary major decision rights in favor of the non-controlling members or partners, as applicable, of such entity.

Rights to Assign Ground Lessees: Following a Ground Lessee entering into a Ground Lease, a Ground Lessee shall be permitted to assign such Ground Lease to:

(a) a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ground Lessee for which Ground Lessee retains management responsibility and oversight of the development of the Phase;

(b) an entity composed of Ground Lessee and a financial partner for purposes of financing the Phase for which Ground Lessee retains management responsibility and oversight of the development of the Phase; and

(c) a Qualified Transferee.

Permitted Transfers of Interests in Ground Lessees: Following a Ground Lessee entering into a Ground Lease, the following transfers of direct and indirect interests in such Ground Lessee shall be permitted:

(a) transfers to or among Ground Lessee's constituent partner(s) or member(s) or their or Ground Lessee's parents, affiliates or subsidiaries, including in each case to or from a trust for the benefit of the immediate family of any direct or indirect partner, shareholder or member of a Ground Lessee who is an individual;

(b) a transfer to a spouse (or to a domestic partner if domestic partners are afforded property rights under then-existing applicable laws) in connection with a property settlement agreement or decree of dissolution of marriage or legal separation, as long as such transfer does not result in a change of Control of Ground Lessee or a change in the managing member or general partner of Ground Lessee;

(c) a transfer of ownership interests in Ground Lessee or in constituent entities of Ground Lessee (i) to a member of the immediate family of the transferor, (ii) to a trust for the benefit of a member of the immediate family of the transferor, (iii) from such a trust or any trust that is an owner in a constituent entity of Ground Lessee, to the settlor or beneficiaries of such trust or to one or more other trusts created by or for the benefit of any of

the foregoing persons, whether any such transfer is the result of gift, devise, intestate succession or operation of law, or (iv) in connection with a pledge by any partners of a constituent entity of Ground Lessee to an affiliate of such partner or to an Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3) charitable institution for no consideration;

(d) a transfer of a beneficial interest resulting from public trading in the stock or securities of an entity, where such entity is a corporation or other entity whose stock (or securities) is (are) traded publicly on a national stock exchange or traded in the over-the-counter market and whose price is regularly quoted in recognized national quotation services;

(e) a mere change in the form, method or status of ownership, as long as there is no change in the actual beneficial ownership of the Ground Lease or Ground Lessee, and such transfer does not involve an intent to avoid Ground Lessee's obligations under this Lease; and

(f) a transfer to a third-party so long as the following conditions are satisfied: (i) such transfer does not result in a change in Control of Ground Lessee; (ii) if such transfer results in an entity that initially owns less than fifty percent (50%) of the ownership interests in such Ground Lessee, directly or indirectly, owning fifty percent (50%) or more of the ownership interests in such Ground Lessee, directly or indirectly (such entity, the "**Majority Owner**"), such Majority Owner shall satisfy the requirements of clauses (b), (c), (d) and (e) of the above-definition of Qualified Commercial/Retail Transferee; and (iii) the Majority Owner shall have no authority to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the Ground Lessee, except for the right to consent to reasonable and customary major decisions relating to such Ground Lessee. Such Ground Lessee shall provide LACMTA with written notice at least thirty (30) days prior to the occurrence of any such transfer described in this clause (f), which notice shall identify the proposed Majority Owner and shall include reasonable verifying documentation that the proposed Majority Owner satisfies the requirements of clauses (b), (c), (d) and (e) of the above-definition of Qualified Commercial/Retail Transferee.

Subletting: a Ground Lessee shall be permitted to enter into the following without LACMTA's consent:

- (a) space leases to residential or commercial tenants of such Phase; and
- (b) license agreements or concession agreements for the operation of a business located on such Phase.

## **KEY GROUND LEASE TERMS:**

---

**GROUND LESSEES:** Each ground lessee shall be a Qualified Ground Lessee.

**GROUND LEASES –  
GENERALLY:** At each Closing, LACMTA, as Ground Lessor, and the applicable



Ground Lessee will enter into a ground lease (each a “**Ground Lease**”), which will provide for the construction and operation of the applicable Phase. The initial form of Ground Lease shall serve as a template for the subsequent Ground Leases, with appropriate modifications to be made as applicable with respect to each Phase and such other modifications thereto as may be requested by the Ground Lessee or the Ground Lessee’s leasehold mortgagee, which shall exclude any changes to the ground rent, lease term or other material terms, such other modifications to be approved by LACMTA in accordance with the JDA. Without limiting the foregoing, each Ground Lease will contain terms and conditions that are substantially consistent with the applicable terms and conditions set forth in this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions, subject to any modifications as are required by the LACMTA Board as a condition to LACMTA Board approval of the JDA and agreed to by Developer and the applicable Ground Lessee, as well as any modifications as are required by such Ground Lessee and/or its construction lender.

**UNSUBORDINATED  
GROUND LEASES:**

LACMTA’s interests under the Ground Leases will be unsubordinated to any interest that the Ground Lessees or their lenders or investors will have in the applicable Phase; provided, however, each Ground Lease, and any amendment, substitution, or renewal thereof, shall be prior to any mortgage, deed of trust, or other lien, charge or encumbrance on the fee interest in the applicable Phase. Without limiting the foregoing, the Ground Leases shall contain other customary leasehold mortgagee protections.

Developer acknowledges that the Site is subject to all applicable FTA requirements set forth in that certain Circular FTA-C-7050.1C titled “Federal Transit Administration Guidance on Joint Development,” dated November 18, 2022. LACMTA’s Retained Rights (as defined herein) when set forth in each Ground Lease will constitute “satisfactory continuing control” of each Phase, as required by the FTA Circular, in order to preserve the original public transportation purpose of such Phase.

**GROUND LEASE  
PREMISES:**

The premises under each Ground Lease will consist of one or more Phases, less the area of any dedications that may be required by a governmental authority as part of the Project. Each Ground Lease shall further provide that the applicable Ground Lessee’s rights in any such Phase pursuant to such Ground Lease shall be subject to LACMTA’s Retained Rights (as defined herein).

**GROUND LEASE TERM:**

Each Ground Lease will commence upon the Closing for the applicable Ground Lease, pursuant to the terms of the JDA (each such date being the “**Commencement Date**”). The term of each

Ground Lease will be for 99 years commencing on such Commencement Date (the “**Ground Lease Term**”).

## **GROUND LEASE RENT**

---

See Attachment D attached hereto for the Ground Lease Rent

## **OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

---

**CONSTRUCTION REVIEW:** During each Construction Period, LACMTA will have the right to review, inspect and approve any changes to the design of building exterior solely to the extent in accordance with the Design Review Guidelines set forth hereinabove. LACMTA rights to approve any substantive building improvements later sought to be added at any time during each Ground Lease Term shall be consistent with LACMTA’s Design Review Guidelines set forth hereinabove.

### **CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS:**

Any construction contract that a Ground Lessee executes with contractors for its Phase shall include the following:

- (1) Subject to the provisions of Civil Code Section 2782, obligations of the contractor to indemnify, reimburse, defend and hold harmless Developer and LACMTA against actions, proceedings, suits, demands, claims, liabilities, losses, damages, penalties, obligations, costs and expenses (including attorneys’ and expert witness’ fees and costs) arising from the acts and omissions of such contractor on the Phase or in connection with performance of its obligations under the construction contract;
- (2) Obligations of the contractor to complete services on a lien-free basis and in accordance with the terms of its contract, and to post a completion bond or an alternative form of security reasonably acceptable to LACMTA in its reasonable discretion related to the same, which might include, without limitation, a completion guaranty or set aside from a construction lender;
- (3) With respect to each General Contract, if any mechanic’s lien, materialman’s lien or other lien is filed against the Project, or any stop notices are served, for work or labor performed or claimed to have been performed, or goods, materials, or services furnished or claimed to have been furnished upon or with respect to the Project, to discharge or cause the discharge or such lien or stop notice within thirty (30) days thereafter, whether by payment, release or posting of a bond or other similar assurance;

- (4) Obligations of the contractor to comply with all applicable laws and code restrictions, licenses, policies, permits and certificates required in connection with performance of its services;
- (5) LACMTA being named as an additional benefitted party to any warranties provided in such contracts, other than those related to design liability, and also being named as an additional insured under any applicable insurance policies carried by such contractor, other than worker's compensation or errors and omissions insurance policies;
- (6) Rights of LACMTA as a third-party beneficiary under such contract; and
- (7) Certain insurance requirements as shall be set forth in the Ground Lease.

**SUSTAINABILITY STANDARDS:** The Project shall be built in a manner at least equivalent to the standards of the United States Green Building Council's (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver construction standards.

**SIGNAGE:** The Design Development Drawings that Developer and each Ground Lessee are required to submit to LACMTA for approval with respect to each applicable Phase of the Project will include a signage plan, including transit improvement way-finding signs, digital signage and static signage.

**MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS:**

Each Ground Lessee shall maintain and operate all portions of each respective Phase at its sole cost and expense. Maintenance and operations standards to be mutually agreed between the parties and set forth in the Ground Leases. The Ground Leases will also address allocation of responsibility for maintenance and operation of transit-related facilities that may be located inside of the improvements on each Phase. LACMTA will maintain and operate the Replacement Transit Center facilities if it elects to construct the Replacement Transit Center.

**PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT:**

Each Phase of the Project shall comply with LACMTA's adopted requirements with respect to Project Labor Agreements for construction jobs and payment of Prevailing Wages for construction

jobs, and the applicable policies related thereto. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Developer may negotiate an alternate form or forms of Project Labor Agreement(s) directly with the applicable unions and upon finalizing such form(s), shall provide such form(s) to LACMTA for its review and approval. Upon such approval, the Project or each Phase thereof, as applicable, shall comply with the requirements in such approved form(s) of Project Labor Agreement(s).

**EXPIRATION OF GROUND  
LEASES:**

At the expiration or earlier termination of the Ground Leases (each an “**Expiration Date**”), at LACMTA’s option, the applicable Ground Lessees will be responsible for the demolition and removal of the Project and any improvements, exclusive of any LACMTA improvements and/or transportation-related amenities and facilities then located on the Phase, and for returning the Phase to LACMTA in good, usable and buildable condition, consisting of a level, graded buildable pad. LACMTA will provide advance notice to Ground Lessee of the required demolition and removal of the Project and any improvements at least 6 years, but not more than 11 years prior to the expiration date of the Ground Lease. Within 12 months following LACMTA’s election to have such improvements demolished and removed, the applicable Ground Lessee shall provide LACMTA with a written plan setting forth such Ground Lessee’s proposed method of securing the discharge of such Ground Lessee’s removal and restoration obligations, which shall detail the form of security proposed by such Ground Lessee. The demolition plan must be fully funded by 3 years before ground lease expiration.

Ground Lessee shall have no right to demolish or remove any improvements that LACMTA does not instruct Ground Lessee to demolish or remove. The Ground Leases shall set forth further details regarding the specifics and procedures related to the demolition and removal work, if any.

**FINANCING AND  
ENCUMBRANCES:**

A Ground Lessee may encumber its leasehold estate with mortgages, deeds of trust or other financing instruments; provided, however, in no event shall LACMTA’s fee title interest be encumbered by such Ground Lessee’s financing or other claims or liens (except as set forth below in connection with affordable housing financing sources) and in no event shall rent payable to LACMTA under the Ground Lease be subordinated to such Ground

Lessee's financing. Such encumbrances and financings shall be subject to LACMTA's approval, not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed, except with respect to certain "permitted financing events" meeting specific criteria to be set forth in the Ground Lease (including, without limitation, encumbrances of the leasehold estate in such Ground Lease in favor of an institutional lender, which shall not require LACMTA's approval). No Ground Lessee shall have to pay any fee to LACMTA in consideration of or otherwise in connection with LACMTA's approval of any such financing (if such financing is not a "permitted financing event" and such approval is required); provided, however, the foregoing is not intended to prohibit LACMTA from requiring Ground Lessee to reimburse LACMTA for its out of pocket cost and expenses in reviewing and approving any such financing in accordance with the cost and expense reimbursement provisions to be set forth in each such Ground Lease.

**AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
COVENANTS:**

A Ground Lessee may encumber its leasehold estate with affordable housing and other covenants reasonably required by Ground Lessee's affordable housing funding sources or the City as a condition to granting entitlements and building permits for such Phase, which covenants shall be subject to LACMTA's review and approval, not to be unreasonably withheld, condition or delayed. LACMTA will reasonably consider the encumbrance of its fee title interest with certain restrictive covenants, if required by Ground Lessee's affordable housing funding sources or the City of Los Angeles as a condition to granting entitlements and building permits for such Phase; provided that such Ground Lessee agrees to perform all obligations under said covenants during the Ground Lease Term and indemnify LACMTA for all actual claims and losses incurred by LACMTA resulting from Lessee's failure to do the same.

**FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS  
COVENANTS:**

Lessee shall comply with all applicable Federal nondiscrimination requirements, including applicable sections of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

**TRANSFERS, ASSIGNMENT  
AND SUBLETTING:**

See above in "General Conditions" section of this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions.

**RETAINED RIGHTS:**

LACMTA shall reserve and retain certain rights with respect to each Phase, which shall include the requirements set forth in Attachment G attached hereto, and the following rights, and any additional

rights which shall be mutually agreed upon by LACMTA and Developer and described in detail in the template form of Ground Lease to be attached as an exhibit to the JDA (collectively, the **“Retained Rights”**): (1) the right to install, construct, inspect, operate, maintain, repair, expand and replace Material Public Transit Facilities in, on, under, over, and adjacent to the Site, including the right to construct a new subway transit portal in a portion of Block 8, and any other Public Transit Facilities in and on the LACMTA Common Areas, in each case as LACMTA may deem those rights necessary in order to ensure that the Site does not materially interfere with transit operations and the right to prohibit, and to take reasonable actions to remedy at the Ground Lessee’s cost, any actual interference by the Ground Lessee with the maintenance or the safe and efficient operation of LACMTA’s transit activities and operations; provided, however, (a) in no event shall the exercise of any such right require the removal, alteration, relocation, or other modification (structural or otherwise) of any of the vertical or horizontal improvements (excluding landscaping/hardscaping), infrastructure, or any of the equipment, in each case whether above-ground or sub-surface, constructed and/or installed, as applicable, on the Site by Developer or any Ground Lessee, in accordance with the terms of the JDA and the applicable Ground Lease (and the Plans and Specifications therefor approved by LACMTA (to the extent such approval is required) in accordance with the applicable Ground Lease) and which is outside the Protected Areas (defined below) and (b) in no event shall the exercise of any such right with respect to areas outside the Protected Areas cause the Site or any portion thereof or improvements, infrastructure or equipment thereon (whether above-ground or sub-surface) to violate any applicable legal requirements or restrictive covenants (to the extent entered into in accordance with the terms of each Ground Lease with respect to any such restrictive covenants that will become effective from and after the commencement of the term of such Ground Lease) governing the Site, or Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee; (2) the right to install, use, repair, maintain, and replace in and on the LACMTA Common Areas (as defined herein), and along the perimeter of a Phase abutting public streets, sidewalks, rights of way, and any Public Transit Facilities, directional and way-finding signs for the purpose of directing patrons to, from and between the Public Transit Facilities and such Phase, public streets, sidewalks and rights-of-way; (3) the right to use sidewalk areas and any LACMTA Common Areas within the applicable Phase for pedestrian ingress and egress to, and activities related to the

operation of, any Public Transit Facilities for the benefit of LACMTA and the public; (4) the right to enter upon and inspect the Site and the applicable Phase(s), with reasonable advance notice to the Ground Lessee(s) and the opportunity for the applicable Ground Lessee to have a representative present at any such inspection, anytime during normal business hours, for purposes of conducting normal and periodic inspections of the Site and such Phase and the Project and to confirm such Ground Lessee's compliance with the terms and conditions of the applicable Ground Lease, subject, however, to the rights of tenants and other occupants of such Phase; (5) the right to place LACMTA-related informational signage and LACMTA Transit Equipment within the LACMTA Common Areas; and (6) the right to install, construct, inspect, operate, maintain, repair, use, add, expand, increase and replace rail, bus, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and/or other transit-related facilities within the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW located approximately between the current Orange Line alignment at Tujunga Avenue and the intersection of Vineland Avenue and Fair Avenue as generally described and depicted on Attachment H (the **"Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW"**).

LACMTA and Developer acknowledge and agree that there is a possibility that the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW may not be included in the portions of the Site ground leased to Ground Lessees pursuant to individual Ground Leases but instead each Ground Lessee may be granted an exclusive (subject to the Retained Rights) easement over such portion of the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW immediately adjacent to the portion of the Site ground leased to such Ground Lessee for use as parking areas, open space, pedestrian plazas, sidewalks, landscaping, "pop up" retail establishments, retail kiosks, and other temporary retail structures (and not for any permanent structures or buildings) which easement may be revoked by LACMTA solely in order for LACMTA to utilize the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW for transportation purposes and no other purpose, in which case any use of such Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor by the Ground Lessee would thereupon terminate and any improvements located on such area would be required to be removed by such Ground Lessee and the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW area would be restored by such Ground Lessee to a graded buildable condition, at its sole cost and expense. In order to minimize any impact on the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW, no foundations or subterranean structures may be constructed on the Burbank-Chandler

Transportation Corridor ROW to a depth greater than five (5) feet below the surface thereof.

Alternatively, and without limiting any other arrangement for the use and occupancy of the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW that LACMTA and Developer may mutually agree to in writing in the JDA or otherwise, the parties may determine that the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW be included in the portions of the Site ground leased to Ground Lessees pursuant to individual Ground Leases but that each Ground Lease include a provision requiring the termination of each such Ground Lease with respect to such portions of the ground leased premises that lie within the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW solely in order for LACMTA to utilize the Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor ROW for transportation purposes and no other purpose, in which case any use of such Burbank-Chandler Transportation Corridor by the applicable Ground Lessee would thereupon terminate and any improvements located on such area would be required to be removed by such Ground Lessee.

As used herein, “**LACMTA Common Areas**” shall mean the following portions of the Site identified as LACMTA Common Areas shown on a site map to be prepared and attached to the JDA: (a) any portions of the Site that are located within a ten foot (10’) radius of the LACMTA Transit Property, (b) any portions of the Site that are improved with Material Public Transit Facilities and any portions of the Site within a ten foot (10’) radius of such Material Public Transit Facilities, and (c) the Protected Areas, each of which areas are to be improved as walkways, access ways and plaza areas pursuant to the Final Construction Drawings for use by customers or users of the Public Transit Facilities, LACMTA, its Board members, employees, agents, consultants, or contractors, together with the Ground Lessee, its subtenants and their respective officers, members, employees, agents, consultants, contractors, customers, invitees, and guests, for access to, from or among (i) any Public Transit Facilities; (ii) the LACMTA Transit Property; and/or (iii) public streets, sidewalks or rights-of-ways. LACMTA will retain certain additional rights in the LACMTA Common Areas for itself, customers or users of the Public Transit Facilities and its Board members, employees, agents, consultants, or contractors pursuant to the Retained Rights as may be reasonably necessary.

As used herein, “**Protected Areas**” shall mean those areas of the Site identified on the drawing attached to this Summary of Key



Terms and Conditions as Attachment C, which shall be refined (as mutually approved by Developer and LACMTA) and attached to the JDA.

If LACMTA requires the removal, alteration, relocation or other modification (structural or otherwise) of any of the vertical or horizontal improvements (excluding landscaping), infrastructure, or any of the equipment located within the Protected Areas which was constructed or installed in accordance with the applicable Ground Lease (and the Plans therefor approved by LACMTA pursuant to the Ground Lease), then LACMTA shall (a) reimburse Developer or the applicable Ground Lessee for the actual out-of-pocket costs incurred by Developer or such Ground Lessee in performing such work, upon the completion thereof, or, at LACMTA's option, LACMTA may elect to undertake such removal, alteration or relocation work using its own contractors and at LACMTA's sole cost and expense, and (b) pay Developer or such Ground Lessee an amount equal to any reduction in the fair market value of the remaining improvements on the applicable portion of the Site, taken as a whole, which results from the required demolition, removal or modification of Developer's improvements or equipment within the Protected Areas. Such reduction in fair market value shall be determined by an M.A.I. appraisal of the applicable portion of the Site before the required demolition and modification and after such work. The foregoing provisions shall be more particularly set forth in each Ground Lease.

LACMTA and Developer each acknowledge and agree that the purpose of defining the Retained Rights and reserving them for LACMTA is to ensure that the premises under each Ground Lease remain available for the transit project purposes originally authorized by FTA ("**LACMTA's Satisfactory Continuing Control Requirement**").

The JDA and each Ground Lease shall provide, with respect to any exercise by LACMTA of its Retained Rights that:

1. LACMTA will indemnify and defend (with counsel acceptable to Developer or such Ground Lessee, as applicable) and hold harmless Developer or such Ground Lessee and their respective subsidiaries, officers, agents, employees, directors, consultants and contractors (collectively "Indemnified Parties") from and against any actual liability, claims, losses, costs, expenses or damages (including, without limitation, reasonable fees of

attorneys, consultants, and experts related) (collectively "Claims") arising or resulting from (a) damage to property or bodily injury or death of any person caused by LACMTA or any agent, employee, contractor or consultant thereof; (b) any entry upon and use of the Site by LACMTA or any agent, employee, contractor or consultant thereof; (c) any negligence or willful misconduct of LACMTA or any agent, employee, contractor or consultant thereof in, on, under or adjacent to the Site; (d) any release of Hazardous Substances (other than pre-existing Hazardous Substances) upon or from the Site, or contamination of the Site which occurs due to the exercise of the Retained Rights by LACMTA or any agent, employee, contractor or consultant thereof; or (e) any release of pre-existing Hazardous Substances but solely to the extent such release is made worse due to the acts, negligence or willful misconduct of LACMTA or any agent, employee, contractor or consultant thereof in the course of the exercise of any of the Retained Rights by LACMTA or such agent, employee, contractor or consultant thereof. LACMTA shall not be liable to Developer or any Ground Lessee, nor shall LACMTA have any obligation to hold harmless, defend or indemnify Developer or any Ground Lessee for any Claims under this indemnification provision if and to the extent the same arises or results from the negligence or willful misconduct of Developer, the applicable Ground Lessee, their respective agents, employees, or contractors.

2. LACMTA will give Developer or each Ground Lessee, as applicable, reasonable prior notice of exercise of any Retained Rights, and parties will meet and confer and reasonably cooperate to develop a plan to permit LACMTA to exercise such Retained Rights with as minimal impact to the development or operation of the Site as reasonably possible, and any work required to be performed in connection with the exercise of such Retained Rights shall be performed by or on behalf of LACMTA as expeditiously as practical, all as will be more particularly set forth in each Ground Lease. Developer and each Ground Lessee will have the right to provide comments to and reasonably approve any plans and specifications for any construction, expansion, or replacement of Public Transit Facilities in, on, under or adjacent to the Site solely in order to prevent interference with the development or operation of the Site and preserve such minimal impact; and

3. LACMTA will reimburse Developer or each Ground Lessee, as applicable, for such parties' out of pocket third party costs and expenses in so cooperating with LACMTA in connection with

LACMTA's exercise of its retained rights, provided, however, LACMTA shall not be obligated to reimburse Developer or any Ground Lessee, individually, more than \$20,000 on account of such out of pocket third party costs and expenses.

**SUPERSEDEDURE:**

This Summary of Key Terms and Conditions supersedes and replaces any and all term sheets or summaries of key terms and conditions relating to the Site, the Project or any joint development agreement or ground lease dated prior to February 2, 2024; provided, however, this Summary of Key Terms and Conditions does not supersede or replace the ENA or any provisions thereof.

**REPRESENTATIONS  
AND WARRANTIES:**

The parties shall make customary representations and warranties in the JDA for a transaction of this size and type including (1) organization and good standing, (2) authority and enforceability, (3) non-contravention, (4) compliance with law, (5) status as a non-foreign person, (6) absence of litigation, and (7) brokers.

**OTHER:**

Subject to Developer's and/or the applicable Ground Lessee's approval, other customary provisions contained in recent LACMTA ground leases will be included in the Ground Leases, including, without limitation, provisions relating to (a) Lessee's assumption of risk related to the Project's proximity to rail and other transit operations, (b) insurance, and (c) indemnity.

**LACMTA TRANSACTION COSTS DURING JDA TERM:**

---

**DURING JDA TERM:**

Prior to and as a condition precedent to the execution of the JDA by LACMTA, Developer shall submit to LACMTA an initial deposit in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) ("**Initial Amount**") via wire transfer or Automated Clearing House electronic funds transfer as directed by LACMTA in writing (the Initial Amount, and any additional sums deposited in accordance with this section, shall hereinafter be referred to collectively as the "**Transaction Costs Deposit**"), which Deposit shall cover the out-of-pocket costs incurred by LACMTA related to the design, development and planning (including planning related to construction methods and logistics) of the Project improvements and negotiation of the terms and conditions of the transactions contemplated during the term of the JDA, including without limitation, the actual cost of in-house staff time (including LACMTA overhead and administrative costs but excluding in-house legal counsel costs and LACMTA joint development staff costs) and third party consultants and

contractors (including, but not limited to, lawyers, consultants, engineers, architects, and advisors) for the performance of financial analyses, design review, negotiations, document preparation, appraisals, and other reasonable services related to the Project improvements and LACMTA's performance of its obligations under the JDA (including, without limitation, reviewing the Plans and Specifications for the Project improvements and engineering and other reports related to the Project improvements). These costs will be known collectively as "**LACMTA Transaction Costs**". The Transaction Costs Deposit shall be deposited into a separate account (the "**Deposit Account**").

Any unspent funds provided by Developer under the ENA shall be carried over and credited towards the Initial Amount.

The JDA shall provide that if LACMTA reasonably determines that the balance of the Deposit Account is insufficient to cover the anticipated remaining LACMTA Transaction Costs, LACMTA shall have the right to request, and Developer shall pay within thirty (30) days of such request, additional monies, which may exceed the Initial Amount, as may be reasonably necessary to cover such anticipated remaining LACMTA Transaction Costs; provided, however, Developer shall have no obligation to make any additional payments or deposits if the aggregate of such additional payments or deposits exceeds \$50,000 (the "**Additional Deposit Cap**"), and Developer's failure to so pay such additional payments or deposits in excess of the Additional Deposit Cap shall not result in a breach or default by Developer of its obligations under the JDA nor permit LACMTA to cease performing its obligations under the JDA. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Additional Deposit Cap does not apply to the actual out of pocket costs incurred by LACMTA that are associated with Developer or Developer's lender requests for approvals, estoppels, changes or transfers, or to respond to requests from Developer's lenders or investors, and other similar ongoing costs during the incurred by LACMTA to respond to Developer requests (in each case excluding any such requests for approvals, estoppels, changes, transfers or such other requests that are expressly contemplated by or set forth in this Agreement) which amounts shall be paid by Developer to LACMTA within thirty (30) days of written demand, which written demand shall include invoices or other evidence of such costs incurred.

LACMTA shall provide to Developer a quarterly accounting of the Deposit Account, within thirty (30) days of the end of each calendar

quarter during the term of the JDA. Such quarterly accounting shall consist of (a) documentation of the LACMTA Transaction Costs incurred in the prior quarter, provided that the form of documentation will be such that is available to LACMTA and in its possession, in LACMTA's sole good faith determination, (b) the amounts held in the Deposit Account at the beginning and end of each applicable calendar quarter, along with deposits made (if any), (c) line items reflecting each of the LACMTA Transaction Costs charged to the Deposit Account over such calendar quarter and (d) the cumulative amount of LACMTA Transaction Costs charged to the Deposit Account over such calendar quarter.

In the event that the JDA terminates or is terminated in accordance with its terms, the Transaction Costs Deposit will become non-refundable to the extent necessary to pay LACMTA Transaction Costs for (a) those costs or services actually performed by or on behalf of LACMTA through the date of such termination, and (b) those costs and services actually incurred by LACMTA or which LACMTA is contractually committed to pay, provided, LACMTA shall only retain from the Transaction Costs Deposit the lesser of (i) amounts required to be paid as of the date of termination for such costs and services, or (ii) any termination or cancellation fee or penalty that LACMTA is required to pay any third party consultant and contractor under any contract for services related to LACMTA's performance of its obligations under the JDA upon the cancellation of such contract by LACMTA in connection with the termination of the JDA, and LACMTA shall return to Developer any portion of the Transaction Costs Deposit that is not needed to pay such LACMTA Transaction Costs, without interest.

The Parties agree that LACMTA (i) has no obligation to pay interest on the Deposit to Developer, and (ii) is not required to deposit the Deposit in an interest bearing account. Interest, if any, earned on the Deposit may remain in the Deposit account and may be added to the amount of the Deposit.

## Attachment A

### LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LACMTA PROPERTY

Per Chicago Title Company Order No. 00073130-994-LT2-DB

THE LAND REFERRED TO HEREIN BELOW IS SITUATED IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL 1: (APN 2350-012-925)

LOTS 1 AND 2 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 2: (APN 2350-012-927)

LOT 4 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 3: (APN 2350-012-928)

LOT 5, IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 4: (APN 2350-012-929)

LOT 6 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 5: (APN 2350-012-930)

LOT 7 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 6: (APN 2350-012-931)

LOT 8 IN BLOCK 9, OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 7A: (APN 2350-012-923)

THE NORTH 50 FEET OF LOTS 16 AND 18, IN BLOCK 9, TOWN OF TOLUCA, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS SHOWN ON RECORDER'S FILED MAP NO. 515, ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY, TOGETHER WITH THE WEST 30 FEET OF LOT 19 IN SAID BLOCK 9.

EXCEPT THE SOUTH 100 FEET OF SAID LOT 19.

PARCEL 7B: (APN 2350-012-924)

LOTS 16, 17 AND 18 IN BLOCK 9, TOWN OF TOLUCA, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP OF THE TOWN OF TOLUCA FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY ON JANUARY 31, 1895.

EXCEPT THE NORTH 50 FEET OF LOTS 16 AND 18, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 16 AND THE WEST 30 FEET OF THE SOUTH 100 FEET OF SAID LOT 19.

PARCEL 8: (APNS 2350-012-932, 933, 934, 935,936, 937)

LOTS 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 AND 15 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 9: (APN 2350-012-926)

LOT 3 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 10: (APN 2350-012-938)

ALL OF LOTS 19 TO 29, INCLUSIVE, EXCEPT THE WEST 30 FEET OF SAID LOT 19, ALL IN BLOCK 9 OF TOLUCA, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS DESIGNATED ON MAP FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY ON JANUARY 31, 1895, TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF THE VACATED STREETS AND ALLEY ADJOINING SAID LOTS ON THE NORTH, SOUTH AND EAST AS VACATED BY RESOLUTION TO VACATE NO. 02-1400494, RECORDED AUGUST 6, 2003 AS [INSTRUMENT NO. 03-2267607](#) AND BY RESOLUTION TO VACATE NO. 04-1400494-R, RECORDED JULY 8, 2004 AS [INSTRUMENT NO. 04-1743825, BOTH OF OFFICIAL RECORDS](#).

PARCEL 11: (APN 2350-012-922)

ALL THAT CERTAIN PIECE OF PARCEL OF LAND SITUATED IN THE RANCHO EX-MISSION DE SAN FERNANDO, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BEING A PORTION OF THE 100 FOOT STRIP OF LAND CONVEYED TO SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, BY PACIFIC IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, BY DEED DATED DECEMBER 30, 1893, RECORDED IN [BOOK 946 PAGE 317 OF DEEDS](#), RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY, BLOCK 160 OF THE LANKERSHIM RANCH LAND AND WATER COMPANY, SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST 12,000 ACRES OF THE RANCHO EX-MISSION OF SAN FERNANDO, ACCORDING TO THE MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 31 PAGE 39 TO 44 INCLUSIVE OF MAPS](#), RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD, 80 FEET WIDE (FORMERLY FERNANDO AVENUE) , AS SHOWN IN SAID BLOCK 160 ON SAID MAP OF SAID LANKERSHIM RANCHO LAND AND WATER COMPANY, SUBDIVISION WITH THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID STRIP OF LAND 100 FEET IN WIDTH; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE SAID SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100 FOOT STRIP OF LAND, A DISTANCE OF 300 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE FROM SAID TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING NORTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES 100 FEET TO A POINT IN THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100 FOOT STRIP OF LAND, SAID NORTHERLY LINE BEING PARALLEL WITH AND 30 FEET SOUTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES FROM THE CENTER LINE OF THE MAIN TRACK OF THE SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 60 FEET; THENCE SOUTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES 100 FEET TO A POINT IN SAID SOUTHERLY LINE; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE 60 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 12: (APN 2350-012-921)

ALL THAT CERTAIN PIECE OF PARCEL LAND SITUATED IN RANCHO EX-MISSION DE SAN FERNANDO, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BEING A PORTION OF THE 100-FOOT STRIP OF LAND CONVEYED TO SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY BY PACIFIC IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, BY DEED DATED DECEMBER 30, 1893, RECORDED IN [BOOK 946 PAGE 317 OF DEEDS](#), RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY, IN BLOCK 160 OF THE LANKERSHIM RANCH LAND AND WATER COMPANY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST 12,000 ACRES OF THE RANCHO EX-MISSION OF SAN FERNANDO, ACCORDING TO THE MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 31 PAGES 39 TO 44 INCLUSIVE OF MISCELLANEOUS RECORDS](#), IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY, AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD, 80 FEET WIDE (FORMERLY SAN FERNANDO AVENUE), AS SHOWN IN SAID BLOCK 160 ON SAID MAP OF SAID LANKERSHIM RANCH LAND AND WATER COMPANY'S SUBDIVISION, WITH THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID STRIP OF LAND, 100 FEET IN WIDTH; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE SAID SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100-FOOT STRIP OF LAND, DISTANCE OF 300 FEET; THENCE NORTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES, 100 FEET TO A POINT IN THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100-FOOT STRIP OF LAND; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE 350.32 FEET TO A POINT IN THE SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD, 111.94 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 13: (APN 2350-012-906)

THE WEST 150 FEET OF LOT 1, BLOCK 12 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115](#) OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 14: (APN 2350-012-907)

THE WESTERLY 150 FEET OF THAT PORTION OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 2 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115](#) OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT, 206.88 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTH 262 FEET OF SAID LOT; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE 242.54 FEET; THENCE SOUTHERLY IN A DIRECT LINE TO A POINT IN THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT, DISTANT EASTERLY 241.60 FEET FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE 241.60 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 15: (APN 2350-012-908)

THE WEST 150.00 OF THE NORTH 262.00 FEET OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 2 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN [BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115](#) OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 16: (PTNS 2350-012-920)

INTENTIONALLY DELETED



PARCEL 17:

(2350-013-920)


THE SOUTHERLY 30 FEET OF LOT 146 AND THE NORTHERLY 30 FEET OF LOT 161, OF THE LANKERSHIM RANCH LAND AND WATER CO'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST 12,000 ACRES, OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE RANCHO EX-MISSION OF SAN FERNANDO, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 31 PAGES 39 ET SEQ. OF MISCELLANEOUS RECORDS.

EXCEPT THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LOTS 146 AND 161 LYING WESTERLY OF THE SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 2 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS.

ALSO EXCEPT THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LOTS 146 AND 161 LYING EASTERLY OF THE WESTERLY LINE OF PARCEL A OF PARCEL MAP NO. 2002-6229, AND ITS SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION, AS PER MAP FILED IN BOOK 345 PAGES 80-81 OF PARCEL MAPS.


**Attachment B**

**DEPICTION OF THE SITE**



# Attachment C

## PROTECTED AREAS



## Attachment D

### JDA CONSIDERATION AND GROUND RENT

|                        | <b>Anticipated Closing Date</b> | <b>Initial JDA Consideration</b> | <b>Allocation of \$2M Deposit</b> | <b>JDA Consideration Allocated Payment</b> | <b>Ground Lease Rent<sup>2</sup> (% of Gross Receipts)</b> | <b>Signage Revenue (% of Gross Revenue Received by Ground Lessee)</b> |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Block 1                | 8/1/2025                        | \$6,642,327                      | (\$642,327)                       | \$6,000,000                                | 1.00%  | 15.00%  |
| Block 2                | 8/1/2025                        | \$236,432                        | (\$236,432)                       | \$0  | 1.25%  | n/a   |
| Block 3                | 8/1/2026                        | \$4,409,378                      | (\$294,764)                       | \$4,114,614                                | 1.00%  | n/a   |
| Block 456 <sup>1</sup> | 1/1/2029                        | \$0                              | \$0                               | \$0  | 0.75%  | 15.00%  |
| Block 7                | 8/1/2025                        | \$4,033,219                      | (\$155,583)                       | \$3,877,636                                | 1.00%  | n/a   |
| Block 8 <sup>3</sup>   | 8/1/2025                        | \$670,894                        | (\$670,894)                       | \$0  | 1.25%  | 10.00%  |
| <b>Total</b>           |                                 | <b>\$15,992,250</b>              | <b>(\$2,000,000)</b>              | <b>\$13,992,250</b>                        |  |   |

<sup>1</sup> Following the First Ground Lease Transfer of Block 456, if the aggregate transfer tax rate then in effect in the City and County of Los Angeles is less than or equal to 3.5%, Block 456 Ground Lease Rent will increase to 1.00%.

<sup>2</sup> Commencing in year 65 of the applicable Ground Lease term, Ground Lease Rent for all sites shall increase by 100bps.

<sup>3</sup> If Block 8 is developed as a residential mixed-income building, the ground rent and signage revenue payable under the ground lease shall be the same amounts as those for Block 456.

#### Sales Participation:


- 0.5% of Gross Sales Proceeds with respect to the second Transfer of Ground Lease (following the first Transfer, which is by-right)
- 0.75% of Gross Sales Proceeds with respect to the third Transfer of Ground Lease
- 1.00% of Gross Sales Proceeds with respect to any and all Transfers thereafter

**Attachment E**

**RESERVED**

# Attachment F

## SITE PLAN AND RENDERING





## Attachment G

### QUALIFIED TRANSFEREE REQUIREMENTS

1. Each Qualified Transferee must comply with each of the terms and conditions of each Ground Lease, including (i) the provisions requiring each ground lessee to comply with non-discrimination rules and regulations at the state, local and federal level and (ii) provisions requiring each ground lessee to avoid interference with or impairment of LACMTA's maintenance or safe and efficient operation of LACMTA's transit facilities and activities. Each Ground Lease shall provide (i) the ground lessee and its agents, contractors, managers and sub-tenants shall not threaten, endanger, interrupt, impair or unreasonably inconvenience in any way the safe and efficient operation of LACMTA's transit activities or facilities, (ii) should any construction or other activity on the leased premises performed by, or on behalf of, ground lessee or its sub-tenants interrupt operations of LACMTA's transit activities or facilities, LACMTA will have the right to enter the leased premises to undertake remedial activity to the extent reasonably necessary to allow safe and efficient operation of LACMTA's transit activities or facilities, at ground lessee's cost. If such interference is non-critical in LACMTA's sole discretion, then LACMTA may notify the ground lessee and provide a reasonable opportunity to remediate the disturbance. The ground lease will provide that LACMTA will be reimbursed by ground lessee for all costs it incurs in remediating any such interference within 30 days of written demand.
2. Each Qualified Transferee must meet the requirements of the Ground Lease that there be no organizational conflict of interest, including a certification that such transferee has not been debarred or suspended (except as authorized by certain U.S. DOT regulations and U.S. OMB "Guidelines to Agencies on Government Wide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement)" and Executive Orders Nos 12549 and 12689 "Debarment and Suspension").
3. Each Qualified Transferee shall not, within the 3 year period preceding the transfer, have been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for (i) commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining a contract ("Public Transaction") with the federal government or any state or local government, (ii) violation of any antitrust statutes, (iii) committing any illegal payment of a commission or gratuity, embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, (iv) making a false statement, or (v) receiving stolen property. Each Qualified Transferee shall not be presently indicted or criminally charged by a government entity with commission of any of the foregoing offenses and shall not, within such 3 year period, have had one or more Public Transactions terminated for cause or default.
4. Each Qualified Transferee shall provide the Certification of Prospective Tenant in the form that is to be attached to the Ground Lease.

# Attachment H

## BURBANK-CHANDLER TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR ROW



BURBANK-CHANDLER TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR ROW



**CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) FINDINGS**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This Environmental Impact Report (EIR), consisting of the Draft EIR and the Final EIR, is intended to serve as an informational document for public agency decision-makers and the general public regarding the objectives and components of the District NoHo Project (Project), a new mixed-use multi-phased development on a 15.9 acre site located in the North Hollywood–Valley Village Community Plan Area of the City (Project Site). The Project proposes up to 1,523,528 square feet of residential uses comprised of 1,216 market rate and 311 affordable units (representing 20 percent of the total proposed residential units), along with up to 685,499 square feet of retail, restaurant, and office uses. The Project would also include three public plazas totaling approximately two acres, and approximately 211,280 square feet of open space serving the Project, which would be privately operated and maintained with amenities located throughout the Project Site. The Project would also include improvements to transit facilities at the Metro (LA County Metropolitan Transportation Authority) North Hollywood Station. The proposed uses would be supported by vehicle and bicycle parking spaces distributed throughout the Project Site. In addition, up to 274 vehicle parking spaces for Metro uses in both on- and off-site locations and up to 128 Metro Bike Hub bicycle parking spaces would be provided. The Project includes Specific Plan to regulate land use and development at the site, including certain street improvements. In addition, the Project includes a Sign District to regulate new signage throughout the site, including both on- and off-site advertising, static wall-mounted signs and murals, ground-mounted signage, and digital displays.

The City of Los Angeles (the City), as Lead Agency, has evaluated the environmental impacts of implementation of the Project by preparing an EIR (Case Number ENV-2019-7241-EIR/State Clearinghouse No. 2020060573). The EIR was prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 21000 et seq. (CEQA) and the California Code of Regulations Title 15, Chapter 6 (the CEQA Guidelines). The findings discussed in this document are made relative to the conclusions of the EIR.

CEQA Section 21002 provides that “public agencies should not approve projects as proposed if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen the significant environmental effects of such projects[.]” The procedures required by CEQA “are intended to assist public agencies in systematically identifying both the significant effects of proposed projects and the feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures which will avoid or substantially lessen such significant effects.” CEQA Section 21002 goes on to state that “in the event [that] specific economic, social, or other conditions make infeasible such project alternatives or such mitigation measures, individual projects may be approved in spite of one or more significant effects thereof.”

The mandate and principles announced in CEQA Section 21002 are implemented, in part, through the requirement that agencies must adopt findings before approving projects for which EIRs are required. (See CEQA § 21081[a]; CEQA Guidelines § 15091[a].) For each significant environmental impact identified in an EIR for a proposed project, the approving agency must issue a written finding, based on substantial evidence, in light of the whole record, reaching one or more of the three possible findings, as follows:

- 1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that avoid or substantially lessen the significant impacts as identified in the EIR.
- 2) Such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency.
- 3) Specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

The findings reported in the following pages incorporate the facts and discussions of the environmental impacts that are found to be significant in the Final EIR for the Project as fully set forth therein. Although Section 15091 of the CEQA Guidelines does not require findings to address environmental impacts that an EIR identifies as merely “potentially significant,” these findings nevertheless fully account for all such effects identified in the Final EIR for the purpose of better understanding the full environmental scope of the Project. For each environmental issue analyzed in the EIR, the following information is provided:

- Description of Significant Effects – A description of the environmental effects identified in the EIR.
- Project Design Features – A list of the project design features or actions that are included as part of the Project.
- Mitigation Measures – A list of the mitigation measures that are required as part of the Project to reduce identified significant impacts.
- Finding – One or more of the three possible findings set forth above for each of the significant impacts.
- Rationale for Finding – A summary of the rationale for the finding(s).
- Reference – A reference of the specific section of the EIR, which includes the evidence and discussion of the identified impact.

With respect to a project for which significant impacts are not avoided or substantially lessened either through the adoption of feasible mitigation measures or feasible environmentally superior alternatives, a public agency, after adopting proper findings based on substantial evidence, may nevertheless approve the project, if the agency first adopts a statement of overriding considerations setting forth the specific reasons why the agency found that the project's benefits rendered acceptable its unavoidable adverse environmental effects. (CEQA Guidelines §15093, 15043[b]; see also CEQA § 21081[b].)

## II. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

For purposes of CEQA and these Findings, the Record of Proceedings for the Project includes, but is not limited to, the following documents:

**Initial Study.** The Project was reviewed by the City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning (serving as Lead Agency) in accordance with the requirements of CEQA (PRC § 21000, et seq.). The City prepared an Initial Study in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15063(a).

**Notice of Preparation.** Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15082, the City then circulated a Notice of Preparation (NOP) to state, regional and local agencies, and members of the public for a 30-day comment period commencing on July 7, 2020. The purpose of the NOP was to formally inform the public that the City was preparing a Draft EIR for the Project, and to solicit input regarding the scope and content of the environmental information to be included in the Draft EIR. In addition, a public scoping meeting was held regarding the Project on July 15, 2020, as well as an additional public scoping meeting for Spanish speakers on July 16, 2020. Written comment letters responding to the NOP were submitted to the City by various public agencies and interested organizations. The NOP, Initial Study, and comment letters are included in Appendix A of the Draft EIR.

**Draft EIR.** The Draft EIR evaluated in detail the potential effects of the Project. It also analyzed the effects of a reasonable range of four alternatives to the Project, including a "No Project" alternative. The Draft EIR for the Project (State Clearinghouse No. 2020060573), incorporated herein by reference in full, was prepared pursuant to CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 46-day public comment period beginning on April 7, 2022, and ending on May 23, 2022. Copies of the written comments received are provided in the Final

EIR. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088, the City, as Lead Agency, reviewed all comments received during the review period for the Draft EIR and responded to each comment in Section II of the Final EIR.

**Notice of Completion.** A Notice of Completion was sent with the Draft EIR to the Governor's Office of Planning and Research State Clearinghouse for distribution to State Agencies on April 7, 2022, and notice was provided in newspapers of general and/or regional circulation.

**Final EIR.** The City published a Final EIR for the Project on June 30, 2023, which is hereby incorporated by reference in full. The Final EIR is intended to serve as an informational document for public agency decision-makers and the general public regarding objectives and components of the Project. The Final EIR addresses the environmental effects associated with implementation of the Project, identifies feasible mitigation measures and alternatives that may be adopted to reduce or eliminate these impacts, and includes written responses to all comments received on the Draft EIR during the public review period. Responses were sent to all public agencies that made comments on the Draft EIR at least 10 days prior to certification of the Final EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088(b). In addition, all individuals that commented on the Draft EIR also received a copy of the Final EIR. The Final EIR was also made available for review on the City's website. Notices regarding availability of the Final EIR were sent to owners and occupants of property within a 500-foot radius of the Project Site, Agencies which commented on the Draft EIR, as well as individuals who commented on the Draft EIR, provided comments during the NOP comment period, or requested notice.

**Public Hearing.** A duly noticed public hearing for the Project was held by the Deputy Advisory Agency and a Hearing Officer on behalf of the City Planning Commission on July 26, 2023.

### III. RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

For purposes of CEQA and these Findings, the Record of Proceedings for the Project includes, but is not limited to, the following documents and other materials that constitute the administrative record upon which the City approved the Project. The following information is incorporated by reference and made part of the record supporting these Findings of Fact:

- All Project plans and application materials, including supportive technical reports;
- The Draft EIR and Appendices, Final EIR and Appendices, and all documents relied upon or incorporated therein by reference;
- The Mitigation Monitoring Program (MMP) prepared for the Project;
- The City of Los Angeles General Plan and related EIR;
- The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)'s 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and related EIR (SCH No. 2019011061));
- City of Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), including, but not limited, to the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Ordinance;
- All records of decision, resolutions, staff reports, memoranda, maps, exhibits, letters, minutes of meetings, summaries, and other documents approved, reviewed, relied upon,

or prepared by any City commissions, boards, officials, consultants, or staff relating to the Project;

- Any documents expressly cited in these Findings of Fact, in addition to those cited above; and
- Any and all other materials required for the record of proceedings by PRC Section 21167.6(e).

Pursuant to CEQA Section 21081.6(a)(2) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15091(e), the documents and other materials that constitute the Record of Proceedings upon which the City has based its decision are located in and may be obtained from the Department of City Planning, as the custodian of such documents and other materials that constitute the record of proceedings, located at the City of Los Angeles, Figueroa Plaza, 221 North Figueroa Street, Room 1350, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

In addition, copies of the Initial Study, Draft EIR and Final EIR are available on the Department of City Planning's website at <https://planning.lacity.org/development-services/eir> (to locate the documents, search for either the environmental case number or project title in the Search Box). The Draft and Final EIR are also available at the following four Library Branches:

- Los Angeles Central Library—630 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, CA 90071
- North Hollywood Regional Library, 5211 Tujunga Avenue, North Hollywood, CA 91601
- Valley Plaza Branch Library, 12311 Vanowen Street, North Hollywood, CA 91605

#### **IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT**

The Project proposes a mixed-use, and multi-phased development on approximately 15.9 acres of land owned by Metro at and including the terminus of Metro's B (Red) Line and G (Orange) Line (Project Site) as part of a joint development effort with Metro. The development would include market rate and affordable multi-family residential units, retail/ restaurant uses, office space, transportation facility improvements, bicycle and vehicle parking facilities, and two off-site parking structures for transit patrons.

The Project would, through Metro self-permitting authority, improve transit facilities at Metro's North Hollywood Station, including the Metro B (Red) Line portal entry and bus terminal for the Metro G (Orange) Line, with integration of public plazas and incorporation of retail uses within the historic Lankershim Depot. Additionally, Metro would construct two parking structures located on the "East Lot" and "West Lot." The Project would relocate multiple municipal and Metro Bus lines to the public right of way around the Metro G Line terminus. The Project also proposes the development of up to 2,209,027 square feet of new commercial and residential uses, including up to 1,523,528 square feet of residential uses comprised of 1,216 market rate and 311 affordable units (representing 20 percent of the total proposed residential units), along with up to 685,499 square feet of retail, restaurant, and office uses.

The Project would also include three public transit and event plazas (i.e., the Promenade, Transit Square, and NoHo Square) totaling approximately two acres with adjacent retail and restaurant uses. Overall, the Project would include 211,280 square feet of open space, which would be privately operated and maintained with amenities located throughout the Project Site. The proposed uses would be supported by vehicle and bicycle parking spaces for

Project uses, located throughout the site. Up to 274 vehicle parking spaces for Metro uses in both on- and off-site locations and up to 128 Metro Bike Hub bicycle parking spaces would be provided. Vehicle parking would be provided in both subterranean and above-grade structures, as well as within surface lots. The maximum depth of excavation would be up to approximately 60 feet below ground surface.

Overall, at buildout, the Project would remove 49,111 square feet of existing floor area, retain and relocate on-site the 1,725-square-foot historic Lankershim Depot, and construct 2,207,302 square feet of new floor area, resulting in a net increase of 2,158,191 square feet, and a total of 2,209,027 square feet of floor area within the Project Site on a 15.9 acre site. The Project is anticipated to be constructed in multiple, potentially overlapping phases over a period of approximately 15 years, with full buildout anticipated in 2038. A Specific Plan and Sign District would provide regulations for the development of the Project and an associated signage program.

## **V. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT OR LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITHOUT MITIGATION IN THE INITIAL STUDY**

The Department of City Planning prepared an Initial Study dated June 30, 2020, which is located in Appendix A of the Draft EIR. The Initial Study found the following environmental impacts not to be significant or less than significant without mitigation:

- I. Aesthetics**
  - a. Scenic Vista
  - b. Scenic Resources
  - c. Visual Character
  - d. Light & Glare
  
- II. Agricultural and Forest Resources**
  - a. Farmland
  - b. Existing Zoning for Agricultural Use
  - c. Forest Land or Timberland Zoning
  - d. Loss or Conversion of Forest Land
  - e. Other Changes in the Existing Environment
  
- III. Air Quality**
  - d. Objectionable Odors
  
- IV. Biological Resources**
  - a. Special Status Species
  - b. Riparian Habitat and Wetlands
  - c. Wetlands
  - d. Wildlife Movement
  - e. Local Preservation Policies
  - f. Habitat Conservation Plans
  
- V. Cultural Resources**
  - c. Human Remains
  
- VII. Geological and Soils**
  - a.iv. Landslides
  - b. Soil Erosion

- e. Septic Tanks

**IX. Hazards and Hazardous Materials**

- e. Airport Land Use Plans
- g. Wildland Fires

**X. Hydrology and Water Quality**

- a. Water Quality Standards
- b. Groundwater Supplies
- c. Drainage
- d. Flood Hazard
- e. Degrade Water Quality

**XI. Land Use and Planning**

- a. Divide an Established Community

**XII. Mineral Resources**

- a. Loss of Known Mineral Resources
- b. Loss of Mineral Resources Recovery Site

**XIII. Noise**

- c. Airport Land Use Plans; Private Airstrips

**XIII. Population and Housing**

- b. Displacement of Existing Housing or Existing Residents

**XVII. Transportation/Traffic**

- c. Geometric Design

**XIX. Utilities**

- d. Landfill capacity
- e. Solid Waste Regulations

The City has reviewed the record and agrees with the conclusion that the above environmental issues would not be significantly affected by the Project and, therefore, no additional findings are needed. The City ratifies, adopts, and incorporates the analysis, explanation, findings, responses to comments, and conclusions of the Initial Study.

**VI. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT OR LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT PRIOR TO MITIGATION**

Impacts of the Project that were determined to have no impact or be less than significant in the EIR (including having a less than significant impact, as a result of implementation of project design features and regulatory compliance measures) and that require no mitigation are identified below. The City has reviewed the record and agrees with the conclusion that the following environmental issues would not be significantly affected by the Project and, therefore, no additional findings are needed. The following information does not repeat the full discussions of environmental impacts contained in the EIR. The City ratifies, adopts, and incorporates the analysis, explanation, findings, responses to comments, and conclusions of the EIR.

## 1. Air Quality

### (A) Consistency with Applicable Air Quality Management Plan

As detailed in Section IV.A, Air Quality, of the Draft EIR, on pages IV.A-47-58, the Project is consistent with the South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD) Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP), as well as the applicable City plans and policies. Thus, the Project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the AQMP or applicable City policies pertaining to air quality.

### (B) Construction Emissions

#### (i) Construction – Localized Emissions

As discussed in Section IV.A, Air Quality, on pages IV.A-69-71 and Table IV.A-12 of the Draft EIR, the Project would not produce emissions exceeding SCAQMD's recommended localized standards of significance, as shown by Table IV.A-12 of the Draft EIR. As a result, construction of the Project impacts would be less than significant.

#### (ii) Toxic Air Contaminants (TACs)

As discussed in Section IV.A, Air Quality, on pages IV.A-71 of the Draft EIR, construction of the Project would not emit TACs exceeding SCAQMD standards, and therefore, would result in less than significant impacts.

### (C) Operational Emissions

#### (i) Operation – Localized Emissions

As discussed in Section IV.A, Air Quality, of the Draft EIR pages IV.A-71-73 and Table IV.A-13, operation of the Project would not result in an exceedance of SCAQMD localized emissions standards, and therefore, would result in less than significant impacts.

#### (ii) Toxic Air Contaminants

As discussed in Section IV.A, Air Quality, of the Draft EIR, on pages IV.A-73-74, operation of the Project would not result in emission of TACs exceeding SCAQMD standards, and therefore, would result in less than significant impacts.

### (D) Concurrent Construction and Operational Local Emissions

Portions of the Project Site would be completed and occupied while construction of the later Project components would be ongoing. Therefore, concurrent construction and operational impacts were evaluated. Based on a review of the Project, the reasonably anticipated maximum concurrent emissions are expected to occur during operation of East and West Lots and Blocks 0, 7, and 8 and construction of Blocks 5/6. This development scenario results in the maximum amount of operational activity in terms of square footage developed on the Project Site, as well as maximum daily activity, while construction is ongoing. As summarized in Table IV.A 14, localized emissions during concurrent operations and construction would not exceed the SCAQMD localized thresholds. Therefore, localized concurrent construction and operational emissions resulting from the Project would result in a less-than-significant air quality impact.



(E) Project Design Features

Project Design Feature AIR-PDF-1, which identifies that electricity from power poles and/or solar generators would be used rather than gas-powered equipment, where feasible, is incorporated into the Project and is incorporated into these Findings as though fully set forth herein. This Project Design Feature would support and promote environmental sustainability and was primarily considered in the analysis of potential greenhouse gas impacts but would also serve to reduce criteria air pollutants.

**2. Energy Use**

As demonstrated in the Energy Section of the Draft EIR, Section IV.C, the Project would not cause wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy during construction or operation. Based on the analysis in Draft EIR Section IV.C, the Project's impacts would not be cumulatively considerable and cumulative energy use impacts are concluded to be less than significant.

**3. Geology and Soils**

(A) Geologic Hazards

As demonstrated in the Geology and Soils Section of the Draft EIR, Section IV.D, with adherence to applicable regulations and any site-specific recommendations set forth in a site-specific geotechnical evaluation, the Project would not result in significant direct or cumulative impacts related to geological and soil conditions. As such, the Project's impacts would be less than significant.

(B) Paleontological Resources

As demonstrated in the Geology and Soils Section of the Draft EIR, Section IV.D, the Project would be subject to the City's standard condition of approval to address the potential for uncovering of paleontological resources. Therefore, the Project would not result in significant direct or cumulative impacts to paleontological resources. As such, the Project's impacts would be less than significant.

**4. Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions**

The significance of the Project's GHG emissions is evaluated consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(b) by considering whether the Project complies with applicable plans, policies, regulations, and requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions. For this Project, as a land use development project, the most directly applicable adopted regulatory plan to reduce GHG emissions is the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS, which is designed to achieve regional GHG reductions from the land use and transportation sectors as required by Senate Bill (SB) 375 and the state's long-term climate goals. The analysis also considers consistency with regulations or requirements adopted by the Assembly Bill (AB) 32 2008 Climate Change Scoping Plan and subsequent updates, and the Sustainable City pLAn/L.A.'s Green New Deal.

As provided in Table IV.E-7 of the Draft EIR, the Project would not conflict with the Climate Change Scoping Plan, which is intended to reduce GHG emissions. In addition, the Project would not conflict with the 2022 GHG Scoping Plan as set forth in Appendix FEIR-4 of

the Final EIR: 2022 GHG Scoping Plan Consistency Analysis. Additionally, as discussed in the Draft EIR, the Project would not conflict with the SCAG 2020-2045 RTP/SCS.

Table IV.E-8 of the Draft EIR provides a discussion of the Project's consistency with applicable GHG-reducing actions from L.A.'s Green New Deal. As discussed therein, the Project would be consistent with the applicable goals and actions of L.A.'s Green New Deal.

For the reasons discussed in Draft EIR Section IV.E, the Project's post-2030 emissions trajectory is expected to follow a declining trend, consistent with the 2030 and 2050 targets and Executive Orders S-3-05 and B-30-15.

Additionally, as shown in Table IV.E-11 of the Draft EIR, when taking into consideration implementation of relevant project design features, as well as the requirements set forth in the City of Los Angeles Green Building Code and full implementation of current state mandates, the Project's GHG emissions in 2035 would be 32,344 MTCO<sub>2e</sub> per year (amortized over 30 years) during construction and 17,521 MTCO<sub>2e</sub> per year during operation, resulting in a combined total of 18,599 MTCO<sub>2e</sub> per year.

As determined in Draft EIR Section IV.E, given the Project's consistency with statewide, regional, and local plans adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions, it is concluded that the Project's incremental contribution to GHG emissions and their effects on climate change would not be cumulatively considerable. For these reasons, the Project's cumulative contribution to global climate change is less than significant.

(A) Project Design Features

Project Design Features GHG-PDF-1 and GHG-PDF-2, which state that the Project would be built to LEED Silver level or equivalent sustainability standards and which limit the number of natural gas fireplaces as residential amenities, are incorporated into the Project and are incorporated into these Findings as though fully set forth herein. These Project Design Features were considered in the analysis of potential impacts.

**5. Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Operations**

As demonstrated in the Hazards and Hazardous Materials Section of the Draft EIR, Section IV.F, Project-level and cumulative impacts related to the release of hazardous materials from Project operations into the environment were determined to be less than significant.

**6. Land Use and Planning**

(A) Conflict with Applicable Goals, Objectives, and Policies Adopted for the Purpose of Avoiding or Mitigating an Environmental Effect

As set forth in detail in Table 1 of Appendix K of the Draft EIR and summarized in Draft EIR Section IV.G, Land Use, the Project would not conflict with applicable goals, objectives, and policies adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect and therefore, impacts are less than significant.

(B) Cumulative Impacts

(i) Physically Divide a Community

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.G, Land Use, page IV.G-31, there are 34 related projects in the vicinity of the Project Site. As such, and similar to the Project, the proposed construction associated with the related projects would be confined to the related project sites and would not physically divide a community. Cumulative impacts related to the physical division of a community would be less than significant.

(ii) Conflict with Applicable Goals, Objectives, and Policies Adopted for the Purpose of Avoiding or Mitigating an Environmental Effect

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.G, Land Use, page IV.G-31, as with the Project, the related projects would be required to comply with relevant land use policies and regulations. Therefore, as with the Project, the related projects would not conflict with applicable land use plans. Overall, cumulative impacts related to conflict with land use plans would be less than significant.

## 7. Noise

### (A) Operations

#### (i) Operational Noise

As set forth in detail in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, pages IV.H-59 – IV.H-76, and Tables IV.H-17 through IV.H-26, revised in the Final EIR on pages III-50-57, Project operations would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the City's General Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. Therefore, the Project's operational noise impacts from on- and off-site sources would be less than significant.

#### (ii) Concurrent Construction and Operation

As set forth in detail in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, pages IV.H-76 – IV.H-79, and Tables IV.H-27 through IV.H-28, revised in the Final EIR on pages III-50-57, temporary noise impacts associated with on-site concurrent construction and operation would be less than significant.

#### (iii) Operational Vibration

As set forth in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, page IV.H-102, operation of the Project would not increase the existing vibration levels in the immediate vicinity of the Project Site. As such, vibration impacts associated with operation of the Project would be less than significant.

#### (iv) Cumulative Operational Noise

As detailed in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, pages IV.H-110 – IV.H-111, and the Table H-33, revised in the Final EIR on pages III-50-57, the Project and related projects would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of the significance criteria established by the City or in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Project Site above levels existing without the Project and the related projects. Therefore, cumulative operational noise impacts from on-site and off-site sources would be less than significant.

(v) Cumulative Operational Vibration

As detailed in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, page IV.H-116, based on the distance of the related projects from the Project Site and the operational vibration levels associated with the Project, cumulative vibration impacts associated with operation of the Project and related projects would be less than significant.

(B) Project-Level & Cumulative Off-Site Construction Vibration (Building Damage)

As detailed in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, pages IV.H-99 – IV.H-101, IV.H-114 – IV.H-115, and Table H-31, construction delivery/haul trucks would travel between the Project Site and the Hollywood Freeway (SR-170) and the Ventura Freeway (SR-134) via Burbank Boulevard (Option A), Lankershim Boulevard (Options A & B), Cumpston Street (Options A & B), Chandler Boulevard (Options A & B), Fair Avenue (Options A and B), Vineland Avenue (Option B), Tujunga Avenue (Option B), Colfax Avenue (Option A), Magnolia Boulevard (Option B), and Riverside Drive (Option B). Heavy-duty construction trucks would generate ground-borne vibration as they travel along the Project's anticipated truck route(s). There are existing buildings along the Project's anticipated truck route, including Burbank Boulevard, Lankershim Boulevard, Cumpston Street, Chandler Boulevard, Fair Avenue, Vineland Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, Colfax Avenue, Magnolia Boulevard, and Riverside Drive, that are situated approximately 20 feet from the right-of-way and would be exposed to ground-borne vibration levels. The estimated vibration generated by construction trucks traveling along the anticipated truck route(s) would be below the most stringent building damage criterion of 0.12 peak particle velocity (PPV) for buildings extremely susceptible to vibration. Therefore, vibration impacts (pursuant to the significance criteria for building damage) from Project level and cumulative off-site construction activities (i.e., construction trucks traveling on public roadways) would be less than significant.

(C) Project Design Features

Project Design Features NOI-PDF-1 through NOI-PDF-6, outline disclosures to the City for construction noise equipment, no use of pile drive systems, shielding of mechanical equipment and loading docks, and standards for outdoor amplified sound, are incorporated into the Project and are incorporated into these Findings as though fully set forth herein. These Project Design Features were considered in the analysis of potential impacts.

## **9. Population and Housing**

(A) Substantial Unplanned Population Growth, Direct and Indirect

As discussed in Chapter IV.I, population and housing impacts related to unplanned population growth would be less than significant.

## **10. Public Services**

(A) Public Services – Fire Protection

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.J.1, Public Services – Fire Protection, pages IV.J.1-20 – IV.J.1-32, Project construction, operation, and cumulative impacts would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. Therefore,

impacts to fire protection services during Project construction, operation, and in the cumulative condition would be less than significant.

(B) Public Services – Police Protection

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.J.2, Public Services – Police Protection, pages IV.J.2-13 – IV.J.2-24, Project construction, operation, and cumulative impacts would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental impacts. Therefore, impacts to police protection services during Project construction, operation, and in the cumulative condition would be less than significant.

(i) Police Protection – Project Design Features

Project Design Features POL-PDF-1 through POL-PDF-4, regarding temporary fencing during construction, lighting of pedestrian walkways and entrances, and submittal of security plans to the City and Metro, are incorporated into the Project. The Project Design Features were considered in the analysis of potential impacts.

(C) Public Services – Schools

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.J.3, Public Services – Schools, pages IV.J.3-13 through IV.J.3-26, Project construction, operation, and cumulative impacts would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental impacts. Therefore, impacts to schools during Project construction, operation, and in the cumulative condition would be less than significant.

(D) Public Services – Parks and Recreation

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.J.4, Public Services – Parks and Recreation, pages IV.J.4-15 – IV.J.4-25, Project construction, operation, and cumulative impacts would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental impacts. Therefore, impacts to park and recreation facilities during Project construction, operation, and in the cumulative condition would be less than significant.

(E) Public Services – Libraries

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.J.5, Public Services –Libraries, pages IV.J.5-8 – IV.J.5-17, Project construction, operation, and cumulative impacts would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental impacts. Therefore, impacts to library facilities during Project construction, operation, and in the cumulative condition would be less than significant.

## **11. Transportation**

### **(A) Program, Plans, Ordinance or Policy**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.K, Transportation, pages IV.K-31 – IV.K-39, the Project would not conflict with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities, and therefore impacts were determined to be less than significant.

### **(B) CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3, subdivision (b)**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.K, Transportation, pages IV.K-39 – IV.K-43 and Appendix R.1, Transportation Study, Project-level impacts related to VMT were determined to be less than significant.

### **(C) Hazardous Design**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.K, Transportation, pages IV.K-43 – IV.K-51, the Project would not include any hazardous geometric design features, and therefore impacts were determined to be less than significant.

### **(D) Emergency Access**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.K, Transportation, pages IV.K-51 – IV.K-53, the Project would not result in inadequate emergency access, and therefore impacts were determined to be less than significant.

### **(E) Cumulative Impacts**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.K, Transportation, pages IV.K-53 – IV.K-55, the Project's contribution to impacts related to programs, plans, ordinances, or policies; or vehicle miles traveled; or hazardous design; or emergency access would not be cumulatively considerable and cumulative impacts would be less than significant.

### **(F) Project Design Features**

Project Design Feature TR-PDF-1 and TR-PDF-2, for Construction Management Plan and a Transportation Demand Management program, are incorporated into the Project and incorporated into these findings as fully set forth herein. These Project Design Features were considered in the analysis of potential impacts.

## **12. Utilities and Service Systems – Water Supply and Infrastructure**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.M.1, Utilities and Service Systems – Water Supply and Infrastructure, pages IV.M.1-37 through IV.M.1-52, Appendix T, and Final EIR III, Revisions, Clarifications, and Corrections to the Draft EIR, pages III-55 through III-59, the Project, either during construction, operation, or cumulative condition, would not require or result in the construction of new water facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects. In addition, sufficient water supply is available to serve the Project construction, Project operation, and in the cumulative condition. As such, impacts related to water infrastructure and to water supply would be less than significant.

(A) Project Design Features

Project Design Feature WAT-PDF-1, which identifies the Water Conservation Commitment Letter features, which is incorporated into the Project and incorporated into these findings as fully set forth herein. This Project Design Feature was considered in the analysis of potential impacts.

**14. Utilities and Service Systems - Wastewater**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.M.2, Utilities and Service Systems – Wastewater, pages IV.M.2-13 – IV.M.2-24, the Project, either during construction, operation, or cumulative condition, would not require or result in the construction of new wastewater facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects. In addition, sufficient wastewater capacity is available to serve the Project construction wastewater demand, Project operation wastewater demand, and in the cumulative condition. As such, impacts related to wastewater infrastructure and to wastewater treatment capacity would be less than significant.

**15. Utilities and Service Systems - Energy Infrastructure**

As set forth in Draft EIR Section IV.M.3, Utilities and Service Systems – Energy Infrastructure, pages IV.M.3-7 – IV.M.3-13, Project construction and operation, including in the cumulative condition, would not require or result in an increase in demand for electricity or natural gas that exceeds available supply or distribution infrastructure capabilities that could result in the construction of new energy facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant effects. Therefore, Project impacts would be less than significant during construction and operation.

**VII. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOUND TO BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION**

The following impact areas were concluded by the Draft EIR to be less than significant with the implementation of mitigation measures described in the Final EIR. Based on that analysis and other evidence in the administrative record relating to the Project, the City finds and determines that mitigation measures described in the Final EIR reduce potentially significant impacts identified for the following environmental impact categories to below the level of significance. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081, the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project, which mitigate or avoid each of the following significant effects on the environment.

**1. Air Quality – Construction Emissions (Regional)**

(A) Impact Summary

Project construction has the potential to generate air emissions through the use of heavy-duty construction equipment and vehicle trips by construction workers traveling to and from the Project Site. In addition, fugitive dust emissions would result from demolition and construction activities. Mobile source emissions, primarily nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), would result from the use of construction equipment, such as dozers, loaders, and cranes. During the building finishing phase, paving, and the application of architectural coatings (e.g., paints) would potentially release volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The assessment of construction air quality impacts considers each of these potential sources. Construction emissions can vary

substantially from day to day, depending on the level of activity, the specific type of operation, and, for dust, the prevailing weather conditions.

The emissions levels in Table IV.A-7 of the Draft EIR represent the highest daily emissions projected to occur during each year of construction and take into account overlapping construction phases. As presented in Table IV.A-7, construction-related daily maximum regional construction emissions would exceed SCAQMD daily significance thresholds for VOC and NOx. The regional construction impact would primarily occur from 2023 through 2025 during large concrete pour days with concurrent grading/excavation operations. Therefore, regional construction emissions resulting from the Project would result in a significant short-term impact.

(B) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature AIR-PDF-1:** Where power poles are available, electricity from power poles and/or solar-powered generators, rather than temporary diesel or gasoline generators, will be used during construction.

(C) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure AIR-MM-1:** Prior to demolition, the Project representative shall submit to the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety and the South Coast Air Quality Management District a comprehensive inventory of all off road construction equipment, equal to or greater than 50 horsepower, that, with the exception of demolition activities, will be used during any portion of construction. The inventory shall include the horsepower rating, engine production year, and certification of the specified Tier standard. A copy of each unit's certified tier specification, Best Available Control Technology documentation, and California Air Resources Board or South Coast Air Quality Management District operating permit shall be available onsite at the time of mobilization of each applicable unit of equipment to allow the Construction Monitor to compare the on-site equipment with the inventory and certified Tier specification and operating permit. Off road diesel-powered equipment within the construction inventory list described above shall meet the USEPA Tier 4 Final standards.

**Mitigation Measure AIR-MM-2:** The Project representative shall require operator(s)/construction contractor(s) to commit to using 2010 model year or newer engines that meet CARB's 2010 engine emission standards of 0.01 g/brake horsepower (bhp)-hr for particulate matter (PM) and 0.20 g/bhp-hr of NOX emissions or newer, cleaner trucks for haul trucks associated with grading/excavation activities and concrete delivery trucks during concrete mat foundation pours. To monitor and ensure 2010 model year or newer trucks are used at the Project, the Lead Agency shall require that truck operator(s)/construction contractor(s) maintain records of trucks during the applicable construction activities associated with the Project and make these records available during the construction process and to the Lead Agency upon request.



(D) Finding

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid the significant effects on the environment and pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2) that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency.

(E) Rationale for Finding

Implementation of the mitigation measures described above would reduce construction emissions below SCAQMD threshold levels. Table IV.A-10 on page IV.A-66 provides the peak daily mitigated regional emissions by construction year. As presented in Table IV.A-10, with full implementation of Mitigation Measures AIR-MM-1 and AIR-MM-2, peak daily regional NOx emissions would be reduced below the SCAQMD regional threshold of 100 pounds per day. As such, Project construction would result in a less-than-significant Project-level and cumulative regional impacts with incorporation of feasible mitigation measures. The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

(F) Reference

Section IV.A, Air Quality, of the Draft EIR, as well as Appendix C (Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions).

## **2. Cultural Resources – Archaeological Resources**

(A) Impact Summary

As discussed in the Draft EIR, Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, a limited site survey was conducted, in addition to a search of the Native American Heritage Commission's (NAHC's) Sacred Lands File (SLF) and South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) records. Results of the survey and records searches yielded no Native American resources, but did result in records of archaeological resources on the Project Site or directly adjacent to it. The Project would require excavations to depths of up to 60 feet below grade for construction of the subterranean parking levels, and therefore, the Project could potentially disturb previously unidentified archaeological resources, if present. As such, construction activities associated with the Project could result in substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5, which is a potentially significant impact.

(B) Project Design Features

No project design features are applicable.

(C) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-4:** All construction personnel and monitors who are not trained archaeologists or Tribal Cultural experts shall be briefed regarding unanticipated archaeological or Tribal Cultural discoveries prior to the start of any excavation and grading activities. A basic PowerPoint presentation or handout shall be prepared to inform all personnel working on the Project about the archaeological and Tribal Cultural sensitivity of the area. The purpose of this Workers Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) training is to provide specific details on the kinds of archaeological and Tribal Cultural materials that may be identified during excavation and grading activities for the Project and explain the importance of and legal basis for the protection of significant archaeological resources and all Tribal Cultural Resources. Each worker shall also learn the proper procedures to follow in the event that cultural resources, Tribal Cultural Resources, or human remains are uncovered during ground-disturbing activities. These procedures include work curtailment or redirection, and the immediate contact of the site supervisor and archaeological monitor.

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-5:** Prior to any excavation activities, an individual qualified in archaeology and Tribal Cultural Resources (Qualified Archaeologist) shall be retained to monitor initial excavation and grading activities within the Project Site. Initial excavation and grading are defined as initial construction-related earth moving of sediments from their place of deposition. As it pertains to archaeological monitoring, this definition excludes movement of sediments after they have been initially disturbed or displaced by project-related construction. Due to the complex history of development and disturbance in the area, the terminal depth of potential deposits cannot be determined prior to the start of excavation activities. Monitoring will be continued based on the continued potential for cultural deposits based on the characteristics of subsurface sediments encountered. The Qualified Archeologist, meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, shall oversee and adjust monitoring efforts as needed (increase, decrease, or discontinue monitoring frequency) based on the observed potential for construction activities to encounter cultural deposits or material. The Qualified Archeologist shall be responsible for maintaining daily monitoring logs. Within 60 days following completion of ground disturbance, an archaeological monitoring report shall be prepared and submitted to the City for review. This report shall document compliance with approved mitigation, document the monitoring efforts, and include an appendix with daily monitoring logs. The final report shall be submitted to the SCCIC. In the event that a potential archaeological resource is encountered, the Applicant shall follow the procedures set forth in Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-6. In the event that a potential Tribal Cultural Resource is encountered, the applicant shall instead follow the procedures set forth in Mitigation Measure TCR-MM-1.

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-6:** In the event that historic or prehistoric archaeological resources are unearthed, ground disturbing activities

shall be halted or diverted away from the vicinity of the find so that the find can be evaluated. An appropriate buffer area shall be established by the Qualified Archaeologist in accordance with industry standards, reasonable assumptions regarding the potential for additional discoveries in the vicinity, and safety considerations for those making an evaluation and potential recovery of the discovery. This buffer area shall be established around the find where construction activities shall not be allowed to continue. Work shall be allowed to continue outside of the buffer area. All resources unearthed by Project construction activities shall be evaluated by the Qualified Archaeologist. If a resource is determined by the Qualified Archaeologist to constitute a "historical resource" pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a) or a "unique archaeological resource" pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2(g), the Qualified Archaeologist shall coordinate with the Applicant and the City to develop a formal treatment plan that would serve to reduce impacts to the resource. The treatment plan established for the resource shall be in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(f) for historical resources and Public Resources Code Sections 21083.2(b) for unique archaeological resources. Preservation in place (i.e., avoidance) is the preferred manner of treatment. If, in coordination with the City, it is determined that preservation in place is not feasible, appropriate treatment of the resource shall be developed by the Qualified Archaeologist in coordination with the City and may include implementation of archaeological data recovery excavations to remove the resource along with subsequent laboratory processing and analysis. Any archaeological material collected shall be curated at a public, non-profit institution with a research interest in the materials, if such an institution agrees to accept the material. If no institution accepts the archaeological material, they shall be donated to a local school or historical society in the area for educational purposes.

#### (D) Finding

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment, and pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2) that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. .

#### (E) Rationale for Finding

The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

As set forth in Mitigation Measures CUL-MM-4 through CUL-MM-6, a Qualified Archaeologist shall be retained to perform periodic inspections of excavation and grading activities of the

Project Site. In the event archaeological resources are encountered, the archaeologist shall be allowed to temporarily divert or redirect grading and excavation activities in the area of the exposed material to facilitate evaluation and, if necessary, salvage. Therefore, implementation of Mitigation Measures CUL-MM-4 through CUL-MM-6 would ensure that any potential impacts related to archaeological resources would be less than significant.

With regard to potential cumulative impacts related to archaeological resources, the Project and the related projects are located within an urbanized area that has been disturbed and developed over time. In the event that archaeological resources are uncovered, each related project would be required to comply with applicable regulatory requirements. In addition, as part of the environmental review processes for the related projects, it is expected that mitigation measures would be established as necessary to address the potential for uncovering archaeological resources. Therefore, cumulative impacts to archaeological resources would be less than significant and would not be cumulatively considerable.

(F) Reference

Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, of the Draft EIR, as well as Cultural Resources Survey and Extended Phase I Report for the District NoHo Project (Archaeological Report) prepared by Dudek in November 2021, and included in Appendix E of the Draft EIR.

**3. Hazards and Hazardous Materials – Construction**

(A) Impact Summary

Based on the Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs) primarily associated with previous uses within the Project Site, a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment was performed to confirm the presence of these RECs (see Appendix J.3 of the Draft EIR). As discussed therein, arsenic was detected at elevated levels at one boring location; lead and zinc were detected at elevated levels at one boring location; and although significant VOC concentrations were not detected in soil samples, results of the soil gas survey indicate that PCE-impacted soil is likely present on the Project Site. Soil gas samples also exceeded Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) screening levels for residential uses and increased at depth. No RECs were identified on the East Lot, but one REC was identified on the West Lot consisting of two signs indicating the presence of contaminated soil. While construction activities would occur in accordance with regulatory requirements, and ground disturbance associated with site clearance, excavation, and grading activities during construction would be required to comply with relevant and applicable federal, state, and local regulations and requirements; the presence of contaminated soil and soil gas beneath the Project Site could exacerbate risk of upset and accident conditions associated with the release of hazardous materials into the environment. In addition, because the potential for residual contamination exists and previously unknown or unidentified underground storage tanks (USTs) may be located on-site, the Project could exacerbate risk of upset and accident conditions associated with the release of hazardous materials into the environment.

(B) Project Design Features

No project design features are applicable.

(C) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-1: Soil Management Plan**—The Applicant shall retain a qualified environmental consultant to prepare a Soil Management Plan for Contaminated Soils (SMP) which shall be prepared with input from Los Angeles County Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA), County of Los Angeles Fire Department Health and Hazardous Materials Division (HHMD) Site Mitigation Unit (SMU). The SMP shall be submitted to the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety for review and approval prior to the commencement of soil disturbance activities. Potential subsurface contamination likely to be encountered during excavation activities includes metals, PCE (a volatile organic compound [VOC]) or other VOCs. The SMP shall be written such that it can be implemented sitewide or by block. The SMP shall be implemented during soil disturbance activities on each block to ensure that contaminated soils are properly identified, excavated, managed and transported and disposed of off-site.

Elements of the SMP shall include:

- A qualified environmental consultant shall be present on the Project Site at the start of soil disturbance activities (e.g., clearing, grubbing, pavement/asphalt removal, building foundation and other below ground structure removal, excavation, grading, etc.) in the known or suspected locations of contaminated soils and shall be on call at other times as necessary, to monitor compliance with the SMP and to actively monitor the soils and excavations for evidence of contamination (primarily VOCs, which includes PCE, and metals).
- Soil monitoring during soil disturbance, including visual observation (soil staining), representative sampling via a photo ionization detector, and/or VOC monitoring.
- The SMP shall require the timely testing and sampling of soils so that VOC-contaminated soils can be separated from inert soils for proper disposal. The SMP shall specify the testing parameters and sampling frequency. Routine testing includes VOCs and metals. The qualified environmental consultant shall have authority to request additional testing including, but not limited to, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) based on visual observation, the presence of odors, or other factors.
- During excavation, if soil is stockpiled prior to disposal, it shall be managed in accordance with the Project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), prior to transportation for treatment and/or disposal.
- To ensure appropriate containment of excavated soil or demolition debris/materials that exceed state or federal hazardous waste criteria, such materials shall be placed in containers with closures that are properly secured and lined, as appropriate, or wrapped and enclosed by tarps and transported by licensed hazardous waste haulers and disposed of at a licensed hazardous waste management facility approved for the specific disposed hazardous materials.

- During excavation, soils identified as VOC-contaminated shall be sprayed with water or another approved vapor suppressant or covered with sheeting and securely anchored during periods of inactivity of greater than an hour to prevent contaminated soils from becoming airborne.
- Dust suppression shall be used for any active or inactive stockpile known or suspected to contain contaminants, including metals, above state or federal hazardous waste limits. Active and inactive excavations and stockpiles of soil shall be kept visibly moist by water spray, treated with a vapor suppressant, or covered with a continuous heavy-duty plastic sheeting (4 mm or greater) or other covering. The covering shall be overlapped at the seams and securely anchored.
- The qualified environmental consultant shall perform weekly inspections of all waste (drums and bulk) to document that waste is being managed in accordance with the SMP. Inspection records shall be maintained on-site and shall be made available upon request.

**Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-2:** Prior to construction, a limited soil investigation of the soil bordering the West Lot to the south shall be performed. Any identified contamination shall be remediated in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations and, if necessary, in accordance with Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-1.

**Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-3:** The West Lot shall be developed in accordance with the City of Los Angeles' Methane Ordinance (LAMC Chapter IX, Article 1, Division 71, Section 91.7103), which Metro shall implement and enforce through its standard permitting procedures.

(D) Finding

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment and pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2) that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency.

(E) Rationale for Finding

Mitigation Measures HAZ-MM-1-HAZ-MM-3 would ensure that impacts related to hazardous materials would be precluded, and that activities that are outside the scope of the City's police powers, such as Metro self-permitting authorities, would be conducted in accordance with the analysis and Mitigation Measures in the District NoHo DEIR. By requiring a Soil Management Plan as part of HAZ-MM-1, Project activities would comply with expert recommendations for hazards, detected or encountered, on site. Mitigation Measures HAZ-MM-2 and HAZ-MM-3 related to possible Metro activity on sites identified to contain possible hazards in soil samples. With the implementation of Mitigation Measures HAZ-MM-1-HAZ-MM-3, impacts related to the release of hazardous materials into the environment would be reduced to a less than significant level.

The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction

takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

(F) Reference

Section IV.F, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, of the Draft EIR, as well as NoHo Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, March 2020 (Appendix J.1 of the Draft EIR), Metro Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, May 2022 (Revised Appendix J.2 of the Final EIR), Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, May 2020 (Appendix J.3 of the Draft EIR) and Mitigation Memo, January 2022 (Appendix J.4 of the Draft EIR).

**4. Noise - Project-Level On-Site Construction Vibration (Building Damage)**

(A) Impact Summary

With regard to potential building damage, the Project would generate ground-borne construction vibration during building demolition and site excavation/grading activities when heavy construction equipment, such as large bulldozers, drill rigs, and loaded trucks, would be used. There is one historic structure (Lankershim Depot) located on the Project Site and six historic structures located in the Project vicinity (i.e., Security Trust and Savings Bank, Angelino Valley Mortuary, United States Post Office, Fire Station #60, Air Raid Siren #210, and El Portal Theater). The Lankershim Depot would be relocated on the site during the initial Block 0 construction (e.g., demolition and grading phase). Once the Lankershim Depot is relocated, it would be exposed to vibration associated with construction activities within Block 0 West. As indicated in Table IV.H-31 on page IV.H-97 of the Draft EIR, the estimated vibration levels from the construction equipment would be below the 0.3-PPV building damage significance criterion for the existing commercial and residential buildings on the north side of Cumpston Street and the commercial buildings along Tujunga Avenue and Chandler Boulevard (west of Tujunga Avenue) and the 0.5-PPV building damage significance criterion for the four-story residential buildings along Fair Avenue, Cumpston Street, Chandler Boulevard, and Lankershim Boulevard. The estimated vibration levels would exceed the 0.12-PPV significance criterion for the Lankershim Depot (within Block 0 West), and the Security Trust and Savings Bank building located at 5301 Lankershim Boulevard (adjacent to the Project Block 8). Therefore, the on-site vibration impacts during construction of the Project, pursuant to the significance criteria for building damage at the Lankershim Depot and Security Trust and Savings Bank, would be significant without mitigation measures.

(B) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-1:** During plan check for each phase of the Project, the contractor will provide a statement to the City indicating their power construction equipment (including combustion engines), fixed or mobile, will be equipped with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices (consistent with manufacturers' standards). The statement will further indicate that the equipment will be properly maintained to assure that no additional noise, due to worn or improperly maintained parts, would be generated.

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-2:** Project construction will not include the use of driven (impact) pile systems.

(C) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2:** Prior to any construction activities involving vibration on Block 0 West or Block 8, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified structural engineer or qualified professional building engineer to visit the Lankershim Depot (after it is relocated to the future location) and the Security Trust and Savings Bank building adjacent to the Project Site (Block 8) to inspect and document the apparent physical condition of the building's readily-visible features (i.e., any cracks or damage). In addition, the structural engineer shall survey the existing foundations and other structural aspects of the Security Trust and Savings Bank and provide a shoring design to protect the building from potential damage. Pot holing, ground penetrating radar, or other similar methods of determining the below grade conditions on the Project Site and the Security Trust and Savings Bank may be necessary to establish baseline conditions and prepare the shoring design. The shoring design shall specify threshold limits for vibration causing activities.

The qualified structural engineer shall hold a valid license to practice structural engineering in the State of California and have extensive demonstrated experience specific to rehabilitating historic buildings and applying the Secretary of the Interior's Standards to such projects. The City shall determine qualification prior to any work being performed. The qualified structural engineer shall submit to the lead agency a pre-construction survey that establishes baseline conditions to be monitored during construction, prior to issuance of any permit for the Project on Block 0 West or Block 8.

Prior to construction activities, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified acoustical engineer to review proposed construction equipment and develop and implement a vibration monitoring program capable of documenting the construction-related ground vibration levels at the Lankershim Depot and the Security Trust and Savings Bank building during demolition and grading/excavation phases.

The vibration monitoring system shall continuously measure and store the PPV in inch/second. The system shall also be programmed for two preset velocity levels: a warning level of 0.10-PPV and a regulatory level of 0.12-PPV. The system shall also provide real-time alert when the vibration levels exceed the warning level.

In the event the warning level (0.10-PPV) is triggered, the contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation, halt construction in the immediate vicinity, and provide technologically feasible steps to reduce the vibration level, including, but not limited to, staggering concurrent activities, utilizing lower vibratory techniques, and limiting high vibration generating equipment (i.e., large bulldozer, drill rig and loaded truck) operating within 20 feet of the building.

In the event the regulatory level (0.12-PPV) is triggered, the contractor shall halt construction activities in the vicinity of the building and visually inspect the building for any damage (by a qualified structural engineer). Results of the inspection must be logged. The contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation and provide technologically feasible steps to reduce the vibration level. Construction activities may then restart.



At the conclusion of vibration-causing construction, the qualified structural engineer shall issue a follow-up letter describing damage, if any, to immediately adjacent historic buildings and recommendations for repair, as may be necessary, in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. Repairs to immediately adjacent historic buildings shall be undertaken and completed in conformance with all applicable codes, including the California Historical Building Code (Part 8 of Title 24).

(D) Finding

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment and pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2) such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency.

(E) Rationale for Finding

Implementation of Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2 would ensure the vibration levels at the exterior of the Security Trust and Savings Bank building adjacent to the Project Site (Block 8) would not exceed the significance criterion of 0.12-PPV. Therefore, vibration impacts associated with the on-site construction activities would be reduced to a less-than-significant level.

The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

(F) Reference

Section IV.H, Noise, of the Draft EIR, as well as Appendix L, Noise and Vibration Calculation Worksheets, of the Draft EIR.

## **5. Tribal Cultural Resources**

(A) Impact Summary

The Project would include excavations to a maximum depth of approximately 60 feet below ground surface (bgs), which would extend below the existing fill at the Project Site, and these excavations could potentially encounter and affect any potential unknown subsurface Tribal Cultural Resources (TCRs) that may be present at the Project Site. Despite the low likelihood of resources on Project Site, out of an abundance of caution, mitigation measures related to TCRs are included in the event that such a resource is discovered.

(B) Project Design Features

No project design features are applicable.

(C) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure TCR-MM-1:** In the event that objects or artifacts that may be tribal cultural resources are encountered during the course of any ground disturbance activities (i.e., excavating, digging, trenching, plowing, drilling, tunneling, quarrying, grading, leveling, removing peat, clearing, driving posts, augering, backfilling, blasting, stripping topsoil, or a similar activity), all such activities shall temporarily cease in the immediate vicinity of the potential resource until the potential tribal cultural resources are properly assessed and addressed pursuant to the process set forth below:

- Upon a discovery of a potential tribal cultural resource, the Applicant shall immediately stop all ground disturbance activities in the immediate vicinity of the potential resource and contact the following:
  1. all California Native American tribes that have informed the City they are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of the proposed project (including but not limited to the Fernandefio Tataviam Band of Mission Indians and Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians);
  2. and the Department of City Planning at (213) 473-9723.
- If the City determines, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21074 (a)(2), that the object or artifact appears to be tribal cultural resource, the City shall provide any affected tribe a reasonable period of time, not less than 14 days, to conduct a site visit and make recommendations to the Applicant and the City regarding the monitoring of future ground disturbance activities, as well as the treatment and disposition of any discovered tribal cultural resources.
- If any tribe recommends monitoring of future ground disturbances, and such monitoring is determined to be reasonable and feasible, a culturally affiliated tribal monitor shall be retained by the City at the Applicant's expense, in addition to the archaeological cultural monitoring that is separately required pursuant to Mitigation Measure CUL MM 5.

The qualified archaeologist identified in Mitigation Measure CUL MM 5 and the culturally affiliated tribal monitor shall determine if the tribal recommendations are reasonable and feasible, at which point the Applicant shall implement the recommendations, in addition to the measures below.

The Applicant shall submit a tribal cultural resource monitoring plan to the City that includes all recommendations from the City and any affected tribes that have been reviewed and determined by the qualified archaeologist and by a culturally affiliated tribal monitor to be reasonable and feasible. The Applicant shall not be allowed to recommence ground disturbance activities in the immediate vicinity of the potential resource and any radius identified in the tribal or City recommendations until this plan is approved by the City.

If the Applicant does not accept a particular recommendation determined to be reasonable and feasible by the qualified archaeologist or by a culturally

affiliated tribal monitor, the Applicant may request mediation by a mediator agreed to by the Applicant and the City who has the requisite professional qualifications and experience to mediate such a dispute. The Applicant shall pay any costs associated with the mediation.

The Applicant may recommence ground disturbance activities outside of a specified radius of the discovery site, so long as this radius has been reviewed by the qualified archaeologist and by a culturally affiliated tribal monitor and determined to be reasonable and appropriate.

Copies of any subsequent prehistoric archaeological study, tribal cultural resources study or report, detailing the nature of any significant tribal cultural resources, remedial actions taken, and disposition of any significant tribal cultural resources shall be submitted to the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) at California State University, Fullerton.

Notwithstanding the above, any information determined to be confidential in nature, by the City Attorney's office, shall be excluded from submission to the SCCIC or the general public under the applicable provisions of the California Public Records Act, California Public Resources Code, and shall comply with the City's AB 52 Confidentiality Protocols

(D) Finding

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment and pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2) such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency.

(E) Rationale for Finding

As a result of Project excavations to a maximum depth of approximately 60 feet below ground surface, which would extend below the existing fill at the Project Site and potentially encounter and affect any potential unknown subsurface TCRs that may be present at the Project Site, out of an abundance of caution, mitigation measures related to TCRs are included in the event that such a resource is discovered. Mitigation Measures identified in Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, of the Draft EIR, include language which also considers potential TCR impacts. Specifically, CUL-MM-4 includes a worker training program that covers tribal cultural resources, in addition to cultural resources, as part of the training program. CUL-MM-5 implements monitoring for Cultural Resources and requires the monitor to be a qualified tribal cultural expert capable of monitoring the site and identifying any potential resources. Finally, in the event that a resource is uncovered and is identified as a potential tribal cultural resource, CUL-MM-6 requires that the procedures set forth below under Tribal Cultural Resources Mitigation Measure TCR-MM-1 be followed. TCR-MM-1 sets forth standard procedures were a resource to be discovered on-site as part of construction activities. Should a potential TCR be inadvertently encountered during Project excavation and grading activities, TCR-MM-1 requires for temporarily halting of construction activities near the encounter and notifying the City and the Native American tribes that have informed the City they are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of the proposed Project. If the City determines that a potential resource appears to be a TCR (as defined by PRC Section 21074), the City would provide any affected tribe a reasonable period of time to conduct a site visit and make recommendations

regarding the monitoring of future ground disturbance activities, as well as the treatment and disposition of any discovered tribal cultural resources. The Applicant would then implement the tribe's recommendations if a Qualified Archaeologist reasonably concludes that the tribe's recommendations are reasonable and feasible. The recommendations would then be incorporated into a TCR monitoring plan and once the plan is approved by the City, ground disturbance activities could re-commence. Additionally, as part of the consultation process, the Fernandefio Tataviam Band of Mission Indians requested to be consulted in the event TCRs are encountered during construction. The City has included a provision in TCR-MM-1 to consult further with both the Fernandefio Tataviam Band of Mission Indians and Kizh Nation in the event TCRs are encountered. Through TCR-MM-1, all activities would be conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements.

The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

(F) Reference

Section IV.L, Tribal Cultural Resources, of the Draft EIR, as well as the Tribal Cultural Resources Report, March 2022 (Appendix S of the Draft EIR).

## **VIII. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT EVEN AFTER MITIGATION**

The following impact areas were concluded by the Final EIR to remain significant and unavoidable following implementation of all feasible mitigation measures described in the Final EIR. Consequently, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15093, a Statement of Overriding Considerations has been prepared (see Section XI of these Findings). No additional environmental impacts other than those identified below will have a significant effect or result in a substantial or potentially substantial adverse effect on the environment as a result of the construction or operation of the project. The City finds and determines that:

- a) All significant environmental impacts that can be feasibly avoided have been eliminated, or substantially lessened through implementation of the project design features and/or mitigation measures; and
- b) Based on the Final EIR, the Statement of Overriding Considerations set forth below, and other documents and information in the record with respect to the construction and operation of the project, all remaining unavoidable significant impacts, as set forth in these findings, are overridden by the benefits of the project as described in the Statement of Overriding Considerations for the construction and operation of the project and implementing actions.

### **1. Air Quality**

(A) Impact Summary

- (i) Operations – Regional Emissions

Table IV.A-8 on page IV.A-63 of the Draft EIR provides Project operational emissions with incorporation of project design features. As shown in Table IV.A-8, regional emissions resulting from operation of the Project would exceed SCAQMD's daily regional operational threshold for NOx. The NOx regional operational impact is primarily from vehicular trips to and from the Project Site. Therefore, regional operational emissions resulting from the Project would result in a significant impact. Further, mitigation measures would not reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable after implementation of feasible mitigation.

(ii) Concurrent Construction and Operational Regional Emissions

Portions of the Project Site would be completed and occupied while construction of the later Project components would be ongoing. Therefore, concurrent construction and operational impacts were evaluated. Based on a review of the Project, the reasonably anticipated maximum concurrent emissions are expected to occur in Year 2025 during operation of East and West Lots and Blocks 0, 7, and 8, and construction of Blocks 5/6. This development scenario results in the maximum amount of operational activity in terms of square footage developed on the Project Site and resultant daily vehicle trips. It also assumes maximum daily activity (i.e., peak on-site heavy-duty construction equipment usage and haul truck trips) occurring during construction of Blocks 5/6. As summarized in Table IV.A-9 on page IV.A-64 of the Draft EIR, regional emissions of NOx during concurrent construction and operation would exceed the SCAQMD regional operational threshold. Therefore, regional concurrent construction and operational emissions of NOx resulting from the Project would result in a significant impact. Further, mitigation measures would not reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable after implementation of feasible mitigation.

(B) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature AIR-PDF-1:** Where power poles are available, electricity from power poles and/or solar powered generators rather than temporary diesel or gasoline generators will be used during construction.

(C) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure AIR-MM-1:** Prior to demolition, the Project representative shall submit to the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety and the South Coast Air Quality Management District a comprehensive inventory of all off road construction equipment, equal to or greater than 50 horsepower, that with the exception of demolition activities will be used during any portion of construction. The inventory shall include the horsepower rating, engine production year, and certification of the specified Tier standard. A copy of each unit's certified tier specification, Best Available Control Technology documentation, and California Air Resources Board or South Coast Air Quality Management District operating permit shall be available onsite at the time of mobilization of each applicable unit of equipment to allow the Construction Monitor to compare the on-site equipment with the inventory and certified Tier specification and operating permit. Off road diesel-powered equipment within the construction inventory list described above shall meet the USEPA Tier 4 Final standards.

**Mitigation Measure AIR-MM-2:** The Project representative shall require operator(s)/construction contractor(s) to commit to using 2010 model year or newer engines that meet CARB's 2010 engine emission standards of 0.01 g/brake horsepower (bhp)-hr for particulate matter (PM) and 0.20 g/bhp-hr of NOX emissions or newer, cleaner trucks for haul trucks associated with grading/excavation activities and concrete delivery trucks during concrete mat foundation pours. To monitor and ensure 2010 model year or newer trucks are used at the Project, the Lead Agency shall require that truck operator(s)/construction contractor(s) maintain records of trucks during the applicable construction activities associated with the Project and make these records available during the construction process and to the Lead Agency upon request.

(D) Finding

(i) Operations – Regional Emissions

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(ii) Concurrent Construction and Operational Regional Emissions

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(E) Rationale for Finding

(i) Operations – Regional Emissions

As shown in Table IV.A-8 on page IV.A-63 of the Draft EIR, the NOx regional operational impact is primarily from vehicular trips to and from the Project Site (VMT) or approximately 83 percent of operational emissions. The Project is a Transit Oriented Development (TOD) located within a TPA. It is located adjacent to a major public transit hub, including a stop for the Metro B (Red) Line and G (Orange) Line stations, and would develop uses, including housing, office, retail, and open space, in one location which would reduce daily trips and VMT. In addition, the Project also would incorporate project design features, such as Project Design Feature AIR-PDF-1, to support and promote environmental sustainability, as well as those discussed in Section IV.E, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, of the Draft EIR. While these features are designed

primarily to reduce GHG emissions, they would also serve to reduce the criteria air pollutants. Furthermore, the estimated emissions also include implementation of a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program that would include providing carpool/vanpool loading areas, reduced parking supply, secure bicycle parking, and pedestrian network improvements. As shown in Appendix C-3.2, these measures would reduce operational VOC emissions by 17 percent, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by 46 percent, CO emissions by 29 percent, PM<sub>10</sub> by approximately 42 percent, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> by approximately 42 percent.

As shown in Table IV.A-11 on page IV.A-68 of the Draft EIR, with the incorporation of all feasible mitigation measures, the operational NO<sub>x</sub> emissions still would exceed SCAQMD thresholds. Feasible measures were included to reduce Project-related VMT, which would result in a 41-percent reduction in VMT. As the maximum TDM reductions possible were taken, there are no other feasible measures to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

The City further finds above that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations make infeasible additional mitigation measures that would reduce impacts further, as technological limitations preclude the City from implementing such measures.

Therefore, Project operations would result in significant and unavoidable Project-level and cumulative impacts with respect to regional NO<sub>x</sub> air quality even with incorporation of all feasible mitigation measures. As such, the Project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of a criteria pollutant (NO<sub>2</sub> as NO<sub>x</sub>) for which the Project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.

(ii) Concurrent Construction and Operational Regional Emissions

Implementation of Mitigation Measures AIR-MM-1 and AIR-MM-2 would reduce construction emissions for all pollutants. Table IV.A-11 provides the mitigated regional emissions during concurrent operations and construction. As presented in Table IV.A-11, with full implementation of Mitigation Measures AIR-MM-1 and AIR-MM-2, peak daily regional emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> would exceed the SCAQMD regional threshold.

The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

The City further finds above that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations make infeasible additional mitigation measures that would reduce impacts further, as technological limitations preclude the City from implementing such measures.

As such, concurrent Project construction and operations would result in significant and unavoidable Project-level and cumulative regional impacts even with incorporation of all feasible mitigation measures.

(F) Reference

Section IV.A, Air Quality, of the Draft EIR, as well as the Appendix C, Technical Appendix for Air Quality and Greenhouse Emission, of the Draft EIR.

## 2. Cultural Resources – Historic Resources

(A) Impact Summary

The only historic resource within the Project Site is the Lankershim Depot. However, the Project could also potentially impact the Security Trust and Savings Bank, which is adjacent to the Project Site. Additional historic resources in the vicinity are located at a greater distance from the Project Site and would not be impacted by the Project. Relocation of the Lankershim Depot within the Project Site approximately 44-feet to the west and 2.5-feet to the south to accommodate expansion and consolidation of transit services would have a direct impact on its location, setting, and association, resulting in a significant impact. The Project would implement Mitigation Measures CUL-MM-1 through CUL-MM-3 and NOI-MM-2 to mitigate direct impacts to the Lankershim Depot to the extent possible. Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2 discussed in Section IV.H, Noise, of the Draft EIR, would fully mitigate direct impacts to the Security Trust and Savings Bank. However, direct impacts to the Lankershim Depot would remain significant and unavoidable because the relationship to the intersection of Lankershim and Chandler Boulevards would be lost. Indirect impacts to historic resources would be less than significant without mitigation.

(B) Project Design Features

No project design features are applicable.

(C) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-1:** Conformance with the Secretary's Standards—Prior to commencement of construction on Block 0, as approved by Metro, the developer shall engage an architectural historian or historic architect meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (Architectural Historian) to ensure the Lankershim Depot is relocated in conformance with the Secretary's Standards and guidance provided in *Moving Historic Buildings* by John Obed Curtis (National Park Service, 1979). The Architectural Historian shall review all aspects associated with the relocation, including building preparation and stabilization, the proposed method of moving the building, receiver site preparation, and rehabilitation at the receiver site. The Architectural Historian shall also consider plans for the historic landscaped plaza to ensure they conform with the Secretary's Standards, specifically Standard 9 that states that "new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials and features." Once details of the relocation, rehabilitation, and landscaped plaza have been finalized, the architectural historian shall prepare a report reviewing the relocation and rehabilitation of the Depot and



landscaped plaza for conformance with the Secretary's Standards, submitted to the City of Los Angeles Office of Historic Resources for concurrence. After work is complete, the Architectural Historian shall document, through photographs, that work was completed in conformance with the approved report. Photographic documentation shall be submitted to the City of Los Angeles Office of Historic Resources.

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-2:** Documentation—Prior to commencement of construction on Block 0, as approved by Metro, the Applicant shall engage a professional architectural photographer and an architectural historian meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (Architectural Historian) to implement Historic American Building Survey (HABS) Level II documentation of the current status of the Lankershim Depot and its setting consisting of both photographs and a written narrative. The Architectural Historian shall direct the photographer to take images and no fewer than 15 photographs shall be used to document the current status of the Depot and its setting. The photographs shall be large format, 4 inch by 5 inch, black-and-white negatives (two sets), contact prints (one set), and 8 inch by 10 inch prints (two sets). All shall be archivally processed, and prints shall be made on fiber-based paper. Two original negatives shall be made at the time the photographs are taken. One set of negatives shall travel with a set of contact prints to the National Park Service for entry into the HABS collection in the Library of Congress; the second set of negatives shall be transmitted to the Los Angeles Public Library, along with one set of 8 inch by 10 inch prints. The written narrative shall reformat the information contained in this report and be transmitted to the repositories named. The draft documentation shall be assembled by the Architectural Historian and submitted to the City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning or designee for review and approval prior to submittal to the repositories. The City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning or designee shall accept the final documentation prior to relocation of the Lankershim Depot.

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-3:** Interpretive Design—The Applicant shall prepare and implement a site-specific, art-in-public-places program on Block 0 that illustrates and interprets the important history of the Lankershim Depot to the development of North Hollywood. The public art program shall include feature(s) that are lasting and permanent and shall be integrated into the new architecture and/or new landscape features of the Project, to the maximum extent feasible, thus ensuring its longevity, and shall be accessible by all members of the public. While the public art program may incorporate a plaque or interpretative panel or display, the program overall shall include features that are of a size, scale, and design in relation to the architecture and/or landscape features that it can be immediately viewed, recognized, and appreciated at a distance, where the text or images on a plaque or interpretive panel or display may not be legible while maintaining a scale compatible with the Lankershim Depot. Content and design of the public art shall be created by an artist, in collaboration with the selected art consultant, a representative from Metro, and the architectural historian meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards to ensure that the art-in-public-places program on Block 0 accurately interprets the history of the site. Installation of art elements shall be completed no more than one year after relocation and rehabilitation of the Lankershim Depot. Prior to commencement of construction on Block 0, as approved by Metro, a budget will

be established for the public art that will be sufficient to cover design fees and fabrication.

(D) Finding

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or potential significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(E) Rational for Finding

The City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and the activity that results in an impact takes place entirely under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

Mitigation Measures CUL-MM-1 through CUL-MM-3 would mitigate direct impacts to the Lankershim Depot to the extent possible. Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2 discussed in Section IV.H, Noise, of the Draft EIR, would fully mitigate direct impacts to the Lankershim Depot and Security Trust and Savings Bank. As discussed in the Draft EIR, Section IV.B, Cultural Resources indirect impacts to historic resources would be less than significant without mitigation. However, direct impacts to the Lankershim Depot would remain significant and unavoidable because the relationship to the intersection of Lankershim and Chandler Boulevards would be lost. Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant.

(F) Reference

Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, of the Draft EIR, as well as the Appendix D, Cultural Resources Technical Appendix, December 2020, of the Draft EIR.

### 3. Noise

(A) Impact Summary

(i) Project-Level On-Site Construction Noise

As detailed in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, pages IV.H-35 through IV.I-46 and Tables IV.H-11-14, noise impacts from Project-related construction activities occurring within or adjacent to the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas would be a function of the noise generated by construction equipment, the location of the equipment, the timing and duration of

the noise-generating construction activities, and the relative distance to noise-sensitive receptors.

As provided in Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-1, construction equipment would have proper noise muffling devices per the manufacturers' standards. Individual pieces of construction equipment anticipated to be used during construction of the Project could produce maximum noise levels (Lmax) of up to 90 dBA at a reference distance of 50 feet from the noise source, as shown in Table IV.H-11 on page IV.H-37 of the Draft EIR. As indicated in Table IV.H-12 on page IV.H-38, the estimated noise levels at all receptor locations, with the exception of receptor location R2, would exceed the significance criteria during multiple phases of construction throughout the Project Site.

In addition, the construction of the Project would have the potential to overlap for some phases. Construction noise impacts associated with the overlapping construction are provided in Table IV.H-14 on page on page IV.H-46. As indicated therein, the overlapping construction would exceed the significance threshold at all receptor locations, with the exception of receptor locations R4 and R12. The estimated overlapping construction noise would exceed the significance threshold from 7.1 dBA at receptor location R5 to 24.0 dBA at receptor location R9. Therefore, temporary noise impacts associated with the Project's on-site construction would be significant without mitigation measures.

(ii) Project-Level Off-Site Construction Noise

As detailed in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, pages IV.H-47 through IV.I-58 and the Tables therein, off-site construction noise levels, including from overlapping construction, could exceed the 5-dBA significance criterion along certain roadway segments. Therefore, noise impacts from off-site construction traffic would be significant without mitigation measures.

(iii) Project-Level On-Site Construction Vibration (Human Annoyance)

As detailed in Draft EIR Section H, Noise, page IV.H-99 and Table IV.H-32, the estimated ground-borne vibration levels from construction equipment would be below the significance criteria for human annoyance at off-site sensitive receptor locations R3, R4, R6, R8, R10, R11, and R12. The estimated ground-borne vibration levels at receptor locations R1, R2, R5, R7, R13, and R14 would exceed the 72-VdB significance criterion. In addition, the estimated ground-borne vibration levels at receptors location R9 would exceed the 65-VdB significance criterion. Therefore, on-site vibration impacts related to human annoyance during construction of the Project would be significant without mitigation measures.

(iv) Project-Level Off-Site Construction Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Per Federal Transit Authority (FTA) guidance, the significance criteria for human annoyance are 72 VdB for sensitive uses, including residential, hotel and theater uses, 75 VdB for school use, and 65 VdB for studio (recording). The vibration generated by a typical heavy-duty truck would be approximately 63 VdB at a distance of 50 feet from the truck. Vibration sensitive uses (e.g., residential and hotel) along Chandler Boulevard, Vineland Avenue, and Riverside Drive are located a minimum of 30 feet from the anticipated truck route(s). The temporary vibration levels from trucks passing by would be approximately 70 VdB, as provided in the Noise and Vibration Calculation Worksheets included in Appendix L of the Draft EIR, which would be below the 72-VdB significance criterion. However, the residential uses along

Burbank Boulevard, Lankershim Boulevard, Cumpston Street, Fair Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, Colfax Avenue, and Magnolia Boulevard are located approximately 24 feet from the anticipated truck route(s) and would be exposed to ground-borne vibration of approximately 72.6 VdB, which would exceed the 72-VdB significance criterion. In addition, there are studios (recording) located along Lankershim Boulevard, which would also be exposed to vibration level up to 74 VdB, exceeding the 65-VdB significance criterion. As such, vibration impacts with respect to human annoyance that would result from temporary and intermittent off-site vibration from construction trucks traveling along the anticipated truck route(s) would be significant without mitigation measures.

(v) Cumulative On-Site Construction Noise

Thirty four related projects have been identified in the vicinity of the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas. Noise from construction of development projects is typically localized and has the potential to affect noise-sensitive uses within 500 feet from the construction-site, based on the L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide screening criteria. Thus, noise from construction activities for two projects within 1,000 feet of each other can contribute to a cumulative noise impact for receptors located midway between the two construction-sites. Of the 34 related projects, 23 related projects are located more than 1,000 feet from the Project and with intervening building structures, which would not contribute to the cumulative on-site construction noise impacts. Construction-related noise levels from the related projects would be intermittent and temporary, and it is anticipated that, as with the Project, the related projects would comply with the construction hours and other relevant provisions set forth in the LAMC. Noise associated with cumulative construction activities would be reduced to the degree technologically feasible through proposed mitigation measures for each individual related project that is required to implement them and compliance with locally adopted and enforced noise ordinances. There would be potential cumulative noise impacts at the nearby sensitive uses (e.g., residential uses) located in proximity to the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas, Related Project Nos. 1, 2, and 5, in the event of concurrent construction activities. The analysis conservatively assumes such exceedances would occur. Therefore, the Project's contribution would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative noise impacts from on-site construction would be significant.

(vi) Cumulative Off-Site Construction Noise

The estimated off-site construction traffic noise levels along Colfax Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, and Riverside Drive (used for haul routes associated with Block 7 and West Lot) would be below the 5-dBA significance criterion. However, it is estimated that if the total number of trucks from the Project and the related projects were to add up to 54, 63, and 74 truck trips per hour along Colfax Avenue [Options A and B], Tujunga Avenue (Option B), and Riverside Drive [Option B], respectively, these trucks would result in a 5-dBA noise increase along these roadway segments. There are related projects in the vicinity of the Project Block 7 and West Lot and near Colfax Avenue, including Related Project Nos. 1, 12, and 24, which could contribute to the cumulative truck trips. Related Project Nos. 1, 7, 16, 17, 18, 22, 27, 28, and 29 are located in the vicinity of Tujunga Avenue and Riverside Drive, which could contribute to the cumulative truck trips with the Project. Since the Project generates up to 50 truck trips per hour, the cumulative truck trips, including the noted related projects, could add up to 54, 63, and 74 truck trips per hour along Colfax Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, and Riverside Drive, respectively, which has the potential to increase the ambient noise by 5dBA. Therefore, cumulative noise due to construction truck traffic from the Project and other related projects could increase the ambient noise levels at certain segments along the haul route by 5 dBA. As such, the Project's

contribution would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative noise impacts from off-site construction would be significant.

(vii) Cumulative On-Site Construction Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Potential vibration impacts associated with Project-related on-site construction activities would be significant with respect to human annoyance at receptor location R5 (the closest sensitive receptor between the Project and Related Project No. 1). Related Project No. 1 is approximately 25 feet from the receptor location R5. Therefore, the ground-borne vibration from Related Project No. 1 to the receptor location R5 would be similar to the Project and would exceed the 72-VdB significance thresholds. The next closest related project, Related Project No. 2, is located on the south side of Chandler Boulevard, approximately 90 feet south of the East Lot. The nearest sensitive receptor to Related Project No. 2 is receptor location R3. The estimated vibration levels from the Project to the receptor location R3 would be 69 VdB, which is below the 72 VdB. In addition, construction activities at Related Project No. 2 would be more than 80 feet from the receptor location R3. Therefore, the Project construction would not contribute to the cumulative construction vibration impacts at receptor location R3. All other related projects would be located at a further distance and would not contribute to the cumulative vibration impacts. Therefore, because of the potential impact associated with Related Project No. 1, the Project's contribution to a potential construction vibration impact with respect to human annoyance associated with on-site construction would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative impacts would be considered significant.

(viii) Cumulative Off-Site Construction Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Potential vibration impacts associated with temporary and intermittent vibration from project-related construction trucks traveling along the anticipated truck routes (i.e., Burbank Boulevard, Lankershim Boulevard, Cumpston Street, Fair Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, Colfax Avenue, and Magnolia Boulevard) would be significant with respect to human annoyance. As related projects would be anticipated to use similar truck routes as the Project (i.e., Burbank Boulevard, Lankershim Boulevard, Tujunga Avenue, Colfax Avenue, and Magnolia Boulevard), it is anticipated that construction trucks would generate similar vibration levels along the anticipated truck route(s). Therefore, to the extent that other related projects use the same truck route as the Project, the Project's contribution to potential cumulative vibration impacts with respect to human annoyance associated with temporary and intermittent vibration from haul trucks traveling along the designated truck route(s) would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative impacts would be considered significant.

(A) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-1:** During plan check for each phase of the Project, the contractor will provide a statement to the City indicating their power construction equipment (including combustion engines), fixed or mobile, will be equipped with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices (consistent with manufacturers' standards). The statement will further indicate that the equipment will be properly maintained to assure that no additional noise, due to worn or improperly maintained parts, would be generated.

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-2:** Project construction will not include the use of driven (impact) pile systems.

(B) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1:** A temporary and impermeable sound barrier shall be erected at the locations listed below and shown on Figure IV.H 5 on page IV.H-80. Prior to any demolition work conducted for each phase being permitted, building plans shall include documentation prepared by a noise consultant verifying compliance with this measure.

During Block 0 Construction (Metro is the monitoring and enforcement agency for these mitigation measures):

- Along the western property line of the Project Site (Block 0 West) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Tujunga Avenue and Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R7) and the northern portion of the park on the south side of Chandler Boulevard and approximately 300 west of Tujunga Avenue (receptor location R8). The temporary sound barrier (minimum 15 feet high) shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction at the ground level of receptor location R7 and 8 dBA at receptor location R8.
- Along the southern property line of the Project Site (Block 0 West) between the construction areas and noise sensitive uses along Chandler Boulevard (receptor locations R9, R10, and R11). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9, R10, and R11.
- Along the northern property line of the Project Site (Block 0 West) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Cumpston Street (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R5.
- Along the northern, southern, western, and eastern property lines of the Project Site (Block 0 East) between the construction areas and residential use along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1), Fair Avenue (receptor location R2), Chandler Boulevard (receptor R3), and Lankershim Boulevard (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R1, R2, R3, and R5.

During Block 1 Construction:

- Along the western edge of the Project Site (Block 1) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Cumpston Street (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 11 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R5.

- Along the northeastern and eastern edges of the Project Site (Block 1) between the construction areas and residential use along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1) and Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 8-dBA (minimum 11 feet high) and 5-dBA (minimum 8 feet high) noise reduction at the ground level of receptor locations R1 and R2, respectively.
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 1) between the construction areas and the noise sensitive uses along Weddington Street (receptor locations R9 and R10). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9 and R10. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 8 is substantially completed, prior to Block 1 construction.

During Block 2 Construction:

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 2) between the construction areas and the residential use along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 2) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 7-dBA noise reduction (minimum 10 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 3 and Block 4 are substantially completed, prior to Block 2 construction.
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 2) between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3) and the school use south of Weddington Street (receptor location R10). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R3 and R10. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 4 and Block 5/6 are substantially completed, prior to Block 2 construction.

During Block 3 Construction:

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 3) between the construction areas and the residential use along the Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 3) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2.

- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 3 between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 4 is substantially completed, prior to Block 3 construction.

During Block 4 Construction:

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 4) between the construction areas and the residential use along the Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 6-dBA noise reduction (minimum 10 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 4) between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction (minimum 15 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3.
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 4) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2.

During Block 5/6 Construction:

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and the residential use along the Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 8-dBA noise reduction (minimum 11 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 12-dBA noise reduction (minimum 14 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3.
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2.
- Along the western edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and sensitive uses along Weddington Street (receptor locations R9, R10, and R11). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed



to provide a minimum 5 dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9, R10, and R11.

During Block 7 Construction:

- Along the northern property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Cumpston Street (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 10-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R5.
- Along the western property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and residential use on Cumpston Street, west of Tujunga Avenue (receptor location R6). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R6.
- Along the southern property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Tujunga Avenue and Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R7) and at receptor location R9. The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R7 and R9.
- Along the eastern property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and future residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Chandler Boulevard (Related Project No. 1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level. Note, this temporary sound barrier would only be required if the construction for the Related Project No. 1 would be completed and occupied prior the Project construction.

During Block 8 Construction:

- Along the northern property line of the Project Site (Block 8) between the construction areas and the residential uses along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1) and Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R1 and R2.
- Along the southern property line of the Project Site (Block 8) between the construction areas and theater/ use (receptor location R9) and school use (receptor location R10). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9 and R10.
- Along the western property line of the Project Site (Block 8) between the construction areas and the hotel use (receptor location R11). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction (minimum 16 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R11.

During West Lot Construction (Metro is the monitoring and enforcement agency for these mitigation measures):

- Along the northern property line of the West Lot between the construction areas and residential use on Cumpston Street (receptor location R6). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction (minimum 16 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R6.
- Along the southern property line of the West Lot between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Tujunga Avenue and Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R7) and the park use south of Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R8). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R7 and 11-dBA noise reduction (minimum 14 feet high) at receptor location R8.
- Along the western and portion of the southern property line of the West Lot between the construction areas and the residential use on the north side of Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R14). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at receptor location R14.

During East Lot Construction (Metro is the monitoring and enforcement agency for these mitigation measures):

- Along the northern property line of the East Lot between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R13). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R13.
- Along the southern property line between the construction areas and the residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3.

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2:** Prior to any construction activities involving vibration on Block 0 West or Block 8, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified structural engineer or qualified professional building engineer to visit the Lankershim Depot (after it is relocated to the future location) and the Security Trust and Savings Bank building adjacent to the Project Site (Block 8) to inspect and document the apparent physical condition of the building's readily-visible features (i.e., any cracks or damage). In addition, the structural engineer shall survey the existing foundations and other structural aspects of the Security Trust and Savings Bank and provide a shoring design to protect the building from potential damage. Pot holing, ground penetrating radar, or other similar methods of determining the below grade conditions on the Project Site and the Security Trust and Savings Bank may be necessary to establish baseline conditions and prepare the shoring design. The shoring design shall specify threshold limits for vibration causing activities.

The qualified structural engineer shall hold a valid license to practice structural engineering in the State of California and have extensive demonstrated experience specific to rehabilitating historic buildings and applying the Secretary of the Interior's Standards to such projects. The City of Los Angeles shall determine qualification prior to any work being performed. The qualified structural engineer shall submit to the lead agency a pre-construction survey that establishes baseline conditions to be monitored during construction, prior to issuance of any permit for the Project on Block 0 West or Block 8.

Prior to construction activities, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified acoustical engineer to review proposed construction equipment and develop and implement a vibration monitoring program capable of documenting the construction-related ground vibration levels at the Lankershim Depot and the Security Trust and Savings Bank building during demolition and grading/excavation phases.

The vibration monitoring system shall continuously measure and store the peak particle velocity (PPV) in inch/second. The system shall also be programmed for two preset velocity levels: a warning level of 0.10-PPV and a regulatory level of 0.12-PPV. The system shall also provide real-time alert when the vibration levels exceed the warning level.

In the event the warning level (0.10-PPV) is triggered, the contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation, halt construction in the immediate vicinity, and provide technologically feasible steps to reduce the vibration level, including but not limited to staggering concurrent activities, utilizing lower vibratory techniques, and limiting high vibration generating equipment (i.e., large bulldozer, drill rig and loaded truck) operating within 20 feet of the building.

In the event the regulatory level (0.12-PPV) is triggered, the contractor shall halt construction activities in the vicinity of the building and visually inspect the building for any damage (by a qualified structural engineer). Results of the inspection must be logged. The contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation and provide technologically feasible steps to reduce the vibration level. Construction activities may then restart.

At the conclusion of vibration-causing construction, the qualified structural engineer shall issue a follow-up letter describing damage, if any, to immediately adjacent historic buildings and recommendations for repair, as may be necessary, in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. Repairs to immediately adjacent historic buildings shall be undertaken and completed in conformance with all applicable codes, including the California Historical Building Code (Part 8 of Title 24).

(C) Finding

(i) Project-Level On-Site Construction Noise

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the

agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(ii) Project-Level Off-Site Construction Noise

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or potential significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(iii) Project-Level On-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(iv) Project-Level Off-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(v) Cumulative On-Site Construction Noise

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of

employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(vi) Cumulative Off-Site Construction Noise

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(vii) Cumulative On-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(viii) Cumulative Off-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(1), the City finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(2), the City also finds that such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been, or can or should be, adopted by that other agency. Pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), the City also finds that specific economic, legal, social, technological, other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR.

(D) Rationale for Finding

(i) Project-Level On-Site Construction Noise

Implementation of Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1 would reduce the Project's construction noise levels to the extent technologically feasible. As indicated in Table IV.H-29 on page IV.H-87, implementation of Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1 (installation of temporary sound barrier) would reduce the noise generated by on-site construction activities at the off-site sensitive uses, by up to 15 dBA at receptor locations R1, R2, R7, R9, R10, R13, and R14, by up to 13 dBA at receptor location R6 and R11, by up to 12 dBA at receptor location R3, by up to 11 dBA at receptor location R8, and by up to 9 dBA at receptor location R5, which would reduce the construction noise impacts at receptor locations R6 and R8 to a less-than-significant level. However, the temporary noise barrier would only be effective at the ground level of receptor

locations R1, R2, R3, R5, R7, R11, and R13 because the barriers block line-of-sight to these receptors, and thereby attenuates noise levels at grade level. The residential uses at these receptors are contained in multi-story high-rise buildings. The line-of-sight from the upper floors at these receptors to the Project Site would remain unobstructed because it is not technologically feasible to construct temporary noise barriers, including moveable barriers, that would extend to the height of the buildings at these receptor locations.

In addition, noise attenuation from temporary construction noise barriers is typically limited to a maximum 15-dBA noise reduction. Other mitigation measures to reduce noise include reducing the number of construction equipment and providing a buffer zone. Construction noise levels are dependent on the number of construction equipment in use. Reducing the construction equipment utilized by the Project by 50 percent would increase the number of days that sensitive receptors would be impacted by construction activities and, therefore, would prolong the duration of the impact without reducing it to less-than-significant levels. The noise impacts would still exceed the significance criteria with a 50 percent reduction in construction equipment, because the exceedances are greater than 3 dBA at receptor locations R9, R10, R13, and R14. Construction noise levels can also be reduced by providing an additional buffer zone between the receptor and the construction equipment. Noise levels from construction equipment would attenuate approximately 6 dBA per doubling of distance. However, it would not be technologically feasible to provide a greater buffer zone, as the construction activities (e.g., site demolition) would be up to the property line.

Therefore, there are no other technologically feasible mitigation measures that could be implemented to reduce the temporary noise impacts from on-site construction.

Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, construction noise impacts associated with on-site noise sources would remain significant and unavoidable.

(ii) Project-Level Off-Site Construction Noise

As shown in Table IV.H-15 and Table IV.H-16 of the Draft EIR, the short-term noise impacts associated with off-site construction traffic would be significant along Burbank Boulevard, Lankershim Boulevard, Cumpston Street, Chandler Boulevard, and Fair Avenue, under Haul Route Option A and along Vineland Avenue, Lankershim Boulevard, Chandler Boulevard, Fair Avenue, Cumpston Street, and Magnolia Boulevards under Haul Route Option B. As discussed above, there are no technologically feasible mitigation measures that could be implemented to reduce this short-term impact. Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-

permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles. Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, construction noise impacts associated with off-site noise sources would remain significant and unavoidable.

(iii) Cumulative On-Site Construction Noise

Thirty four related projects have been identified in the vicinity of the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas. Noise from construction of development projects is typically localized and has the potential to affect noise-sensitive uses within 500 feet from the construction-site, based on the L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide screening criteria. Thus, noise from construction activities for two projects within 1,000 feet of each other can contribute to a cumulative noise impact for receptors located midway between the two construction-sites. Of the 34 related projects, 23 related projects are located more than 1,000 feet from the Project and with intervening building structures, which would not contribute to the cumulative on-site construction noise impacts. Construction-related noise levels from the related projects would be intermittent and temporary, and it is anticipated that, as with the Project, the related projects would comply with the construction hours and other relevant provisions set forth in the LAMC. Noise associated with cumulative construction activities would be reduced to the degree technologically feasible through proposed mitigation measures for each individual related project that is required to implement them and compliance with locally adopted and enforced noise ordinances. Based on the above, there would be potential cumulative noise impacts at the nearby sensitive uses (e.g., residential uses) located in proximity to the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas, Related Project Nos. 1, 2, and 5, in the event of concurrent construction activities. It should be noted that the timing of the construction activities for these related projects are uncertain and are beyond the control of the City and the Applicant. Accordingly, it is uncertain if the concurrent construction activities identified above would result in the exceedances identified herein. Nevertheless, the analysis conservatively assumes such exceedances would occur. Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, the Project's contribution would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative noise impacts from on-site construction would be significant and unavoidable.

(ii) Cumulative Off-Site Construction Noise

Off-site construction haul trucks would have a potential to result in cumulative impacts if the trucks for the related projects and the Project were to utilize the same truck routes. As analyzed above in Subsection 3.d. under Threshold (a) (see Table IV.H-15 and Table IV.H-16 on pages IV.H-38 and IV.H-53, respectively of the Draft EIR), the estimated off-site construction noise levels from the Project would exceed the significance criteria along the anticipated truck

routes (along Burbank Boulevard [Option A], Lankershim Boulevard [Options A and B], Chandler Boulevard [Options A and B], Fair Avenue [Options A and B], Cumpston Street [Options A and B], Vineland Avenue [Option B], and Magnolia Boulevard [Option B]). Therefore, any additional truck trips along these roadways would have the potential to increase the traffic noise and contribute to the cumulative noise impacts. The estimated off-site construction traffic noise levels along Colfax Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, and Riverside Drive (used for Block 7 and West Lot) would be below the 5-dBA significance criterion. However, it is estimated that if the total number of trucks from the Project and the related projects were to add up to 54, 63, and 74 truck trips per hour along Colfax Avenue [Options A and B], Tujunga Avenue (Option B), and Riverside Drive [Option B], respectively, these trucks would result in a 5-dBA noise increase along these roadway segments. There are related projects in the vicinity of the Project Block 7 and West Lot and near Colfax Avenue, including Related Project Nos. 1, 12, and 24, which could contribute to the cumulative truck trips. Related Project Nos. 1, 7, 16, 17, 18, 22, 27, 28, and 29 are located in the vicinity of Tujunga Avenue and Riverside Drive, which could contribute to the cumulative truck trips with the Project. Since the Project generates up to 50 truck trips per hour, the cumulative truck trips, including the noted related projects, could add up to 54, 63, and 74 truck trips per hour along Colfax Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, and Riverside Drive, respectively, which has the potential to increase the ambient noise by 5 dBA.

Therefore, cumulative noise due to construction truck traffic from the Project and other related projects could increase the ambient noise levels at certain segments along the haul route by 5 dBA. Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. As such, the Project's contribution would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative noise impacts from off-site construction would be significant and unavoidable.

(iii) Project-Level On-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Project-level vibration impacts from on-site construction activities would still exceed the 72-VdB human annoyance significance criterion at the residential uses within 80 feet of the Project Site (receptor locations R1, R2, R5, R7, R13, and R14) and the studio use (receptor location R9) during certain phases of construction. It is concluded that there are no technologically feasible mitigation measures that could be implemented to reduce the temporary vibration impacts from on-site construction associated with human annoyance to a less-than-significant level. Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.



Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, Project-level vibration impacts from on-site construction activities with respect to human annoyance would remain significant and unavoidable.

(iv) Project-Level Off-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Project-level vibration impacts from on-site construction activities would still exceed the 72-VdB human annoyance significance criterion at the residential uses within 80 feet of the Project Site (receptor locations R1, R2, R5, R7, R13, and R14) and the studio use (receptor location R9) during certain phases of construction. It is concluded that there are no technologically feasible mitigation measures that could be implemented to reduce the temporary vibration impacts from off-site construction associated with human annoyance to a less-than-significant level. Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, Project-level vibration impacts from off-site construction activities with respect to human annoyance would remain significant and unavoidable.

(v) Cumulative On-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Potential vibration impacts associated with Project-related on-site construction activities would be significant with respect to human annoyance at receptor location R5 (the closest sensitive receptor between the Project and Related Project No. 1). Related Project No. 1 is approximately 25 feet from the receptor location R5. Therefore, the ground-borne vibration from Related Project No. 1 to the receptor location R5 would be similar to the Project and would exceed the 72-VdB significance thresholds. The next closest related project, Related Project No. 2, is located on the south side of Chandler Boulevard, approximately 90 feet south of the East Lot. The nearest sensitive receptor to Related Project No. 2 is receptor location R3. As analyzed above, the estimated vibration levels from the Project to the receptor location R3 would be 69 VdB, which is below the 72 VdB. In addition, construction activities at Related Project No. 2 would be more than 80 feet from the receptor location R3. Therefore, the Project construction would not contribute to the cumulative construction vibration impacts at receptor location R3. All other related projects would be located at a further distance and would not contribute to the cumulative vibration impacts. Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, because of the potential impact associated with Related Project No. 1, the Project's contribution to a potential construction vibration impact with respect to human annoyance associated with on-site construction would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative impacts would be considered significant and unavoidable.

(vi) Cumulative Off-Site Vibration (Human Annoyance)

Potential vibration impacts associated with temporary and intermittent vibration from project-related construction trucks traveling along the anticipated truck routes (i.e., Burbank Boulevard, Lankershim Boulevard, Cumpston Street, Fair Avenue, Tujunga Avenue, Colfax Avenue, and Magnolia Boulevard) would be significant with respect to human annoyance. As related projects would be anticipated to use similar trucks as the Project (i.e., Burbank Boulevard, Lankershim Boulevard, Tujunga Avenue, Colfax Avenue, and Magnolia Boulevard), it is anticipated that construction trucks would generate similar vibration levels along the anticipated truck route(s). Additionally, the City finds above that the Mitigation Measures identified are the responsibility of another agency as Metro is a Responsible Agency for the Project EIR and some construction takes place under Metro's self-permitting authority; where the implementation, monitoring, and enforcement of the Mitigation Measures outlined above are the responsibility of Metro when activity occurs under Metro self-permitting authority. Project activities outside the Metro self-permitting authority would implement the identified Mitigation Measures as conditions of approval required by the City of Los Angeles.

Accordingly, the City finds above that, despite incorporation of Mitigation Measures, that economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible mitigation measures that would reduce impacts to less than significant. Therefore, to the extent that other related projects use the same truck route as the Project, the Project's contribution to potential cumulative vibration impacts with respect to human annoyance associated with temporary and intermittent vibration from haul trucks traveling along the designated truck route(s) would be cumulatively considerable, and cumulative impacts would be considered significant and unavoidable.

(E) Reference

Section IV.H, Noise, of the Draft EIR, as well as noise and vibration calculation worksheets contained in Revised Appendix L, of the Final EIR.

## **IX. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT**

CEQA requires that an EIR analyze a reasonable range of feasible alternatives that could substantially reduce or avoid the significant impacts of a project while also meeting the project's basic objectives. An EIR must identify ways to substantially reduce or avoid the significant effects that a project may have on the environment (PRC Section 21002.1). Accordingly, the discussion of alternatives shall focus on alternatives to a project or its location, which are capable of avoiding or substantially reducing any significant effects of the project, even if these alternatives would impede to some degree the attainment of the project objectives, or would be more costly. The Draft EIR evaluated a reasonable range of six alternatives to the

Project in detail, which include the No Project/No Build Alternative; No Project/Development Alternative; Development in Accordance with Existing Zoning Alternative; Reduced Density Alternative; Historic Preservation Alternative; and Alternative Land Use Mix Alternative. In accordance with CEQA requirements, the alternatives to the Project include a “No Project” alternative and alternatives capable of eliminating the significant adverse impacts of the project. These alternatives and their impacts, which are summarized below, are more fully described in Section V of the Draft EIR.

## **1. Summary of Findings**

Based upon the following analysis, the City finds, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15096(g)(2), that no feasible alternative or mitigation measure will substantially lessen any significant effect of the project, reduce the significant unavoidable impacts of the project to a level that is less than significant, or avoid any significant effect the project would have on the environment.

## **2. Project Objectives**

An important consideration in the analysis of alternatives to the Project is the degree to which such alternatives would achieve the objectives of the Project. As more thoroughly described in Section II, Project Description, of the Draft EIR, pages II-7 – II-8, both the City and Applicant have established specific objectives concerning the Project, which are incorporated by reference herein and discussed further below.

## **3. Project Alternatives Analyzed**

### **(A) Alternative 1 - No Project/No Build Alternative**

In accordance with the CEQA Guidelines, the No Project Alternative for a development project on an identifiable property consists of the circumstance under which the project does not proceed. CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(3)(B) states in part that, “in certain instances, the No Project Alternative means ‘no build’ wherein the existing environmental setting is maintained.” Accordingly, for purposes of this analysis, Alternative 1, the No Project/No Build Alternative, assumes that the Project would not be approved and no new development would occur within the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas. Thus, the physical conditions of the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas would generally remain as they are today. The Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas would continue to be occupied by industrial/warehouse buildings, the historic Lankershim Depot, and Metro facilities. No new construction would occur.

### **(i) Impact Summary**

Alternative 1 would avoid the Project’s significant and unavoidable impacts associated with historic resources, NOx emissions during operation, on-site construction noise, off-site construction noise, on-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance). Alternative 1 would also avoid the Project’s significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to NOx emissions during operation, on-site construction noise, off-site construction noise, on-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), as well as concurrent construction and operational NOx emissions. All other environmental impacts would also be less than the Project.

(ii) Finding

The City finds, pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations identified in Section XIII of these findings (Statement of Overriding Considerations), make infeasible the No Project Alternative, as described in the Draft EIR.

(iii) Rationale for Findings

No changes to existing land uses or operations on-site would occur under Alternative 1. Alternative 1 would avoid all of the Project's significant environmental impacts associated with historic resources, NOx emissions during operation, on-site construction noise, off-site construction noise, on-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance). Alternative 1 would also avoid the Project's significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to NOx emissions during operation, on-site construction noise, off-site construction noise, on-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), as well as concurrent construction and operational NOx emissions. Alternative 1 would not result in greater impacts for any environmental issue. Under Alternative 1, the existing uses would remain on the Project Site and no new development would occur. As such, Alternative 1 would not meet the Project's underlying purpose or any of its objectives. Specifically, Alternative 1 would not meet the underlying purpose of the Project to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development, which is transit and pedestrian oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area, nor would it meet any of the Project objectives.

(iv) Reference

Section V, Alternatives, of the Draft EIR.

(B) Alternative 2 – No Project/Development Alternative

In accordance with the CEQA Guidelines, the No Project/Development Alternative for a development project on an identifiable property consists of the circumstance under which a proposed project does not proceed. CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(3)(B) states that "in certain instances, the No Project Alternative means 'no build' wherein the existing environmental setting is maintained." In addition, CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(e)(3)(C) states that "the lead agency should proceed to analyze the impacts of the no project alternative by projecting what would reasonably be expected to occur in the foreseeable future if the project were not approved, based on current plans and consistent with available infrastructure and community services." Accordingly, for purposes of this analysis, Alternative 2, the No Project/Development Alternative, assumes that the Project would not be approved and no new development would occur within the Project Site or Off-site Metro Parking Areas, with the exception of the development of the Consolidated Transit Center (including the movement of the Lankershim Depot) on Block 0 West which was previously approved by Metro, and 709 square feet of office uses on the Project Site which would be used as a security office and employee breakroom. Thus, the physical conditions of the Project Site would generally remain as they are today. Under Alternative 2, the Project Site would continue to be developed with existing industrial/warehouse buildings and the Lankershim Depot, together totaling 25,145 square feet along with surface parking; the West Lot would continue to be developed with an existing industrial/warehouse building totaling 25,691 square feet and surface parking; and the East Lot

would continue to be developed with an existing surface parking. New construction would occur only on Block 0 West associated with construction of the previously approved Consolidated Transit Center, which would consist of additional discharge, boarding, and layover bays for the G (Orange) Line and future bus rapid transit services; new bays for local/regional buses; electric bus charging facilities; and an expanded portal to the subsurface B (Red) Line station. Local bus traffic would move from the east to west side of Lankershim Boulevard following completion. Similar to the Project, the Consolidated Transit Center would include one vehicular access point off Tujunga Boulevard. Also similar to the Project, this would include relocation of the Lankershim Depot within Block 0 West to accommodate the expanded station portal. No development beyond the previously approved Consolidated Transit Center would occur.

(i) Impact Summary

As shown in Table V-2 on page V-11 of the Draft EIR, Alternative 2 would avoid the Project's significant unavoidable concurrent construction/operational and operational air quality (NOx) impacts. However, similar to the Project, Alternative 2 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 2 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). The balance of the impacts would be similar under Alternative 2 or would be less, owing to a substantially reduced development under this alternative. Overall, Alternative 2 would be less impactful than the Project.

(ii) Finding

The City finds, pursuant to PRC Section 21081(a)(3), that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations identified in Section XIII of these findings (Statement of Overriding Considerations), make infeasible Alternative 2, as described in the Draft EIR.

(iii) Rationale for Finding

Alternative 2 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 2 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). The balance of the impacts would be similar under Alternative 2 or would be less owing to substantially reduced development under this alternative. Overall, Alternative 2 would be less impactful than the Project. Under Alternative 2, the existing uses would remain on the Project Site, but Metro would proceed with development of the Consolidated Transit Center on Block 0 West as approved by Metro on April 23, 2020. As such, Alternative 2 would not meet the underlying purpose of the Project to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high density, mixed-use development, which is transit and pedestrian oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area or many of the Project objectives.

(iv) Reference

Section V, Alternatives, of the Draft EIR.

(C) Alternative 3 – Development in Accordance with Existing Zoning Alternative

Under this Alternative, the Project Site would be developed in accordance with the existing C4-2D (Commercial, Height District 2), C4-2D-CA (Commercial, Height District 2, Commercial and Artcraft District), C2 2D-CA (Commercial, Height District 2, Commercial and Artcraft District), CM-1VL (Commercial Manufacturing, Height District 1VL), and PF 1VL (Public Facilities, Height District 1VL) zoning of the Project Site. Specifically, Alternative 3 would develop the previously approved Consolidated Transit Center on Block 0 West, including 709 square feet of office uses which would be used as a security office and employee breakroom. Block 8, which is currently an empty lot, would be developed with 358 residential units, 90 of which would be Low Income units (25% of total density) and 36 of which would be live/work units in accordance with the Commercial and Artcraft District overlay (10% of total density), compared to 1,216 market rate units and 311 affordable units with the Project. Under this Alternative, the Lankershim Depot would also be retained as a restaurant use, but would be relocated within Block 0 West under the previously approved Consolidated Transit Center similar to the Project. Blocks 1 through 5/6 would remain as surface parking lots and Block 7 would continue with industrial/warehouse uses. Because Metro's existing parking would not be removed, the Off-Site Metro Parking Areas would not be redeveloped under this Alternative. The proposed residential uses would be located within a seven-story, 85-foot tall building within Block 8, compared to multiple buildings ranging from one-story and 36 feet to 28 stories and 325 feet under the Project. Overall, Alternative 3 would provide 288,044 net square feet of new development (including 358 residential units and 5,000 square feet of retail) versus 2,158,191 net square feet (including 1,527 residential units) under the Project.

Alternative 3 would provide: 38,950 square feet of open space, compared to 211,280 square feet of open space under the Project; 395 vehicle parking spaces within one subterranean level, compared to 3,313 vehicle parking spaces within subterranean and above ground parking areas under the Project; and a total of 215 bicycle parking spaces with 20 short-term spaces and 168 long-term spaces compared to 1,158 bicycle parking spaces consisting of 970 long-term and 188 short-term spaces under the Project.

Vehicular access to the subterranean parking on Block 8 would be provided from Weddington Street and Bakman Avenue, similar to the Project. Bus access to the Consolidated Transit Center on Block 0 West would be provided from Tujunga Avenue, similar to the Project. Pedestrian access to the residential uses on Block 8 would be provided from Lankershim Boulevard and Chandler Boulevard, and pedestrian access to the Consolidated Transit Center would be provided from Chandler Boulevard, Tujunga Avenue, and Lankershim Boulevard.

Alternative 3 would develop only one building compared to multiple buildings under the Project; however, the building design would be similar to the residential buildings proposed under the Project. Alternative 3 would also implement similar lighting, vehicular and pedestrian access, setbacks, and sustainability features in Blocks 0 West and 8 as those proposed for the Project. Proposed signage would conform to the LAMC. Alternative 3 would require fewer discretionary approvals than the Project because no zone change or general plan amendment would be required. Alternative 3 would, however, apply for Transit Oriented Communities (TOC) approval. The extent and duration of construction activities would be substantially less under Alternative 3 than under the Project, owing to lack of new development on multiple Blocks and substantially less overall development under this alternative.

(i) Impact Summary

As shown in Table V-2 on page V-11 of the Draft EIR, Alternative 3 would avoid the Project's significant unavoidable concurrent construction/operational and operational air quality (NOx) impacts. However, similar to the Project, Alternative 3 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 3 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). The balance of the impacts under Alternative 3 would be similar to the Project or less owing to less development under this alternative. Overall, impacts under Alternative 3 would be reduced when compared to the Project.

(ii) Finding

The City finds, pursuant to PRC Code Section 21081(a)(3), that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations identified in Section XIII of these findings (Statement of Overriding Considerations), make infeasible Alternative 3, as described in the Draft EIR.

(iii) Rationale for Findings

Alternative 3 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 3 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). The balance of the impacts under Alternative 3 would be similar to the Project or less owing to less development under this alternative. Overall, impacts under Alternative 3 would be reduced when compared to the Project. Under Alternative 3, the existing uses would remain on the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas with the exception of the development of the Consolidated Transit Center on Block 0 West and development of 358 residential units and 5,000 square feet of retail uses in Block 8. As such, Alternative 3 would not meet the underlying purpose of the Project, which is to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development which is transit and pedestrian oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area.

With the development of residential and retail uses in Block 8, Alternative 3 would partially meet the below Project objectives or meet them to a lesser extent. Alternative 3 would not fully meet these objectives since the majority of the Project Site blocks and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas would not be redeveloped under this alternative, no public open space plazas would be provided, and the number of new residential units would be less than under the Project.

- The orderly development of residential uses, commercial uses, office uses, and transit uses, as a unified site in furtherance of Metro's commitment to creating transit-oriented communities that offer compact, bikeable, and walkable communities centered around public transit.
- Facilitate an urban in-fill development with a mix of residential, commercial, and office land uses at a density and scale to enable the Project Site to function as a regional center and support transit use.

- Provide housing in furtherance of the goals of the City's Housing Element, City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment, and which serves the surrounding area and citywide market, by providing housing in a range of unit types, affordability levels, and sizes adjacent to public transit.
- Provide community benefits such as new community-serving retail uses, enhanced streetscapes, and publicly accessible open space amenities for the community.
- Promote fiscal benefits, economic development, and job creation by generating jobs during the construction and operation of the project and generating tax revenue for the City and ground lease revenues to Metro to supports its mission to improve mobility in Los Angeles County.
- Promote local and regional mobility objectives and reduce VMT by providing a mix of higher density housing and commercial uses that are in close proximity to public transportation, including numerous bus lines as well as rail transit, which are supported by recreational amenities, commercial services, and enhancements to bicycle and pedestrian amenities.

With the development of the Consolidated Transit Center, Alternative 3 would meet the following Project objectives:

- Promote and enhance transit ridership by consolidating and revitalizing the Metro transit center to accommodate current local and municipal buses as well as the G (Orange) Line terminus and to provide enhancements to the North Hollywood Metro Station, including an improved terminal and security office, Metro employee break room, other support structures, new Metro portal structures on the West and East sides of Lankershim, and the retention of the historic Lankershim Depot.
- Support Metro's regional planning efforts such as the Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan by improving pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities in North Hollywood.
- Improve Metro infrastructure in furtherance of Metro's commitment to convert to an all-electric fleet by 2040.

Alternative 3 would also meet the following Project objective related to sustainable building design:

- Promote resource and energy conservation through incorporating sustainable and green building design and construction above Title 24 (CALGreen) code requirements.

(iv) Reference

Section V, Alternatives, of the Draft EIR.

(D) Alternative 4 – Reduced Density Alternative

Alternative 4 would develop the same mix of uses as the Project on the same blocks, but all development would be reduced by 42 percent, which is the percentage reduction required to avoid the Project's significant unavoidable operational air quality (e.g., regional NOx) impact. Specifically, under Alternative 4, 61,787 square feet of retail/restaurant uses (44,000 square feet



of which would be restaurant uses), 885 residential units (including 708 market rate and 177 affordable units or 20 percent of total density), 336,617 square feet of office uses, and the Consolidated Transit Center, would be developed. All development would occur within the same footprint as the Project, and the heights of the proposed buildings would be reduced by 42 percent compared to those under the Project (e.g., ranging from one-story and 36 feet to 16 stories and 155 feet under Alternative 4, compared to one-story and 36 feet to 28 stories and 325 feet under the Project). In all, 1,282,050 square feet of net new floor area (including 885 residential units) would be developed under Alternative 4, as compared to 2,158,191 square feet (including 1,527 residential units) under the Project. Alternative 4 also would include Off-Site Metro Parking Areas located at the southwest corner of N. Chandler Boulevard and Tujunga Avenue and on the north side of Chandler Boulevard between Fair Avenue and Vineland Avenue.

Based on a 42 percent reduction of the requirements of the Specific Plan proposed as part of the Project, Alternative 4 would provide: 2,124 vehicle parking spaces, compared to 3,313 vehicle parking spaces under the Project; and a total of 837 bicycle parking spaces with 126 short-term spaces and 712 long-term spaces, compared to 1,158 bicycle parking spaces consisting of 188 short-term and 970 long-term spaces under the Project. Like the Project, up to 274 Metro parking spaces would also be provided on the Project Site. Fewer subterranean and above-grade parking levels would be provided under Alternative 4 than under the proposed Project, as a result of the reduced development under this alternative. With the overall reduction in development, the central open space areas would not be provided. A total of 96,191 square feet of open space would be provided in accordance with the LAMC compared to 211,280 square feet under the Project.

Vehicular, bus, and pedestrian access under Alternative 4 would be similar to that under the Project. The design of the buildings under Alternative 4 would be similar to that of the Project, as would the signage, lighting, vehicular and pedestrian access, setbacks, sustainability features, and discretionary approvals. Construction activities would also generally be similar to those of the Project, but would require less excavation due to the reduced number of subterranean parking levels and would be shorter in overall duration due to the reduced amount of development, under this alternative.

(i) Impact Summary

As shown in Table V-2 on page V-11, Alternative 4 would avoid the Project's significant unavoidable operational air quality (NOx) impacts. However, similar to the Project, Alternative 4 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to concurrent construction/operational air quality (NOx), historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 4 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). The balance of the impacts under this alternative would be similar to the Project or less, owing to the overall reduction in development. The exception is transportation (specifically, VMT) for which the impact would be greater, but still less than significant under Alternative 4. Overall, Alternative 4 would be less impactful than the Project.

(ii) Finding

The City finds, pursuant to PRC Code Section 21081(a)(3), that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations identified in Section XIII

of these findings (Statement of Overriding Considerations), make infeasible Alternative 4, as described in the Draft EIR.

(iii) Rationale for Findings

Alternative 4 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to concurrent construction/operational air quality (NO<sub>x</sub>), historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 4 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). The balance of the impacts under this alternative would be similar to the Project or less, owing to the overall reduction in development. The exception is transportation (specifically, VMT) for which the impact would be greater, but still less than significant under Alternative 4. Overall, Alternative 4 would be less impactful than the Project.

Alternative 4 would develop the same mix of uses as the Project, but all development would be reduced by 42 percent. As such, Alternative 4 would meet the underlying purpose of the Project, which is to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development, which is transit and pedestrian oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area. Because the same mix of uses would be provided, Alternative 4 would also meet the following Project objectives set forth below to the same extent as the Project:

- The orderly development of residential uses, commercial uses, office uses, and transit uses, as a unified site in furtherance of Metro's commitment to creating transit-oriented communities that offer compact, bikeable, and walkable communities centered around public transit.
- Facilitate an urban in-fill development with a mix of residential, commercial, and office land uses at a density and scale to enable the Project Site to function as a regional center and support transit use.
- Promote resource and energy conservation through incorporating sustainable and green building design and construction above code requirements.
- Promote fiscal benefits, economic development, and job creation by generating jobs during the construction and operation of the project and generating tax revenue for the City and ground lease revenues to Metro to support its mission to improve mobility in Los Angeles County.
- Promote and enhance transit ridership by consolidating and revitalizing the Metro transit center to accommodate current local and municipal buses, as well as the G (Orange) Line terminus and to provide enhancements to the North Hollywood Metro Station, including an improved terminal and security office, Metro employee break room, other support structures, new Metro portal structures on the West and East sides of Lankershim, and the retention of the historic Lankershim Depot.
- Support Metro's regional planning efforts such as the Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan by improving pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities in North Hollywood.
- Improve Metro infrastructure in furtherance of Metro's commitment to convert to an all-electric fleet by 2040.

Alternative 4 would meet the Project objectives as set forth below to a lesser extent than the Project due to the 42 percent reduction in development and due to the fact the publicly accessible plaza areas would not be provided:

- Provide housing in furtherance of the goals of the City's Housing Element, City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment, and which serves the surrounding area and citywide market, by providing housing in a range of unit types, affordability levels, and sizes adjacent to public transit.
- Provide community benefits such as new community-serving retail uses, enhanced streetscapes, and publicly accessible open space amenities for the community.
- Promote local and regional mobility objectives and reduce VMT by providing a mix of higher density housing and commercial uses that are in close proximity to public transportation, including numerous bus lines as well as rail transit, which are supported by recreational amenities, commercial services, and enhancements to bicycle and pedestrian amenities.

(iv) Reference

Section V, Alternatives, of the Draft EIR.

(E) Alternative 5 – Historic Preservation Alternative

Alternative 5 would not include development of the previously approved Consolidated Transit Center (including the relocation of the Lankershim Depot) on Block 0 West, thereby avoiding the significant unavoidable historical resources impact of the Project. Because the Consolidated Transit Center would not be built, local buses would remain on the east side of Lankershim Boulevard, and Blocks 4, 5, and 6 would not be developed to maintain existing Metro parking and the local bus plaza. Specifically, Alternative 5 would: (1) retain the existing transit and transit parking uses on Blocks 0 West, 4, and 5/6 instead of developing the Consolidated Transit Center and residential, office, retail/restaurant and parking uses on these blocks as proposed under the Project; and (2) develop 751 residential units, including 600 market rate and 151 affordable units (20 percent of the total), 488,320 square feet of office uses, 45,792 square feet of retail/restaurant uses (32,600 square feet of which would be restaurant uses), and parking uses in the balance of the Project Site blocks (e.g., Blocks 0 East, 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8) similar to the Project. Within these blocks, building footprints, heights, and design; vehicular, bus, and pedestrian access; signage; lighting; setbacks; and sustainability features would all be similar to the Project. In all, 1,234,296 square feet of net new floor area (including 751 residential units) would be developed under Alternative 5, as compared to 2,158,191 square feet (including 1,527 residential units) under the Project. Because only a portion of Metro's existing parking would be removed, the Off-Site Metro Parking Areas would not be redeveloped under this Alternative.

Alternative 5 would provide: 82,314 square feet of open space, compared to 211,280 square feet of open space under the Project; 2,512 vehicle parking spaces within subterranean levels and above ground parking areas, compared to 3,313 vehicle parking spaces within subterranean and above ground parking areas under the Project; and a total of 693 bicycle parking spaces with 117 short-term spaces and 576 long-term spaces compared to 1,158 bicycle parking spaces consisting of 970 long-term and 188 short-term spaces under the Project. Like the Project, up to 274 parking spaces for Metro uses would be provided within the Project Site.

The discretionary entitlements and approvals required under Alternative 5 would be similar to the Project, except that they would cover fewer blocks. The extent and duration of construction activities would also be less under Alternative 5, owing to the lack of development on Blocks 0 West, 4, and 5/6 under this alternative.

(i) Impact Summary

As shown in Table V-2 on page V-11, Alternative 5 would avoid the Project's significant unavoidable historical resources impact and significant unavoidable operational air quality (NOx) impacts. However, similar to the Project, Alternative 5 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to concurrent construction/operational air quality (NOx), on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 5 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Alternative 5 would result in similar impacts to the Project for the balance of the environmental issues, or less impacts ,owing to let development under this alternative. The exception would be for transportation (VMT) where the impact would be greater than the Project, but still less than significant. Overall, Alternative 5 would be less impactful than the Project.

(ii) Finding

The City finds, pursuant to PRC Code Section 21081(a)(3), that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations identified in Section XIII of these findings (Statement of Overriding Considerations), make infeasible Alternative 5, as described in the Draft EIR.

(iii) Rationale for Findings

Alternative 5 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to concurrent construction/operational air quality (NOx), on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 5 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Alternative 5 would result in similar impacts to the Project for the balance of the environmental issues, or less impacts owing to let development under this alternative. The exception would be for transportation (VMT) where the impact would be greater than the Project, but still less than significant. Overall, Alternative 5 would be less impactful than the Project.

Under Alternative 5, the same residential, office, and retail/restaurant uses as proposed by the Project would be developed, but within Blocks 0 East, 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8 only. As such, Alternative 5 would only partially meet the underlying purpose of the Project, which is to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development which is transit and pedestrian oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area. Furthermore, Alternative 5 would not meet the following Project objectives because the proposed transit improvements are not included:

- Promote and enhance transit ridership by consolidating and revitalizing the Metro transit center and providing enhancements to the G (Orange) Line terminus property, including an improved terminal and security office, Metro employee break room,

other support structures, new Metro portal structures on the West and East sides of Lankershim, and the retention of the historic Lankershim Depot.

- Improve Metro infrastructure in furtherance of Metro's commitment to convert to an all-electric fleet by 2040.
- Support Metro's regional planning efforts such as the Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan by improving pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities in North Hollywood.

Alternative 5 would meet the following Project objectives to a lesser extent, due to the fact that Blocks 0 West, 4, and 5/6 would not be developed and the central open space areas would not be provided:

- The orderly development of residential uses, commercial uses, office uses, and transit uses, as a unified site in furtherance of Metro's commitment to creating transit-oriented communities that offer compact, bikeable, and walkable communities centered around public transit.
- Facilitate an urban in-fill development with a mix of residential, commercial, and office land uses at a density and scale to enable the Project Site to function as a regional center and support transit use.
- Provide housing in furtherance of the goals of the City's Housing Element, City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment, and which serves the surrounding area and citywide market, by providing housing in a range of unit types, affordability levels, and sizes adjacent to public transit. Promote local and regional mobility objectives and reduce VMT by providing a mix of higher density housing and commercial uses that are in close proximity to public transportation, including numerous bus lines, as well as rail transit, which are supported by recreational amenities, commercial services, and enhancements to bicycle and pedestrian amenities.
- Promote fiscal benefits, economic development, and job creation by generating jobs during the construction and operation of the project and generating tax revenue for the City and ground lease revenues to Metro to supports its mission to improve mobility in Los Angeles County.
- Provide community benefits such as new community-serving retail uses, enhanced streetscapes, and publicly accessible open space amenities for the community.

Alternative 5 would, however, meet the following objective to the same extent as the Project:

- Promote resource and energy conservation through incorporating sustainable and green building design and construction above Title 24 (CALGreen) code requirements.

(iv) Reference

Section V, Alternatives, of the Draft EIR.

(F) Alternative 6 - Alternative Land Use Mix Alternative

As permitted by current zoning, indoor studio space would be developed on Blocks 2 and 3 under Alternative 6, instead of the residential uses proposed on these blocks under the Project. Specifically, Alternative 6 would: (1) develop the Consolidated Transit Center in Block 0 West similar to the Project; (2) develop 485,484 square feet of indoor visual media studio space in Blocks 2 and 3 in place of the residential uses proposed on these blocks under the Project; and (3) develop the balance of the blocks (e.g., Blocks 0 East, 1, and 4-8) similar to the Project. The breakdown of new net floor area under this alternative would be: 755 residential units, including 604 market rate units and 151 affordable units (20 percent of the total units); 580,373 square feet of office; 485,484 square feet of studio; and 102,150 square feet of retail/restaurant (72,750 square feet of which would be restaurant). In all 1,872,183 square feet of net new floor area (including 755 residential units) would be developed under Alternative 6, as compared to 2,158,191 square feet (including 1,527 residential units) under the Project. Alternative 6 includes the Off-Site Metro Parking Areas located at the southwest corner of N. Chandler Boulevard and Tujunga Avenue and on the north side of Chandler Boulevard between Fair Avenue and Vineland Avenue.

Regarding the configuration of the studio development in Blocks 2 and 3 under Alternative 6, it would consist of two standalone buildings, up to 235 feet and 85 feet respectively, on either side of Klump Avenue (which would be extended into the Project Site, similar to the Project), housing sound stages, production offices, loading, storage, parking, support, and post-production facilities. To accommodate the studio use, no aboveground parking would be provided on Blocks 2 and 3. Because development in Blocks 0 East and West and Blocks 1 and 4-8 under Alternative 6 would be similar to that under the Project, so too would be the following on these blocks: the new buildings, including the building footprints and building heights (e.g., ranging from one-story and 36 feet to 28 stories and 325 feet); vehicular, bus and pedestrian access; building design; signage; lighting; setbacks; and sustainability features. See Section II, Project Description, of the Draft EIR for descriptions of these project elements on these blocks.

Alternative 6 would provide: 167,794 square feet of open space, compared to 211,280 square feet of open space under the Project; 3,737 vehicle parking spaces within subterranean and above ground levels, compared to 3,313 vehicle parking spaces within subterranean and above ground parking areas under the Project; and a total of 925 bicycle parking spaces with 203 short-term spaces and 722 long-term spaces compared to 1,158 bicycle parking spaces consisting of 970 long-term and 188 short-term spaces under the Project. Like the Project, up to 274 parking spaces for Metro uses would also be provided within the Project Site. This alternative would require two additional subterranean parking levels on Blocks 2 and 3 because no above ground parking would be provided with the proposed studio use.

The discretionary entitlements and approvals required under Alternative 6 would be similar to the Project, except that the General Plan Amendment and Zone Change required under the Project would not be required for Blocks 2 and 3 under this alternative, as indoor studio space is permitted by the existing Commercial Manufacturing zoning for these blocks. The extent and duration of construction activities would be less under Alternative 6, as a result of approximately 13 percent less total development under this alternative.

(i) Impact Summary

As shown in Table V-2 on page V-11, Alternative 6 would not avoid any of the significant unavoidable impacts of the Project (e.g., concurrent construction/operational and operational

regional air quality [NOx] impacts, cumulative operational regional/localized air quality [NOx] impacts, historic resources impacts, on- and off-site construction noise and vibration impacts, and cumulative construction noise and vibration impacts). Operational NOx impacts would, in fact, be greater than the Project. However, Alternative 6 would reduce some of these impacts (e.g., construction noise/vibration impacts) owing to the less development under this alternative, although these impacts would remain significant and unavoidable. Alternative 6 would result in greater impacts with respect to archeological resources, paleontological resources, hazards and hazardous materials during construction, and tribal cultural resources because of the additional subterranean parking levels, though these impacts would remain less than significant (paleontological resources) or less than significant with mitigation (archeological resources, hazards and hazardous materials, and tribal cultural resources). Alternative 6 would also result in greater impacts associated with operational hazardous materials owing to the anticipated greater use of hazardous materials associated with the interior studio use under this alternative. Alternative 6 would result in similar impacts to the Project for the balance of the environmental issues, or less impacts owing to less development under this alternative. Overall, Alternative 6 would be more impactful than the Project.

(ii) Finding

The City finds, pursuant to PRC Code Section 21081(a)(3), that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations identified in Section XIII of these findings (Statement of Overriding Considerations), make infeasible Alternative 6, as described in the Draft EIR.

(iii) Rationale for Findings

Alternative 6 would not avoid any of the significant unavoidable impacts of the Project (e.g., concurrent construction/operational and operational regional air quality [NOx] impacts, cumulative operational regional/localized air quality [NOx] impacts, historic resources impacts, on- and off-site construction noise and vibration impacts, and cumulative construction noise and vibration impacts). Operational NOx impacts would, in fact, be greater than the Project. However, Alternative 6 would reduce some of these impacts (e.g., construction noise/vibration impacts) owing to the less development under this alternative, although these impacts would remain significant and unavoidable. Alternative 6 would result in greater impacts with respect to archeological resources, paleontological resources, hazards and hazardous materials during construction, and tribal cultural resources because of the additional subterranean parking levels, though these impacts would remain less than significant (paleontological resources) or less than significant with mitigation (archeological resources, hazards and hazardous materials, and tribal cultural resources). Alternative 6 would also result in greater impacts associated with operational hazardous materials owing to the anticipated greater use of hazardous materials associated with the interior studio use under this alternative. Alternative 6 would result in similar impacts to the Project for the balance of the environmental issues, or less impacts owing to less development under this alternative. Overall, Alternative 6 would be more impactful than the Project.

Alternative 6 would develop the same uses on the same Project Site blocks and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas as the Project, except that Blocks 2 and 3 would be developed with interior studio instead of residential uses resulting in 286,008 square feet less development (but still over 1.8 million square feet of new mixed uses). As such, Alternative 6 would meet the underlying purpose of the Project, which is to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development, which is transit and pedestrian

oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area. Furthermore, Alternative 6 would meet most of the Project objectives as set forth below:

- The orderly development of residential uses, commercial uses, office uses, and transit uses, as a unified site in furtherance of Metro's commitment to creating transit-oriented communities that offer compact, bikeable, and walkable communities centered around public transit.
- Facilitate an urban in-fill development with a mix of residential, commercial, and office land uses at a density and scale to enable the Project Site to function as a regional center and support transit use.
- Provide community benefits such as new community-serving retail uses, enhanced streetscapes, and publicly accessible open space amenities for the community.
- Promote local and regional mobility objectives and reduce VMT by providing a mix of higher density housing and commercial uses that are in close proximity to public transportation, including numerous bus lines as well as rail transit, which are supported by recreational amenities, commercial services, and enhancements to bicycle and pedestrian amenities.
- Promote fiscal benefits, economic development, and job creation by generating jobs during the construction and operation of the project and generating tax revenue for the City and ground lease revenues to Metro to support its mission to improve mobility in Los Angeles County.
- Promote resource and energy conservation through incorporating sustainable and green building design and construction above Title 24 (CALGreen) code requirements.
- Promote and enhance transit ridership by consolidating and revitalizing the Metro transit center to accommodate current local and municipal buses, as well as the G (Orange) Line terminus and to provide enhancements to the North Hollywood Metro Station, including an improved terminal and security office, Metro employee break room, other support structures, new Metro portal structures on the West and East sides of Lankershim, and the retention of the historic Lankershim Depot.
- Support Metro's regional planning efforts such as the Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan by improving pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities in North Hollywood.
- Improve Metro infrastructure in furtherance of Metro's commitment to convert to an all-electric fleet by 2040.

While Alternative 6 would meet all of the project objectives, it would meet the following objective to a lesser extent than the Project because 772 fewer residential units are provided:

- Provide housing in furtherance of the goals of the City's Housing Element, City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment, and which serves the surrounding area and citywide market, by providing housing in a range of unit types, affordability levels, and sizes adjacent to public transit.



(iv) Reference

Section V, Alternatives, of the Draft EIR.

**4. Project Alternatives Considered and Rejected**

As set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(c), an EIR should identify any alternatives that were considered for analysis, but rejected as infeasible, and briefly explain the reasons for their rejection. According to the CEQA Guidelines, among the factors that may be used to eliminate an alternative from detailed consideration are the alternative's failure to meet most of the basic project objectives, the alternative's infeasibility, or the alternative's inability to avoid significant environmental impacts. Alternatives to the Project that were considered and rejected as infeasible include the following:

(A) Alternative Project Site

Metro already owns the Project Site and has authorized the Applicant to act on its behalf regarding development of the Project Site. The Project Site is located in the heart of North Hollywood, which is characterized by a mix of uses, including residential, commercial, office, and industrial uses. These uses make the Project Site particularly suitable for development of a mixed-use development that provides new residential units, office space, and retail/restaurant uses that serve the community and promote walkability. The Project Site is also well-served by transit, including the on-site Metro North Hollywood Station. Furthermore, Metro cannot reasonably acquire, control, or access an alternative site in a timely fashion that would result in implementation of a project with similar uses and square footage, nor would Metro acquire a property solely for the purpose of a real estate development. Given its urban location, if an alternative site in North Hollywood that could accommodate the Project could be found, it would be expected to result in significant and unavoidable impacts associated with construction noise and vibration, similar to the proposed Project on the Project Site. Additionally, considering the mix of uses in North Hollywood, which include sensitive uses, it is possible that development of the Project at an alternative site could potentially be closer to sensitive uses and, thus, may produce other environmental impacts that would otherwise not occur at the current Project Site or result in greater environmental impacts when compared with the Project. An alternative site also has the potential to displace existing people or housing, given the makeup of North Hollywood, which would not occur under the Project. Therefore, an alternative site is not considered feasible, as Metro does not own another suitable site that would achieve the underlying purpose and objectives of the Project, and an alternative site would not likely avoid many of the Project's significant impacts. Thus, this alternative was rejected from further consideration.

(B) Alternative To Eliminate Significant Noise and Vibration Impacts During Construction

Various alternatives (Approaches a-d) were considered with the goal of avoiding the Project's short-term significant unavoidable on-site construction noise (Project-level and cumulative), off-site construction noise (Project-level and cumulative), and on- and off-site construction vibration pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance (Project-level and cumulative). However, none of the approaches would substantially reduce or avoid the significant construction-related noise and vibration (human annoyance) impacts of the Project. Furthermore, Approaches (a) through (d) would not achieve the Project's underlying purpose and objectives to the same extent as the Project; Approach (b) would extend the construction period, meaning impacts would affect sensitive receptors for a longer period of time, making this

approach infeasible; Approaches (a) and (d) would provide less housing and fewer jobs near transit, which would be inconsistent with City land use objectives and requirements for the Project Site; and, in addition to meeting the Project's underlying objective to a lesser extent than the Project, Approach (c) would not allow for the development of the public plazas, which would serve as open space for the community. Therefore, an alternative that includes one or more of these approaches has been rejected from further consideration in the Draft EIR. Therefore, an alternative that includes one or more of the considered approaches would not substantially reduce or eliminate the significant noise and vibration impacts of the Project.

## **5. Environmentally Superior Alternative**

Section 15126.6(e)(2) of the CEQA Guidelines indicates that an analysis of alternatives to a project shall identify an Environmentally Superior Alternative among the alternatives evaluated in an EIR. The CEQA Guidelines also state that should it be determined that the No Project Alternative is the Environmentally Superior Alternative, the EIR shall identify another Environmentally Superior Alternative among the remaining alternatives. Pursuant to Section 15126.6(c) of the CEQA Guidelines, the analysis below addresses the ability of the alternatives to "avoid or substantially lessen one or more of the significant effects" of the Project.

Of the alternatives analyzed in the Draft EIR, the No Project/No Build Alternative, would be the Environmentally Superior Alternative. This alternative would avoid all of the Project's significant environmental impacts associated with historic resources, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions during operation, on-site construction noise, off-site construction noise, on-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance). Alternative 1 would also avoid the Project's significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions during operation, on-site construction noise, off-site construction noise, on site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), as well as concurrent construction and operational NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Alternative 1 would not result in greater impacts for any environmental issue.

Alternative 2, the No Project/Development Alternative, would avoid the Project's significant unavoidable concurrent construction/operational and operational air quality (NO<sub>x</sub>) impacts. However, similar to the Project, Alternative 2 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 2 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Alternative 2 would not result in greater impacts for any environmental issue.

However, neither Alternative 1 nor Alternative 2 would meet the underlying purpose of the Project to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high density, mixed-use development, which is transit and pedestrian oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area. Alternative 1 would also not meet any of the Project's other objectives. Furthermore, except for the three Project objectives associated with the Metro's Consolidated Transit Center, Alternative 2 would not meet the Project objectives (for example, Alternative 2 would not: facilitate mixed-use infill development that would enable the Project Site to function as a regional center and support transit use; provide new housing and employment opportunities in the immediate vicinity of an abundance of public transit opportunities; provide needed housing at a range of unit types and affordability levels near transit; provide community benefits, such as new community-serving retail; or

promote local and regional mobility objectives and reducing VMT by intensifying urban uses in close proximity to transit).

The CEQA Guidelines require the identification of an Environmentally Superior Alternative other than a No Project Alternative. As such, in accordance with the CEQA Guidelines, a comparative evaluation of the remaining alternatives indicates that Alternative 3, Development in Accordance with Existing Zoning Alternative, would be the Environmental Superior Alternative. Under this Alternative, the Project Site would be developed in accordance with the existing zoning of the Project Site. Specifically, Alternative 3 would develop the previously approved Consolidated Transit Center on Block 0 West (including relocating the Lankershim Depot), and would develop 358 residential units in Block 8, with the balance of the Project Site blocks and the Off-Site Metro Parking Areas retained with their existing uses.

Alternative 3 would avoid the Project's significant unavoidable operational impacts and concurrent construction and operational air quality (NOx) impacts. However, similar to the Project, Alternative 3 would result in significant unavoidable impacts with respect to historic resources, on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). Like the Project, Alternative 3 would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to on- and off-site construction noise, and on- and off-site construction vibration (human annoyance). These and the balance of the impacts would be less under Alternative 3 owing to less development both in terms of square footage and development area. Lastly, for no environmental issues would Alternative 3 result in greater impacts than the Project.

However, Alternative 3 would not meet the underlying purpose of the Project, which is to redevelop the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development, which is transit and pedestrian oriented and provides housing and jobs in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area.

With the development of residential and retail uses in Block 8, Alternative 3 would partially meet the following Project objectives (not fully meet since the majority of the Project Site blocks and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas would not be redeveloped under this alternative, no public open space plazas would be provided, and the number of new residential units would be less than under the Project) or meet them to a lesser extent:

- The orderly development of residential uses, commercial uses, office uses, and transit uses, as a unified site in furtherance of Metro guidelines and goals of a mixed-use transit village at the North Hollywood station.
- Facilitate an urban in-fill development with a mix of residential, commercial, and office land uses at a density and scale to enable the Project Site to function as a regional center and support transit use.
- Provide housing in furtherance of the goals of the City's Housing Element, City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment, and which serves the surrounding area and citywide market, by providing housing in a range of unit types, affordability levels, and sizes adjacent to public transit.
- Provide community benefits, such as new community-serving retail uses, enhanced streetscapes, and publicly accessible open space amenities for the community.

- Promote fiscal benefits, economic development, and job creation by generating jobs during the construction and operation of the project and generating tax revenue for the City and ground lease revenues to Metro to support its mission to improve mobility in Los Angeles County.
- Promote local and regional mobility objectives and reduce VMT by providing a mix of higher density housing and commercial uses that are in close proximity to public transportation, including numerous bus lines, as well as rail transit, which are supported by recreational amenities, commercial services, and enhancements to bicycle and pedestrian amenities.
- Promote resource and energy conservation through incorporating sustainable and green building design and construction above code requirements.

With the development of the Consolidated Transit Center, Alternative 3 would meet the following Project objectives:

- Promote and enhance transit ridership by consolidating and revitalizing the Metro transit center to accommodate current local and municipal buses, as well as the G (Orange) Line terminus and to provide enhancements to the North Hollywood Metro Station, including an improved terminal and security office, Metro employee break room, other support structures, new Metro portal structures on the West and East sides of Lankershim, and the retention of the historic Lankershim Depot.
- Support Metro's regional planning efforts such as the Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan by improving pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities in North Hollywood.
- Improve Metro infrastructure in furtherance of Metro's commitment to convert to an all-electric fleet by 2040.

## **XI. Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes**

Section 15126.2(c) of the CEQA Guidelines indicates that an EIR should evaluate any significant irreversible environmental changes that would occur should the proposed project be implemented. The types and level of development associated with the Project would consume limited, slowly renewable, and non-renewable resources. This consumption would occur during construction of the Project and would continue throughout its operational lifetime. The development of the Project would require a commitment of resources that would include: (1) building materials and associated solid waste disposal effects on landfills; (2) water; and (3) energy resources (e.g., fossil fuels) for electricity, natural gas, and transportation. The Project Site contains no energy resources that would be precluded from future use through Project implementation. For the reasons set forth in Section VI, Other CEQA Considerations, of the Draft EIR, the Project's irreversible changes to the environment related to the consumption of nonrenewable resources would not be significant, and the limited use of nonrenewable resources is justified.

### **(1) Building Materials and Solid Waste**

Construction of the Project would require consumption of resources that do not replenish themselves or which may renew so slowly as to be considered non-renewable. These resources would include certain types of lumber and other forest products, aggregate materials

used in concrete and asphalt (e.g., sand, gravel and stone), metals (e.g., steel, copper and lead), and petrochemical construction materials (e.g., plastics).

The Project's potential impacts related to solid waste are addressed in the Initial Study prepared for the Project, which is included as Appendix A of the Draft EIR. As discussed therein, during construction of the Project, a minimum of 75 percent of construction and demolition debris would be diverted from landfills. In addition, during operation, the Project would provide on-site recycling containers within a designated recycling area for Project residents to facilitate recycling in accordance with the City of Los Angeles Space Allocation Ordinance (Ordinance No. 171,687) and the Los Angeles Green Building Code. In accordance with AB 1826, the Project would also provide for the recycling of organic waste. The Project would adhere to state and local solid waste policies and objectives that further goals to divert waste. Thus, the consumption of non-renewable building materials, such as aggregate materials and plastics, would be reduced and would not result in significant irreversible environmental changes.

## (2) Water

Consumption of water during construction and operation of the Project is addressed in Section IV.M.1, Utilities and Service Systems—Water Supply and Infrastructure, of the Draft EIR. As evaluated therein, given the temporary nature of construction activities, the short-term and intermittent water use during construction of the Project would be less than the net new water consumption estimated for the Project at buildout. During operation, the estimated water demand for the Project would not exceed the available supplies projected by the City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP), as confirmed by the Water Supply Assessment and Utility Report prepared for the Project and included as Appendices T and G of the Draft EIR, respectively. Thus, LADWP would be able to meet the water demand of the Project, as well as the existing and planned future water demands of its service area. In addition, the Project would implement a variety of sustainability features related to water conservation to reduce indoor water use, as set forth in Section II, Project Description, and Section IV.M.1, Utilities and Service Systems—Water Supply and Infrastructure, of the Draft EIR. Furthermore, the Project would be required to reduce indoor water use by at least 20 percent, in accordance with the City of Los Angeles Green Building Code. The Project would also implement Project Design Feature WAT-PDF-1, which includes block-by-block water conservation measures in excess of code requirements. Thus, as evaluated in Section IV.M.1, Utilities and Service Systems—Water Supply and Infrastructure, of the Draft EIR, while Project construction and operation would result in some irreversible consumption of water, such would not result in significant irreversible environmental changes related to water supply.

## (3) Energy Consumption

During ongoing operation of the Project, non-renewable fossil fuels would represent the primary energy source, and thus the existing finite supplies of these resources would be incrementally reduced. Fossil fuels, such as diesel, gasoline, and oil, would also be consumed in the use of construction vehicles and equipment. Project consumption of non-renewable fossil fuels for energy use during construction and operation of the Project is addressed in Section IV.C, Energy, of the Draft EIR. As discussed therein, construction activities for the Project would not require the consumption of natural gas, but would require the use of fossil fuels and electricity. On- and off-road vehicles would consume an estimated 482,116 gallons of gasoline and approximately 1,361,915 gallons of diesel fuel throughout the Project's construction. For comparison purposes, the fuel usage during Project construction would represent approximately 0.01 percent of the 2038 annual on-road gasoline-related energy consumption and 0.2 percent

of the 2038 annual diesel fuel-related energy consumption in Los Angeles County. Furthermore, as detailed in Section IV.C, Energy, of the Draft EIR, a total of approximately 177,558 kWh of electricity is anticipated to be consumed during Project construction. The electricity demand at any given time would vary throughout the construction period based on the construction activities being performed and would cease upon completion of construction. When not in use, electric equipment would be powered off so as to avoid unnecessary energy consumption. In addition, trucks and equipment used during construction activities would comply with CARB's anti-idling regulations as well as the In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets regulation. Further, on-road vehicles (i.e., haul trucks, worker vehicles) would be subject to federal fuel efficiency requirements. Therefore, the Project would not result in the wasteful, inefficient, and unnecessary consumption of energy resources. Thus, impacts related to the consumption of fossil fuels during construction of the Project would be less than significant.

During operation, the Project's increase in electricity and natural gas demand would be within the anticipated service capabilities of LADWP and the Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas), respectively. Specifically, the Project's electricity demand would represent less than 0.07 percent of LADWP projected sales in the 2037-2038 fiscal year. Furthermore, the Project's natural gas demand would represent approximately 0.005 percent of SoCalGas' forecasted consumption in 2035 (2035 is the latest projected year in the 2020 Gas Report). In addition, as discussed in Section IV.C, Energy, of the Draft EIR, the Project would comply with 2019 Title 24 standards and applicable 2019 CALGreen Code requirements. Gasoline and diesel fuel consumption during operation are estimated to be 955,733 gallons and 211,206 gallons, respectively, which would account for 0.03 percent of gasoline and diesel fuel consumption in Los Angeles County in 2038. In addition, as noted above, the Project is located in an HQTAs and includes a number of features that would reduce the number of VMT, such as increase density, a mixed-use development, and increased destination and transit accessibility.

Therefore, based on the above, the Project would not cause a significant and irreversible environmental change related to the wasteful, inefficient, and unnecessary consumption of energy and would be consistent with the intent of Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines. In addition, Project operations would not conflict with adopted energy conservation plans. Refer to Section IV.C, Energy, of the Draft EIR, for further analysis regarding the Project's consumption of energy resources.

#### (4) Environmental Hazards

The Project's potential use of hazardous materials is addressed in Section IV.F, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, of the Draft EIR. As evaluated therein, the types and amounts of hazardous materials that would be used in connection with the Project would be typical of those used in commercial, office, and residential uses. Specifically, operation of the Project would be expected to involve the use and storage of small quantities of potentially hazardous materials in the form of cleaning solvents, painting supplies, pesticides for landscaping, and petroleum products. Construction of the Project would also involve the temporary use of potentially hazardous materials, including vehicle fuels, paints, oils, and transmission fluids. However, all potentially hazardous materials would be used and stored in accordance with manufacturers' instructions and handled in compliance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations. Any associated risk would be reduced to a less than significant level through compliance with these standards and regulations. As such, compliance with regulations and standards would serve to protect against significant and irreversible environmental change that could result from the accidental release of hazardous materials.

## **XII. Growth Inducing Impacts**

Section 15126.2(e) of the CEQA Guidelines requires a discussion of the ways in which a proposed project could induce growth. This includes ways in which a project would foster economic or population growth, or the construction of additional housing, either directly or indirectly, in the surrounding environment. Included in this are projects which would remove obstacles to population growth, or increases in the population which may tax existing community service facilities, requiring construction of new facilities that could cause significant environmental effects. Additionally, consideration must be given to characteristics of some projects, which may encourage and facilitate other activities that could significantly affect the environment, either individually or cumulatively. It must not be assumed that growth in any area is necessarily beneficial, detrimental, or of little significance to the environment.

### **(1) Population**

As discussed in Section II, Project Description, of the Draft EIR, the Project includes 1,527 residential units comprised of 1,216 market rate units and 311 affordable units. Based on persons per residential unit factors from the LADOT VMT Calculator, development of the proposed residential units would result in an increase of an estimated 3,717 new residents. According to SCAG's 2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (2020–2045 RTP/SCS), the estimated population of 3,717 persons generated by the Project would represent approximately 0.16 percent of the projected growth in the SCAG region between 2020 and 2038 (i.e., the Project's baseline and buildout years), and 0.72 percent of the projected growth in the City during the same period. As such, the 3,717 new residents generated by the Project would be within and, thus, consistent with SCAG growth forecasts, constituting a small percentage of projected City and regional growth. Therefore, the Project's residents would be well within SCAG's population projections in the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS for the Subregion and would not result in a significant direct growth-inducing impact.

### **(2) Employment**

The Project would have the potential to generate indirect population growth in the vicinity of the Project Site, as a result of the employment opportunities generated by the Project. During construction, the Project would create temporary construction-related jobs. However, the work requirements of most construction projects are highly specialized, such that construction workers remain at a job site only for the time in which their specific skills are needed to complete a particular phase of the construction process. Thus, construction workers would not be expected to relocate to the Project vicinity, as a direct consequence of working on the Project. Therefore, given the availability of construction workers, the Project would not be considered growth-inducing from a short-term employment perspective. Rather, the Project would provide a public benefit by providing new employment opportunities during the construction period.

Based on employee generation factors from LADOT's VMT calculator, conservatively assuming 100 percent of the restaurant uses would be fast food (identified by the LADOT as a higher employee generation rate), the proposed commercial and office uses would result in approximately 2,882 employees. When accounting for the industrial/warehouse uses to be removed from the Project Site and Off-Site Metro Parking Areas, the Project would result in a net increase of 2,821 jobs. Based on a linear interpretation of employment data from the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS, the Project's net increase of 2,821 jobs would represent approximately 0.27 percent of the projected employment growth in the SCAG Region between 2020 and 2038, and 1.58 percent of the projected employment growth in the City during the same period. Therefore,

the Project would not cause an exceedance of SCAG's employment projections contained in the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS.

In addition, the proposed office, restaurant, and retail uses would include a range of full-time and part-time positions that are typically filled by persons already residing in the vicinity of the workplace, and who generally do not relocate their households due to such employment opportunities. Therefore, given that some of the employment opportunities generated by the Project would be filled by people already residing in the vicinity of the Project Site, the potential growth associated with Project employees who may relocate their place of residence would not be substantial. Although it is possible that some of the employment opportunities offered by the Project would be filled by persons moving into the surrounding area, which could increase demand for housing, it is anticipated that most of this demand would be filled by then-existing vacancies in the housing market and others by any new residential developments that may occur in the vicinity of the Project Site. As such, the Project's office, restaurant, and retail uses would be unlikely to create an indirect demand for additional housing or households in the area.

### **XIII. Energy Conservation**

The Project would be designed and constructed to incorporate features to support and promote environmental sustainability. This Transit Oriented Development would be located adjacent to a major public transit hub, including a stop for the Metro's B (Red) Line and G (Orange) Line stations, and would develop uses, including housing, office, retail, and open space, in one location.

"Green" principles would be incorporated throughout the Project to comply with the City of Los Angeles Green Building Code and the sustainability intent of the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED®) program to meet the standards of LEED Silver® or equivalent green building standards. These include energy conservation, water conservation, and waste reduction features to support and promote environmental sustainability, including, but not limited to: Energy Star appliances; plumbing fixtures (water closets and urinals) and fittings (faucets and showerheads) that comply with the performance requirements specified in the City of Los Angeles Green Building Code; weather-based irrigation system; and water-efficient landscaping. In addition, the Project would also set aside an area as required by Title 24 for potential installation of solar panels on high-rise multi-family buildings and non-residential buildings at a later date. Furthermore, the Project would provide parking facilities capable of supporting future electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE), as well as parking spaces equipped with electric vehicle (EV) charging stations and/or outlets for plugin. The consolidated transit center would incorporate electric bus charging infrastructure and charging masts for the Metro G (Orange) Line and allow for future electric bus infrastructure improvements in furtherance of Metro's commitment to convert to an all-electric fleet by 2040, with 100 percent of annual new bus purchases at zero emissions by 2029.

The Project would also include a variety of other measures to reduce energy usage, including passive solar building design, daylight harvesting, natural ventilation, and building orientation; and covering building roofs with either vegetation or cool roof systems to help reduce energy use. Stormwater treatment would occur through a variety of means based on the adjacent building requirements.



#### **XIV. STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS**

The EIR identifies unavoidable significant impacts that would result from implementation of the project. PRC Section 21081 and Section 15093(b) of the CEQA Guidelines provide that when a decision of a public agency allows the occurrence of significant impacts that are identified in the EIR, but are not at least substantially mitigated to an insignificant level or eliminated, the lead agency must state in writing the reasons to support its action based on the EIR and/or other information in the record. The State CEQA Guidelines require, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15093(b), that the decision-maker adopt a Statement of Overriding Considerations at the time of approval of a project, if it finds that significant adverse environmental effects have been identified in the EIR that cannot be substantially mitigated to an insignificant level or be eliminated. These findings and the Statement of Overriding Considerations are based on the documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings, including, but not limited to, the Final EIR and all technical appendices attached thereto.

Based on the analysis provided in Section IV, Environmental Impact Analysis, of the Draft EIR, implementation of the Project would result in significant impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with respect to: historic resources, NOx emissions during operation, on site construction noise, off site construction noise, on site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance). Implementation of the Project would also result in significant cumulative impacts that cannot be feasibly mitigated with regard to NOx emissions during operation, on-site construction noise, off-site construction noise, on-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance), and off-site construction vibration (pursuant to the threshold for human annoyance). The Project would also result in a significant and unavoidable impact related to concurrent construction and operational NOx emissions.

Accordingly, the City adopts the following Statement of Overriding Considerations. The City recognizes that significant and unavoidable impacts would result from implementation of the project. Having (i) adopted all feasible mitigation measures, (ii) rejected as infeasible the alternatives to the project discussed above, (iii) recognized all significant, unavoidable impacts, and (iv) balanced the benefits of the project against the project's significant and unavoidable impacts, the City hereby finds that each of the project's benefits, as listed below, outweigh and override the significant unavoidable impacts listed above.

The below stated reasons summarize the benefits, goals, and objectives of the Project, and provide the detailed rationale for the benefits of the Project. These overriding considerations of economic, social, aesthetic, and environmental benefits for the Project justify adoption of the Project and certification of the completed EIR. Each of the listed Project benefits set forth in this Statement of Overriding Considerations provides a separate and independent ground for the City's decision to approve the Project despite the Project's identified significant and unavoidable environmental impacts. Each of the following overriding considerations separately and independently (i) outweighs the adverse environmental impacts of the Project, and (ii) justifies adoption of the Project and certification of the completed EIR. In particular, achieving the underlying purpose for the Project would be sufficient to override the significant environmental impacts of the Project.

- **Supports City's Housing Goals.** The Project will support the City's critical housing needs, as well as General Plan goals and objectives, General Plan Framework Element goals and objectives, and Housing Element goals and objectives to provide housing available to varied income levels and household sizes by constructing 1,216

market rate and 311 Lower Income affordable units, consisting of a variety of housing types, including studio, one-, two-, and three-bedroom units. The various unit types allow rents to be offered at different price points, thereby providing options to meet the needs of potential residents and enhancing the stock of housing units in the area.

- **Site Redevelopment and Smart Growth.** The Project will substantially improve the area around the Metro North Hollywood Station with a high-density, mixed-use development, incorporating pedestrian-oriented building design, providing ground-level outdoor plazas and improved streetscape, increasing onsite landscaping, improving security and building lighting, The Project would also be providing housing and jobs on the same site as multiple transit lines in the North Hollywood Valley Village Community Plan Area, and creating a mix of uses to support pedestrian activity and transit ridership with access to the greater region.
- **Transit Infrastructure Improvements.** The Project will revitalize and expand transit facilities at Metro's North Hollywood Station, including improving the existing Metro B (Red) Line portal entry, a new B Line portal entry to the west of Lankershim Boulevard, bus terminal for the Metro G (Orange) Line, the LADOT Commuter Express, and local/regional buses with integration of public plazas and incorporation of retail uses within the historic Lankershim Depot. These improvements will help to improve efficiency, connection, and access.
- **Employment and Tax Revenue.** The Project will generate employment opportunities for the local community and surrounding area. Development and construction of the Project will generate approximately 10,098 full- and part-time construction jobs, and 2,528<sup>1</sup> long-term operational jobs at full buildout. These jobs will be generated both on-site and elsewhere in the City of Los Angeles, as the Project's construction and operation stimulate and support businesses in the local economy. In addition, the Project would introduce new residents into the neighborhood to patronize local retail, services, and restaurants. Moreover, the Project would provide economic benefits for the City, as it will generate approximately \$1.0 billion in total economic output from construction-related activity, \$1.1 billion in total economic output annually from Project operations, as well as \$5.3 million in one-time revenues during construction and \$9 million annually in net new revenues to the City's General Fund. (All dollar values are in constant 2021 dollars.)
- **Sustainability.** The Project will be consistent with the State's SB 375 plans and greenhouse gas emission (GHG) targets, the City's Green Building Code, and the City's Green New Deal. The Project will also be designed to achieve the standards of the Silver Rating under the USGBC's Leadership in Energy Efficiency and Design ("LEED") green building program, or equivalent green building standards, and include numerous sustainability measures to promote resource conservation.

---

<sup>1</sup> The EIR addressed the impacts from the generation of 2,821 permanent jobs. This figure was based on employee generation factors by use type from the Los Angeles Department of Transportation and Los Angeles Department of City Planning, City of Los Angeles VMT Calculator Documentation Version 1.3, May 2020, Table 1 which is based on employees per 1,000 square feet. 2,528 employees was estimated in the Fiscal and Economic Impact Report which used the IMPLAN model to estimate jobs, an economic model which is more realistic for determining employee generation for fiscal impact purposes.

- **Pedestrian and Bicycle Amenities.** The Project will provide two acres of publicly accessible plaza areas and open space, which would provide amenities and programming for the benefit of the public. Public open space could be programmed for a variety of functions, including open-air concerts, farmers markets, civic events, and passive and organized recreation. In addition, the Project will improve pedestrian and bicycle safety in the area through improvements to the public right of way, as well as expanded bicycle infrastructure in the form of a planned Metro Bikeshare Hub on site.

## **XV. GENERAL FINDINGS.**

1. The City, acting through the Department of City Planning, is the “Lead Agency” for the Project that is evaluated in the EIR. The City finds that the EIR was prepared in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. The City finds that it has independently reviewed and analyzed the EIR for the Project, that the Draft EIR, which was circulated for public review, reflected its independent judgment, and that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment of the City.

2. The EIR evaluated the following potential project and cumulative environmental impacts: Air Quality; Cultural Resources; Energy; Geology and Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions; Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Land Use; Noise; Population and Housing, Public Services; Transportation; Tribal Cultural Resources; and Utilities. Additionally, the EIR considered Growth Inducing Impacts and Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes. The significant environmental impacts of the Project and the alternatives were identified in the EIR.

3. The City finds that the EIR provides objective information to assist the decision-makers and the public at large in their consideration of the environmental consequences of the Project. The public review period provided all interested jurisdictions, agencies, private organizations, and individuals the opportunity to submit comments regarding the Draft EIR. The Final EIR was prepared after the review period and responds to comments made during the public review period.

4. Textual refinements were compiled and presented to the decision-makers for review and consideration. Staff has made every effort to notify the decision-makers and the interested public/agencies of each textual change in the various documents associated with Project review. These textual refinements arose for a variety of reasons. First, it is inevitable that draft documents would contain errors and would require clarifications and corrections. Second, textual clarifications were necessitated to describe refinements suggested as part of the public participation process.

5. The Department of City Planning evaluated comments on environmental issues received from persons who reviewed the Draft EIR. In accordance with CEQA, the Department of City Planning prepared written responses describing the disposition of significant environmental issues raised. The Final EIR provides adequate, good faith, and reasoned response to the comments. The Department of City Planning reviewed the comments received and responses thereto and has determined that neither the comments received nor the responses to such comments add significant new information regarding environmental impacts to the Draft EIR. The Lead Agency has based its actions on full appraisal of all viewpoints, including all comments received up to the date of adoption of these findings, concerning the environmental impacts identified and analyzed in the EIR.

6. The Final EIR documents changes to the Draft EIR. Having reviewed the information contained in the Draft EIR, the Final EIR, and the administrative record, as well as the requirements of CEQA, and the CEQA Guidelines regarding recirculation of Draft EIRs, the City finds that there is no new significant impact, substantial increase in the severity of a previously disclosed impact, significant new information in the record of proceedings or other criteria under CEQA that would require additional recirculation of the Draft EIR, or that would require preparation of a supplemental or subsequent EIR. Specifically, the City finds that:

- The Responses to Comments contained in the Final EIR fully considered and responded to comments claiming that the Project would have significant impacts or more severe impacts not disclosed in the Draft EIR and include substantial evidence that none of these comments provided substantial evidence that the Project would result in changed circumstances, significant new information, considerably different mitigation measures, or new or more severe significant impacts than were discussed in the Draft EIR.
- The City has thoroughly reviewed the public comments received regarding the project and the Final EIR as it relates to the project to determine whether under the requirements of CEQA, any of the public comments provide substantial evidence that would require recirculation of the EIR prior to its adoption and has determined that recirculation of the EIR is not required.
- None of the information submitted after publication of the Final EIR, including testimony at the public hearings on the Project, constitutes significant new information or otherwise requires preparation of a supplemental or subsequent EIR. The City does not find this information and testimony to be credible evidence of a significant impact, a substantial increase in the severity of an impact disclosed in the Final EIR, or a feasible mitigation measure or alternative not included in the Final EIR.
- The mitigation measures identified for the project were included in the Draft EIR and Final EIR. The final mitigation measures for the project are described in the Mitigation Monitoring Program (MMP). Each of the mitigation measures identified in the MMP is incorporated into the project. The City finds that the impacts of the project have been mitigated to the extent feasible by the mitigation measures identified in the MMP.

7. CEQA requires the Lead Agency approving a project to adopt an MMP or the changes to the project which it has adopted or made a condition of project approval to ensure compliance with the mitigation measures during project implementation. The mitigation measures included in the EIR as certified by the City serve that function. The MMP includes all the mitigation measures and project design features adopted by the City in connection with the approval of the Project and has been designed to ensure compliance with such measures during implementation of the Project. In accordance with CEQA, the MMP provides the means to ensure that the mitigation measures are fully enforceable. In accordance with the requirements of PRC Section 21081.6, the City hereby adopts the MMP.

8. In accordance with the requirements of PRC Section 21081.6, the City hereby adopts each of the mitigation measures expressly set forth herein as conditions of approval for the Project.

9. The custodian of the documents or other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the City's decision is based is the Department of City Planning, Environmental Review Section, 221 North Figueroa Street, Room 1350, Los Angeles, California 90012.

10. The City finds and declares that substantial evidence for each and every finding made herein is contained in the EIR, which is incorporated herein by this reference, or is in the record of proceedings in the matter.

11. The City is certifying an EIR for, and is approving and adopting findings for, the entirety of the actions described in these Findings and in the EIR as comprising the Project.

12. The EIR is a project EIR for purposes of environmental analysis of the Project. A project EIR examines the environmental effects of a specific project. The EIR serves as the primary environmental compliance document for entitlement decisions regarding the Project by the City and other regulatory jurisdictions.

### **FINDINGS OF FACT (SUBDIVISION MAP ACT)**

In connection with the approval of Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. 82868, the Advisory Agency of the City of Los Angeles, pursuant to Sections 66473.1, 66474.60, .61 and .63 of the State of California Government Code (the Subdivision Map Act), makes the prescribed findings as follows. All references to the Project Site below are referring to the Tract Map Project Site.

(a) **THE PROPOSED MAP IS CONSISTENT WITH APPLICABLE GENERAL AND SPECIFIC PLANS.**

Section 66411 of the Subdivision Map Act (Map Act) establishes that local agencies regulate and control the design of subdivisions. Chapter 2, Article I, of the Map Act establishes the general provisions for tentative, final, and parcel maps. The subdivision and merger of land is regulated pursuant to Article 7 of the LAMC. The LAMC implements the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan through zoning regulations, including Specific Plans. The zoning regulations contained within the LAMC regulate, but are not limited to, the maximum permitted density, height, parking, and the subdivision of land. Specifically, LAMC Section 17.05 C requires that a vesting tentative tract map be designed in compliance with the zoning applicable to the project site.

The Project Site contains approximately 12.5-acre (544,887 square-foot) site and 50 ground lots, currently occupied by industrial uses/warehouses, the Metro B Line Portal, a bus facility, and associated surface parking. The Vesting Tentative Tract Map (VTTM) proposes to merge these lots into 11 ground lots and 33 airspace lots, including merging portions of public rights-of-way along Cumpston Street, Weddington Street, and Bakman Avenue; and a Haul Route for the export of up to 587,300 cubic yards of soil.

The Project Site is located within the North Hollywood - Valley Village Community Plan, which designates the Project Site for Community Commercial, Commercial Manufacturing, and Public Facilities land uses, and has corresponding zones of C2-2D-CA, C4-2D, C4-2D-CA, CM-1VL, and PF-1VL, respectively. Under concurrent Case No. CPC-2019-7239-GPAJ-ZVCJ-HD-SP-SN-BL, the applicant is requesting a General Plan Amendment to redesignate the Project Site as Regional Center Commercial, the establishment of the new District NoHo Specific Plan, and a Zone Change and Height

District Change to rezone the Project Site to the District NoHo Specific Plan (DNSP) Zone with a corresponding Sign District, in order to allow the development of a multi-phased, mixed-use development, to include up to 1,527 residential units (including 1,216 market-rate units and 311 affordable units), 105,125 sf of retail/restaurant uses, and 580,374 sf of office space, for an overall, total of 2,209,027 sf, resulting in a FAR of 3.16:1. The Specific Plan would govern zoning for the Project Site, including residential unit limits, height, FAR, use, yards, open space, parking, parking, public ROW improvements, streetscape regulations, dedications and mergers of land, and design standards. The Project is a Joint Development and Option Agreement by and between the Developer and LA Metro and would include transit improvements conducted by Metro as part of the Project. These are not included in the entitlement requests, but Metro approval to develop the Site is contingent upon their completion. The improvements include but are not limited to, G Line terminus improvements, a remodeled B Line portal, and a new B Line portal.

LAMC Section 17.06 B requires that the tract map be prepared by or under the direction of a licensed surveyor or registered civil engineer. The VTTM was prepared by a Registered Professional Engineer and contains the required components, dimensions, areas, notes, legal description, ownership, applicant, and site address information as required by the LAMC.

Additionally, LAMC Section 17.15 B requires that vesting tentative tract maps provide the proposed building envelope, height, size, and number of units, as well as the approximate location of buildings, driveways, and proposed exterior garden walls. The VTTM provides the building envelope, height, number of units, and approximate location of the building and driveways among other required map elements.

Therefore, in conjunction with the approval of the related entitlements and, as conditioned, the Project will be consistent with the zoning regulations of the site and the North Hollywood – Valley Village Community Plan.

(b) **THE DESIGN AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE PROPOSED SUBDIVISION ARE CONSISTENT WITH APPLICABLE GENERAL AND SPECIFIC PLANS.**

For purposes of a subdivision, design and improvement is defined by Section 66418 of the Subdivision Map Act and LAMC Section 17.02. Section 66418 of the Subdivision Map Act defines the term “design” as follows: “Design” means: (1) street alignments, grades and widths; (2) drainage and sanitary facilities and utilities, including alignments and grades thereof; (3) location and size of all required easements and rights-of-way; (4) fire roads and firebreaks; (5) lot size and configuration; (6) traffic access; (7) grading; (8) land to be dedicated for park or recreational purposes; and (9) such other specific physical requirement in the plan and configuration of the entire subdivision as may be necessary to ensure consistency with, or implementation of, the General Plan or any applicable Specific Plan.

LAMC Section 17.05 C enumerates design standards for Subdivisions and requires that each tentative map be designed in conformance with the Street Design Standards and in conformance to the General Plan. LAMC Section 17.05 C, third paragraph, further establishes that density calculations include the areas for residential use and areas designated for public uses, except for land set aside for street purposes (“net area”).

LAMC Section 17.06 B and 17.15 list the map requirements for a tentative tract map and vesting tentative tract map.

The VTTM proposes to merge these lots into 11 ground lots and 33 airspace lots, including merging portions of public rights-of-way along Cumpston Street, Weddington Street, and Bakman Avenue; and a Haul Route for the export of up to 587,300 cubic yards of soil.

The VTTM reflects individual ground lots that are to function as private driveways, but which would serve as a continuation of the street grid, with through street access along Klump Avenue. While these are private driveways and not public or private streets, they will in effect serve largely as extensions of the existing public streets to which they connect. These private driveways would be privately maintained and not be subject to City regulations related to standard requirements for streets.

As described above in within Finding (a), LAMC Section 17.05 C requires that a vesting tentative tract map be designed in compliance with the zoning applicable to the project site. The Project Site is located within the North Hollywood - Valley Village Community Plan, which designated the Project Site for Community Commercial, Commercial Manufacturing, and Public Facilities land uses, and zones of C2-2D-CA, C4-2D, C4-2D-CA, CM-1VL, and PF-1VL. Under concurrent Case No. CPC-2019-7239-GPAJ-ZVCJ-HD-SP-SN-BL, the applicant is requesting a General Plan Amendment to redesignate the Project Site as Regional Center Commercial, the establishment of the new District NoHo Specific Plan, and a Zone Change and Height District Change to rezone the Project Site to the Specific Plan Zone with a corresponding Sign District, in order to allow the development of a multi-phased, mixed-use development, to include up to 1,527 residential units (including 1,216 market-rate units and 311 affordable units), 105,125 sf of retail/restaurant uses, and 580,374 sf of office space, for an overall total of 2,209,027 sf, resulting in an FAR of 3.16:1. The Specific Plan would govern zoning for the Project Site, including residential unit limits, height, FAR, use, yards, open space, parking, parking, public ROW improvements, streetscape regulations, dedications and mergers of land, and design standards. In conjunction with this request and, as conditioned, the Project will be consistent with the zoning regulations of the site and the North Hollywood – Valley Village Community Plan.

The design and layout of the map is consistent with the design standards established by the Subdivision Map Act and Division of Land Regulations of the LAMC, as the VTTM was distributed to and reviewed by the various City agencies of the Subdivision Committee that have the authority to make dedication, and/or improvement recommendations, including, but not limited to, BOE, LADBS - Grading Division and Zoning Divisions, Bureau of Street Lighting, RAP. Several public agencies found the subdivision design satisfactory, with imposed improvement requirements and/or conditions of approval. Specifically, BOE reviewed the VTTM for compliance with the Street Design Standards and has recommended improvements to the public rights-of-ways in accordance with the proposed Specific Plan, or in the event it is not approved, with Mobility Plan 2035. All necessary street improvements will be made to comply with the American Disabilities Act (ADA). In addition, BOE reviewed the sewer/storm drain lines serving the subject VTTM and found no potential problems to structures or maintenance. As noted in the Conditions of Approval, the LADBS - Grading Division has reviewed the geology/soils reports prepared for the Project and issued a Soils Approval Letter dated July 13, 2022. The Soils Approval Letter includes specific design and

engineering conditions that will ensure the Project can be built safely and that the site will be suitable for the proposed development.

Therefore, in conjunction with the approval of the related entitlements and, as conditioned, the design and improvement of the proposed subdivision is consistent with the intent and purpose of the applicable General Plan.

(c) THE SITE IS PHYSICALLY SUITABLE FOR THE PROPOSED TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT.

The Project Site is located in a developed urban area within the North Hollywood – Valley Village Community Plan, and is comprised of two non-contiguous generally rectangular groups of parcels, where Ground Lots 1-6 are bounded by Cumpston Street to the north, Fair Avenue to the east, Chandler Boulevard to the south, and Lankershim Boulevard to the west, while Ground Lot 7 is bounded by Chandler Boulevard to the north, Lankershim Boulevard to the east, Weddington Street and an adjacent existing building to the south, and Bakman Avenue to the west. Surrounding properties are within the C2-2D, C2-2D-CA, C4-2D, C4-2D-CA, CM-1VL, R4P-1L, R4-1L, and PF-1XL Zones. Surrounding uses near the Project Site include medium- to high-density, low- and high-rise commercial and multi-family buildings, and public facilities.

The Project Site is currently improved with the Metro B Line Portal, a transit facility, and associated surface parking. Under concurrent Case No. CPC-2019-7239-GPAJ-ZVCJ-HD-SP-SN-BL, the applicant is requesting a General Plan Amendment to redesignate the Project Site as Regional Center Commercial, the establishment of the new District NoHo Specific Plan, and a Zone Change and Height District Change to rezone the Project Site to the Specific Plan Zone with a corresponding Sign District. All improvements and the surface parking would be demolished to allow the development of a multi-phased, mixed-use development, to include up to 1,527 residential units (including 1,216 market-rate units and 311 affordable units), 105,125 sf of retail/restaurant uses, and 580,374 sf of office space, for an overall total of 2,209,027 sf, resulting in an FAR of 3.16:1. The site would be physically suitable to allow for the proposed development.

Regarding biological resources on-site, there are currently 126 trees onsite and 46 street trees. A total of 114 on-site trees and 33 street trees would be removed as part of the VTTM. There are no protected trees on the Project Site. The Specific Plan includes tree replacement standards, as well as a Streetscape Plan. The LAMC would require the planting of 68 replacement trees, whereas the Specific Plan would require the planting of 373 new trees, including 91 new street trees. These replacement trees would be able to be physically accommodated on the site.

In terms of soil stability and grading activities, the Project Site is on a minor grade generally from the highest elevation at the southeast corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Cumpston Street sloping downward gradually to the to the southeast across both sides of Lankershim Boulevard, with both parts of the non-contiguous Project Site remaining level. The Project would include excavation up to 60 feet below grade but maintain the prevailing grade after construction. The depth of excavation and improvements from the Project would not conflict with, be precluded by, or physically compromise the transit station below the Project Site.



Other hazards and existing conditions have been considered in review of the physical suitability of the site. The Project Site is not located within a Methane Zone and would not be subject to the requirements of the City Methane Requirements. The Site is not located in a hillside area, or Alquist-Priolo Fault Zone, landslide area, or preliminary fault rupture study area. The Project Site is located outside of a hillside area, earthquake induced landslide, or fault-rupture hazard zone. The Project Site is located in a Liquefaction Zone, but as discussed in the EIR, regulatory compliance measures and a required final geotechnical report, subject to LADBS review, would ensure no risks from liquefaction would occur onsite. LADBS - Grading Division has reviewed the geology/soils reports prepared for the Project and issued a Soils Approval Letter dated July 13, 2022. The Soils Approval Letter includes specific design and engineering conditions that will ensure the Project can be built safely and that the site will be suitable for the proposed development. The recommendations from the Soils Approval Letter have been imposed as Conditions of Approval of the VTTM. Finally, prior to the issuance of any permits, the Project would be required to be reviewed and approved by the LADBS and LAFD to ensure compliance with building, fire, and safety codes. The Project Site is also listed in databases compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The Draft EIR concluded these listings, Phase I, and Phase II findings collectively constitute a Recognized Environmental Concern (REC). The analysis, however, determined that with implementation of mitigation measures, the impacts related to hazardous materials would be less than significant. Mitigation measures related to hazardous materials are incorporated into the Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Project, discussed above in the CEQA Findings, and as part of standard City conditions, are also a condition of approval required for any construction.

Therefore, in conjunction with the approval of the related entitlements and, as conditioned, the Project Site would be physically suitable for the proposed type of development.

(d) THE SITE IS PHYSICALLY SUITABLE FOR THE PROPOSED DENSITY OF DEVELOPMENT.

The General Plan identifies, through its Community and Specific Plans, geographic locations where planned and anticipated densities are permitted. Zoning applied to subject sites throughout the City are allocated based on the type of land use, physical suitability, and population growth that is expected to occur.

The Project Site is located in a developed urban area within the North Hollywood – Valley Village Community Plan, and is comprised of two non-contiguous generally rectangular groups of parcels, where Ground Lots 1-6 are bounded by Cumpston Street to the north, Fair Avenue to the east, Chandler Boulevard to the south, and Lankershim Boulevard to the west, while ground Lot 7 is bounded by Chandler Boulevard to the north, Lankershim Boulevard to the east, Weddington Street and an adjacent existing building to the south, and Bakman Avenue to the west. Surrounding properties are within the C2-2D, C2-2D-CA, C4-2D, C4-2D-CA, CM-1VL, R4P-1L, R4-1L, and PF-1XL Zones. Surrounding uses near the Project Site include medium- to high-density, low- and high-rise commercial and multi-family buildings, and public facilities.

The Project Site is designated for Community Commercial, Commercial Manufacturing, and Public Facilities land uses, and includes the following zones: C2-2D-CA, C4-2D, C4-2D-CA, CM-1VL, and PF-1VL. Under concurrent Case No. CPC-2019-7239-GPAJ-

ZVCJ-HD-SP-SN-BL, the applicant is requesting a General Plan Amendment to redesignate the Project Site as Regional Center Commercial, the establishment of the new District NoHo Specific Plan, and a Zone Change and Height District Change to rezone the Project Site to a Specific Plan Zone with a corresponding Sign District, in order to allow the development of a multi-phased, mixed-use development, to include up to 1,527 residential units (including 1,216 market-rate units and 311 affordable units), 105,125 sf of retail/restaurant uses, and 580,374 sf of office space, for an overall total of 2,209,027 sf, resulting in an FAR of 3.16:1. The Specific Plan would govern zoning for the Project Site, including residential unit limits, height, FAR, use, yards, open space, bicycle parking, vehicle parking, alcohol sales, public right-of-way improvements, streetscape regulations, dedications, and design standards. The Specific Plan would regulate density on site, guide development through the planned phases of the Project, and require various improvements be implemented as part of each phase of the Project. The depth of excavation and improvements from the Project would not conflict with, be precluded by, or physically compromise the transit station below the Project Site.

The Project's floor area, density, and massing is appropriately scaled and situated given the uses in the surrounding area. The subject site is a relatively flat, infill lot in a developed urban area with adequate infrastructure. The area is easily accessible via improved streets, highways, and transit systems. The environmental review conducted by the Department of City Planning under Case No. ENV-2019-7241-EIR (SCH No. 2020060573), establishes that the physical characteristics of the site and the proposed density of development are generally consistent with existing development and urban character of the surrounding community. Therefore, in conjunction with the approval of the related entitlements and, as conditioned, the project site is physically suitable for the proposed density of development.

- (e) THE DESIGN OF THE SUBDIVISION AND THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS ARE NOT LIKELY TO CAUSE SUBSTANTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE OR SUBSTANTIALLY AND AVOIDABLY INJURE FISH OR WILDLIFE OR THEIR HABITAT.

The Project proposes an infill development within the North Hollywood – Valley Village Community Plan area in the City of Los Angeles. The VTTM includes the merger of 50 existing ground lots into 11 ground lots and 33 airspace lots, including merging portions of public right-of-way along Cumpston Street, Weddington Street, and Bakman Avenue. Under concurrent case No. CPC-2019-7239-GPAJ-ZVCJ-HD-SP-SN-BL, the applicant is requesting a General Plan Amendment to redesignate the Project Site as Regional Center Commercial, the establishment of the new District NoHo Specific Plan, and a Zone Change and Height District Change to rezone the Project Site to a Specific Plan Zone with a corresponding Sign District, in order to allow the development of a multi-phased, mixed-use development, to include up to 1,527 residential units (including 1,216 market-rate units and 311 affordable units), 105,125 sf of retail/restaurant uses, and 580,374 sf of office space, for an overall total of 2,209,027 sf, resulting in an FAR of 3.16:1.

The Project Site is currently improved with industrial uses/warehouses, the Metro B Line Portal, a bus facility and associated surface parking and does not provide a natural habitat for either fish or wildlife. The EIR prepared for the Project identifies no potential adverse impacts on fish or wildlife resources. The Project Site does not contain any natural open spaces, act as a wildlife corridor, contain riparian habitat, wetland habitat,

migratory corridors, conflict with any protected tree ordinance, conflict with a Habitat Conservation Plan, nor possess any areas of significant biological resource value. Impacts related to conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources would be less than significant. Existing landscaping on the Project Site is limited and does not contain any natural open spaces, act as a wildlife corridor, contain riparian habitat, wetland habitat, migratory corridors, conflict with any protected tree ordinance, conflict with a Habitat Conservation Plan, nor possess any areas of significant biological resource value. There are currently 126 trees onsite and 46 street trees. A total of 114 on-site trees and 33 street trees would be removed as part of the VTTM. There are no protected trees on the Project Site.

Therefore, the design of the subdivision would not cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish, wildlife, or their habitat.

(f) THE DESIGN OF THE SUBDIVISION AND THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS ARE NOT LIKELY TO CAUSE SERIOUS PUBLIC HEALTH PROBLEMS.

No adverse impacts to the public health or safety would occur because of the design and improvement of the site. The proposed subdivision and subsequent improvements are subject to the provisions of the LAMC (e.g., the Fire Code, Planning and Zoning Code, Health and Safety Code) and the Building Code. Other health and safety related requirements as mandated by law would apply where applicable to ensure the public health and welfare (e.g., asbestos abatement, seismic safety, flood hazard management). The Project Site is listed in databases compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The Draft EIR concluded these listings, Phase I, and Phase II findings collectively constitute a REC. The analysis, however, determined that with implementation of mitigation measures, the impacts related to hazardous materials would be less than significant. Mitigation measures related to hazardous materials are incorporated into the Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Project, discussed above in the CEQA Findings, and as part of standard City conditions, are also a condition of approval required for any construction.

The Project Site is located in a Liquefaction Zone, but as discussed in the EIR, regulatory compliance measures and a required final geotechnical report, subject to LADBS review, would ensure no risks from liquefaction would occur onsite. LADBS - Grading Division has reviewed the geology/soils reports prepared for the Project and issued a Soils Approval Letter dated July 13, 2022. The Soils Approval Letter includes specific design and engineering conditions that will ensure the Project can be built safely and that the site will be suitable for the proposed development. The recommendations from the Soils Approval Letter have been imposed as Conditions of Approval of the VTTM.

The development of the Project does not propose substantial alteration to the existing topography. The Project is not located within a flood hazard area, a hillside area, earthquake induced landslide, or fault-rupture hazard zone; and does not require any grading or construction of an engineered retaining structure to remove potential geologic hazards. Further, the Project can be adequately served by existing utilities, and the Project Applicant has paid, or committed to pay, all applicable in lieu fees. The development is required to be connected to the City's sanitary sewer system, where the sewage will be directed to the Hyperion Treatment Plant, which has been upgraded to

meet statewide ocean discharge standards and has adequate capacity to serve the project. Moreover, as required by LAMC Section 64.15, further detailed gauging and evaluation will be conducted as part of the required building permit process for the project, including the requirement to obtain final approval of an updated Sewer Capacity Availability Report demonstrating adequate capacity. In addition, Project-related sanitary sewer connections and on-site water and wastewater infrastructure will be designed and constructed in accordance with applicable LASAN and California Plumbing Code standards.

No adverse impacts to the public health or safety would occur as a result of the design and improvement of the site. Therefore, the design of the subdivision and the proposed improvements are not likely to cause serious public health problems.

- (g) THE DESIGN OF THE SUBDIVISION AND THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH EASEMENTS ACQUIRED BY THE PUBLIC AT LARGE FOR ACCESS THROUGH OR USE OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROPOSED SUBDIVISION.

There are no recorded instruments identifying easements encumbering the Project Site for the purpose of providing public access. The site is surrounded by public streets and private properties that adjoin improved public streets designed and improved for the specific purpose of providing public access throughout the area. The Project Site does not adjoin or provide access to a public resource, natural habitat, public park, or any officially recognized public recreation area. No streams or rivers cross the Project Site. Needed public access for roads and utilities will be acquired by the City prior to recordation of the proposed tract. The Project is a Joint Development and Option Agreement by and between the Developer and LA Metro, and as a result, the land will not transfer to the Applicant, but remain Metro land for the duration of the agreement. The VTTM also divides the property such that the land around the subway portal is an individual lot. The Project and the proposed subdivision will, therefore, not preclude the public access to the existing public transit infrastructure.

Therefore, the design of the subdivision and the proposed improvements would not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision.

- (h) THE DESIGN OF THE PROPOSED SUBDIVISION WILL PROVIDE, TO THE EXTENT FEASIBLE, FOR FUTURE PASSIVE OR NATURAL HEATING OR COOLING OPPORTUNITIES IN THE SUBDIVISION. (REF. SECTION 66473.1)

In assessing the feasibility of passive or natural heating or cooling opportunities in the proposed subdivision design, the Project Applicant has prepared and submitted materials which consider the local climate, contours, configuration of the parcel(s) to be subdivided and other design and improvement requirements.


Providing for passive or natural heating or cooling opportunities will not result in reducing allowable densities or the percentage of a lot which may be occupied by a building or structure under applicable planning and zoning in effect at the time the tentative map was filed.

The topography of the Site has been considered in the maximization of passive or

natural heating and cooling opportunities. In addition, prior to obtaining a building permit, the subdivider shall consider building construction techniques, such as overhanging eaves, location of windows, insulation, exhaust fans; planting of trees for shade purposes and the height of the buildings on the site in relation to adjacent development.

These findings shall apply to both the tentative and final maps for VTTM No. 82868.

VINCENT P. BERTONI, AICP  
Advisory Agency



James Harris  
Deputy Advisory Agency  
MZ: JH: MN: JM

Note: This grant is not a permit or license and any permits and/or licenses required by law must be obtained from the proper public agency. If any Condition of this grant is violated or not complied with, then the applicant or their successor in interest may be prosecuted for violating these Conditions the same as for any violation of the requirements contained in the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC).

This determination will become effective after the end of appeal period date on the first page of this document, unless an appeal is filed with the Department of City Planning. An appeal application must be submitted and paid for before 4:30 PM (PST) on the final day to appeal the determination. Should the final day fall on a weekend or legal City holiday, the time for filing an appeal shall be extended to 4:30 PM (PST) on the next succeeding working day. Appeals should be filed early to ensure the Development Services Center (DSC) staff has adequate time to review and accept the documents, and to allow appellants time to submit payment.

An appeal may be filed utilizing the following options:

**Online Application System (OAS):** The OAS (<https://planning.lacity.org/oas>) allows entitlement appeals to be submitted entirely electronically by allowing an appellant to fill out and submit an appeal application online directly to City Planning's DSC, and submit fee payment by credit card or e-check.

**Drop off at DSC.** Appeals of this determination can be submitted in-person at the Metro or Van Nuys DSC locations, and payment can be made by credit card or check. City Planning has established drop-off areas at the DSCs with physical boxes where appellants can drop off appeal applications; alternatively, appeal applications can be filed with staff at DSC public counters. Appeal applications must be on the prescribed forms, and accompanied by the required fee and a copy of the determination letter. Appeal applications shall be received by the DSC public counter and paid for on or before the above date or the appeal will not be accepted.

Forms are available online at <http://planning.lacity.org/development-services/forms>.  
Public offices are located at:

Metro DSC  
 (213) 482-7077  
 201 N. Figueroa Street  
 Los Angeles, CA 90012  
[planning.figcounter@lacity.org](mailto:planning.figcounter@lacity.org)

Van Nuys DSC  
 (818) 374-5050  
 6262 Van Nuys Boulevard  
 Van Nuys, CA 91401  
[planning.mbc2@lacity.org](mailto:planning.mbc2@lacity.org)

West Los Angeles DSC  
 (CURRENTLY CLOSED)  
 (310) 231-2901  
 1828 Sawtelle Boulevard  
 West LA, CA 90025  
[planning.westla@lacity.org](mailto:planning.westla@lacity.org)

City Planning staff may follow up with the appellant via email and/or phone if there are any questions or missing materials in the appeal submission, to ensure that the appeal package is complete and meets the applicable LAMC provisions.

If you seek judicial review of any decision of the City pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.5, the petition for writ of mandate pursuant to that section must be filed no later than the 90th day following the date on which the City's decision became final pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6. There may be other time limits which also affect your ability to seek judicial review.

Verification of condition compliance with building plans and/or building permit applications are done at the City Planning Metro or Valley DSC locations. An in-person or virtual appointment for Condition Clearance can be made through the City's BuildLA portal ([appointments.lacity.org](http://appointments.lacity.org)). The applicant is further advised to notify any consultant representing you of this requirement as well.



QR Code to  
 Online Appeal Filing




QR Code to Forms for In-  
 Person Appeal Filing



QR Code to BuildLA  
 Appointment Portal for  
 Condition Clearance

# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

## FOR SUBDIVISION, AIRSPACE AND MERGER PURPOSES



**kpff**  
700 FLOWER ST., SUITE 2100  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
TEL: 213.462.8100  
F: 213.462.8104  
WWW.KPFF.COM

### GENERAL NOTES:

#### OWNER:

LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN  
TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
ONE GATEWAY PLAZA  
MAIL STOP: 99-22-4  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
ATTN: WELLS M. LARSON  
LAWSON@METRO.NET

#### APPLICANT:

NOHO DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, LLC  
2221 ROSECRANS AVENUE, SUITE 200  
EL SEQUOIO, CA 90245  
ATTN: GREG AMES  
(310) 363-4706

#### LAND SURVEYOR:

KPFF CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.  
700 FLOWER ST., SUITE 2100  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
ATTN: CHRISTOPHER JONES, PLS B193  
(213) 418-2001

### LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

(PER CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY ORDER NO. 00073130-994-LT-DB DATED JUNE 3, 2019)

THE LAND REFERRED TO HEREIN BELOW IS SITUATED IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL 1: (APN 2350-012-925)

LOTS 1 AND 2 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 2: (APN 2350-012-927)

LOT 4 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 3: (APN 2350-012-928)

LOT 5 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 4: (APN 2350-012-929)

LOT 6 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 5: (APN 2350-012-930)

LOT 7 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 6: (APN 2350-012-931)

LOT 8 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 7A: (APN 2350-012-923)

THE NORTH 50 FEET OF LOTS 16 AND 18, IN BLOCK 9, TOWN OF TOLUCA, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS SHOWN ON RECORDER'S FILED MAP NO. 515, ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY, TOGETHER WITH THE WEST 30 FEET OF LOT 19 IN SAID BLOCK 9.

EXCEPT THE SOUTH 100 FEET OF SAID LOT 18.

PARCEL 7B: (APN 2350-012-924)

LOTS 16, 17 AND 18 IN BLOCK 9, TOWN OF TOLUCA, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN THE TOWN OF TOLUCA FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY ON JANUARY 31, 1895.

EXCEPT THE NORTH 50 FEET OF LOTS 16 AND 18, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 16 AND THE WEST 30 FEET OF THE SOUTH 100 FEET OF SAID LOT 19.

PARCEL 8: (APN'S 2350-012-932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937)

LOTS 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 AND 15 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 9: (APN 2350-012-926)

LOT 3 IN BLOCK 9 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 10: (APN 2350-012-938)

ALL OF LOTS 19 TO 29, INCLUDING EXCEPT THE WEST 30 FEET OF SAID LOT 19, ALL IN BLOCK 9 OF TOLUCA, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS DESIGNATED ON MAP FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY ON JANUARY 31, 1895, TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF THE VACATED STREETS DESIGNATED AS "THE SOUTH, SOUTH AND EAST AS VACATED BY RESOLUTION TO VACATE NO. 02-1400494, RECORDED AUGUST 6, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 03-2267607 AND BY RESOLUTION TO VACATE NO. 04-1400494-R, RECORDED JULY 8, 2004 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 04-1743825, BOTH OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

PARCEL 11: (APN 2350-012-922)

ALL THAT CERTAIN PIECE OF PARCEL LAND SITUATED IN THE RANCHO EX-MISSION DE SAN FERNANDO, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BEING A PORTION OF THE 100 FOOT STRIP OF LAND CONVEYED TO SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, BY PACIFIC IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, BY DEED DATED DECEMBER 30, 1893, RECORDED IN BOOK 946 PAGE 317 OF DEEDS, RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY, IN BLOCK 160 OF THE LANKERSHIM RANCH LAND AND WATER COMPANY, SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST 12,000 ACRES OF THE RANCHO EX-MISSION DE SAN FERNANDO, ACCORDING TO THE MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 31 PAGE 39 TO 44 INCLUSIVE OF MAPS, RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD, 80 FEET WIDE (FORMERLY FERNANDO AVENUE), AS SHOWN IN SAID BLOCK 160 ON SAID MAP OF SAID LANKERSHIM RANCHO LAND AND WATER COMPANY, SUBDIVISION WITH THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID STRIP OF LAND 100 FEET IN WIDTH; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100 FOOT STRIP OF LAND, A DISTANCE OF 300 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE FROM SAID TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING NORTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES 100 FEET TO A POINT IN THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100 FOOT STRIP OF LAND, SAID NORTHERLY LINE BEING PARALLEL WITH AND 60 FEET SOUTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES FROM THE CENTER LINE OF THE MAIN TRACK OF THE SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 60 FEET; THENCE SOUTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES 100 FEET TO A POINT IN SAID SOUTHERLY LINE; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE 80 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 12: (APN 2350-012-921)

ALL THAT CERTAIN PIECE OF PARCEL LAND SITUATED IN RANCHO EX-MISSION DE SAN FERNANDO, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BEING A PORTION OF THE 100-FOOT STRIP OF LAND CONVEYED TO SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY BY PACIFIC IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, BY DEED DATED DECEMBER 30, 1893, RECORDED IN BOOK 946 PAGE 317 OF DEEDS, RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY, IN BLOCK 160 OF THE LANKERSHIM RANCH LAND AND WATER COMPANY, SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST 12,000 ACRES OF THE RANCHO EX-MISSION DE SAN FERNANDO, ACCORDING TO THE MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 31 PAGES 39 TO 44 INCLUSIVE OF MISCELLANEOUS RECORDS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY, AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD, 80 FEET WIDE (FORMERLY SAN FERNANDO AVENUE), AS SHOWN IN SAID BLOCK 160 ON SAID MAP OF SAID LANKERSHIM RANCHO LAND AND WATER COMPANY'S SUBDIVISION, WITH THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID STRIP OF LAND, 100 FEET IN WIDTH; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100-FOOT STRIP OF LAND, DISTANCE OF 300 FEET; THENCE NORTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES, 100 FEET TO A POINT IN THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID 100-FOOT STRIP OF LAND; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE 350.32 FEET TO A POINT IN THE SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD, 111.94 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 13: (APN 2350-012-906)

THE WEST 150 FEET OF LOT 1, BLOCK 12 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 14: (APN 2350-012-907)

THE WESTERLY 150 FEET OF THAT PORTION OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 2 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT, 206.88 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTH 252 FEET OF SAID LOT; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE 242.54 FEET; THENCE SOUTHERLY IN A DIRECT LINE TO A POINT IN THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT, DISTANT EASTERLY 241.80 FEET FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE 241.80 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 15: (APN 2350-012-908)

THE WEST 150.00 OF THE NORTH 262.00 FEET OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 2 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 16: (PTNS 2350-012-920)

INTENTIONALLY DELETED

PARCEL 17: (2350-013-920)

THE SOUTHERLY 30 FEET OF LOT 146 AND THE NORTHERLY 30 FEET OF LOT 161, OF THE LANKERSHIM RANCH LAND AND WATER CO'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST 12,000 ACRES OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE RANCHO EX-MISSION DE SAN FERNANDO, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 31 PAGES 39 ET SEQ. OF MISCELLANEOUS RECORDS.

EXCEPT THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LOTS 146 AND 161 LYING WESTERLY OF THE SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 2 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS.

ALSO EXCEPT THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LOTS 146 AND 161 LYING EASTERLY OF THE WESTERLY LINE OF PARCEL A OF PARCEL MAP NO. 2002-8229, AND ITS SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION, AS PER MAP FILED IN BOOK 345 PAGES 80-81 OF PARCEL MAPS.

### LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

(PER CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY ORDER NO. 00073130-994-LT-DB DATED JUNE 3, 2019)

THE LAND REFERRED TO HEREIN BELOW IS SITUATED IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL 1:

LOTS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 AND 8 IN BLOCK 13 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

PARCEL 2:

LOT 12 OF BLOCK 13 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

EXCEPT THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LOT 12 INCLUDED WITHIN THE LAND AS DESCRIBED IN THE DEED TO SECURITY TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK RECORDED JUNE 22, 1923 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 523, IN BOOK 2707 PAGE 331 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THE WESTERLY LINE OF LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD DISTANT NORTHERLY 25 FEET MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES FROM THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 12, WHICH POINT IS 230.01 FEET MORE OR LESS NORTHERLY FROM THE SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 12; THENCE ALONG SAID LANKERSHIM BOULEVARD NORTH 26° 32' 45" WEST 87.22 FEET MORE OR LESS TO A POINT DISTANT NORTHERLY 85 FEET MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES FROM THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 12; THENCE WESTERLY PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 12, 75 FEET; THENCE SOUTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST MENTIONED LINE 60 FEET; THENCE EASTERLY PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 12, 105.30 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 3:

LOTS 9, 10 AND 11 OF BLOCK 13 OF LANKERSHIM, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 16 PAGES 114 AND 115 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY, TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF THE VACATED ALLEY ADJOINING SAID LOT 9 ON THE NORTH AS VACATED BY RESOLUTION TO VACATE NO. 02-1400785, RECORDED APRIL 14, 2006 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2006-826846, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

APN: 2350-016-905, 2350-016-906, 2350-016-907

### EXCEPTIONS:

(PER CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY ORDER NO. 00073130-994-LT-DB DATED JUNE 3, 2019)

1. COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS BUT OMITTING ANY COVENANTS OR RESTRICTIONS, IF ANY, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THOSE BASED UPON RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, FAMILIAL STATUS, MARITAL STATUS, DISABILITY, HANDICAP, HATRED, ORIGIN, CITIZENSHIP, ANCESTRY, IMMIGRATION STATUS, PRIMARY LANGUAGE, ANCESTRY, SOURCE OF INCOME, GENDER, GENDER IDENTITY, GENDER EXPRESSION, MEDICAL CONDITION OR GENETIC INFORMATION, AS SET FORTH IN APPLICABLE STATE OR FEDERAL LAWS, EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT THAT SAID COVENANT OR RESTRICTION IS PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, AS SET FORTH IN THE DOCUMENT.  
RECORDING DATE: IN BOOK 4536 PAGE 28, OF DEEDS  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 8

2. EASEMENT(S) FOR THE PURPOSE(S) SHOWN BELOW AND RIGHTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AS GRANTED IN A DOCUMENT:  
PURPOSE: CROSS-ARMS AND WIRES  
RECORDING DATE: JANUARY 20, 1942  
RECORDING NO.: IN BOOK 1907 PAGE 316, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: A PORTION OF SAID LAND  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 11

3. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: AGREEMENT  
RECORDING DATE: JANUARY 27, 1968  
RECORDING NO.: 3376, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 7

4. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: NOTICE OF NON-CONFORMING BUILDING USE  
RECORDING DATE: FEBRUARY 15, 1973  
RECORDING NO.: 3390, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 5

5. AN IRREVOCABLE OFFER TO DEDICATE AN EASEMENT OVER A PORTION OF SAID LAND FOR  
PURPOSE(S): PUBLIC STREET  
RECORDING DATE: SEPTEMBER 11, 1973  
RECORDING NO.: 181, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: A PORTION OF SAID LAND  
SAID OFFER WAS ACCEPTED BY RESOLUTION, A CERTIFIED COPY OF WHICH WAS RECORDED FEBRUARY 22, 1974 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 3140, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 12

6. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: COVENANT AND AGREEMENT  
RECORDING DATE: NOVEMBER 19, 1973  
RECORDING NO.: 2083, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 12, AND PORTIONS OF PARCELS 13 THROUGH 17

7. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: COVENANT AND AGREEMENT REGARDING MAINTENANCE OF BUILDING AND USES  
RECORDING DATE: APRIL 4, 1974  
RECORDING NO.: 2512, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 5

8. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: AGREEMENT  
RECORDING DATE: JUNE 3, 1982  
RECORDING NO.: 82-568098, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 2

9. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: AGREEMENT  
RECORDING DATE: JULY 21, 1982  
RECORDING NO.: 82-732728, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 3

10. COVENANT AND AGREEMENT WHEREIN THE OWNERS AGREE TO HOLD SAID LAND AS ONE PARCEL AND NOT TO SELL ANY PORTION THEREOF SEPARATELY. SAID COVENANT IS EXPRESSED TO RUN WITH THE LAND AND BE BINDING UPON FUTURE OWNERS.  
RECORDING DATE: MARCH 24, 1988  
RECORDING NO.: 88-40471, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 7 LOTS 16 AND 17

11. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: COVENANT AND AGREEMENT  
RECORDING DATE: APRIL 22, 1988  
RECORDING NO.: 88-556485, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 7 LOTS 16 AND 17

### EXCEPTIONS:

(PER CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY ORDER NO. 00073130-994-LT-DB DATED JUNE 3, 2019)

12. COVENANT AND AGREEMENT WHEREIN THE OWNERS AGREE TO HOLD SAID LAND AS ONE PARCEL AND NOT TO SELL ANY PORTION THEREOF SEPARATELY. SAID COVENANT IS EXPRESSED TO RUN WITH THE LAND AND BE BINDING UPON FUTURE OWNERS.  
RECORDING DATE: MARCH 7, 1988  
RECORDING NO.: 89-354426, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 1

13. AN IRREVOCABLE OFFER TO DEDICATE AN EASEMENT OVER A PORTION OF SAID LAND FOR  
PURPOSE(S): PUBLIC STREET  
RECORDING DATE: JULY 11, 1989  
RECORDING NO.: 89-1100445, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: A PORTION OF SAID LAND  
SAID OFFER WAS ACCEPTED BY RESOLUTION, A CERTIFIED COPY OF WHICH WAS RECORDED MAY 30, 1990 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 90-984074, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 1

14. AN IRREVOCABLE OFFER TO DEDICATE AN EASEMENT OVER A PORTION OF SAID LAND FOR  
PURPOSE(S): PUBLIC STREET  
RECORDING DATE: AUGUST 18, 1990  
RECORDING NO.: 90-1426167, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: A PORTION OF SAID LAND  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 1

15. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: NOTICE OF BUILDING WITHIN THE SCOPE OF DIVISION 88 EARTHQUAKE HAZARD REDUCTION IN EXISTING BUILDINGS  
RECORDING DATE: JULY 30, 1999  
RECORDING NO.: 92-1393067, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 8

16. WAIVER OF ANY CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES TO SAID LAND BY REASON OF THE LOCATION, CONSTRUCTION, LANDSCAPING OR MAINTENANCE OF THE STREET OR HIGHWAY ADJOINING SAID LAND, AS CONTAINED IN THE DEED TO  
RECORDING DATE: JANUARY 19, 2001  
RECORDING NO.: 01-103081, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: PARCEL 0

17. A FINANCING STATEMENT AS FOLLOWS:  
DEBTOR: L AND R AUTO PARTS, INC.  
SECURED PARTY: MADIRA CAPITAL CORP.  
RECORDING DATE: APRIL 12, 2011  
RECORDING NO.: 2017-405895, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
A CHANGE TO THE ABOVE FINANCING STATEMENT WAS FILED  
NATURE OF CHANGE: FULL ASSIGNMENT  
RECORDING DATE: JUNE 7, 2017  
RECORDING NO.: 2017-0629782, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS

18. EASEMENT(S) FOR THE PURPOSE(S) SHOWN BELOW AND RIGHTS INCIDENTAL THERETO AS SET FORTH IN A DOCUMENT:  
PURPOSE: DRIVEWAY  
RECORDING NO.: IN BOOK 18897 PAGE 372, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: PORTIONS OF THE LAND, THE EXACT LOCATION OF WHICH CAN BE DETERMINED BY EXAMINATION OF THE ABOVE-MENTIONED INSTRUMENT, WHICH CONTAINS A COMPLETE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED PORTIONS OF SAID LAND.

19. COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS BUT OMITTING ANY COVENANTS OR RESTRICTIONS, IF ANY, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THOSE BASED UPON RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, FAMILIAL STATUS, MARITAL STATUS, DISABILITY, HANDICAP, HATRED, ORIGIN, CITIZENSHIP, ANCESTRY, IMMIGRATION STATUS, PRIMARY LANGUAGE, ANCESTRY, SOURCE OF INCOME, GENDER, GENDER IDENTITY, GENDER EXPRESSION, MEDICAL CONDITION OR GENETIC INFORMATION, AS SET FORTH IN APPLICABLE STATE OR FEDERAL LAWS, EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT THAT SAID COVENANT OR RESTRICTION IS PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, AS SET FORTH IN THE DOCUMENT.  
RECORDING DATE: AUGUST 31, 1989  
RECORDING NO.: 89-1517658, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS

20. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

21. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

22. COVENANTS OF NON-DISCRIMINATION CONTAINED IN GRANT DEED FROM COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY DATED DECEMBER 22, 2003, RECORDED DECEMBER 23, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 03-3853360, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

23. ANY CLAIM THAT THE TRANSACTION VESTING THE TITLE AS SHOWN IN SCHEDULE A OR CREATING THE LIEN OF THE INSURED MORTGAGE, OR ANY OTHER TRANSACTION OCCURRING ON OR PRIOR TO DATE OF POLICY IN WHICH THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES OR ITS SUCCESSORS TRANSFERRED, ACQUIRED, OR MADE ANY AGREEMENT AFFECTING THE TITLE TO OR ANY INTEREST IN THE LAND, IS VOID OR VOIDABLE, OR SUBJECT TO TERMINATION, RENEGOCIATION, OR ADJUDICATION UNDER CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY BILL 28 (CHAPTER 5, STATUTES OF 2011-12, FIRST EXTRAORDINARY SESSION) AND CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY BILL 1484 (CHAPTER 28, STATUTES OF 2011-12).

24. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

25. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

26. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

27. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

28. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

29. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

30. THIS EXCEPTION HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

31. A NOTICE THAT SAID LAND IS INCLUDED WITHIN THE NORTH HOLLYWOOD REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, AND THAT PROCEEDINGS FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT OF SAID PROJECT HAVE BEEN INSTITUTED UNDER THE REDEVELOPMENT LAW (SUCH AS REDEVELOPMENT TO PROCEED ONLY AFTER THE ADOPTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN) AS DISCLOSED BY A DOCUMENT.  
RECORDING DATE: OCTOBER 1, 1979  
RECORDING NO.: 78-085202, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS

AMENDMENTS OF THE ABOVE WERE RECORDED NOVEMBER 30, 1995 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 95-1904107 AND NOVEMBER 17, 1997 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 97-1914640, BOTH OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.  
AN AGREEMENT TO MODIFY THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS OF THE SAID DOCUMENT, AS THEREIN PROVIDED  
RECORDING DATE: NOVEMBER 30, 2007  
RECORDING NO.: 2007-2536436, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS

25. EASEMENT(S) FOR THE PURPOSE(S) SHOWN BELOW AND RIGHTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AS GRANTED IN A DOCUMENT:  
GRANTED TO: CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
PURPOSE: PUBLIC STREETS AND PUBLIC UTILITY  
RECORDING DATE: SEPTEMBER 19, 2002  
RECORDING NO.: 02-2204529, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: PORTIONS OF THE LAND, THE EXACT LOCATION OF WHICH CAN BE DETERMINED BY EXAMINATION OF THE ABOVE-MENTIONED INSTRUMENT, WHICH CONTAINS A COMPLETE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED PORTIONS OF SAID LAND.

26. WATER RIGHTS, CLAIMS OR TITLE TO WATER, WHETHER OR NOT DISCLOSED BY THE PUBLIC RECORDS.

27. INTENTIONALLY DELETED.

28. MATTERS WHICH MAY BE DISCLOSED BY AN INSPECTION AND/OR BY A CORRECT ALTA/NSPS LAND TITLE SURVEY OF SAID LAND THAT IS SATISFACTORY TO THE COMPANY, AND/OR BY INQUIRY OF THE PARTIES IN POSSESSION THEREOF.

29. ANY RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES IN POSSESSION OF A PORTION OF, OR ALL OF, SAID LAND, WHICH RIGHTS ARE NOT DISCLOSED BY THE PUBLIC RECORDS.  
THE COMPANY WILL REQUIRE, FOR REVIEW, A FULL AND COMPLETE COPY OF ANY UNRECORDED AGREEMENT, CONTRACT, LICENSE AND/OR LEASE, TOGETHER WITH ALL SUPPLEMENTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND AMENDMENTS THERETO, BEFORE ISSUING ANY POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE WITHOUT EXCEPTING THIS ITEM FROM COVERAGE.

THE COMPANY RESERVES THE RIGHT TO EXCEPT ADDITIONAL ITEMS AND/OR MAKE ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS AFTER REVIEWING SAID DOCUMENTS.

THE COMPANY WILL REQUIRE THAT A FULL COPY OF ANY UNRECORDED LEASE REFERRED TO HEREIN BE FURNISHED TO THE COMPANY, TOGETHER WITH ALL SUPPLEMENTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND AMENDMENTS FOR REVIEW.

### EXCEPTIONS:

(PER CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY ORDER NO. 00073130-994-LT-DB DATED JUNE 3, 2019)

1. WATER RIGHTS, CLAIMS OR TITLE TO WATER, WHETHER OR NOT DISCLOSED BY THE PUBLIC RECORDS.

2. EASEMENT(S) FOR THE PURPOSE(S) SHOWN BELOW AND RIGHTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AS GRANTED IN A DOCUMENT:  
PURPOSE: SEPTEMBER 14, 1923  
RECORDING DATE: 1923 IN BOOK 2359 PAGE 296, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
AFFECTS: A PORTION OF SAID LAND

3. MATTERS CONTAINED IN THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT  
ENTITLED: PARTY WALL AGREEMENT  
RECORDING DATE: JULY 25, 1930  
RECORDING NO.: IN BOOK 10223 PAGE 11, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS  
REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO SAID DOCUMENT FOR FULL PARTICULARS.

4. THE LAND DESCRIBED HEREIN IS INCLUDED WITHIN A PROJECT AREA OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY SHOWN

# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**

700 FLORENCE ST., SUITE 2100  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
 TEL: 213.438.0000  
 FAX: 213.382.1000  
 WWW.KPFF.COM

## COMMENTS

DATES OF SURVEY . . . . . SEPTEMBER & OCTOBER, 2018  
 BOUNDARY LINES . . . . . BOUNDARY INFORMATION, ROAD RIGHT OF WAY WIDTHS, EASEMENT LOCATIONS, LOT LINES, ETC., SHOWN HEREON IS BASED ON A CLIENT PROVIDED ALTA/NSPS SURVEY PREPARED BY KIMLEY HORN, DATED 8-12-2017, AND REVISIONS BY KPFF.  
 THE INTERSECTION OF LANKERSHIM BLVD AND WEDDINGTON STREET POSITION WAS FOUND OFF LINE BY 1.5 FEET, THE POSITION WAS ADJUSTED WHICH AFFECTED THE CENTERLINE OF CHANDLER BLVD AND THE BLOCK BORDERED BY LANKERSHIM, WEDDINGTON, BAKMAN, CHANDLER.  
 FAIR AVE WAS FOUND TO BE DEFICIENT BY 0.18 FEET, THE INTERSECTION OF CUMPTON AND FAIR AVE WAS ADJUSTED NORTHERLY BY 0.18 FEET AND THE BLOCK AND STREET RIGHT OF WAY WIDTH ADJUSTED APPROPRIATELY.  
 NO OTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE.  
 BASIS OF BEARINGS . . . . . THE BEARING OF N 0°06'27" E ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF FAIR AVENUE WAS USED AS THE BASIS OF BEARINGS FOR THIS SURVEY.  
 BENCH MARK . . . . . CITY OF LA BM# 08-02640, WIRE SPK IN W CURB TUJUNGA AVE 17.5FT S OF CENTER LINE PROD WEDDINGTON ST  
 ELEV. . . . . 632.890 FT.  
 ADJUSTMENT 2000 DATUM NAVD83  
 (1) . . . . . INDICATES PRELIMINARY TITLE REPORT EXCEPTION NUMBER PLOTTED HEREON.

LAND AREA . . . . . GROSS (TO STREET CENTERLINES)  
 LOT 1 130,431 SQ. FT. OR 2,984 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 2 60,679 SQ. FT. OR 1,388 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 3 74,801 SQ. FT. OR 1,717 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 4 183,715 SQ. FT. OR 4,238 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 5 144,383 SQ. FT. OR 3,315 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 6 47,837 SQ. FT. OR 1,098 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 7 128,629 SQ. FT. OR 2,953 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 8 5,547 SQ. FT. OR 0.127 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 9 7,706 SQ. FT. OR 0.178 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 10 15,051 SQ. FT. OR 0.348 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 11 10,738 SQ. FT. OR 0.248 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

GROSS (PRE-DEDICATIONS & PRE-VACATIONS)  
 LOT 1 94,062 SQ. FT. OR 2,159 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 2 51,441 SQ. FT. OR 1,181 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 3 55,630 SQ. FT. OR 1,277 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 4 78,221 SQ. FT. OR 1,796 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 5 117,600 SQ. FT. OR 2,702 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 6 33,348 SQ. FT. OR 0.766 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 7 79,893 SQ. FT. OR 1,834 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 8 4,897 SQ. FT. OR 0.112 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 9 6,582 SQ. FT. OR 0.151 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 10 14,155 SQ. FT. OR 0.325 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 11 9,196 SQ. FT. OR 0.211 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

NET (POST-DEDICATIONS & POST-VACATIONS)  
 LOT 1 98,533 SQ. FT. OR 2,282 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 2 53,836 SQ. FT. OR 1,231 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 3 58,040 SQ. FT. OR 1,332 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 4 78,195 SQ. FT. OR 1,795 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 5 116,654 SQ. FT. OR 2,674 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 6 33,348 SQ. FT. OR 0.766 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 7 81,106 SQ. FT. OR 1,882 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 8 4,897 SQ. FT. OR 0.112 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 9 6,842 SQ. FT. OR 0.157 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 10 14,155 SQ. FT. OR 0.325 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.  
 LOT 11 9,196 SQ. FT. OR 0.211 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

UTILITIES . . . . . ALL VISIBLE ABOVE-GROUND UTILITY FEATURES SHOWN ON THIS MAP WERE OBTAINED BY CONVENTIONAL AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAMMETRIC MEANS. NO REPRESENTATION IS MADE AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OF SAID UTILITY INFORMATION AND ANY USER OF THIS INFORMATION SHOULD CONTACT THE UTILITY OR GOVERNMENT AGENCY DIRECTLY.  
 FLOOD NOTE . . . . . THE SUBJECT PROPERTY LIES WITHIN FLOOD ZONE "X" - AS SHOWN ON THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP PREPARED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER 06037C1320F AND 06037C1340F WHICH BEARS AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 26, 2008.  
 SURVEY METHOD . . . . . GROUND SURVEY WAS PERFORMED WITH TRADITIONAL METHODS USING TOTAL STATION, AS WELL AS GPS RTK METHODS.  
 AERIAL SURVEY . . . . . TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SHOWN IN GRAYSCALE WAS OBTAINED BY AERIAL PHOTOGRAMMETRY METHODS, DATED OCTOBER 4, 2017.

## PROJECT NOTES:

MAIN SITE ADDRESS:  
 5430 N LANKERSHIM BLVD, LOS ANGELES, CA 91601

APN NO.:  
 2350-012-920  
 2350-012-921  
 2350-012-922  
 2350-012-923  
 2350-012-924  
 2350-012-925  
 2350-012-926  
 2350-012-927  
 2350-012-928  
 2350-012-929  
 2350-012-930  
 2350-012-931  
 2350-012-932  
 2350-012-933  
 2350-012-934  
 2350-012-935  
 2350-012-936  
 2350-012-937  
 2350-012-938  
 2350-012-939  
 2350-012-940  
 2350-012-941  
 2350-012-942  
 2350-012-943  
 2350-012-944  
 2350-012-945  
 2350-012-946  
 2350-012-947  
 2350-012-948  
 2350-012-949  
 2350-012-950  
 2350-012-951  
 2350-012-952  
 2350-012-953  
 2350-012-954  
 2350-012-955  
 2350-012-956  
 2350-012-957  
 2350-012-958  
 2350-012-959  
 2350-012-960  
 2350-012-961  
 2350-012-962  
 2350-012-963  
 2350-012-964  
 2350-012-965  
 2350-012-966  
 2350-012-967  
 2350-012-968  
 2350-012-969  
 2350-012-970


THOMAS BROS. GUIDE: 562-22  
 DISTRICT MAP: CD 2 - PAUL KREKORIAN  
 COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: NORTH HOLLYWOOD - VALLEY VILLAGE  
 GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: PUBLIC FACILITIES, COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL & COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING  
 SPECIFIC PLAN AREA: NONE  
 EXISTING ZONING: PF-1VL, C2-2D-CA, CM-1VL & C4-2D  
 PROPOSED ZONING: SPECIFIC PLAN ZONE

THE SUBJECT SITE IS LOCATED IN THE FOLLOWING HAZARD AREAS:  
 LIQUEFACTION  
 HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW

STREET DESIGNATIONS:  
 CHANDLER BLVD = BOULEVARD II (110' DESIGNATED)  
 LANKERSHIM BLVD = BOULEVARD II (110' DESIGNATED)  
 CUMPTON ST = COLLECTOR (68' DESIGNATED)  
 FAIR AVE = LOCAL STREET - STANDARD (60' DESIGNATED)  
 BAKMAN AVE = LOCAL STREET - STANDARD (60' DESIGNATED)  
 WEDDINGTON ST = LOCAL STREET - STANDARD (60' DESIGNATED)

THE SITE SHALL BE INTO EXISTING SEWER INFRASTRUCTURE.  
 EXISTING UTILITIES: UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON WERE OBTAINED FROM CITY SUBSTRUCTURE MAPS. CERTAIN UTILITIES SUCH AS TRAFFIC SIGNAL LINES AND ABANDONED LINES MAY NOT BE SHOWN HEREON.  
 PROPOSED UTILITIES: SEWAGE AND DRAINAGE WILL BE PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEMS.  
 LOT CONFIGURATIONS, ELEVATIONS AND SIZES ARE APPROXIMATE IN NATURE AND WILL BE FINALIZED DURING THE FINAL MAP PHASE.  
 WE RESERVE THE RIGHT TO CONSOLIDATE LOTS.  
 WE RESERVE THE RIGHT TO PHASE THE FINAL MAPS.  
 THE PROPERTY COVERED BY THIS MAP IS SUBJECT TO THE GOVERNING PLANNING AND ZONING REGULATIONS. PURSUANT TO THE PROJECT APPROVALS, THE AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT ALLOCATED TO A PARTICULAR LOT WILL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DISTRICT NON-SPECIFIC PLAN, AND MAY CHANGE OVER TIME WITHOUT AMENDMENT TO THIS MAP, AS LONG AS THE OVERALL AGGREGATE MAXIMUM PROGRAM FOR THE PROJECT IS NOT EXCEEDED.  
 PROPOSED RECIPROCAL INGRESS/EGRESS EASEMENTS (IF ANY) ARE YET TO BE DETERMINED.  
 REQUEST IS MADE FOR A HAUL ROUTE.  
 THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN PROTECTED TREES. ALL TREES PLANNED TO BE REMOVED. PLEASE REFER TO ARBORIST REPORT FOR MORE DETAILED INFORMATION.  
 METRO DISPUTES EXCEPTION ITEM 16 LISTED HEREON.  
 THE VTM PROPOSES RESUBDIVISION INTO 11 GROUND LOTS AND 33 AIRSPACE LOTS AND MERGER OF SURPLUS CITY RIGHT-OF-WAY ON CUMPTON STREET, BAKMAN STREET, AND WEDDINGTON STREET ASSOCIATED WITH A PROPOSED MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT WITH UP TO 1,527 MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL UNITS AND UP TO 885,499 SQUARE FEET OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT (PROJECT). THE BOUNDARIES OF THE VTM DO NOT INCLUDE ALL LOTS PROPOSED WITHIN THE PROJECT AND INCLUDE ONLY THOSE LOTS PROPOSED FOR SUBDIVISION PURPOSES.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS



## LEGEND

- |   |                            |       |                          |
|---|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| ● | AREA DRAIN                 | AC    | ASPHALT CONCRETE         |
| ○ | AREA LIGHT                 | AD    | AREA DRAIN               |
| ○ | BOLLARD                    | ASPH  | ASPHALT                  |
| ○ | CLEANOUT                   | BK    | BIKE RACK                |
| ○ | COMMUNICATIONS MANHOLE     | BL    | BOLLARD                  |
| ○ | CURB DRAIN                 | BOV   | BLOW OFF VALVE           |
| ○ | ELECTRIC MANHOLE           | CL    | CENTERLINE               |
| ○ | ELECTRIC PULLBOX           | CAB   | CABINET                  |
| ○ | FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION | CATV  | CABLE/TV                 |
| ○ | FIRE HYDRANT               | CB    | CATCH BASIN              |
| ○ | FLAG POLE                  | CD    | CURB DRAIN               |
| ○ | GAS METER                  | CO    | CLEANOUT                 |
| ○ | GAS VALVE                  | COM   | COMMUNICATIONS           |
| ○ | GUY AND/OR                 | CONC  | CONCRETE                 |
| ○ | IRRIGATION CONTROL PULLBOX | CPT   | CP TEST PULLBOX          |
| ○ | IRRIGATION CONTROL VALVE   | DI    | DRAIN INLET              |
| ○ | PARKING METER              | DR    | DOOR                     |
| ○ | POWER POLE                 | DWY   | DRIVEWAY                 |
| ○ | SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE     | EG    | EDGE OF GUTTER           |
| ○ | SEWER                      | ELEC  | ELECTRIC                 |
| ○ | SIGN                       | ELEV  | ELEVATION                |
| ○ | STORM DRAIN MANHOLE        | EP    | EDGE OF PAVEMENT         |
| ○ | STREET LIGHT               | ESTAB | ESTABLISHED              |
| ○ | STREET LIGHT PULLBOX       | EVT   | ELECTRIC VAULT           |
| ○ | TELEPHONE MANHOLE          | FD    | FOUND                    |
| ○ | TELEPHONE PULLBOX          | FF    | FINISHED FLOOR           |
| ○ | TRAFFIC SIGNAL LIGHT       | FL    | FLOWLINE                 |
| ○ | TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULLBOX     | GB    | GRADE BREAK              |
| ○ | UNKNOWN MANHOLE            | GR    | GRADE INTERCEPTOR        |
| ○ | UNKNOWN PULLBOX            | ICV   | IRRIGATION CONTROL VALVE |
| ○ | WATER METER                | INV   | INVERT                   |
| ○ | WATER VALVE                | LA    | LANDSCAPE AREA           |
| ○ |                            | LP    | LIGHT POLE               |
| ○ |                            | L&T&T | LEAD TACK AND TAG        |
| ○ |                            | MB    | MAILBOX                  |
| ○ |                            | MH    | MANHOLE                  |
| ○ |                            | NG    | NATURAL GROUND           |
| ○ |                            | NRK   | NEWSPAPER RACK           |
| ○ |                            | OH    | OVERHANG                 |
| ○ |                            | PL    | PROPERTY LINE            |
| ○ |                            | PB    | PULLBOX                  |
| ○ |                            | PED   | PEDESTRIAN WALK POLE     |
| ○ |                            | PW    | PARKING METER            |
| ○ |                            | PP    | POWERSPOLE               |
| ○ |                            | R/W   | RIGHT-OF-WAY             |
| ○ |                            | RSR   | RISER                    |
| ○ |                            | SCD   | SEWER CLEANOUT           |
| ○ |                            | SD    | STORM DRAIN              |
| ○ |                            | SL    | STREET LIGHT             |
| ○ |                            | SM    | SEARCHED NOTHING FOUND   |
| ○ |                            | SS    | SANITARY SEWER           |
| ○ |                            | SWK   | SEWELINE                 |
| ○ |                            | TEL   | TELEPHONE                |
| ○ |                            | TC    | TOP OF GRATE             |
| ○ |                            | TP    | TRANSFORMER PAD          |
| ○ |                            | TRANS | TRANSFORMER              |
| ○ |                            | TRWL  | TREE WELL                |
| ○ |                            | TS    | TRAFFIC SIGNAL           |
| ○ |                            | TVT   | TELEPHONE VAULT          |
| ○ |                            | TW    | TOP OF WALL              |
| ○ |                            | TYP   | TYPICAL                  |
| ○ |                            | UNK   | UNKNOWN                  |
| ○ |                            | UP    | UTILITY POLE             |
| ○ |                            | UTIL  | UTILITY                  |
| ○ |                            | UVF   | UNKNOWN VAULT            |
| ○ |                            | WF    | WOOD FENCE               |
| ○ |                            | WF    | WOODSUIT IRON FENCE      |
| ○ |                            | WV    | WATER VALVE              |
| ○ |                            | WV    | WATER VAULT              |
| ○ |                            | VT    | VAULT                    |
| ○ |                            | VE    | ELECTRIC UNDERGROUND     |
| ○ |                            | NLY   | NORTHERLY                |
| ○ |                            | SLY   | SOUTHERLY                |
| ○ |                            | Ely   | EASTERLY                 |
| ○ |                            | Wly   | WESTERLY                 |
| ○ |                            | NEly  | NORTHEASTERLY            |
| ○ |                            | NWly  | NORTHWESTERLY            |
| ○ |                            | SEly  | SOUTHEASTERLY            |
| ○ |                            | SWly  | SOUTHWESTERLY            |

## LINETYPES

- |     |  |
|-----|--|
| --- | BUILDING FOOTPRINT                                 |
| --- | BUILDING OVERHANG                                  |
| --- | CHAINLINK FENCE                                    |
| --- | CONCRETE CURB                                      |
| --- | FLOWLINE   |
| --- | WALL   |
| --- | SUBJECT PROPERTY (SHOWN AS EXISTING IN THIS SHEET) |
| --- | LOT LINE   |
| --- | RIGHT OF WAY LINE                                  |
| --- | POTENTIAL RIGHT OF WAY LINE                        |
| --- | STREET CENTERLINE                                  |
| --- | EASEMENT LINE                                      |
| --- | COMM UNDERGROUND                                   |
| --- | ELECTRIC UNDERGROUND                               |
| --- | NATURAL GAS  |
| --- | OVERHEAD UTILITIES                                 |
| --- | SANITARY SEWER                                     |
| --- | STORMDRAIN SEWER                                   |
| --- | WATER LINE   |

| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

|                            |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| DATE                       | 01/05/2023   |
| PROJECT NUMBER             | 1700576      |
| DRAWN BY                   | DB           |
| CHECKED BY                 | CJ           |
| SCALE                      | AS SPECIFIED |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION        |              |
| METRO NOHO TRACT NO. 82868 |              |







# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**


200 FLEET ST., Suite 2100  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017  
 C: 313-442-0291  
 F: 313-394-3794  
 www.kpff.com



**BLOCK 1 : LEVELS B1 - B2**  
 L.E. = 590.00', U.E. = 636.00'



**BLOCK 1 : GROUND LEVEL**  
 L.E. = 636.00', U.E. = 653.00'



**BLOCK 1 : LEVELS 2 - 4**  
 L.E. = 653.00', U.E. = 687.00'




| REVISIONS | DATE | ISSUED FOR |
|-----------|------|------------|
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |

DATE: 01/06/2023  
 PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576  
 DRAWN BY: DB  
 CHECKED BY: CJ  
 SCALE: AS SPECIFIED  
 PROJECT DESCRIPTION:  
 METRO NOHO  
 TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER

**SHEET 4 OF 16**




ABBREVIATION  
 L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
 U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

I:\projects\metro\82868\82868.dwg - 413 - 82868 - 2.dwg (3/15/2023 10:48:48 AM) 1/16/2023


# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**


750 KOWEN ST., Suite 2100  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017  
 P: 313.448.8200  
 F: 313.766.5794  
 www.kpff.com



**BLOCK 1 : LEVEL 5**  
 L.E. = 687.00', U.E. = 698.33'



**BLOCK 1 : LEVELS 6 - ROOF**  
 L.E. = 698.33', U.E. = 880.00'



LEGEND  
 # - GROUND LOT  
 MAP INDEX  
 (NOT TO SCALE)


| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

|                |              |
|----------------|--------------|
| DATE           | 01/06/2023   |
| PROJECT NUMBER | 1700576      |
| DRAWN BY       | DB           |
| CHECKED BY     | CJ           |
| SCALE          | AS SPECIFIED |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION  
 METRO NOHO  
 TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER

**SHEET 5 OF 16**




ABBREVIATION  
 L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
 U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

1:\MapArea.dwg - 1/6/23 10:53 AM - 1/6/23 10:53 AM - 1/6/23 10:53 AM - 1/6/23 10:53 AM - 1/6/23 10:53 AM


# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**

700 FLOWER ST., SUITE 2100  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
P: 313.442.1200  
F: 313.286.1204  
www.kpff.com



**BLOCK 2**  
L.E. = 550.00', U.E. = 900.00'




| REVISIONS | DATE | ISSUED FOR |
|-----------|------|------------|
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |

DATE: 01/06/2023  
PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576  
DRAWN BY: DB  
CHECKED BY: CJ  
SCALE: AS SPECIFIED

PROJECT DESCRIPTION  
METRO NOHO  
TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER

**SHEET 6 OF 16**




ABBREVIATION  
L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

I:\Projects\82868\82868\_06.dwg - 413 - 3/11/2023 10:55:10 AM


# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**


700 FLOWER ST., Suite 2130  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
P: 213.448.0381  
F: 213.386.5794  
www.kpff.com



**BLOCK 3 : LEVEL B1**  
L.E. = 550.00', U.E. = 632.00'



**BLOCK 3 : GROUND LEVEL**  
L.E. = 632.00', U.E. = 646.00'



**BLOCK 3 : LEVELS 2 - ROOF**  
L.E. = 646.00', U.E. = 750.00'




| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

DATE: 01/06/2023  
PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576  
DRAWN BY: DB  
CHECKED BY: CJ  
SCALE: AS SPECIFIED

PROJECT DESCRIPTION  
METRO NOHO  
TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER

SHEET 7 OF 16




ABBREVIATION  
L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

I:\Projects\82868\82868.dwg - 11/10/2022 11:50:23 AM - 11/10/2022 11:50:23 AM

# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**

700 FLOWER ST., Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Tel: 213-418-2021  
Fax: 213-296-1294  
www.kpff.com



**BLOCK 4**  
L.E. = 593.75', U.E. = 750.00'  
(UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE)




| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

DATE: 01/06/2023  
PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576  
DRAWN BY: DB  
CHECKED BY: CJ  
SCALE: AS SPECIFIED

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:  
METRO NOHO  
TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER

**SHEET 8 OF 16**




ABBREVIATION  
L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

I:\Users\kcm\My Documents\82868\Map\1700576-Map\1700576-Map.dwg 12/12/2021


# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**


700 PLYMOUTH ST., Suite 2100  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
P: 213.484.8383  
F: 213.484.5294  
www.kpff.com




**BLOCK 5 : LEVEL B1**  
L.E. = 600.00', U.E. = 633.75'  
(UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE)



**BLOCK 5 : GROUND LEVEL**  
L.E. = 633.75', U.E. = 650.75'



**BLOCK 5 : LEVEL 2**  
L.E. = 650.75', U.E. = 660.92'



**BLOCK 5 : LEVELS 3-5**  
L.E. = 660.92', U.E. = 693.25'



**REVISIONS**

| DATE | ISSUED FOR |
|------|------------|
|      |            |
|      |            |
|      |            |
|      |            |

DATE: 01/06/2023

PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576

DRAWN BY: DB

CHECKED BY: CJ

SCALE: AS SPECIFIED


PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

METRO NOHO

TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER

**SHEET 9 OF 16**




**ABBREVIATION**  
L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

I:\Projects\82868\82868.dwg - 11/12/2023

# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**  
 700 FLOWER ST., Suite 2100  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
 P: 323.438.2081  
 F: 323.266.2094  
 www.kpff.com




**BLOCK 5 : LEVELS 6 - ROOF**  
 L.E. = 693.25', U.E. = 900.00'  
 (UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE)



| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |

|                     |                            |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| DATE                | 01/08/2023                 |
| PROJECT NUMBER      | 1700576                    |
| DRAWN BY            | DB                         |
| CHECKED BY          | CJ                         |
| SCALE               | AS SPECIFIED               |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | METRO NOHO TRACT NO. 82868 |
| SHEET NUMBER        |                            |



**ABBREVIATION**  
 L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
 U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

**SHEET 10 OF 16**


I:\Projects\82868\Drawings\82868-10.dwg 1/17/2023




*VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868*

**kpff**


208 PLUMBER ST., Suite 2106  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017  
 P: 213.418.0215  
 F: 213.464.1294  
 www.kpff.com




**BLOCK 0**  
 L.E. = 550.00', U.E. = 635.75'



**BLOCK 0**  
 L.E. = 635.75', U.E. = 653.98'



**BLOCK 0**  
 L.E. = 653.98', U.E. = 657.98'



**BLOCK 0**  
 L.E. = 657.98', U.E. = 880.00'



**REVISIONS**

| DATE | ISSUED FOR |
|------|------------|
|      |            |
|      |            |
|      |            |
|      |            |
|      |            |

DATE: 01/06/2023

PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576

DRAWN BY: DB


CHECKED BY: CJ

SCALE: AS SPECIFIED

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:  
 METRO NOHO  
 TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER

**SHEET 11 OF 16**




**ABBREVIATION**  
 L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
 U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

\\snp\shared\proj\proj\_1700576\1700576\_11\1700576\_11.dwg 1/11/2023


# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**


750 ALHAMBRA ST., SUITE 2100  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
P: 313.478.8282  
F: 313.384.5194  
www.kpff.com




**BLOCK 8 : LEVEL B4**  
L.E. = 535.00', U.E. = 602.00'




**BLOCK 8 : LEVELS B1 - B3**  
L.E. = 602.00', U.E. = 635.00'




**BLOCK 8 : GROUND LEVEL**  
L.E. = 635.00', U.E. = 646.00'



**BLOCK 8 : LEVEL 2**  
L.E. = 646.00', U.E. = 657.00'



**BLOCK 8 : LEVELS 3 - 6**  
L.E. = 657.00', U.E. = 706.00'




**BLOCK 8 : LEVELS 7 - ROOF**  
L.E. = 706.00', U.E. = 935.00'



| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

|                            |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| DATE                       | 01/06/2023   |
| PROJECT NUMBER             | 1700578      |
| DRAWN BY                   | DB           |
| CHECKED BY                 | CJ           |
| SCALE                      | AS SPECIFIED |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION        |              |
| METRO NOHO TRACT NO. 82868 |              |




ABBREVIATION  
L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION


*VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868*

**kpff**

200 PLUMER ST., Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, CA 90027  
P: 310.488.8000  
F: 310.488.0204  
www.kpff.com



**GROUND LOT 8**  
L.E. = 550.00', U.E. = 660.00'



**GROUND LOT 9**  
L.E. = 550.00', U.E. = 660.00'




LEGEND  
# - GROUND LOT  
MAP INDEX  
(NOT TO SCALE)

| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

DATE: 01/05/2023  
PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576  
DRAWN BY: DB  
CHECKED BY: CJ  
SCALE: AS SPECIFIED

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:  
METRO NOHO  
TRACT NO. 82868  
SHEET NUMBER




ABBREVIATION  
L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

\\p0101\cadd\user\p0101\2023\1700576\1700576.dwg 11/05/2023 11:03:03


# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**


700 FLOWER ST., Suite 2100  
 San Francisco, CA 94107  
 P: 415.438.4201  
 F: 415.398.1294  
 www.kpff.com



**GROUND LOT 10**  
 L.E. = 550.00', U.E. = 660.00'



**GROUND LOT 11**  
 L.E. = 550.00', U.E. = 660.00'




| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

DATE: 01/06/2023  
 PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576  
 DRAWN BY: DB  
 CHECKED BY: CJ  
 SCALE: AS SPECIFIED

PROJECT DESCRIPTION  
**METRO NOHO**  
**TRACT NO. 82868**

SHEET NUMBER

**SHEET 14 OF 16**




ABBREVIATION  
 L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
 U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

C:\Users\jason\OneDrive\Documents\82868\82868.dwg - 4/11/2023 10:00:00 AM

# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**

700 FLOWER ST., Suite 2100  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
 P: 213.488.8282  
 F: 213.488.8284  
 WWW.KPFF.COM



**OVERALL VIEW LOOKING NORTH**

**ABBREVIATION**  
 L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
 U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

| REVISIONS |            |
|-----------|------------|
| DATE      | ISSUED FOR |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |
|           |            |

|                |              |
|----------------|--------------|
| DATE           | 01/09/2023   |
| PROJECT NUMBER | 1700576      |
| DRAWN BY       | DB           |
| CHECKED BY     | CJ           |
| SCALE          | AS SPECIFIED |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION  
**METRO NOHO**  
 TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER


**SHEET 15 OF 16**

\\pfs01\user\pfs01\1700576\1700576-15-16.dwg 1/10/2023

# VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 82868

**kpff**

100 FLOWER ST., SUITE 2100  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
D. 213.418.0200  
F. 213.388.0200  
www.kpff.com



**OVERALL VIEW LOOKING SOUTH**

ABBREVIATION  
L.E. = LOWER ELEVATION  
U.E. = UPPER ELEVATION

| REVISIONS | DATE | ISSUED FOR |
|-----------|------|------------|
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |
|           |      |            |

DATE: 01/09/2023  
PROJECT NUMBER: 1700576  
DRAWN BY: DB  
CHECKED BY: CJ  
SCALE: AS SPECIFIED  
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: METRO NOHO TRACT NO. 82868

SHEET NUMBER: SHEET 16 OF 16

\\p01dev\mnt\p01dev\82868\82868.dwg - AutoCAD 2013 - 1/9/2023 10:11:11 AM

## **IV. Mitigation Monitoring Program**

# **IV. Mitigation Monitoring Program**

---

## **1. Introduction**

This Mitigation Monitoring Program (MMP) has been prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 21081.6, which requires a Lead Agency to adopt a “reporting or monitoring program for changes to the project or conditions of project approval, adopted in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment.” In addition, CEQA Guidelines Section 15097(a) requires that a public agency adopt a program for monitoring or reporting mitigation measures and project revisions, which it has required to mitigate or avoid significant environmental effects. This MMP has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of CEQA, PRC Section 21081.6, and CEQA Guidelines Section 15097.

The City of Los Angeles is the Lead Agency for the Project and, therefore, is responsible for administering and implementing the MMP. A public agency may delegate reporting or monitoring responsibilities to another public agency or to a private entity that accepts the delegation; however, until mitigation measures have been completed, the Lead Agency remains responsible for ensuring that implementation of the mitigation measures occurs in accordance with the program.

An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) has been prepared to address the potential environmental impacts of the Project. The evaluation of the Project’s impacts in the EIR takes into consideration the project design features (PDF) and applies mitigation measures (MM) needed to avoid or reduce potentially significant environmental impacts. This MMP is designed to monitor implementation of the PDFs and MMs identified for the Project.

## **2. Organization**

As shown on the following pages, each identified PDF and MM for the Project is listed and categorized by environmental impact area, with accompanying identification of the following:

- **Enforcement Agency:** The agency with the power to enforce the PDF or MM.



- **Monitoring Agency:** The agency to which reports involving feasibility, compliance, implementation, and development are made.
- **Monitoring Phase:** The phase of the Project during which the PDF or MM shall be monitored.
- **Monitoring Frequency:** The frequency at which the PDF or MM shall be monitored.
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** The action by which the Enforcement or Monitoring Agency indicates that compliance with the identified PDF or required MM has been implemented.

### **3. Administrative Procedures and Enforcement**

This MMP shall be enforced throughout all phases of the Project. The Applicant shall be responsible for implementing each PDF and MM and shall be obligated to provide certification, as identified below, to the appropriate monitoring and enforcement agencies that each PDF and MM has been implemented. The Applicant shall maintain records demonstrating compliance with each PDF and MM. Such records shall be made available to the City upon request.

During the construction phase and prior to the issuance of permits, the Applicant shall retain an independent Construction Monitor (either via the City or through a third-party consultant), approved by the Department of City Planning, who shall be responsible for monitoring implementation of PDFs and MMs during construction activities consistent with the monitoring phase and frequency set forth in this MMP.

The Construction Monitor shall also prepare documentation of the Applicant's compliance with the PDFs and MMs during construction every 90 days in a form satisfactory to the Department of City Planning. The documentation must be signed by the Applicant and Construction Monitor and be included as part of the Applicant's Compliance Report. The Construction Monitor shall be obligated to immediately report to the Enforcement Agency any non-compliance with the MMs and PDFs within two businesses days if the Applicant does not correct the non-compliance within a reasonable time of notification to the Applicant by the monitor or if the non-compliance is repeated. Such non-compliance shall be appropriately addressed by the Enforcement Agency.

### **4. Program Modification**

After review and approval of the final MMP by the Lead Agency, minor changes and modifications to the MMP are permitted but can only be made subject to City approval. The Lead Agency, in conjunction with any appropriate agencies or departments, will

determine the adequacy of any proposed change or modification. This flexibility is necessary in light of the nature of the MMP and the need to protect the environment. No changes will be permitted unless the MMP continues to satisfy the requirements of CEQA, as determined by the Lead Agency.

The Project shall be in substantial conformance with the PDFs and MMs contained in this MMP. The enforcing departments or agencies may determine substantial conformance with PDFs and MMs in the MMP in their reasonable discretion. If the department or agency cannot find substantial conformance, a PDF or MM may be modified or deleted as follows: the enforcing department or agency, or the decision maker for a subsequent discretionary project related approval, finds that the modification or deletion complies with CEQA, including CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162 and 15164, which could include the preparation of an addendum or subsequent environmental clearance, if necessary, to analyze the impacts from the modifications to or deletion of the PDFs or MMs. Any addendum or subsequent CEQA clearance shall explain why the PDF or MM is no longer needed, not feasible, or the other basis for modifying or deleting the PDF or MM, and that the modification will not result in a new significant impact consistent with the requirements of CEQA. Under this process, the modification or deletion of a PDF or MM shall not, in and of itself, require a modification to any Project discretionary approval unless the Director of Planning also finds that the change to the PDF or MM results in a substantial change to the Project or the non-environmental conditions of approval.

## 5. Mitigation Monitoring Program

### A. Air Quality

#### (1) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature AIR-PDF-1:** Where power poles are available, electricity from power poles and/or solar powered generators rather than temporary diesel or gasoline generators will be used during construction.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Periodically during construction
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Field inspection sign-off

## (2) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure AIR-MM-1:** Prior to demolition, the Project representative shall submit to the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety and the South Coast Air Quality Management District a comprehensive inventory of all off-road construction equipment, equal to or greater than 50 horsepower, that with the exception of demolition activities will be used during any portion of construction. The inventory shall include the horsepower rating, engine production year, and certification of the specified Tier standard. A copy of each unit's certified tier specification, Best Available Control Technology documentation, and California Air Resources Board or South Coast Air Quality Management District operating permit shall be available onsite at the time of mobilization of each applicable unit of equipment to allow the Construction Monitor to compare the on-site equipment with the inventory and certified Tier specification and operating permit. Off-road diesel-powered equipment within the construction inventory list described above shall meet the USEPA Tier 4 Final standards.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; South Coast Air Quality Management District
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-Construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); periodically during field inspection

**Mitigation Measure AIR-MM-2:** The Project representative shall require operator(s)/ construction contractor(s) to commit to using 2010 model year or newer engines that meet CARB's 2010 engine emission standards of 0.01 g/brake horsepower (bhp)-hr for particulate matter (PM) and 0.20 g/bhp-hr of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions or newer, cleaner trucks for haul trucks associated with grading/excavation activities and concrete delivery trucks during concrete mat foundation pours. To monitor and ensure 2010 model year or newer trucks are used at the Project, the Lead Agency shall require that truck operator(s)/construction contractor(s) maintain records of trucks during the applicable construction activities associated with the Project and make these records available during the construction process and to the Lead Agency upon request.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; South Coast Air Quality Management District
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-Construction; construction

- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); periodically during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; field inspection sign-off

## B. Cultural Resources

### (1) Project Design Features

No project design features are identified in the Environmental Impact Report for this environmental issue.

### (2) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM 1:** Conformance with the Secretary's Standards— Prior to commencement of construction on Block 0, as approved by Metro, the developer shall engage an architectural historian or historic architect meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (Architectural Historian) to ensure the Lankershim Depot is relocated in conformance with the Secretary's Standards and guidance provided in *Moving Historic Buildings* by John Obed Curtis (National Park Service, 1979). The Architectural Historian shall review all aspects associated with the relocation, including building preparation and stabilization, the proposed method of moving the building, receiver site preparation, and rehabilitation at the receiver site. The Architectural Historian shall also consider plans for the historic landscaped plaza to ensure they conform with the Secretary's Standards, specifically Standard 9 that states that "new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials and features." Once details of the relocation, rehabilitation, and landscaped plaza have been finalized, the architectural historian shall prepare a report reviewing the relocation and rehabilitation of the Depot and landscaped plaza for conformance with the Secretary's Standards, submitted to the City of Los Angeles Office of Historic Resources for concurrence. After work is complete, the architectural historian shall document, through photographs, that work was completed in conformance with the approved report. Photographic documentation shall be submitted to the City of Los Angeles Office of Historic Resources.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, Office of Historic Resources
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, Office of Historic Resources; Metro

- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check; once during construction
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Submittal of compliance documentation to City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning/ Office of Historic Resources and subsequent issuance of applicable building permit

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-2:** Documentation—Prior to commencement of construction on Block 0, as approved by Metro, the Applicant shall engage a professional architectural photographer and an architectural historian meeting the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualifications Standards (Architectural Historian) to implement Historic American Building Survey (HABS) Level II documentation of the current status of the Lankershim Depot and its setting consisting of both photographs and a written narrative. The Architectural Historian shall direct the photographer to take images and no fewer than 15 photographs shall be used to document the current status of the Depot and its setting. The photographs shall be large format, 4-inch by 5-inch, black-and-white negatives (two sets), contact prints (one set), and 8-inch by 10-inch prints (two sets). All shall be archivally processed and prints shall be made on fiber-based paper. Two original negatives shall be made at the time the photographs are taken. One set of negatives shall travel with a set of contact prints to the National Park Service for entry into the HABS collection in the Library of Congress; the second set of negatives shall be transmitted to the Los Angeles Public Library along with one set of 8-inch by 10-inch prints. The written narrative shall reformat the information contained in this report and be transmitted to the repositories named. The draft documentation shall be assembled by the Architectural Historian and submitted to the City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning or designee for review and approval prior to submittal to the repositories. The City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning or designee shall accept the final documentation prior to relocation of the Lankershim Depot.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, Office of Historic Resources
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, Office of Historic Resources; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Submittal of compliance documentation to City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, Office of Historic Resources and subsequent approval by Metro

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-3:** Interpretive Design—The Applicant shall prepare and implement a site-specific, art-in-public-places program on Block 0 that illustrates and interprets the important history of the Lankershim Depot to the development of North Hollywood. The public art program shall include feature(s) that are lasting and permanent and shall be integrated into the new architecture and/or new landscape features of the Project, to the maximum extent feasible, thus ensuring its longevity, and shall be accessible by all members of the public. While the public art program may incorporate a plaque or interpretative panel or display the program overall shall include features that are of a size, scale, and design in relation to the architecture and/or landscape features that it can be immediately viewed, recognized, and appreciated at a distance where the text or images on a plaque or interpretive panel or display may not be legible while maintaining a scale compatible with the Lankershim Depot. Content and design of the public art shall be created by an artist, in collaboration with the selected art consultant, a representative from Metro, and the architectural historian meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards to ensure that the art-in-public-places program on Block 0 accurately interprets the history of the site. Installation of art elements shall be completed no more than one year after relocation and rehabilitation of the Lankershim Depot. Prior to commencement of construction on Block 0, as approved by Metro, a budget will be established for the public art that will be sufficient to cover design fees and fabrication.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, Office of Historic Resources
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, Office of Historic Resources; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Prior to installation of the public art display
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once prior to installation of the public art display
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan approval and issuance of applicable approvals by Metro

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-4:** All construction personnel and monitors who are not trained archaeologists or Tribal Cultural experts shall be briefed regarding unanticipated archeological or Tribal Cultural discoveries prior to the start of any excavation and grading activities. A basic PowerPoint presentation or handout shall be prepared to inform all personnel working on the Project about the archaeological and Tribal Cultural sensitivity of the area. The purpose of this Workers Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) training is to provide specific details on the kinds of archaeological and Tribal Cultural materials that may be identified during excavation and grading

activities for the Project and explain the importance of and legal basis for the protection of significant archaeological resources, and all Tribal Cultural Resources. Each worker shall also learn the proper procedures to follow in the event that cultural resources, Tribal Cultural Resources, or human remains are uncovered during ground-disturbing activities. These procedures include work curtailment or redirection, and the immediate contact of the site supervisor and archaeological monitor.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once prior to construction
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Issuance of applicable building permit

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-5:** Prior to any excavation activities, an individual qualified in archeology and Tribal Cultural Resources (Qualified Archeologist) shall be retained to monitor initial excavation and grading activities within the Project Site. Initial excavation and grading are defined as initial construction-related earth moving of sediments from their place of deposition. As it pertains to archaeological monitoring, this definition excludes movement of sediments after they have been initially disturbed or displaced by project-related construction. Due to the complex history of development and disturbance in the area, the terminal depth of potential deposits cannot be determined prior to the start of excavation activities. Monitoring will be continued based the continued potential for cultural deposits based on the characteristics of subsurface sediments encountered. The Qualified Archeologist, meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, shall oversee and adjust monitoring efforts as needed (increase, decrease, or discontinue monitoring frequency) based on the observed potential for construction activities to encounter cultural deposits or material. The Qualified Archeologist shall be responsible for maintaining daily monitoring logs. Within 60 days following completion of ground disturbance, an archaeological monitoring report shall be prepared and submitted to the City for review. This report shall document compliance with approved mitigation, document the monitoring efforts, and include an appendix with daily monitoring logs. The final report shall be submitted to the SCCIC. In the event that a potential archaeological resource is encountered, the Applicant shall follow the procedures set forth in Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-6. In the event that a potential Tribal Cultural Resource is encountered, the

applicant shall instead follow the procedures set forth in Mitigation Measure TCR-MM-1.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** To be determined by consultation with archaeologist if resource(s) are discovered
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** If unanticipated discoveries are found, submittal of compliance report by a qualified archaeologist; issuance of building permit(s)

**Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-6:** In the event that historic or prehistoric archaeological resources are unearthed, ground disturbing activities shall be halted or diverted away from the vicinity of the find so that the find can be evaluated. An appropriate buffer area shall be established by the Qualified Archaeologist in accordance with industry standards, reasonable assumptions regarding the potential for additional discoveries in the vicinity, and safety considerations for those making an evaluation and potential recovery of the discovery. This buffer area shall be established around the find where construction activities shall not be allowed to continue. Work shall be allowed to continue outside of the buffer area. All resources unearthed by Project construction activities shall be evaluated by the Qualified Archaeologist. If a resource is determined by the Qualified Archaeologist to constitute a “historical resource” pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a) or a “unique archaeological resource” pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2(g), the Qualified Archaeologist shall coordinate with the Applicant and the City to develop a formal treatment plan that would serve to reduce impacts to the resource. The treatment plan established for the resource shall be in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(f) for historical resources and Public Resources Code Sections 21083.2(b) for unique archaeological resources. Preservation in place (i.e., avoidance) is the preferred manner of treatment. If in coordination with the City, it is determined that preservation in place is not feasible, appropriate treatment of the resource shall be developed by the Qualified Archaeologist in coordination with the City and may include implementation of archaeological data recovery excavations to remove the resource along with subsequent laboratory processing and analysis. Any archaeological material collected shall be curated at a public, non-profit institution with a research interest in the materials, if such an institution agrees to accept the material. If no institution accepts the



archaeological material, they shall be donated to a local school or historical society in the area for educational purposes.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** To be determined by consultation with archaeologist if resource(s) are discovered
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** If unanticipated discoveries are found, submittal of compliance report by a qualified archaeologist; issuance of building permit(s)

## C. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

### (1) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature GHG-PDF-1:** The design of the new buildings shall incorporate features of the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED®) program to be capable of meeting the standards of LEED Silver® for commercial buildings and LEED for Homes or GreenPoint Rated for residential buildings, or equivalent green building standards. These include energy conservation, water conservation, and waste reduction features to support and promote environmental sustainability, including but not limited to: Energy Star appliances; plumbing fixtures (water closets and urinals) and fittings (faucets and showerheads) that comply with the performance requirements specified in the City of Los Angeles Green Building Code; weather-based irrigation system; and water-efficient landscaping.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; pre-operation
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check; once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; issuance of Certificate of Occupancy

**Project Design Feature GHG-PDF-2:** The Project shall limit the installation of natural gas fireplaces/firepits to approximately five percent of the total dwelling units (70 natural gas fireplaces/firepits), which could include firepits for outdoor amenity areas and fireplaces within residential units and fireplaces/firepits for indoor amenity areas and three for office outdoor amenity areas.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; pre-operation
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check; once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; issuance of Certificate of Occupancy

## (2) Mitigation Measures

No mitigation measures are identified in the Environmental Impact Report for this environmental issue.

## D. Hazards and Hazardous Materials

### (1) Project Design Features

No project design features are identified in the Environmental Impact Report for this environmental issue.

### (2) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-1:** Soil Management Plan—The Applicant shall retain a qualified environmental consultant to prepare a Soil Management Plan for Contaminated Soils (SMP) which shall be prepared with input from Los Angeles County Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA), County of Los Angeles Fire Department Health and Hazardous Materials Division (HHMD) Site Mitigation Unit (SMU). The SMP shall be submitted to the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety for review and approval prior to the commencement of soil disturbance activities. Potential subsurface contamination likely to be encountered during excavation activities includes metals, PCE (a volatile organic compound [VOC]) or other VOCs. The SMP shall be written such that it can be implemented sitewide or by block. The SMP

shall be implemented during soil disturbance activities on each block to ensure that contaminated soils are properly identified, excavated, managed and transported and disposed of off-site.

Elements of the SMP shall include:

- A qualified environmental consultant shall be present on the Project Site at the start of soil disturbance activities (e.g., clearing, grubbing, pavement/asphalt removal, building foundation and other below ground structure removal, excavation, grading, etc.) in the known or suspected locations of contaminated soils and shall be on call at other times as necessary, to monitor compliance with the SMP and to actively monitor the soils and excavations for evidence of contamination (primarily VOCs, which includes PCE, and metals).
- Soil monitoring during soil disturbance including visual observation (soil staining), representative sampling via a photo ionization detector, and/or VOC monitoring.
- The SMP shall require the timely testing and sampling of soils so that VOC-contaminated soils can be separated from inert soils for proper disposal. The SMP shall specify the testing parameters and sampling frequency. Routine testing includes VOCs and metals. The qualified environmental consultant shall have authority to request additional testing including, but not limited to, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) based on visual observation, the presence of odors, or other factors.
- During excavation, if soil is stockpiled prior to disposal, it shall be managed in accordance with the Project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), prior to transportation for treatment and/or disposal.
- To ensure appropriate containment of excavated soil or demolition debris/materials that exceed state or federal hazardous waste criteria, such materials shall be placed in containers with closures that are properly secured and lined, as appropriate, or wrapped and enclosed by tarps and transported by licensed hazardous waste haulers and disposed of at a licensed hazardous waste management facility approved for the specific disposed hazardous materials.
- During excavation, soils identified as VOC-contaminated shall be sprayed with water or another approved vapor suppressant or covered with sheeting and securely anchored during periods of inactivity of greater than an hour to prevent contaminated soils from becoming airborne.

- Dust suppression shall be used for any active or inactive stockpile known or suspected to contain contaminants including metals, above State or Federal hazardous waste limits. Active and inactive excavations and stockpiles of soil shall be kept visibly moist by water spray, treated with a vapor suppressant, or covered with a continuous heavy-duty plastic sheeting (4 mm or greater) or other covering. The covering shall be overlapped at the seams and securely anchored.
- The qualified environmental consultant shall perform weekly inspections of all waste (drums and bulk) to document that waste is being managed in accordance with the SMP. Inspection records shall be maintained on-site and shall be made available upon request.
- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; California Department of Toxic Substances Control
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check; once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of grading permit; field inspection sign-off

**Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-2:** Prior to construction, a limited soil investigation of the soil bordering the West Lot to the south shall be performed. Any identified contamination shall be remediated in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations and, if necessary, in accordance with Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-1.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check; once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of grading permit; field inspection sign-off

**Mitigation Measure HAZ-MM-3:** The West Lot shall be developed in accordance with the City of Los Angeles' Methane Ordinance (LAMC Chapter IX,

Article 1, Division 71, Section 91.7103), which Metro shall implement and enforce through its standard permitting procedures.

- **Enforcement Agency:** Metro; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** Metro; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check; once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of grading permit and building permit; field inspection sign-off

## E. Noise

### (1) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-1:** During plan check for each phase of the Project, the contractor will provide a statement to the City indicating their power construction equipment (including combustion engines), fixed or mobile, will be equipped with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices (consistent with manufacturers' standards). The statement will further indicate that the equipment will be properly maintained to assure that no additional noise, due to worn or improperly maintained parts, would be generated.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-Construction, construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at plan check (provide proof of compliance)
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; field inspection sign-off

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-2:** Project construction will not include the use of driven (impact) pile systems.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety

- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); periodically during construction
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; field inspection sign-off

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-3:** All outdoor mounted mechanical equipment will be screened from off-site noise-sensitive receptors. The equipment screen will be impermeable (i.e., solid material with minimum weight of 2 pounds per square feet) and break the line-of-sight from the equipment to the off-site noise-sensitive receptors.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction, construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); once at field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-4:** All loading docks will be acoustically screened from off-site noise-sensitive receptors.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction, construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); once at field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; issuance of Certificate of Occupancy

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-5:** Outdoor amplified sound systems, if any, will be designed so as not to exceed the maximum noise level of 75 dBA ( $L_{eq-1hr}$ ) at a distance of 25 feet from the amplified speaker sound systems at Block 1 (Level 4 Amenity), Block 2 (Level 4 Amenity), Block 3 (Level 5 and Level 6 Amenity), Block 4 (Level 3 Pool Deck and Courtyard and Level 6 Amenity), Block 5/6 (Level 6 Courtyard); and 80 dBA ( $L_{eq-1hr}$ ) at a distance of 25 feet at Block 1 (Roof Level Amenity), Block 3 (Level 2 Courtyard), Block 5/6 (Level 1

NoHo Square, Level 2 Common Deck), Block 7 (Level 2 Courtyard and Level 5 Amenity), and Block 8 (Level 7 Courtyard). A qualified noise consultant will provide written documentation, prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy, that the design of the system complies with this maximum noise level.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-operation
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Issuance of Certificate of Occupancy

**Project Design Feature NOI-PDF-6:** The temporary/touring amplified sound system for special events (such as movies or music performances) at the NoHo Square will be designed, using a line-array speaker system, so as not to exceed a maximum noise level of 90 dBA (Leq-1hr) at a distance of 50 feet from the amplified sound systems.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-operation
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Submittal of compliance report from noise consultant prior to Certificate of Occupancy for Block 5/6

## (2) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1:** A temporary and impermeable sound barrier shall be erected at the locations listed below and shown on Figure IV.H-5 on page IV.H-95. Prior to any demolition work conducted for each phase being permitted, building plans shall include documentation prepared by a noise consultant verifying compliance with this measure.

*During Block 0 Construction (Metro is the monitoring and enforcement agency for these mitigation measures.):*

- Along the western property line of the Project Site (Block 0 West) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Tujunga Avenue and Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R7) and the northern portion of the park on the south side of Chandler

Boulevard and approximately 300 west of Tujunga Avenue (receptor location R8). The temporary sound barrier (minimum 15 feet high) shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction at the ground level of receptor location R7 and 8 dBA at receptor location R8.

- Along the southern property line of the Project Site (Block 0 West) between the construction areas and noise sensitive uses along Chandler Boulevard (receptor locations R9, R10, and R11). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9, R10, and R11.
- Along the northern property line of the Project Site (Block 0 West) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Cumpston Street (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R5.
- Along the northern, southern, western, and eastern property lines of the Project Site (Block 0 East) between the construction areas and residential use along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1), Fair Avenue (receptor location R2), Chandler Boulevard (receptor R3), and Lankershim Boulevard (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R1, R2, R3, and R5.

*During Block 1 Construction:*

- Along the western edge of the Project Site (Block 1) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Cumpston Street (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 11 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R5.
- Along the northeastern and eastern edges of the Project Site (Block 1) between the construction areas and residential use along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1) and Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 8-dBA (minimum 11 feet high) and 5-dBA (minimum 8 feet high) noise reduction at the ground level of receptor locations R1 and R2, respectively.
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 1) between the construction areas and the noise sensitive uses along Weddington Street (receptor locations R9 and R10). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise



reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9 and R10. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 8 is substantially completed, prior to Block 1 construction.

*During Block 2 Construction:*

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 2) between the construction areas and the residential use along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 2) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 7-dBA noise reduction (minimum 10 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 3 and Block 4 are substantially completed, prior to Block 2 construction.
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 2) between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3) and the school use south of Weddington Street (receptor location R10). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R3 and R10. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 4 and Block 5/6 are substantially completed, prior to Block 2 construction.

*During Block 3 Construction:*

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 3) between the construction areas and the residential use along the Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 3) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2.
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 3) between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum

8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3. Note, this temporary sound barrier would not be required if Block 4 is substantially completed, prior to Block 3 construction.

*During Block 4 Construction:*

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 4) between the construction areas and the residential use along the Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 6-dBA noise reduction (minimum 10 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 4) between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction (minimum 15 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3.
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 4) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2.

*During Block 5/6 Construction:*

- Along the northern edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and the residential use along the Cumpston Street (receptor location R1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 8-dBA noise reduction (minimum 11 feet high) at the ground level of the residential use (receptor location R1).
- Along the southern edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 12-dBA noise reduction (minimum 14 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3.
- Along the eastern edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R2.
- Along the western edge of the Project Site (Block 5/6) between the construction areas and sensitive uses along Weddington Street (receptor locations R9, R10, and R11). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise

reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9, R10, and R11.

*During Block 7 Construction:*

- Along the northern property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Cumpston Street (receptor location R5). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 10-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R5.
- Along the western property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and residential use on Cumpston Street, west of Tujunga Avenue (receptor location R6). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 9-dBA noise reduction (minimum 12 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R6.
- Along the southern property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Tujunga Avenue and Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R7) and at receptor location R9. The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R7 and R9.
- Along the eastern property line of the Project Site (Block 7) between the construction areas and future residential use at the corner of Lankershim Boulevard and Chandler Boulevard (Related Project No. 1). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level. Note, this temporary sound barrier would only be required if the construction for the Related Project No. 1 would be completed and occupied prior the Project construction.

*During Block 8 Construction:*

- Along the northern property line of the Project Site (Block 8) between the construction areas and the residential uses along Cumpston Street (receptor location R1) and Fair Avenue (receptor location R2). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R1 and R2.
- Along the southern property line of the Project Site (Block 8) between the construction areas and theater/ use (receptor location R9) and school use (receptor location R10). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor locations R9 and R10.

- Along the western property line of the Project Site (Block 8) between the construction areas and the hotel use (receptor location R11). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction (minimum 16 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R11.

*During West Lot Construction (Metro is the monitoring and enforcement agency for these mitigation measures.):*

- Along the northern property line of the West Lot between the construction areas and residential use on Cumpston Street (receptor location R6). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 13-dBA noise reduction (minimum 16 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R6.
- Along the southern property line of the West Lot between the construction areas and residential use at the corner of Tujunga Avenue and Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R7) and the park use south of Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R8). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R7 and 11-dBA noise reduction (minimum 14 feet high) at receptor location R8.
- Along the western and portion of the southern property line of the West Lot between the construction areas and the residential use on the north side of Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R14). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at receptor location R14.

*During East Lot Construction (Metro is the monitoring and enforcement agency for these mitigation measures.):*

- Along the northern property line of the East Lot between the construction areas and residential use along Fair Avenue (receptor location R13). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction (minimum 18 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R13.
- Along the southern property line between the construction areas and the residential use along Chandler Boulevard (receptor location R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 5-dBA noise reduction (minimum 8 feet high) at the ground level of receptor location R3.
- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro

- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; submittal of compliance report from qualified noise consultant.

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2:** Prior to any construction activities involving vibration on Block 0 West or Block 8, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified structural engineer or qualified professional building engineer to visit the Lankershim Depot (after it is relocated to the future location) and the Security Trust and Savings Bank building adjacent to the Project Site (Block 8) to inspect and document the apparent physical condition of the building's readily-visible features (i.e., any cracks or damage). In addition, the structural engineer shall survey the existing foundations and other structural aspects of the Security Trust and Savings Bank and provide a shoring design to protect the building from potential damage. Pot holing, ground penetrating radar, or other similar methods of determining the below grade conditions on the Project Site and the Security Trust and Savings Bank may be necessary to establish baseline conditions and prepare the shoring design. The shoring design shall specify threshold limits for vibration causing activities.

The qualified structural engineer shall hold a valid license to practice structural engineering in the State of California and have extensive demonstrated experience specific to rehabilitating historic buildings and applying the Secretary of the Interior's Standards to such projects. The City of Los Angeles shall determine qualification prior to any work being performed. The qualified structural engineer shall submit to the lead agency a pre-construction survey that establishes baseline conditions to be monitored during construction, prior to issuance of any permit for the Project on Block 0 West or Block 8.

Prior to construction activities, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified acoustical engineer to review proposed construction equipment and develop and implement a vibration monitoring program capable of documenting the construction-related ground vibration levels at the Lankershim Depot and the Security Trust and Savings Bank building during demolition and grading/excavation phases.

The vibration monitoring system shall continuously measure and store the peak particle velocity (PPV) in inch/second. The system shall also be programmed for two preset velocity levels: a warning level of 0.10 PPV and a regulatory level of 0.12 PPV. The system shall also

provide real-time alert when the vibration levels exceed the warning level.

In the event the warning level (0.10 PPV) is triggered, the contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation, halt construction in the immediate vicinity, and provide technologically feasible steps to reduce the vibration level, including but not limited to staggering concurrent activities, utilizing lower vibratory techniques, and limiting high vibration generating equipment (i.e., large bulldozer, drill rig and loaded truck) operating within 20 feet of the building.

In the event the regulatory level (0.12 PPV) is triggered, the contractor shall halt construction activities in the vicinity of the building and visually inspect the building for any damage (by a qualified structural engineer). Results of the inspection must be logged. The contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation and provide technologically feasible steps to reduce the vibration level. Construction activities may then restart.

At the conclusion of vibration-causing construction, the qualified structural engineer shall issue a follow-up letter describing damage, if any, to immediately adjacent historic buildings and recommendations for repair, as may be necessary, in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. Repairs to immediately adjacent historic buildings shall be undertaken and completed in conformance with all applicable codes, including the California Historical Building Code (Part 8 of Title 24).

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety-Block 8 ;Metro-Block 0
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); once during field inspection (as needed during vibration-causing construction)
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan approval and issuance of applicable building permit; submittal of compliance report from structural engineer.

## F. Public Services—Police Protection

### (1) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature POL-PDF-1:** During construction, the Applicant will implement temporary security measures including security fencing, lighting, and locked entry.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Police Department; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; Field inspection sign-off

**Project Design Feature POL-PDF-2:** The Project will include a standard range of security measures recommended in LAPD’s Design Out Crime Guidelines including, but not limited to, providing adequate lighting of parking structures, elevators, and lobbies to reduce areas of concealment; provide lighting of building entries, pedestrian walkways, and other public open spaces to provide pedestrian orientation and to clearly identify a secure route between parking areas and points of entry into buildings; design public spaces to be easily patrolled and accessed by safety personnel; design entrances to, and exits from buildings, open spaces around buildings, and pedestrian walkways to be open and in view of surrounding sites; and limit visually obstructed and infrequently accessed “dead zones.”

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Police Department; City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); prior to the issuance of applicable building permit
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; issuance of Certificate of Occupancy

**Project Design Feature POL-PDF-3:** Upon completion of construction of the Project and prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the Applicant will submit a diagram of the Project Site to the LAPD's North Hollywood Division Commanding Officer that includes access routes and any additional information that might facilitate police response.

- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Police Department; City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); prior to the issuance of applicable building permit
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of applicable building permit; Issuance of Certificate of Occupancy

**Project Design Feature POL-PDF-4:** In accordance with Metro's Guide for Development at the North Hollywood Station, the Applicant will prepare a Safety and Security Plan for the Project prior to execution of the agreement between the Applicant and Metro governing the joint development of the Project Site and execution of the associated ground lease.

- **Enforcement Agency:** Metro
- **Monitoring Agency:** Metro
- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once prior to the issuance of applicable building permit
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Issuance of applicable building permit

## (2) Mitigation Measures

No mitigation measures are identified in the Environmental Impact Report for this environmental issue.



## G. Transportation

### (1) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature TR-PDF-1:** Prior to the start of demolition, a Construction Traffic Management Plan shall be prepared and submitted to LADOT for review and approval. The Construction Traffic Management Plan will include a Worksite Traffic Control Plan, which will facilitate traffic and pedestrian movement, and minimize the potential conflicts between construction activities, street traffic, bicyclists, and pedestrians. Furthermore, the Construction Traffic Management Plan and Worksite Traffic Control Plan will include, but not be limited to, the following measures:

- As parking lane and/or sidewalk closures are anticipated, worksite traffic control plan(s), approved by the City of Los Angeles, will be implemented to route vehicular traffic, bicyclists, and pedestrians around any such closures;
- Ensure that access will remain unobstructed for land uses in proximity to the Project Site during construction;
- Parking for construction workers will be provided either on-site or at off-site, off-street locations. Parking will be prohibited on streets in the vicinity of the Project Site;
- Coordinate with the City and emergency service providers to ensure adequate access is maintained to the Project Site and neighboring businesses and residences; and
- Ensure all soil loads are properly covered and secured.
- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation; City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation; City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check prior to issuance of grading, demolition, or building permit (provide proof of compliance); once during field inspection
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan check approval and issuance of grading, demolition, or building permit; field inspection sign-off

**Project Design Feature TR-PDF-2:** The Project will prepare and implement a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Program consistent with City policies on sustainability and smart growth and with LADOT's trip reduction and multi-modal transportation program. The TDM Program shall include the following measures:

- Reduced Parking Supply—The Project would provide up to 3,313 parking spaces for Project uses along with up to 1,189 parking spaces for Metro users at full buildout. The basic parking requirements set forth by the LAMC would require a total of 4,291 parking spaces at full buildout (not including spaces for Metro users). A reduced parking supply makes parking less available and more expensive and, therefore, encourages the use of non-automobile modes to and from the Project Site and reduces VMT.
- Promotions and Marketing—A transportation management coordinator (TMC) would be designated to reach out to Project residents and companies leasing Project office space to promote the benefits of TDM. The TMC will provide information on public transit and any available incentives, the benefits of flexible work schedules and telecommuting programs, pedestrian and bicycle amenities provided at the Project Site, and parking incentives.
- Pedestrian Network Improvements—The Project would prioritize the pedestrian experience. The Project would create a network of sidewalks with a minimum width of 12 feet around the various Blocks along with creating various publicly accessible open spaces throughout the Project Site. It also provides activated ground-floor street frontages, street trees, pedestrian-scaled streetlights, and understory plantings to create a consistent, high-quality pedestrian experience. The enhanced pedestrian connectivity would encourage pedestrian trips to and from the Project Site as well as improving accessibility to the transit options at the Project Site and, therefore, reduces automobile trips and reduces VMT. The Project also proposes upgrades to crosswalks as discussed below under Traffic Calming Improvements.
- Traffic Calming Improvements—The Project would enhance crossings of Lankershim Boulevard with refreshed and/or new continental crosswalks at both intersections with Chandler Boulevard (North and South) and would install a new continental crosswalk across Tujunga Avenue at Chandler Boulevard (North) and across District Way at Fair Avenue. These improvements would help to slow vehicular traffic and improve safety and connectivity for pedestrians.
- On-Street Bicycle Facilities—The Project is designed to connect to the Chandler Bikeway Project through the East Site. Specifically, the Project will implement the shared street where all travel modes (i.e., pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicle) share the same roadway on District Way and a Class IV bicycle facility on Fair Avenue between District Way and the Chandler Bikeway.
- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation, City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning

- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation; Metro (Traffic Calming Improvements)
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check prior to issuance of the first building permit (provide proof of compliance); once prior to issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Approval of TDM program from LADOT; issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy

## (2) Mitigation Measures

No mitigation measures are identified in the Environmental Impact Report for this environmental issue.

## H. Tribal Cultural Resources

### (1) Project Design Features

No project design features are identified in the Environmental Impact Report for this environmental issue.

### (2) Mitigation Measures

**Mitigation Measure TCR-MM-1:** In the event that objects or artifacts that may be tribal cultural resources are encountered during the course of any ground disturbance activities (i.e., excavating, digging, trenching, plowing, drilling, tunneling, quarrying, grading, leveling, removing peat, clearing, driving posts, augering, backfilling, blasting, stripping topsoil, or a similar activity), all such activities shall temporarily cease in the immediate vicinity of the potential resource until the potential tribal cultural resources are properly assessed and addressed pursuant to the process set forth below:

- Upon a discovery of a potential tribal cultural resource, the Applicant shall immediately stop all ground disturbance activities in the immediate vicinity of the potential resource and contact the following:
  1. all California Native American tribes that have informed the City they are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of the proposed project (including but not limited to the Fernandeano Tataviam Band of Mission Indians and Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians);
  2. and the Department of City Planning at (213) 473-9723.

- If the City determines, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21074 (a)(2), that the object or artifact appears to be tribal cultural resource, the City shall provide any affected tribe a reasonable period of time, not less than 14 days, to conduct a site visit and make recommendations to the Applicant and the City regarding the monitoring of future ground disturbance activities, as well as the treatment and disposition of any discovered tribal cultural resources.
- If any tribe recommends monitoring of future ground disturbances, and such monitoring is determined to be reasonable and feasible, a culturally affiliated tribal monitor shall be retained by the City at the Applicant's expense, in addition to the archaeological cultural monitoring that is separately required pursuant to Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-5.
- The qualified archaeologist identified in Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-5 and the culturally affiliated tribal monitor shall determine if the tribal recommendations are reasonable and feasible, at which point the Applicant shall implement the recommendations, in addition to the measures below.
- The Applicant shall submit a tribal cultural resource monitoring plan to the City that includes all recommendations from the City and any affected tribes that have been reviewed and determined by the qualified archaeologist and by a culturally affiliated tribal monitor to be reasonable and feasible. The Applicant shall not be allowed to recommence ground disturbance activities in the immediate vicinity of the potential resource and any radius identified in the tribal or City recommendations until this plan is approved by the City.
- If the Applicant does not accept a particular recommendation determined to be reasonable and feasible by the qualified archaeologist or by a culturally affiliated tribal monitor, the Applicant may request mediation by a mediator agreed to by the Applicant and the City who has the requisite professional qualifications and experience to mediate such a dispute. The Applicant shall pay any costs associated with the mediation.
- The Applicant may recommence ground disturbance activities outside of a specified radius of the discovery site, so long as this radius has been reviewed by the qualified archaeologist and by a culturally affiliated tribal monitor and determined to be reasonable and appropriate.
- Copies of any subsequent prehistoric archaeological study, tribal cultural resources study or report, detailing the nature of any significant tribal cultural resources, remedial actions taken, and disposition of any significant tribal cultural resources shall be

submitted to the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) at California State University, Fullerton.

- Notwithstanding the above, any information determined to be confidential in nature, by the City Attorney's office, shall be excluded from submission to the SCCIC or the general public under the applicable provisions of the California Public Records Act, California Public Resources Code, and shall comply with the City's AB 52 Confidentiality Protocols.
- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro (Block 0, East Lot and West Lot)
- **Monitoring Phase:** Construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** To be determined by consultation with tribal monitor if resource(s) are discovered
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** If unanticipated discoveries are found, approval to proceed by the tribal monitor; issuance of building permit(s)

## I. Utilities and Service Systems—Water Supply and Infrastructure

### (1) Project Design Features

**Project Design Feature WAT-PDF-1:** In addition to regulatory requirements, the Project will incorporate the following block-by-block water conservation features as set for in the Water Conservation Commitment Letter for the Project included as Appendix B of the WSA:

#### Block 0

- Tankless and on-demand Water Heaters for pantry sink location.
- Individual metering and billing for water use for every retail space.
- Drip/Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation) for 100 percent of the irrigation system.
- Point of use Domestic Water Heating System.
- Drip/Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation) for 100 percent of the irrigation system.
- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).

- California Friendly® plants or native plants .

Block 1

- ENERGY STAR–Certified Residential Clothes Washers—Front-loading or Top-loading with Integrated Water Factor of 3.0 or less and capacity of 4.8 cubic feet.
- Domestic Water Heating System located in proximity to point(s) of use for retail tenant spaces.
- Individual metering and billing for water use for every residential dwelling unit and retail tenant space.
- Pool/Spa recirculating filtration equipment.
- Install a meter on the pool make-up line so water use can be monitored, and leaks can be identified and repaired.
- Leak Detection System for swimming pools and Jacuzzi.
- Drip/ Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation).
- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).
- California Friendly® plants or native plants.

Block 2

- ENERGY STAR–Certified Residential Clothes Washers—Front-loading or Top-loading with Integrated Water Factor of 3.0 or less and capacity of 4.8 cubic feet.
- Domestic Water Heating System located in proximity to point(s) of use for retail tenant spaces.
- Individual metering and billing for water use for every residential dwelling unit and retail tenant space.
- Pool/Spa recirculating filtration equipment.
- Install a meter on the pool make-up line so water use can be monitored, and leaks can be identified and repaired.
- Leak Detection System for swimming pools and Jacuzzi.
- Drip/ Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation).
- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).
- California Friendly® plants or native plants.

Block 3

- Individual metering and billing for water use for every residential dwelling unit.

- Drip/Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation).
- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).
- California Friendly® plants or native plants.

Block 4

- ENERGY STAR–Certified Residential Clothes Washers—Front-loading or Top-loading with Integrated Water Factor of 3.0 or less and capacity of 4.8 cubic feet.
- Domestic Water Heating System located in proximity to point(s) of use for retail tenant spaces.
- Individual metering and billing for water use for every residential dwelling unit and retail tenant space.
- Pool/Spa recirculating filtration equipment.
- Install a meter on the pool make-up line so water use can be monitored, and leaks can be identified and repaired.
- Leak Detection System for swimming pools and Jacuzzi.
- Drip/ Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation).
- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).
- California Friendly® plants or native plants.

Block 5/6

- ENERGY STAR–Certified Residential Clothes Washers—Front-loading or Top-loading with Integrated Water Factor of 3.0 or less and capacity of 4.8 cubic feet.
- Domestic Water Heating System located in proximity to point(s) of use at retail tenant spaces.
- Individual metering and billing for water use for every residential dwelling unit and retail tenant space, and separate metering provided for Office level use.
- Tankless and on-demand Water Heaters at pantry sink locations for office tenant spaces.
- Pool/Spa recirculating filtration equipment.
- Install a meter on the pool make-up line so water use can be monitored, and leaks can be identified and repaired.
- Leak Detection System for swimming pools and Jacuzzi.
- Drip/Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation).

- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).
- California Friendly® plants or native plants.

Block 7

- Individual metering and billing for water use for every residential dwelling unit.
- Drip/ Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation).
- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).
- California Friendly® plants or native plants.

Block 8

- High Efficiency Toilets with a flush volume of less than 1.28 gallons per flush.
- Domestic Water Heating System located in proximity to point(s) of use.
- Individual metering and billing for water use for every retail space and separate metering provided for the Office level use.
- Tankless and on-demand Water Heaters for pantry sink locations.
- Drip/Subsurface Irrigation (Micro-Irrigation) for 100 percent of the irrigation system.
- Proper Hydro-Zoning/Zoned Irrigation (groups plants with similar water requirements together).
- California Friendly® plants or native plants.
- **Enforcement Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- **Monitoring Agency:** City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning; City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety; Metro (Block 0)
- **Monitoring Phase:** Pre-construction; construction
- **Monitoring Frequency:** Once at Project plan check (provide proof of compliance); once prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy
- **Action Indicating Compliance:** Plan approval and issuance of applicable building permit; issuance of Certificate of Occupancy



## (2) Mitigation Measures

No mitigation measures are identified in the Environmental Impact Report for this environmental issue.

## Attachment D - Notice of Determination

## Notice of Determination

## Appendix D

**To:**

Office of Planning and Research  
*U.S. Mail:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Street Address:* \_\_\_\_\_  
 P.O. Box 3044 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113  
 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

County Clerk  
 County of: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**From:**

Public Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Contact: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Lead Agency (if different from above): \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Contact: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

***SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.***

State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse): \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Location (include county): \_\_\_\_\_

Project Description:

This is to advise that the \_\_\_\_\_ has approved the above  
 (  Lead Agency or  Responsible Agency )

described project on \_\_\_\_\_ and has made the following determinations regarding the above  
 (date)  
 described project.


1. The project [  will  will not ] have a significant effect on the environment.
2.  An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.  
 A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures [  were  were not ] made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [  was  was not ] adopted for this project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [  was  was not ] adopted for this project.
6. Findings [  were  were not ] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature (Public Agency): \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Received for filing at OPR: \_\_\_\_\_

# Attachment E – Site Plan





**We're supporting thriving communities.**

**North Hollywood Joint Development**

Planning & Programming Committee, September 2024



# Recommended Actions

## CONSIDER:

- A. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or designee to execute and enter into a Joint Development Agreement (JDA) with NOHO Development Associates, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Developer), an affiliate of Trammell Crow Company, and associated Ground Leases (Ground Leases) and other related documents with Developer or its affiliates or qualified transferees, for the construction and operation of a mixed-use project on up to 11.8 acres of Metro-owned property located at the North Hollywood Metro Station (Project) in accordance with the Joint Development Summary of Key Terms and Conditions (Attachment A) upon receipt of concurrence by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the California Transportation Commission (CTC);
- B. DETERMINING that the Board, acting as the governing body of the responsible agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), after consideration of the whole of the administrative record, adopts the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations setting forth the reasons and benefits with full knowledge that significant impacts may remain (Attachment B), and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment C) of the City of Los Angeles Environmental Impact Report No. ENV-2019-7241-EIR which was certified on August 22, 2023; and
- C. AUTHORIZING the CEO or designee to file a Notice of Determination (Attachment D) with the Los Angeles County Clerk and the State of California Clearinghouse.



# Background

**2015:** Development Guidelines approved by Metro Board

**2016:** Developer selected following competitive solicitation

**2016-2024:** Developer-led ongoing community outreach, holding nearly 100 individual meetings to solicit input and feedback on the Project

**2022:** Inflation and interest rates trigger design refinements and financial negotiations

**2023:** Measure ULA transfer tax takes effect

**2024:** City of LA Development entitlements granted



*Project Rendering*



# Primary Development Area (Blocks 1, 2, 3, 7 & 8)

## Housing on Vacant and Underutilized Property

### Development:


- Blocks 1 & 2: Approximately 570 apartments
  - 5% moderate-income apartments (@ 80%-120% AMI)
- Blocks 3 & 7: Approximately 311 apartments
  - 100% affordable (@ <60% AMI)
- 40,000 SF of retail space
- 400,000 SF of office space (Block 8)

### Key Terms:

- No impact on bus or rail operations
- Minimum 20% affordable at all times
- First phase must be started in two years, w/ potential four-year extension
- Second phase must start within eight years, w/ potential four-year extension
- 15-year term w/ 7-year extension for unavoidable delay
- Affordability covenants span full 99-year ground lease term

### Financial Consideration (est. Net Present Value):

|                                |                    |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| • Non-refundable deposit       | \$2,000,000        |
| • Upfront capitalized payments | \$13,683,654       |
| • Percentage rent              | \$19,634,654       |
| • <u>Signage revenue</u>       | <u>\$2,497,918</u> |
| Total consideration:           | \$37,816,298       |



# Optional Secondary Development Area (Blocks 4, 5, & 6)

## Transit Center Redevelopment

### Development:


- Approximately 600 apartments
  - 5% moderate-income (@ 80% to 120% AMI)
- 20,000 SF of retail space
- Approximately 2 acres of public open space

### Key Terms:

- Metro may elect to advance the transit center and development of Blocks 4, 5, & 6 at its sole and absolute discretion
- Right-of-way reserved for Metro expansion
- 99-year ground lease term

### Development Revenue (est. Net Present Value):

|                           |                  |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| • Participating rent:     | \$6,937,725      |
| • <u>Signage revenue:</u> | <u>\$634,523</u> |
| Total consideration:      | \$7,572,248      |





# Next Steps

- Metro Review of Design Development and Construction Drawings
- Developer seeks funding for affordable and mixed-income developments
- Board consideration of policy updates to accommodate SLA exemption
- Upon satisfaction of closing conditions under the JDA, Metro and Developer execute ground leases for first affordable and first mixed-income blocks
- Metro staff continues transit center design work



*Project Rendering*